Public Works (1898) Department.

G.O., No. 1310 25

Dated 23.5.98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Subcourt at Tanjore; Ros: Judicial Dept
Stating that the action of the Subjudge in making
alterations in the — without the sanction of the Govt
was wrong and inviting the attention of the P.W.D.
to the discrepancy between the estimate for the work
& the Ex. Engineer and the cost of the work executed.
~~as~~ described by the same Officer as fairly
Suitable, Comm to S.E. V Circle for remarks

9
23/5/98

Indexed. 25/5

[P. W. 25. 1,000—2-3-98]

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 4071

B/

Order N-1318/W&23-5-98.

From The Judicial Dept

Communicated to the Supdg Engr V Circle for remarks, with reference to Govt 2 Clerk Govt. order.

No. 684

Dated 28th April 1898.

Received 7th May 1898

Registered 9.5.98

Enclosures 1/3 (Plan)

Spare copies

Ch

W.M.S. 1.6.98

SUBJECT.

Stating that the action of the Sub-Judge in making alterations in the Subl. Court Tanjore without the sanction of Govt was wrong and inviting the attention to the discrepancy between the cost of the work as estimated by the Executive Engineer as sanctioned —

T.Rs. 3.Plan

S.E. V Circle D 24/5/98 with 13 enclosures —

D.1318 Ol 20 A 1898

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
1524C	14-3-98
697C	7-4-98
141C	10-1-98
1228W (Rt)	18-3-98

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

[G. 53]
240-30-5-98.

Judicial Department.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following papers—

From the Registrar, High Court of Judicature, Madras Dis.No.1817, dated 26th October 1897.

From the same officer Dis.No.2059, dated 1st December 1897.

Endorsement of the Public Works Department, No.141 C, dated 10th January 1898.

Endorsement of the Public Works Department, No. 1544 C, dated 14th March 1898.

Order:-No.684, dated 28th April 1898.

The action of the Sub-Judge in carrying out without sanction the alterations in the Court was wrong and is viewed by the Government with displeasure.

2. The attention of the Public Works Department will be invited to the very great discrepancy between the cost of the work as estimated by the Executive Engineer and as executed in what is described by the same officer as a fairly

fairly suitable manner.

(True Extract)

for Chief Secretary.

C.S.
5.5.98.

To

The Public Works Department with currents in original to be returned.

" Honourable the Chief Justice of the High Court with C.L.

4/5