Public Works (1898) Department.

G.O., No. 124325

DATED 17 May 98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Court of Wards, Rds: Revenue Debt
Reviewing the administration report
Of the — for fasli 1306 (1896-97) Court
& E.s for information.

=

Rec'd in Revs 8/6/99

Dy 17/5/98

[P. W. S. 1,000—2-3-98]

Indexed.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

P.W.D. Ch. 4160

Government {1898} of Madras.

REVENUE.

Recd. 10-5-
Regd. 12-5- } 1898.

Enclosures 1 (20 s.c)

Spare copies 20.

N-1243 Dt. 17.5.98

G.O., No. 197, 4th April 1898.

P

Communicated to Supdg Engineers for information

APRIL.

S.S.
S. Engrs. D
18/5/98

10.5.98

Court of Wards.

Reviewing the Administration report of the — for fasli 1306 (1896-97).

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following Proceedings of the Court of Wards, No. 1, Press, dated 19th January 1898 :—

Resolution—No. 1, Press, dated 19th January 1898.

The Court resolves to submit to Government its report on the administration of the estates under management during fasli 1306 ending 30th June 1897.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. C. C. CARR,
Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with report, a file of Collectors' reports, and five sets of maps.
„ all Collectors in charge of Wards' estates with report
and a set of three maps (Divisional Officers and Managers).
„ C. Morrison, Esq., with report.
„ the Collectors of other districts, with report and a set of three maps.

READ—Proceedings of the Court of Wards, Mis. No. 124, dated 1st February 1898.

ABSTRACT.—Submitting to Government, in continuation of paragraph 19 of the Court's Administration report for fasli 1306, letter from the Collector of Kistna reporting that the tenants in the deltaic villages of the Telaprole estate are well off but that the tenants in the upland portions compare less favourably owing to the sterility of the soil and occasional failure of rains.

ORDER—No. 197, Revenue, dated 4th April 1898.

Miscellaneous.

With the Proceedings read above, the Court of Wards submits its report on the administration of the estates under its charge during fasli 1306 (1896–97). The report was received in the Government office on the 27th January or 5 days earlier than the prescribed date. This is very satisfactory and His Excellency the Governor in Council trusts that future reports also will be submitted by the prescribed date.

2. *Number of estates under management.*—There were at the beginning of the year 47 estates under the management of the Court. Seven * of these were surrendered during the year, while five † others were newly taken up. The number of estates under management at the end of the year was thus 45. But the Meduru estate has since left the Court's hands; excluding this and also the estate of the old zamindar of Parlákimedi whose moveable property alone was in charge of the Court, there were 43 estates belonging to as many wards, of whom 39 (three being females) were incapacitated by reason of minority and the remaining four by sex alone.

*1. Dhárákóta.	5. N. Duraiswami Aiyar's estate.
2. Surangi	6. Elagiri.
3. Lakkavaram.	7. Vadimitta.
4. Mailavaram.	
†1. Serugadá.	4. Sivagiri.
2. Mamidivada.	5. Sivasamudram.
3. Meduru.	

3. *Condition of the surrendered estates.*—The statement appended to paragraph 2 of the report shows the condition of the surrendered estates at the time of their surrender, as contrasted with their condition when they were first taken under the Court's

control. The results of the Court's management were as usual satisfactory. In the Dhárákóta estate which was under the control of the Court for over 11 years, the rental rose from Rs. 73,253 to Rs. 1,09,205, while debts aggregating Rs. 1,94,755 were discharged during the period, in addition to an expenditure of Rs. 71,879 on irrigation works and other improvements. The estate was restored with a cash balance of Rs. 1,26,481 as against Rs. 4,994 which was the amount on hand when it first came under management. The condition of the estate of N. Duraiswami Aiyar in the Trichinopoly district also greatly improved under the Court's administration which extended over a period of more than 15 years. The current demand rose from Rs. 14,224 to Rs. 32,017 and the estate was restored with a cash balance of Rs. 21,583, while debts aggregating Rs. 40,016 were paid off and Rs. 12,058 due to the estate recovered. Lands and houses to the value of Rs. 45,989 were also purchased and Rs. 23,057 were expended on irrigation works and other improvements. The Government notes that there was also a satisfactory improvement in the condition of four out of the remaining five estates which were surrendered, and that the cash balance to the credit of all of them rose, while the debts due by them were either wholly discharged or materially reduced.

4. *Holdings and cultivation.*—In the estates which were under management in the two faslis 1305 and 1306 the extent of holdings rose from acres 565,293 to acres 567,798 in the year under review. There was, however, a fall in the extent actually cultivated during the year and the proportion of cultivation to holdings fell from 94·3 per cent. in fasli 1305 to 92·9 per cent. in fasli 1306. The Court states that for want of cultivation accounts, the entire holdings have been returned as cultivated in all the estates in Ganjám and Vizagapatam except two, and in the Palayampatti estate in the Madura district. The Government hopes that, with the introduction of the Proprietary Estates Village Service Act II of 1894 from 1st October last, the difficulty of compelling karnams to maintain accurate cultivation accounts has ceased and that in time future reports will furnish correct statistics.

	ACRES.
Fasli 1305	533,292
„ 1306	527,678

5. *Demand of estates.*—The gross rental demand of all the estates under management during the year under report amounted to Rs. 27,97,929, of which Rs. 60,108 were remitted, leaving a total net demand of Rs. 27,37,821. In the estates which were under management in the two faslis 1305 and 1306, the net demand fell from Rs. 15,19,772 to Rs. 14,52,926 owing chiefly to the unfavourable character of the season in some of the estates.

6. *Demand, Collection and Balance—Arrears.*—The arrear demand at the beginning of the year amounted to Rs. 20,42,136, of which Rs. 3,24,516 were collected and Rs. 67,825 written off, leaving a balance of Rs. 16,49,795 at the end of the fasli. Excluding subsequent collections and remissions (Rs. 21,933) and also the amount since found to be irrecoverable (Rs. 8,29,099) the net recoverable balance at the date of the Collectors' reports was Rs. 7,98,763. The large increase in the arrear demand of fasli 1306 as compared with that of the previous fasli (Rs. 9,36,738) is due to the fact that the arrears due in Pithápuram are included in the former, while they were excluded from the latter. Leaving this estate out of account, the arrear balance at the end of the fasli was 65·2 per cent. of the demand at the beginning of the year against 52·5 per cent. in the previous fasli. It is to be regretted that the steady improvement in the adjustment of arrear balances which the figures * for the previous five years showed and which was noticed with pleasure in paragraph 6 of G.O., No. 240, dated 8th April 1897, should not have continued during the past year. At the date of the Collectors' reports arrears exceeded half a lakh of rupees in the three undermentioned estates, viz :—

* Percentage of arrear balance to demand in— Fasli.	
1301	84·4
1302	78·1
1303	70·8
1304	68·7
1305	52·5
1306 (including Pithapuram.) ...	80·8

	RS.
1. Kurupám	60,969
2. Telaprole	1,40,074
3. Ettiyapuram	94,742

The collections in Kurupám were most unsatisfactory and the arrear balance actually rose during the year. It is understood that the recovery of a third of the amount still outstanding against the estate is doubtful. This matter requires prompt attention, as the estate will be restored to the proprietor in September next. In Telaprole, the bulk of the arrears is recoverable, but its realization is difficult owing to the litigiousness of the tenants and the collusive action of the process amins. It is hoped that the steps which are reported to have been taken by the manager in this respect will have the desired effect. In Ettiyapuram, the arrear balance represents the accumulations of a long number of years from fasli 1283 and every endeavour is being made to reduce the amount. The Government trusts that the balance will be substantially reduced during the current and ensuing faslis, as the estate will be handed over to the ward at the end of 1899.

	Fasli.	Rs.
Recoverable balance at the end of	1305	58,288
Recoverable balance at the end of	1306	78,299

The *current* collections were equally unsatisfactory. Out of a total current demand of Rs. 27,36,066, Rs. 20,26,373 were collected and Rs. 200 written off, leaving a balance of Rs. 7,09,493 at the end of the fasli. Deducting subsequent collections and remissions amounting to Rs. 1,85,154 as also a sum of Rs. 2,432 since recommended to be written off, the net recoverable balance on the dates of the Collectors' reports was Rs. 5,21,907. This balance is large being 19·1 per cent. of the demand against 9·5, the corresponding percentage of the previous year ; but it was due mainly to the unfavourable character of the season in some of the most important estates.

Percentage of balance to the current demand in— Fasli.	
1302	19·8
1303	17·4
1304	16·3
1305	15·9
1306	25·9

7. *Financial condition of the estates.*—The total cash receipts and charges during the year amounted to Rs. 35,18,704 and Rs. 38,85,579, respectively. Excluding the following items, namely, "debts recovered", "amounts borrowed", "sale-proceeds of property", "debts repaid" and "amounts invested in Government securities", the net receipts and charges were Rs. 26,35,273 and Rs. 22,41,426, respectively.

8. *Establishment charges and Government Commission.*—The proportion of establishment charges and Government commission to normal receipts rose from 13·3 per cent. in fasli 1305 to 13·5 per cent. during the year under review. The increase occurred in the case of several of the estates and was due mainly to short receipts on account of the unfavourable character of the season and to the special causes mentioned in paragraph 12 of the report. The continued rise under this head, however, calls for strict vigilance and His Excellency the Governor in Council would invite the special attention of the Court of Wards to the point.

Percentage of establishment charges and Government commission to normal receipts in — Fasli.	
1303	10·0
1304	11·5
1305	13·3
1306	13·5

Faslis.	Percentage of expenditure on public works to		
	Allotments.	Total charges.	Charges excluding debts repaid and amount invested in promissory notes.
1302	52·4	4·8	7·0
1303	38·2	6·0	8·4
1304	56·3	6·9	9·5
1305	57·2	6·1	7·3
1306	69·0	5·4	9·4

9. *Expenditure on Public Works.*—The amount expended on public works was Rs. 2,11,369 or 5·4 per cent. of the total charges of the year against 6·1 per cent. in the previous fasli. But if items of expenditure such as "debts repaid" and "amount invested in Government securities" be excluded, the outlay on public works was 9·4 per cent. of the net charges against 7·3 per cent. in the previous fasli. There was also a satisfactory advance in the proportion of expenditure to allotment, the percentage being the highest during the last five years as shown on the margin.

10. *Utilization of surplus funds and advances to tenants.*—No progress was made during the year under review by way of utilizing the surplus balances to the credit of several estates ; such balance exceeded a lakh of rupees in the following cases :—

	Rs.
1. Kurupám	1,32,336
2. Kasimkóta	1,21,708
3. Pithápuram	3,32,913
4. Telaprole	4,23,730
5. Sáptúr	1,86,835
6. Ettiyapuram	2,84,804
7. Kavalpara	1,03,758

The Collectors of Vizagapatam and Malabar have not offered any definite suggestions for the disposal of the balances to the credit of the Kurupám and the Kavalpara estate in their districts, and their attention has been drawn to the omission. In Kasimkóta, estimates are under preparation for the improvement of the irrigation works, while no action can be taken in regard to the surplus in favour of Pithápuram pending the final disposal of the suit to determine the succession. With the balance in Telaprole it is proposed to purchase lands when suitable opportunities offer, and in Sáptúr the surplus has since been considerably reduced by the payment of Rs. 1,15,000 in partial satisfaction of the decree in a suit brought against the ward. The greater portion of the surplus balance to the credit of Ettiyapuram is stated to be required to provide against vicissitudes of the season, but the Government does not understand this and the Court is requested to explain. The assessment was Rs. 2,80,674. Negotiations are proceeding for the purchase of small properties adjoining the estate.

Advances for the purchase of seed-grain, cattle, &c., were granted to tenants in three * estates. It is observed, however, that in the case of paddy advanced to the cultivators of certain lands in Sithamalli, interest in kind at the rate of 25 per cent. was charged. The circumstances under which such a high rate of interest was charged should have been explained.

* Maruthanthanallur.
Sithamalli.
Shulagiri.

11. *Education of Wards.*—The educational progress of the wards was, with some exceptions, satisfactory. Six of them were under the care and tuition of Mr. Morrison, the Court's European tutor at *Newington*, Madras ; and His Excellency the Governor in Council is glad to receive a favourable report of their conduct and progress in studies. It is reported that arrangements have been made to instruct the Peddakimedi and Kurupam wards in the details of estate management, and the former in revenue law as well. His Excellency the Governor in Council attaches much importance to this course of instruction, specially in the case of elder wards, and requests that the Court will issue instructions accordingly.

12. Paragraph 19 of the report contains interesting information on the condition of the tenants under the Court's management.

(True Extract.)

S. G. Roberts
for Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Court of Wards.
„ the Public Works Department.

Exd. C. M. Thumbuswamy.

60 Copies.

REPORT

ON THE

ADMINISTRATION

OF THE

ESTATES UNDER THE COURT OF WARDS

IN THE

MADRAS PRESIDENCY,

FOR FASLI 1306 (1896-97).

MADRAS:
PRINTED BY THE SUPERINTENDENT, GOVERNMENT PRESS.

1898.

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

	PAGE	PARA.
Number of estates under management	1	1
Estates handed over	2	2
Statement showing the condition of the estates restored at the time of surrender, &c., under wardship	3	..
Statement showing the names, &c., of the estates under management ..	4	3
Season	4	4
Holdings	4	5
Cultivation	4	6
Demand of estates	5	7
Demand, collection and balance	5	8
Current collections	6	9
Financial condition of estates under management	7	10
Utilization of surplus balances and advances to tenants	7	11
Percentage of establishment charges, &c., to normal receipts	9	12
Public works	10	13
Debts due to estates	10	14
Debts due by estates	11	15
Education of wards	12	16
Suits	13	17
Religious and charitable institutions attached to estates	13	18
Condition of tenants	13	19
General remarks	17	20

APPENDICES.

	PAGE.
A. No. I.—Statement showing the ages, &c., of the wards and the period during which the estates have been under management for fasli 1306 (1896–97) ..	18
B. No. II-A.—Statement showing the number of villages and the proportion of cultivation to holdings in the estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1306 (1896–97)	20
C. No. II-B.—Statement showing the settled demand of the estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1306 (1896–97)	22
D_1 & D_2. No. III.—Statements showing the demand, collection and balance of the estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1306 (1896–97)	26
E. No. IV.—Financial statement of receipts and charges of the several estates under management in fasli 1306 (1896–97)	29
F. Enclosure 1 to Financial statement of receipts and charges of the several estates under management in fasli 1306 (1896–97)	31
G. Enclosure 2 to Financial statement of receipts and charges of the several estates under management in fasli 1306 (1896–97)	32
H. Enclosure A to Statement No. IV.—Statement showing the expenditure incurred on maramut works in the several estates under management for fasli 1306 (1896–97)	34
I. No. V-A.—Statement showing the debts other than arrears of rent covered by bonds due to the estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1306 (1896–97)	36
J. No. V-B.—Statement of debts due by the estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1306 (1896–97)	38
K. No. VI.—Statement showing the educational progress made by the wards and their relations in fasli 1306 (1896–97)	40
L. No. VII.—Statement showing the demand, collection and balance, as also the financial condition of the religious and charitable institutions attached to the estates under management in fasli 1306 (1896–97)	48

REPORT ON THE ADMINISTRATION

OF

ESTATES UNDER THE COURT OF WARDS

IN THE MADRAS PRESIDENCY

FOR FASLI 1306 (1896-97).

Number of Estates under Management.—Including *Parlákimedi*, there were 47 estates under management at the beginning of fasli 1306. Of these, the seven estates noted on the margin were surrendered during the year in question, while five estates were taken under the Court's care. There were thus 45 estates at the end of the fasli.

Estates surrendered.

District.	Estate.
Ganjám ...	Dharakota.
	Surangi.
Gódávari ...	Lakkavaram.
Kistna ...	Mailavaram.
Trichinopoly ...	N. Duraiswami Aiyar's estate.
Salem ...	Elagiri.
Tinnevelly ...	Vadimitta.

Estates taken up.

District.	Estate.
Ganjam ...	Serugada.
Vizagapatam ...	Mamidivada.
Kistna ...	Meduru.
Tinnevelly ...	Sivagiri.
Coimbatore ...	Sivasamudram.

As stated in para. 1 of the previous year's report, the *Elagiri* estate was handed over to the eldest ward on his coming of age. The *Mailavaram* estate was, on the 31st December 1896, put in possession of the Receiver nominated by the several parties to the pending partition suit and accepted by the Sub-Court. An interpleader suit under chapter XXXIII of the Civil Procedure Code was filed in the case of *Vadimitta*. This estate also was handed over at the end of the fasli to the Collector as Receiver appointed by the Civil Court. He has since been replaced by another Receiver. The *remaining four estates* were restored to their proprietors on the cessation of their minority.

It was stated in the previous report that the Meduru estate had been handed over to the Receiver appointed by the District Court of Kistna. On an appeal by one of the parties, however, the High Court set aside the order appointing a Receiver, and, in accordance with the advice of counsel, the Court of Wards re-assumed charge of the estate in April last. An application for the appointment of a fresh Receiver was presented at the same time in view of the fact that, as a mere stakeholder, the Court of Wards could neither exchange pattas and muchilikas with tenants in the absence of a ward, nor carry on any legal proceedings in the Courts. This estate has accordingly been included among those taken under management during the year. It is to be added that, since the close of the fasli under report, the application for the appointment of a fresh Receiver has been complied with and the estate has again left the Court's hands. An appeal from this order has been presented to the High Court by the adoptive mother of the late ward, and has yet to be decided.

The *other four estates* named above were taken up owing to the minority of their owners. In regard to one of these (Sivasamudram), it may be added that its late proprietor died in July 1885 leaving a widow and a minor son. At that time the recommendation of the local officers to leave the management of the estate to the widow was accepted (Government Order, No. 1255, Revenue, dated 13th November 1885). Later on, the portion of the estate lying within the Mysore State was put in charge of an amildar whose services were lent to the widow. The same person was authorised by a power of attorney from her to manage the portion in British territories also. But in 1895 the Collector of Coimbatore reported that the estate was being mismanaged, and that the widow's brother and agent was misappropriating large sums of money, and accordingly recommended the intervention of the Court of Wards. The Board of Revenue, however, suggested that the District Court might be moved to appoint a guardian under Act VIII of 1890, and the Government concurred in this view (Government Order, No. 4127, Revenue, dated 18th October 1895). The amildar above referred to was accordingly appointed guardian by the District Court; but the Collector again reported that that person was unable to manage the estate properly and recommended the Court's intervention in the interests of the minor; and this recommendation was accepted in Government Order, Mis. No. 5273, Revenue, dated 19th December 1896.

In regard to the *Parlákimedi* cash balance, the two sons of the Parlákimedi ward agreed to accept the apportionment (to be made by the Court of Wards) of their share of the partible properties inherited by their father in 1851. The money was almost entirely invested in Government paper and had grown, with the aid of many years of accumulated interest, to some 29 lakhs of rupees. The necessary calculation was made in the Court's office, and the two sons have been given their two-thirds share since the close of the fasli: this long-pending matter has thus been settled.

The adoption of the *Belgam* minor made by the zemindarini under the authority of a will said to have been left by her husband having been declared by the High Court to be invalid, the Court obtained the sanction of Government to treat the zemindarini again as its ward. The remaining half of this estate has since been decreed to the claimant, and as soon as he takes out * execution, the Court will become *functus officio*.

In accordance with the decree of the High Court declaring the *Pithápuram* minor to be entitled to the late Raja's properties under his wills, the entire properties were taken back from the adopted son during the year.

As in previous years, figures regarding Parlákimedi are given only in Statements I and IV. The charge of the Meduru estate was only resumed in April last, and no figures are entered against it in Statements II to VII.

2. *Estates handed over.*—The subjoined statement shows the condition of the surrendered estates at the time of their restoration as compared with their condition when they were taken under the Court's control:—

* *Note.*—The Collector has since reported that, in accordance with a warrant issued by the District Court, he has ordered the estate manager to hand over this moiety also to the Central Nazir of the District Court.

[Statement.

STATEMENT showing the condition of the estates restored at the time of surrender as contrasted with their condition when they were taken up.

Districts.	Estates.	Current demand		Balance on hand	Debts recovered during the period the estate was under management.	Debts paid off during the same period.		
		When the estate was taken up.	When the estate was restored.	When the estate came under manager-ment.	When the estate was restored.	Principal.	Interest.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Ganjám ..	Dharakota	73,253	1,09,205	4,994	652	1,82,397	12,358	1,94,755 (a)
	Surangi	25,637	33,296	..	..	1,28,499	37,766	1,66,265 (b)
Gódávari ..	Lakkavaram	12,166	30,666	45,600	78,278	26,386	3,326	29,712 (c)
Kistna ..	Mailavaram	21,331	20,087	31,750	3,237	2,503	..	2,503
Trichinopoly ..	N. Duraiswami Aiyar's Estate ..	14,224	32,017	64	12,058	26,917	13,099	40,016 (g)
Salem ..	Elagiri	16,230	21,674	264	2,863	14,110	5,161	19,271 (h)
Tinnevelly ..	Vadimitta	14,640	17,036	..	..	23,000	125	23,125 (i)

Districts.	Estates.	Amount expended on irrigation works.	Amount expended on other improvements.	Period for which the estate was under management.	Educational qualifications of the ward	
					When the estate was taken up.	When the estate was restored.
		11	12	13	14	15
		Rs.	Rs.	Yrs. M. D.		
Ganjám ..	Dharakota	47,515	24,364	11 8 1	The minor was reading the Uriya First Reader.	Could speak English fluently. The Collector reports of the ward: "He has excellent manners and a good deal of sturdy common sense." The minor was educated at Newington.
	Surangi	4,864	482	4 8 19	The minor knew a little Uriya.	Could speak English moderately: owing to his delicate health, the ward did not make much progress.
Gódávari ..	Lakkavaram	16,110	12,471	17 5 0	The minor was only three years old and had not been put to school.	The minor made fair progress in Telugu and studied up to the Matriculation standard in English.
Kistna ..	Mailavaram	20,715	14,960	9 2 0	The education of the minors had been much neglected; and the eldest boy was fit only for the 3rd class.	The three minors had reached the V, IV and III forms, respectively.
Trichinopoly ..	N. Duraiswami Aiyar's Estate ..	2,909	(f) 66,137	15 8 29	The ward had not commenced his studies.	The ward took his B.A. degree: and appeared for the M.A. and F.L. Examinations. He unfortunately failed therein.
Salem	Elagiri	4,245	11,700	9 3 0	Was reading in the V standard, Tirupattúr Middle School.	The elder ward was preparing for the Matriculation Examination under the Court's European tutor at Madras and also received instruction in Revenue Law and Accounts.
Tinnevelly ..	Vadimitta	5,738	1,421	17 9 0	The estate was under management on account of four wards who died one after another.	

(a) The liabilities of the estate when it was taken up amounted to Rs. 1,82,397 and were cleared off by the Court of Wards.
(b) The debts due by the estate at the time of the Court's intervention were Rs. 1,10,129. The annual income was not sufficient to clear the estate of liabilities. So Rs. 1,05,000 on a lower rate of interest was borrowed by the Court. The amount of debt paid off from savings was actually Rs. 61,265. All old debts were paid and a sum of Rs. 72,500 out of the loan taken by the Court was outstanding on the date of restoration.
(c) Increase due partly to certain properties presented to the minor by his father-in-law and partly to the reversion of the Vella estate on the death of his adoptive mother.
(d) Excludes Rs. 51,849 written off and Rs. 37,199 covered by bonds made over to certain legatees under the will of the late proprietor.
(e) Exclusive of Rs. 79,738 paid to legatees.
(f) Includes value of lands and houses purchased (Rs. 45,989).
(g) The debts due by the estate when it came under the Court amounted to Rs. 35,012 and were cleared off by the Court of Wards.
(h) The debts of the estate when it was taken up amounted to Rs. 18,154 and were cleared off by the Court of Wards.
(i) When the estate was taken under management, its liabilities amounted to Rs. 21,900. It was leased to one Kaliyappa Chetti in 1882 on the condition that he should discharge the entire debt and after 14 years' enjoyment, surrender all the villages except two which were to be recovered by the estate after paying the lessee a sum of Rs. 6,000. This constituted the only liability of the estate when it left the Court's charge.

3. *Statement showing the names, &c., of the Estates under Management.*—Statement No. I appended to the report shows the names of the estates under management, the authority under which they were taken up, the sexes of the wards and their disabilities, the period (calculated up to the end of the fasli under report) during which the estates have been under the Court, the ages of the wards at the end of the fasli, and the dates on which such of them as are minors will attain their majority.

The *Parlákimedi* and *Meduru* estates referred to in paragraph 1 *supra* being left out of account, there were 43 wards, of whom 39 were incapacitated by minority (three being females) and four by sex.

4. *Season.*—The season was on the whole favourable for agricultural operations except in the undermentioned estates :—

The Ganjám, Vizagapatam, Gódávari, Bellary, Madura and Malabar estates, Telaprole and Munagala (Kistna), Edamanal and Sithamalli (Tanjore), Kakangari and Ankusagiri (Salem).

In Ganjám and Vizagapatam, the crops failed and distress was felt. In Pithápuram, Telaprole, Munagala, Kakangari and Ankusagiri, the crops suffered owing to want of water, while in Edamanal and Punnathur damage was done by excess of water.

5. *Holdings.*—The aggregate area of the holdings of the ordinary villages in all the estates under management (excluding rented villages) was acres 773,434. The extent of holdings in the estates which were under management in both the faslis was acres 567,798 in fasli 1306 against acres 565,293 in the previous fasli, showing an increase of acres 2,505, which is the net result of an increase of acres 10,309 in ten estates counterbalanced by a decrease of acres 7,804 in thirteen estates. The variations are small except in the undermentioned estates :—

Estates.	
	Increase.
	ACS.
Saluru	777
Kasimkota	5,609
Marungapuri	2,438
Ankusagiri	1,181
	Decrease.
Bodogodo	709
Peddakimedi	1,904
Tangellamudi	3,402
Palayampatti	612

In *Saluru* and *Kasimkota*, the increase was due to lands in the possession of maintenance holders having been brought to account. In *Ankusagiri*, it was due partly to the same cause and partly to the tenure of certain villages being changed from "*rented*" to "*ryotwari*". In *Marungapuri*, the excess areas brought out by the survey and the inclusion (as requested by tenants) in their pattas of waste lands, adjoining their holdings and used for grazing purposes, account for the increase. The decrease in *Bodogodo* and *Peddakimedi* is only nominal, being due to the change of the tenure of certain villages from "ryotwari" to "rented". The transfer of certain *Tangellamudi* villages to Sri Raja Papamma Row under a Civil Court decree and the want of timely rains in *Palayampatti* account for the decrease in those two estates.

6. *Cultivation.*—The extent of actual cultivation in the year under report was acres 700,300, or 90·5 per cent. of the holdings, against acres 571,649, or 94·3 per cent. shown in the previous year's report.

For want of cultivation accounts, the entire holdings have been returned as cultivated in all the *Ganjám* and *Vizagapatam* estates, except Chidikada and Chipurupalle, and in *Palayampatti* in Madura. The entire holdings were actually cultivated in *V. U. Ayyanna's* estate (Bellary) and in *Karuvalakarai* and *Maruthanthanallur* (Tanjore).

In *thirteen* * estates the percentage of cultivation to holdings was more than 90, in *eleven* estates between 75 and 90, while in the undermentioned *five* estates it was below 75 :—

	Percentage.
Pithapuram (Gódávari)	70·0
Thumba (North Arcot)	74·7
Palayavanam (Tanjore)	74·0
Mambarai (Madura)	66·4
Kavalpara (Malabar)	70 5

In *Pithapuram*, *Thumba* and *Mambarai* the low percentage was due to the unfavourable character of the season. In *Palayavanam* a considerable portion of the waste lands is covered by forests, and tenants cannot be found for the remainder : but steps are being taken to lease out on favourable terms such lands as can be brought under cultivation. In this connection the Court begs leave to invite attention to the Tanjore Collector's letter under Court's Proceedings, Mis. No. 1595, dated 13th October 1897. As stated in previous reports, the extent left waste in *Kavalpara* consisted of uncultivable waste and jungle, mostly held by tenants on various tenures.

7. *Demand of estates.*—Statement II-B appended to the report shows the gross demand of all the estates under the Court's management, the remissions granted and the net demand. The gross demand of the year amounted to Rs. 27,97,929, of which Rs. 60,108 were remitted, leaving a total net demand of Rs. 27,37,821. The following abstract compares the figures under these heads as regards the estates which were under management both in faslis 1305 and 1306 :—

Fasli.	Gross demand	Remission.	Net demand.
	RS.	RS.	RS.
1305	15,33,546	13,774	15,19,772
1306	15,05,561	52,635	14,52,926

Explanation for the large variations under net demand, as compared with the previous year, is given in column 21 of statement II-B.

8. *Demand, Collection and Balance.*—Statement No. III appended to the report shows the demand, collection and balance of the estates under management during the year under report. The *arrear* demand at the beginning of the fasli amounted to Rs. 20,42,136, of which Rs. 3,24,516 were collected and Rs. 67,825 written off, leaving at the end of the fasli a balance of Rs. 16,49,795. Deducting subsequent collections and remissions (Rs. 21,933) as also items since found to be irrecoverable (Rs. 8,29,099) the net recoverable balance was Rs. 7,98,763 or 39·1 per cent. against Rs. 4,55,852 or 48·7 per cent. as shown in the previous year's report.

In the *eight* estates noted on the margin, the outstanding balance on the date of the report was *nil*, while in *two* others it was practically so. In *ten* estates it was below 10 per cent. while in *five* others it ranged between 10 and 25 per cent. In *four* estates it was between 25 and 50 per cent. It exceeded 50 per cent. in the *fourteen* estates named below :—

Chidikada, Appikonda, A. Basappa's estate, Timmajammal's estate, Karuvalakarai, Sithamalli, Minakshi Ayal's estate and Royakota.

Estate.	Percentage.	Amount.
		RS.
Bodogodo (Ganjám)	69·3	4,448
Serugada (do.)	100·0	1,121
Belgam (Vizagapatam)	78·3	5,693
Kurupam (do.)	70·6	60,969
Saluru (do.)	89·1	24,986
Peda Merangi do.)	54·1	855
Telaprole (Kistna)	70·9	1,40,074
Munagala (do.)	69·2	5,525
V. U. Ayyanna's estate (Bellary)	100·0	79
Thumba (North Arcot)	55·2	622
B. Chockalingam Pillai's estate (Trichinopoly)	67·1	14,810
Ettiyapuram (Tinnevelly)	55·7	94,742
Kakangarai (Salem)	96·5	360
Punnathur Kovilogam (Malabar)	73·3	16,261

* *Note.*—This excludes the Gantamanayakkanur portion.

The greater portion of the outstanding balance in *Bodogodo* appears to be doubtful of recovery, and the matter is under investigation. The recovery of the balance in *Sérugadá* appears to be doubtful. The bulk of the balance in *Belgam* is said to be due on the portion of the estate handed over under Civil Court's decrees. In *Kurupam* Rs. 17,251 were found to be irrecoverable at the last settlement (jamabandi), Rs. 4,061 have to be adjusted in the accounts, Rs. 12,484 are covered by pending suits and Rs. 20,207 are doubtful of recovery: the last item is under investigation. The arrear balance against *Sáluru* is largely nominal. Rs. 15,812 have to be adjusted from the maintenance due to *towjidars* (maintenance holders), Rs. 2,675 are irrecoverable and the remainder, which seems doubtful of recovery, is under investigation. The balances in *Peda Merangi* and *V. U. Ayyanna's* estate remained outstanding chiefly owing to the unfavourable character of the season. The bulk of the balance in *Telaprole* has to be recovered by civil suits, and the necessary steps have been taken; but the tenants of this estate are said to be notoriously litigious, and great difficulty is experienced in collecting the rents. The greater portion of the arrears in *Munagala* is due on subsequent inams and cannot, it is reported, be recovered until the pending inquiry in the matter of alienations is finished. The major portion of the outstanding arrears in *Thumba* is under litigation and seems to be irrecoverable. In *B. Chockalingam Pillai's* estate and *Kakangarai* the outstanding amounts are mostly covered by pending suits or execution proceedings. In respect of the arrears in *Ettiyapuram*, the Manager reports:—

"Every endeavour is being made to collect the arrears, resort being made finally to Courts if collection otherwise is not possible and recovery through Courts is certain without causing further unnecessary expense to the estate."

The heavy arrears in *Punnathur* are due partly to the unfavourable character of the season and partly (as explained in the administration report for fasli 1304) to the peculiar tenure prevailing in the estate, and to the fact that its present financial position does not admit of the payment of the compensation (for tenants' improvements) that would be awarded in cases of successful eviction proceedings.

9. *Current collections.*—The total current demand of the year amounted to Rs. 27,36,066, of which Rs. 20,26,373 were collected and Rs. 200 written off, leaving a balance of Rs. 7,09,493 at the end of the fasli. Subsequent collections and remissions, amounting to Rs. 1,85,154, as also the sum of Rs. 2,432, since found to be irrecoverable, being deducted, the net recoverable balance on the dates of the Collectors' reports was Rs. 5,21,907 or 19·1 per cent. against 9·5, the corresponding percentage for the previous year. The large outstanding balance appears to be due mainly to the unfavourable character of the season.

The whole of the current demand was collected in the *Karuvalakarai* and *Royakota* estates. In *ten* estates the net balance was below 5 per cent., while in *fifteen* estates it ranged between 5 and 20 and in *six* estates between 20 and 35. In the undermentioned *ten* estates it was above 35 per cent.:—

Estates.	Percentage.	Amount.
		Rs.
Chidikada (Vizagapatam)	35·6	8,321
Appikonda (do.)	49·4	4,370
Kurupam (do.)	38·8	35,040
Peda Merangi (do.)	41·9	5,821
Kasimkóta (do.)	57·4	50,469
Mamidivada (do.)	85·0	4,215
Edamanal (Tanjore)	39·2	5,974
T. Chokkappa Mudaliar's estate (Tanjore)	44·6	5,528
B. Chockalingam Pillai's estate (Trichinopoly)	35·9	6,288
Punnathur Kovilogam (Malabar)	55·7	7,549

In the above-named *six Vizagapatam estates*, the outstanding balances are due to the postponement of collection on account of the unfavourable character of the season. In *Edamanal* the balance was due partly to the inefficiency of the late manager (since replaced), and partly to losses alleged to have been sustained by the lessees of the estate on account of heavy floods and rains; the lessees claim remission and the matter is under investigation. In *T. Chokkappa Mudaliar's* estate, the manager, whose

inefficiency led to the accrual of the balance, has since been removed. The claim of the lessees of *B. Chockalingam Pillai's* estate to remission on account of loss due to heavy floods is under inquiry. The explanation given in the preceding paragraph in regard to the arrear balance applies also to the current balance in *Punnathur*.

10. *Financial condition of estates under management.*—The aggregate cash receipts of all the estates under management during the year amounted to Rs. 35,18,704. Adding to this, Rs. 13,07,048 (the cash balance at the beginning of the year), the total cash assets of the fasli amounted to Rs. 48,25,752, of which Rs. 38,85,579 (including a sum of Rs. 3,29,155 invested in Government promissory notes and Rs. 13,14,998 devoted to payment of debts) were expended during the year. The cash balance at the close of the fasli was thus Rs. 9,40,173. Adding to this, the Government securities of the nominal value of Rs. 46,77,500 held on behalf of certain estates and the value (Rs. 1,17,882) of grain on hand at the close of the year, the total balance to the credit of the estates on the 30th June 1897 was Rs. 57,35,555, showing a decrease of Rs. 1,24,225 as compared with the opening balance of the year. Excluding the unusual items noted below, the receipts and charges of the year amounted to Rs. 26,35,273 and Rs. 22,41,426 respectively against Rs. 21,28,837 and Rs. 24,09,577, the corresponding figures for the previous year:—

	Rs.	Rs.
Total Receipts		35,18,704
Deduct—		
Debts recovered	1,57,213	
Amounts borrowed	2,72,871	
Sale-proceeds of property	4,53,347	
Total deduction ...		8,83,431
Net Receipts ...		26,35,273
Total Charges		38,85,579
Deduct—	Rs.	
Debts repaid	13,14,998	
Amounts invested in promissory notes	3,29,155	
Total deduction ...		16,44,153
Net Charges ...		22,41,426

11. *Utilization of surplus balances and advances to tenants.*—Parlákimedi being left out of account, the estates under management which on the 30th June 1897 had surplus balances exceeding Rs. 10,000 (*vide* column 13 of statement IV appended to the report) are shown below:—

Estate.	District.	Amount.
		Rs.
Bodogodo	Ganjám	51,310
Chidikada	Vizagapatam	37,255
Appikonda	Do.	24,769
Belgam	Do.	22,958
Kurupam	Do.	1,32,336
Kasimkota	Do.	1,21,708
Tangellamudi	Gódávari	71,539
Pithapuram	Do.	3,32,913
Telaprole	Kistna	4,23,730
Munagala	Do.	26,791
V. U. Ayyanna's estate	Bellary	13,966
Agali Basappa's estate	Do.	42,116
Timmajammal's estate	North Arcot	19,884
Sithamalli	Tanjore	64,652
Maruthanthanallur	Do.	15,343
Marungapuri	Trichinopoly	44,782
B. Chockalingam Pillai's estate	Do.	26,991
Minakshi Ayal's estate	Do.	13,094
Saptur	Madura	1,86,835

Estate.	District.	Amount.
		RS.
Palayampatti	Madura	35,392
Ettiyapuram	Tinnevelly	*2,84,804
Thalavankottai	Do.	13,393
Sivagiri	Do.	74,235
Sivasamudram	Coimbatore	12,704
Kakangarai	Salem	16,774
Kavalpara	Malabar	1,03,758

The Collector of Ganjám has been requested to submit a separate report in regard to the construction of a reservoir which is expected to be very profitable to the *Bodogodo* estate; but it appears that objections have been raised by the Public Works officers on the plea that the Government irrigation works may be injuriously affected. In *Chidikada*, *Appikonda*, *Telaprole*, *V. U. Ayyanna's estate*, *A. Basappa's estate*, *Timmajammal's estate*, *Maruthanthanallur* and *B. Chockalingam Pillai's estate*, the purchase of lands will be effected when suitable opportunities offer: meanwhile the balances will be invested, as far as possible, in Government promissory notes. The *Belgam* estate has been taken out of the Court's control by the decrees which have been passed against the ward. The *Pithapuram* estate is still the subject of litigation. No definite information has been furnished by the Collectors concerned in regard to the investment in productive improvements of the balances of *Kurupam*, *Thalavankottai*, *Sivagiri*, *Sivasamudram*, *Kakangarai* and *Kavalpara*: in a separate communication their attention has been drawn to the omission. Estimates are said to be under preparation for the improvement of the irrigation works of *Kasimkota*. The balance in *Tangellamudi* is likely to be required for the payment of *mesne* profits under the decree in favour of Sri Raja Papamma Row. In *Munagala* the balance has to be partly devoted to the payment of the outstanding liabilities and of the law charges connected with the two pending suits in which the minor's adoption and title to the estate are in issue. In *Sithamalli* the purchase of lands is under negotiation. In *Marungapuri*, a portion of the surplus balance is to be devoted to the improvement of irrigation works and of roads, while other permanent improvements, such as the demarcation of sub-divisions of fields, are in progress. The late *Minakshi Ayal's* estate and *Palayampatti* are involved in debt. In *Saptur*, the balance has, since the close of the fasli, been considerably reduced by the payment of Rs. 1,15,000 to the plaintiff in Original Suit No. 21 of 1889 on the file of the Madura Sub-Court. In *Ettiyapuram*, the greater portion of the surplus balance is said to be required to provide against vicissitudes of the season, while negotiations are proceeding for the purchase of small properties adjoining the estate. The Chief Engineer's remarks regarding the conversion of the Tharuvai swamp into a tank have recently been communicated to the Collector of Tinnevelly in view to the revision of the plans and estimates.

With reference to paragraph 4 of the Government of India letter communicated in Government Order, No. 591, Revenue, dated 13th August 1894, the Court submits that advances appear to have been granted as shown on the margin † in the case of one village in Maruthanthanallur and of certain lands in Sithamalli which were under *amani* (direct management) as also to certain tenants of Shulagiri (Salem). No information on this point has been furnished in regard to the undermentioned estates: and the attention of the Collectors concerned has been drawn to the omission.

Pithapuram (Gódávari); Palayavanam and Chokkappa Mudaliar's estate (Tanjore); Saptur, Mambarai and Palayampatti (Madura); Sivagiri (Tinnevelly); Sivasamudram (Coimbatore); and the two Malabar estates.

† *Details of advances.*

Maruthanthanallur—Advances were given to tenants of one village for seeds and other expenses of cultivation. The Collector should have given fuller details with reference to paragraph 10 of Government Order, No. 240, Revenue, dated 8th April 1897, communicated in Court's Proceedings, No. 9, dated 8th May 1897.

Sithamalli.—Two hundred and twelve kalams of paddy were advanced to the cultivators of certain lands and were repaid with grain interest at 3 markals a kalam (25 per cent.).

Shulagiri.—Rupees 80 were advanced for the purchase of cattle. The amount is recoverable without interest in two equal annual instalments.

* *Ex* Gantamanayakanur portion.

12. *Percentage of establishment charges and Government commission to normal receipts.*—Column 15 of statement IV appended to the report shows the percentage of establishment charges and Government Commission to normal receipts: abnormal income, such as debts recovered, amounts borrowed, sale-proceeds of property and refunds of advances which are included in column 9 of enclosure 1 to the Statement, are left out of account. Taking all the estates together, the percentage was 13·5 against 13·3 in the previous year. Explanation is furnished below in regard to the undermentioned estates in which the percentage exceeded fifteen:—

Estate.	District.	Percentage.
Bodogodo	Ganjám	19·3
Peddakimedi	Do.	15·1
Chidikada	Vizagapatam	20·0
Chipurupalle	Do.	15·8
Appikonda	Do.	37·4
Belgam	Do.	49·4
Kurupam	Do.	41·1
Sálúru	Do.	18·8
Peda Merangi	Do.	35·5
Kasimkóta	Do.	21·6
Tangellamudi	Gódávari	16·2
Munagala	Kistna	18·6
V. U. Ayyanna's estate ...	Bellary	19·0
Timmajammal's estate	North Arcot	16·4
Thumba	Do.	26·0
Marungapuri	Trichinopoly	18·8
B. Chockalingam Pillai's estate.	Do.	18·3
Sáptúr	Madura	17·5
Palayampatti	Do.	18·1
Royakota	Salem	20·3
Kakangarai	Do.	15·2
Ankusagiri	Do.	17·1
Punnathur Kovilogam	Malabar	22·3

In *Bodogodo* it was found necessary to raise the pay of the Manager. This estate lies on the borders of the Ganjám Maliahs and is a difficult, as well as an unhealthy, charge. The Collector reported that it was impossible to secure the services of an efficient and trustworthy Manager for the pay (Rs. 30) formerly offered, and the rate was accordingly raised to Rs. 70. In *Peddakimedi* (Ganjám), *Chidikada*, *Chipurupalle* and *Appikonda* (Vizagapatam), *Munagala* (Kistna), *Thumba* (North Arcot), and *Royakota* and *Ankusagiri* (Salem), the increase in the percentage as compared with the preceding year was due mainly to short receipts on account of the unfavourable character of the season. In *Belgam*, *Kurupam*, *Sálúru* and *Pedda Merangi*, the high percentage was due partly to the last mentioned cause and partly to the payment of the arrears of contribution due to the Jeypore estate on account of the salary of the late Dewan and his establishment for faslis 1301 to 1305. In *Kasimkóta* the payment of arrear salaries to the old establishment accounts for the high percentage. It was due in *Tangellamudi* to the fall in the receipts in consequence of the surrender of the greater portion of the estate in obedience to a Civil Court decree and to the retention of the former highly paid Manager and his establishment in view to the preparation of the accounts relating to *mesne* profits. As stated in the previous report, there is no scope for reduction in *V. U. Ayyanna's estate* (Bellary). In *Timmajammal's estate* (North Arcot) and in *Punnathur* (Malabar), the high percentage was due chiefly to the payment of arrears of Government Commission. In *Marungapuri* and *B. Chockalingam Pillai's* estate (Trichinopoly), the short collections in the fasli, coupled with the fact that the estate establishments in the district are not susceptible of much reduction, although two estates have been surrendered to their owners, account for the high percentage. In *Sáptúr*, the question of reducing the forest establishment is under the Collector's consideration, while some slight reductions have recently been ordered in respect of the other establishments. No explanation on this point has been furnished by the Collector of Madura in the case of *Palayampatti*, but the whole question of the management of this estate at the smallest possible cost has been duly considered by the Court and large reductions have been made. In *Kakangarai* the slight increase was due to the higher rate of allowance paid

to the Tahsildar of Tiruppattúr, who, on the restoration of Elagiri, has been entrusted with the management of this estate, which cannot afford a full-time manager: formerly Elagiri and Kakangarai were under one manager.

13. *Public Works.*—Enclosure A to statement IV shows the amounts sanctioned for and expended on public works in the several estates under management. The total allotment was Rs. 3,06,416, of which Rs. 2,11,369 or 69·0 per cent. was spent against Rs. 1,77,386 or 57·2 per cent. in the previous year. The expenditure is classified below:—

	Fasli 1305.	Fasli 1306.
	Rs.	Rs.
Irrigation works	83,894	1,06,420
Buildings	68,976	76,927
Communications	8,431	13,242
Total ...	1,61,301	1,96,589
Establishment	16,085	14,780
Grand Total ...	1,77,386	2,11,369

The expenditure on public works was 5·4 per cent. of the total charges of the year. If the debts repaid and the amounts invested in promissory notes be excluded from the latter, the percentage rises to 9·4 against 7·3 in the previous fasli. The expenditure fell below 50 per cent. of the allotment in ten estates. The cases calling for special remarks are noticed below:—

Estate.	Allotment.	Expenditure.	Percentage.
	Rs.	Rs.	
Pithápuram	27,778	2,535	9·1
Marungapuri	26,601	4,209	15·8
Palayampatti	3,554	1,344	37·7

The short expenditure in *Pithápuram* was due to the fact that the estate (proper) was not handed over until late in the fasli, and the necessary establishment could not be got together until May last. The short outlay in *Marungapuri* was due partly to the difficulty in selecting proper localities for sinking wells, for which a provision of Rs. 4,000 had been made, and partly to the non-execution by the Local Fund staff of certain road works entrusted to them. In *Palayampatti* the short outlay was due to want of funds.

14. *Debts due to estates.*—Statement No. V-A appended to the report shows the debts due to the several estates under management during the year. The total debts outstanding at the beginning of the fasli amounted to Rs. 11,93,606. Including those newly contracted (Rs. 27,394) or brought to account (Rs. 13,767) as also the interest that accrued during the year (Rs. 39,318), the total sum due was Rs. 12,74,085, of which Rs. 1,61,658 were collected and Rs. 11,940 written off, leaving a balance of Rs. 11,00,487 at the end of the year, distributed among 31 estates.

The outstanding amounts were large in the following estates:—

Estates.	Amount.
	Rs.
Sérugadá (Ganjám)	1,66,172
Appikonda (Vizagapatam)	22,976
Kurupam (do.)	92,920
Peda Merangi (do.)	2,14,747
Pithápuram (Gódávari)	96,035
Telaprole (Kistna)	44,390
Munagala (do.)	38,929
Palayampatti (Madura)	1,06,772
Ettiyapuram (Tinnevelly)	1,20,623
Thalavankottai (Tinnevelly)	40,280
Punnathur Kovilogam (Malabar)	29,184

The Collector of Ganjám has called for fuller particulars in regard to the amount due to *Sérugadá*, which estate was recently taken under management. The instalments fixed in the bonds have not yet fallen due in the case of *Appikonda*. Of the amount outstanding in *Kurupam*, Rs. 39,588 are covered by mortgages and the remainder by simple bonds and decrees. In *Peda Merangi* the outstanding amount cannot be recovered, as the execution of the decree has been stayed by the High Court pending disposal of the appeal to the Privy Council filed by the judgment-debtors. The amount due to *Pithápuram* is covered by decrees or bonds, and the necessary steps are being taken for its realisation. Of the amount outstanding in *Telaprole*, the instalments fixed in the bonds have not yet fallen due in respect of the major portion. No action for the collection of the outstanding debt in *Munagala* can be taken until the minor's adoption is recognized by the Nizam's High Court, before which the matter is now pending. The greater portion of the amount in *Palayampatti* is due by the Raja of Ramnad and his brother: a suit has been filed against the former and another will shortly be instituted against the latter unless a compromise can be effected. In *Ettiyapuram* Rs. 32,615 are covered by usufructuary mortgages: Rs. 17,520 covered by hypothecation bonds are left as they are, inasmuch as the amount is well secured and carries a high rate of interest, while the annually accruing interest is being punctually paid: in the case of Rs. 26,200 the instalments have not yet fallen due, and the necessary steps are being taken for the realisation of the remainder. A portion of the amount due to *Thalavankottai* has to be obtained from the Civil Court, while the balance cannot be recovered except by suit. As stated in previous reports, almost the whole of the debt in *Punnathur* is due by the female branch to the *stanoms* belonging to the male branch.

15. *Debts due by estates.*—Statement V-B shows the debts due by the several estates under management. The total amount due at the beginning of the fasli was Rs. 21,44,741. Adding thereto, the debts contracted (Rs. 2,72,871), those newly brought to account (Rs. 4,608), and the interest that accrued during the year (Rupees 1,16,067), the total amounted to Rs. 25,38,287, of which Rs. 13,14,398 were repaid, Rs. 8,563 struck off, leaving at the end of the fasli a balance of Rs. 12,15,326 due by twenty estates. The debts outstanding were large in the undermentioned estates:—

Estates.	Amounts.
	Rs.
Pedda Kimedi (Ganjám)	1,35,131
Sálúru (Vizagapatam)	2,12,400
Peda Merangi (Vizagapatam)	82,819
Tangellamudi (Gódávari)	1,21,141
Palayavanam (Tanjore)	1,94,559
T. Chokkappa Mudaliar's estate (Tanjore)	72,893
Minakshi Ayal's estate (Trichinopoly)	20,350
Palayampatti (Madura)	1,92,410
Anavaradam Pillai's estate (Tinnevelly)	14,105
Royakota (Salem)	26,379
Punnathur Kovilogam (Malabar)	91,170

In *Pedda Kimedi*, payment is being made according to a scheme of liquidation sanctioned by the Court of Wards, and the debt will be gradually paid off. Ten villages of the *Sáluru* estate were sold during the year for Rs. 4,26,727 and the sale-proceeds were devoted to the payment of debts: of the outstanding balance, Rs. 1,35,000 due to the Jeypore Maharaja cannot be repaid before 1905, while the greater portion of the remainder bears a moderate rate of interest. The amount due by *Peda Merangi* will be discharged as funds accrue. As stated in previous reports, the Court has been advised not to pay the *Tangellamudi* debts except under decrees of Civil Courts: and the Raja of Venkatagiri, to whom the major portion of the amount is due, has recently filed a suit in the matter. The liabilities of *Palayavanam* are covered by usufructuary mortgages. The lands of *T. Chokkappa Mudaliar's* estate were not sold at the last auction for want of competition, and the Collector says that a fresh auction will be held as soon as possible. The amount shown against the *late Minakshi Ayal's* estate does not become payable till March 1900. As already stated, the Court has recently sanctioned the Collector's proposals for curtailing the expenditure on account of the *Palayampatti* estate: and the amount due by it will be

paid off as funds accrue. The Collector has been requested to report on the advisability of selling a portion of the estate. In *Anavaradam Pillai's* estate, Rs. 10,430 are secured by mortgages with possession and the remainder will be paid in due course. The Collector has promised a report in regard to the consolidation of the debts of the *Royakota* estate. Out of the amount shown against *Punnathur*, Rs. 28,225 have to be adjusted among the several *stanoms* of the estate and the remainder due to private individuals will be paid in due course.

16. *Education of Wards.*—Statement No. VI appended to the report shows the educational progress of the wards and of such of their relations as were under instruction during the year. Of the 51 wards shown in the list, 48 were males and 3 females.

The marginally-noted six wards were under the tuition of Mr. C. Morrison, the Court's European tutor at *Newington*, Madras. As stated in the last report, the Saptur and Ettiyapuram wards were examined again by Mr. J. H. Stone, Acting Principal of the Presidency College, in August 1896. The report was fairly satisfactory. In December 1896, *i.e.*, three months after entering on his duties, Mr. Morrison submitted a report on all his wards. This appeared to the Court to show clearly that the minors had profited much while under his charge. A report, dated December 1897, has since been submitted by the tutor, and it appears that the improvement has been maintained. In this later report, Mr. Morrison says :—

Kasimkota.	Ettiyapuram.
Tangellamudi.	Thalavankottai.
Saptur.	Shulagiri.

"Their conduct continues entirely satisfactory and they have improved considerably in manners and address during the past twelve months. In particular, they have lost some of their shyness with Europeans, and do now, to some extent, take advantage of their frequent opportunities of meeting English ladies and gentlemen on the tennis courts and other places. Tennis is their great game, and along with riding, their chief form of exercise."

The Kasimkota, Shulagiri, Ettiyapuram and Thalavankottai minors were instructed also in drawing.

The Tangellamudi minor was, towards the close of the year, withdrawn from Newington by his father, the Raja of Venkatagiri.

The Bodogodo and Peddakimedi minors continued under the special tutor, Mr. B. B. C. Chatterji at Chatrapur, but the Court had reason to be dissatisfied with this arrangement and have since dispensed with Mr. Chatterji's services and have removed the first-named minor to Madras. Arrangements have been made to instruct the Peddakimedi minor in Revenue Law and the details of estate management.

The Kurupam ward made satisfactory progress as usual, and his conduct is reported to have been exemplary. The Court resolved not to retain Mr. Moss after the expiry of his engagement on the 5th December 1897 ; and, with a view to enable the minor to devote more time to details of estate management, instructed the Collector to select a competent native gentleman to work with the minor.

The Pithápuram ward remained under the care of his tutor, P. Chitti Ramayya, till the close of the year. The Court has since engaged the services of M.R.Ry. P. Sankaranarayana Chettiyar Avargal, M.A. (formerly tutor to the Cochin Princes), as a temporary measure.

One of the Appikonda minors, the Telaprole, Anavaradam Pillai, Ankusagiri and Sivagiri wards and the two Punnathur boys were studying under private tutors. Of the remainder, three were reading in Upper Secondary and twenty-eight in Lower Secondary or Primary classes. The progress and behaviour of all the boys are reported to be satisfactory except in the case of the undermentioned wards :—

The two Peda Merangi minors (Vizagapatam).
The third Appikonda minor (do.).
The Maruthanthanallur boy (Tanjore).
B. Chockalingam Pillai's estate ward (Trichinopoly).
The Anavaradam Pillai ward (Tinnevelly).
The Sivasamudram minor (Coimbatore).

The Peda Merangi minors have since been removed to Vizagapatam for education. The Appikonda estate leaves the Court's hands in January 1898. A new tutor has been appointed for the Maruthanthanallur ward, while the tutor of the Chockalingam Pillai ward is trying to improve him. The Anavaradam Pillai minor is said to be backward in study. The Collector has been instructed to send the Sivasamudram minor to Newington, Madras.

The Appikonda minors hold a small landed estate and the eldest ward has for some time been learning the details of commercial business in which the wards are mainly interested. The Collector of Tinnevelly has been requested to take measures for instruction in Revenue law and accounts, and the details of estate management, being given to the Thalavankottai and Anavaradam Pillai wards who will come of age in 1898.

The sisters of the Telaprole and Thumba minors and Maragadavalli Ayal (minor daughter of the late Minakshi Ayal of Trichinopoly) were under private tuition.

The question of engaging a governess for the Pithapuram ward's sister is under the Collector's consideration.

17. *Suits.*—The Chidikada and Sáptúr Privy Council appeals are still pending before that tribunal. The Tangellamudi appeal was dismissed by the High Court and counsel has been requested to take the necessary steps for filing an appeal. The adopted son of the late Raja of Pithápuram has obtained permission to prefer an appeal to the Privy Council from the decree of the High Court and the transcript of records is being prepared. The Belgam zemindarini's appeal to the Privy Council has been dismissed and the suit for the second moiety of that estate has also been decreed against the Court's ward. The two Munagala adoption suits are still pending. The Surangi appeal referred to in the previous report has been dismissed by the High Court.

The printed transcript of records is awaited from counsel in regard to the Peda Merangi Privy Council appeal referred to in paragraph 14 *supra*. The Raja of Venkatagiri has filed a suit against the Tangellamudi and Pithápuram minors to recover a loan obtained from him by the late Tangellamudi Rani on the security of her estate, and the defence of the suit has been sanctioned by the Court of Wards. The necessary steps have been taken to defend a suit by two sons of a concubine of the late zemindar of Sáptúr who claim a share of the partible properties. Since the close of the fasli counsel has been instructed to join in an appeal in the High Court from the Cocanada Sub-Court's decree rejecting the Pithápuram minor's claim to the Kirlampudi estate, as a reversionary heir of the last holder.

18. *Religious and charitable institutions attached to estates.*—A statement (No. VII) showing the demand, collection and balance and the financial condition of the several religious and charitable institutions attached to estates under management is appended to the report.

19. *Condition of Tenants.*—With reference to Government Order, No. 393, Revenue, dated 5th June 1894, the quinquennial information regarding the condition of tenants is furnished below :—

GANJAM.

Bodogodo.—The condition of the tenants of this estate is said to compare unfavourably with that of the ryots of the adjoining Government villages. The average rates of rent are Rs. 1-1-5 per acre of dry and Rs. 4 per acre of wet land. Rs. 2,979 were recovered by coercive processes while no recourse was had to civil courts.

Pedda Kimedi—The tenants are poorer than in the adjoining Government taluk of Berhampur. The average rates of rent are Rs. 3-6-8 per acre of dry and Rupees 4-12-5 per acre of wet land. Rs. 4,062 were recovered by coercive processes under Madras Act VIII of 1865 and Rs. 933 by civil suits.

Serugada.—The remarks in respect of the Pedda Kimedi tenants apply to those of this estate also. The average rates of rent are Rs. 2 and Rs. 2-3-0 per acre of dry and wet lands, respectively. No recourse was had to Act VIII of 1865 (Madras) or to civil suits.

VIZAGAPATAM.

Chidikada.—The condition of tenants in this estate is good. The average rents per acre of dry and wet land are Rs. 2–15–10 and Rs. 10–7–9, respectively. Coercive measures were not taken for the realisation of estate dues.

Chípurupalle.—The tenants are generally poor as in the adjoining villages of the Government taluk of Sarvasiddhi. The soil is poor and the average rates per acre of dry and wet land are respectively Rs. 4 and Rs. 7. Coercive measures were not taken during the year under Act VIII of 1865.

Appikonda.—The tenants are generally poor. The average rents per acre of dry and wet land are respectively Rs. 3–11–0 and Rs. 7–7–0. No coercive measures or civil suits were necessary.

Belgam.—The tenants are fairly well off. The average rates of rent are Rs. 2 per acre of dry land and Rs. 10 per acre of wet. Owing partly to the peculiar position of the estate and partly to the bad season of fasli 1306 no coercive measures were taken for the collection of estate dues.

Kurupam.—The tenants are generally poor. The average rates of rent per acre of wet and dry land are respectively Rs. 11–1–0 and Rs. 2. Coercive measures under Act VIII of 1865 were resorted to for the collection of the sum of Rs. 1,249. But the sale of property was necessary only in respect of Rs. 79.

Sálúru.—Some of the tenants are well-to-do and others poor. They are generally lazy and supplement their cultivation by hill trade with Jeypore. The average rates of rent are Rs. 8–11–0 and Rs. 2–10–0 per acre of wet and dry land, respectively. Coercive processes were taken in respect of Rs. 11,332, but property was sold for the collection of Rs. 358 only.

Peda Merangi.—The tenants are generally not poor. The average rates of rent are Rs. 7–8–0 per acre of wet land and Rs. 1–11–0 per acre of dry. Coercive measures under Act VIII of 1865 (Madras) were not taken owing to the unfavourable character of the season of fasli 1306.

Kasimkóta.—The condition of tenants is generally good. The average rates are Rs. 1–6–0 per acre of dry land and Rs. 6 per acre of wet land. Coercive processes under Act VIII of 1865 were taken in respect of Rs. 1,560.

Mamidivada.—The tenants are very poor as compared with those of the adjoining Government villages. The land is wholly wet and only one crop of paddy is raised on it. The average rate per acre is Rs. 13.

GODAVARI.

Tangellamudi.—The condition of tenants was not good owing, it is stated, to the unfavourable season of fasli 1306.

Pithápuram.—The Manager seems to be under the impression that the estate tenants are not well off, but has promised to report after further investigation.

KISTNA.

Telaprole.—No information has been furnished on this point and the Collector has been asked to supply the omission.

Munagala.—The tenants are in ordinary circumstances and depend on rain-fed tanks and wells.

BELLARY.

V. U. Ayyanna's and Agali Basappa's Estates.—These two are small ryotwari properties and the condition of the tenants, who are tenants at will, is generally poor. No processes were issued under Act VIII of 1865 for the recovery of rents nor was recourse had to Civil Courts.

NORTH ARCOT.

Timmajammal's Estate.—There has been no material change in the condition of tenants during the last five years. They are as well off as in the other portions of

the Kangundi zemindari out of which this small estate was carved. The tenants have no occupancy right, but the assessment is light and is easily paid. No coercive measures were necessary.

Thumba.—The tenants are not as well off as in the adjoining Government villages, the country being unhealthy and unfertile. The paimash rates of assessment are heavy, while the custom of the estate does not admit of season remissions. The late poligar was obliged to lease much of the land at less than the paimash rates. No coercive steps were necessary for the realisation of rents.

TANJORE.

Palayavanam.—In most cases the tenants have occupancy rights; but are generally poor. The Collector hopes that, with the opening of a railway to Avadayarkovil passing near the estate, their condition will gradually improve.

Edamanal.—This is a small ryotwari property. The lands have been leased out to middlemen (lessees) who get them cultivated by tenants. These have no fixity of tenure and have to pay whatever rent the lessees demand. Recourse to the Rent Recovery Act was had to some extent during fasli 1306.

Karuvalakarai.—This is also a small ryotwari property. The lands are leased out to tenants. They are generally poor. Act VIII of 1865 was resorted to only in a few cases.

Sithamalli.—This is also a ryotwari estate. The lands in six villages were held by middlemen (lessees), while those in the remaining three villages were under *amani* (direct) cultivation. The actual cultivators in all cases were *porakkudis* (cultivating tenants).

Maruthanthanallur.—A ryotwari property. The tenants are generally poor.

Chokkappa Mudaliar's Estate.—This is also a ryotwari estate. The Purakkudies (tenants) cultivate the land under lessees (middlemen) and are not inferior in condition to those in other Government villages.

TRICHINOPOLY.

Marungapuri.—The tenants are generally poor and their condition is nearly the same as that of the ryots in the adjacent Government villages. The average rates of rent per acre of dry and wet land are respectively Rs. 1–2–7 and Rs. 2–10–3. Proceedings were taken in respect of Rs. 7,191 out of a total demand of Rs. 2,90,952 in the five years. Very few suits were filed for the recovery of rent.

Chockalingam Pillai's Estate and Minakshi Ayal's Estate.—These are small ryotwari properties.

MADURA.

Saptur.—The Manager reports:—

"The condition of the estate ryots is not so good as that of the Government ryots. They were impoverished in consequence of the litigation with the late Zemindar regarding payment of rent and various other disputes in the Revenue, Criminal, and Civil Courts, and the *waripathu* or sharing system has been in vogue in this zemindari from time immemorial. Under this system, though advantageous to the landlord, a ryot is left to the mercy of the village or zemindari officials to get his crops home. He is not at liberty to reap his crops, harvest them and take the produce of his own accord, but he must get the permission of the zemindari officials for all the said purposes. Since the estate came under the Court's management, the ryots are immensely benefited on account of proper attention having been paid to the works of irrigation and harvesting, &c., of crops, and all their grievances are properly heard and decided."

Mambarai.—The tenants who are Konga Vellalars and Muhammadans are as prosperous as the ryots of the adjoining Government villages.

Note.—The Collector has called for further information.

Palayampatti.—The tenants of the estate are not in a prosperous condition. There are no river-fed tanks; and wet and dry cultivation depends alike on rainfall which is precarious in the tracts in question. The tanks are not in good order, and the soil is poor. Nearly one-fourth of the tenants depend upon their lands and the remaining three-fourths also on manual labour here and in Ceylon.

TINNEVELLY.

Anavaradam Pillai's Estate.—The estate consists chiefly of ryotwari lands situated in a Government village and there are no tenants except the lessees.

Ettiyapuram.—The condition of the tenants of the estate is, on the whole, as good as that of the Government ryots of the neighbourhood. The assessment is low, while the soil is rich. The average rent per acre of dry is As. 15–3 and of wet Rs. 3–15–1. The tenants are not generally eager about wet cultivation, as they have no occupancy right and as cotton, which is generally cultivated, is a paying crop. Owing to the prevalence of incendiarism, fodder-stacks are destroyed frequently, but the culprits generally escape detection. Farm labourers are well looked after by the peasant proprietors to whom they are attached, while the few unattached agricultural labourers generally manage to find easy means of subsistence.

Ettiyapuram (Gantamanayakanur portion).—The condition of tenants is, on the whole, as good as that of the Government ryots of the neighbourhood. Most of them are Naicks who form a hard-working agricultural class and are thrifty and careful in their work. The rents are not high and are paid easily.

Thalavankottai.—There does not appear to be any appreciable difference in the condition of the estate tenants and of those of the adjoining Government villages:—

Particulars.		Government villages.	Estate villages.	Kattukuthagai village of Darugapuram.
		RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.
Dry rates of assessment per acre	Highest	1 0 0	0 13 8	1 11 5
	Lowest	0 6 0	0 8 4	0 7 5
Wet rates of assessment per acre	Highest	7 8 0	Average.	
	Lowest	3 8 0	8 15 10	15 15 11

No civil suit was instituted for the recovery of rent, and there were only 28 coercive processes under Act VIII of 1865.

Note.—The wet lands of the Kattukuthagai village of Darugapuram are said to be of superior quality, and the high rental of the estate wet lands is attributed to the *varam* paddy having been sold at a high price.

Sivagiri.—The tenants of the estate are as well off as the ryots of the adjoining Government villages.

COIMBATORE.

Sivasamudram.—The condition of the tenants of the estate does not differ materially from that of the ryots in the adjoining Government [illegible].

SALEM.

Shulagiri.—The condition of the tenants of this estate compares not unfavourably with that of those of the adjoining Government villages:—

	Average rent per acre on the holdings of fasli 1306 in the estate.	Government rates in the Hosur taluk as per settlement of fasli 1282.
	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.
Dry	1 1 11	1 3 2
Wet	5 3 4	5 5 10

Note.—Considering the rise in prices in recent years the estate ryots cannot be said to be over-assessed.

Royakota.—The average rents are Rs. 1–1–7 and Rs. 4–8–7 per acre of dry and wet, respectively, and the fact that the rents are paid up without difficulty and without resort to coercive measures seems to show that the tenants are by no means over-assessed.

Kakangarai.—The condition of tenants is, on the whole, fair. The average rent per acre of wet lands in Rs. 3–14–0 and of dry lands As. 11. No coercive processes were resorted to for the collection of rent.

Ankusagiri (Berikai).—The Acting Sub-Collector states that, looking to the facility with which kists are presumably paid by the tenants, no unfavourable opinion can be formed of their condition. The average rates of rent per acre of dry and wet are Rs. 1–3–5 and Rs. 5–0–9, respectively.

MALABAR.

Kavalpara.—The estate collects from its tenants only the *Michavaram* (rent) fixed in the deeds and the usual renewal fees on the termination of the period of demise. They are free from exactions to which the tenants of other *jenmis* are subject and get remissions when crops suffer owing to insufficient rainfall or otherwise. Suits against the tenants are filed only when the rent is left in arrear or the title of the estate is disputed. The tenants of the estate are thus much better off than those under other *jenmis*.

Punnathur Kovilogam.—The estate lands are scattered in various parts of the taluk and are interspersed with the lands of other *jenmis*. Some of the tenants hold estate lands which are covered by more than one demise; some hold lands of other *jenmis* also and others have their own *jenmam* lands. Most of them are poor. The estate does not grant any *melcharath* (superior demise) or collect renewal fees, &c., without any fixed scale as is done by other *jenmis*. The estate tenants are therefore better off than those under other *jenmis*.

20. *General remarks.*—The Zemindar of Parlákimedi is in good health and continues to receive the allowance of Rs. 5,000 per mensem from the young zemindar (his elder son). A son was born to the Kurupám ward on April 9, 1897. Steps were taken during the year for the protection of the valuable jungles in the Kurupám and Peda Merangi estates (Vizagapatam). The Sáptúr forests are under the supervision of the District Forest-officer, and the rules referred to in the previous report have since been promulgated by Government. A small establishment was maintained for the control of the Shulagiri forests in the Salem district, and the question of extending the Forest Act to Ankusagiri is under the Collector's consideration. The Government has recently sanctioned the survey by the Madras Survey Department of the marginally-noted estates. Owing to the prevalence of high prices, famine batta was granted to estate servants in Ganjám: and since the close of the fasli a similar concession has been made in Vizagapatam, Gódávari and Kistna. Village accounts were, as far as possible, maintained according to the Village Manual in Telaprole, Munagala, Marungapuri, Ettiyapuram including the Gantamanáyakkanúr portion, Shulagiri, Royakota and Ankusagiri.

Sérugadá (Ganjám). Chidikada and Kasimkóta (Vizagapatam), Telaprole (Kistna), Timma- [illegible] villages of Ettiyapuram (Tinnevelly), Kakangarai (Salem), and Sivasamudram (Coimbatore).

No. I.—Statement showing the ages, &c., of the wards and the periods during which the Estates have been under management for fasli 1306 (1896–97).

Districts.	Estates.	Government Order by which the Estates came under the management of the Court of Wards.	Sexes of the Wards.	Disabilities.	The period during which the Estates have been under management.	Ages of the wards at the end of the fasli.	Dates on which the wards will attain majority.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
					Yrs. M.	Yrs. M. D.	
Ganjám	Parlákimedi	No. 1279, dated 22nd June 1830	Male	Imbecility	66 11	67 0 0	....
	Bodogodo	,, 1026, ,, 11th Sept. 1885	Do.	Minority	11 9	12 3 16	15th Mar. 1906.
	Pedda Kimedi	,, 810, ,, 4th Aug. 1892	Do.	Do.	4 11	20 1 0	1st June 1898.
	Serugada	,, 2179, ,, 30th April 1897	Do.	Do.	0 2	13 10 2	29th Aug. 1904.
Vizagapatam	Chidikada	,, 2930, ,, 25th Sept. 1877	Female	Sex	19 9	57 6 28	....
	Chípurupalle	,, 510, ,, 13th May 1882	Male	Minority	15 2	10 2 24	6th Apr. 1908.
	Appikonda †	,, 482, ,, 23rd July 1895					
	Belgam	,, 337, ,, 12th May 1886	Do.	Do.	11 2	20 5 20	11th Jan 1898.
		,, 191, ,, 14th Mar. 1889					
		,, 639, ,, 16th July 1891	Female	Sex	8 4	34 0 0	....
	Kurupím	,, 26, ,, 4th June 1897	Male	Minority	6 4	19 9 25	5th Sept. 1898.
	Sálúru	,, 128, ,, 19th Feb. 1891	Do.	Do.	2 8	12 1 22	22nd May 1906.
	Peda Merangi	,, 733, ,, 19th Oct. 1894	Do.	Do.	2 6	14 10 23	8th Aug 1903.
	Kasimkota and Melupaka	,, 896, ,, 22nd Dec. ,,	Do.	Do.	1 2	14 7 9	22nd Oct. 1903.
	Mamidivada	,, 1189, ,, 24th Mar. 1896	Female	Do.	..	6 0 0	Exact date not reported.
Gódávari	Tangellamúdi	,, 5213, ,, 17th Dec. ,,	Male	Do.	7 9	17 8 15	16th Oct. 1900.
	Pithápuram	,, 834, ,, 15th Oct 1889	Do.	Do.	5 2	11 8 26	5th ,, 1906.
Kistna	Telaprole	,, 678, ,, 19th Aug. 1890	Do.	Do.	7 0	7 10 0	31st Aug. 1910.
	Munagala	,, 347, ,, 19th May ,,	Do.	Do.	3 11	18 1 11	19th May 1900.
	Meduru *	,, 651, ,, 19th July 1893	Do.	Do.	..	..	The ward is dead and the question of the appointment of a Receiver is before the Civil Courts.
		,, 179, ,, 1st Mar. 1888	....	....			
		,, 2684, ,, 26th May 1897					
Bellary	V. U. Ayyanna's Estate	,, 699, ,, 13th Aug. 1889	Male	Minority	7 8	11 8 0	1st Nov. 1906.
	Agali Basappa's do.	,, 1262, ,, 16th Dec. 1892	Do.	Do.	4 5	15 3 17	15th Mar. 1903.
North Arcot	Timmajammal's Estate	,, 299, ,, 10th Mar. 1885	Female	Do.	12 4	18 3 20	11th ,, 1900.
	Thumba	,, 816, ,, 13th Sept. 1893	Male	Do.	3 10	8 11 5	26th July 1909.

* Since handed over.

† Ordered to be handed over on the 11th January 1898.

No. I.—Statement showing the ages, &c., of the wards and the periods during which the Estates have been under management for fasli 1306 (1896-97)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Government Order by which the Estates came under the management of the Court of Wards.	Sexes of the Wards.	Disabilities.	The period during which the Estates have been under management.	Ages of the wards at the end of the fasli.	Dates on which the wards will attain majority.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
					Yrs. M.	Yrs. M. D.	
Tanjore	Palayavanam	No. 485, dated 16th May 1887	Male	Minority	10 1	14 3 4	27th Mar. 1904.
	Edamanal	,, 809, ,, 21st Nov. 1888	Do.	Do.	8 7	17 10 5	25th Aug. 1900.
	Karuvalakarai	,, 637, ,, 27th July 1889	Do.	Do.	7 10	11 10 5	16th ,, 1906.
	Sithamalli	,, 1170, ,, 9th Dec. 1891	Do.	Do.	5 5	15 2 14	17th Apr. 1903.
	Maruthanthanallur	,, 621, ,, 17th June 1892	Do.	Do.	5 0	12 2 15	16th ,, 1906.
	T. Chokkappa Mudaliar's Estate.	,, 392, ,, 5th ,, 1894	Do.	Do.	3 0	8 10 24	6th Aug. 1909.
Trichinopoly	Marungápuri	,, 163, ,, 22nd Feb. 1888	Do.	Do.	9 3	12 7 23	8th Nov. 1905.
	B. Chockalingam Pillai's Estate.	,, 734, ,, 15th Sept. 1890	Do.	Do.	6 9	16 10 0	31st Aug. 1901.
	Minakshi Ayal's Estate	,, 1030, ,, 30th Oct. 1891	Female	Do.	5 8	14 4 25	6th Feb. 1904.
Madura	Sáptúr	,, 5, ,, 5th Jan. 1886	Male	Do.	11 6	15 11 23	7th July 1902.
	Mambarai	,, 61, ,, 19th ,, 1888.	Do.	Do.	8 5	17 7 19	12th Nov. 1900.
	Palayampatti	,, 18, ,, 15th ,, 1889	Do.	Do.	2 9	9 5 23	7th Jan. 1909.
		,, 705, ,, 1st Oct. 1894					
Tinnevelly	Anavaradam Pillai's Estate	,, 553, ,, 4th July 1889	Do.	Do.	7 5	19 7 9	21st Nov. 1898.
	Ettiyapuram	,, 487, ,, 23rd June 1890	Do.	Do.	7 0	18 6 1	30th Dec. 1899.
	Thalavankottai	,, 677, ,, 27th July 1891	Do.	Do.	5 10	20 1 3	27th May 1898.
	Sivagiri	,, 455, ,, 10th Sept. 1896	Do.	Do.	0 9	8 2 7	24th Apr. 1910.
Coimbatore	Sivasamudram	,, 5273, ,, 19th Dec. ,,	Do.	Do.	0 6	12 10 29	2nd Aug. 1905.
Salem	Shulagiri	,, 788, ,, 28th July 1882	Do.	Do.	14 11	15 3 13	18th Mar. 1903.
	Royakota	,, 988, ,, 5th Dec. 1890	Do.	Do.	4 11	14 6 28	3rd Dec. 1903.
	Kakangarai	,, 708, ,, 11th July 1892	Do.	Do.	4 7	16 0 0	30th June 1902.
	Ankusagiri (*or* Berikai)	,, 93, ,, 4th Feb. 1894	Do.	Do.	3 4	7 11 5	25th July 1910.
Malabar	Kavalpara	,, 1187, ,, 9th Aug. 1872	Female	Sex	24 11	31 2 5	13th Aug. 1910 *
		,, 599, ,, 21st June 1887					
		,, 1144, ,, 20th Mar. 1896					
	Punnathur Kovilogam	,, 375, ,, 20th Apr. 1892	Do.	Do.	5 2	43 11 0	16th Feb. 1911. †

* This is the date on which the ward's eldest son will attain his majority.

† This is the date on which the eldest male member of the family will come of age.

No. II-A.—STATEMENT showing the number of villages and the proportion of cultivation to holdings in the Estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1306 (1896–97).

Districts.	Estates.	Number of villages.					Fasli 1305.			Fasli 1306.		
		Ryotwari or joint-rent.	Rented to middle-men; whether paying rent in money or in kind.	Partly ryotwari and partly rented.	Inam or shrotriem.	Total.	Holdings.	Actual cultivation.	Percentage of cultivation to holdings.	Holdings.	Actual cultivation.	Percentage of cultivation to holdings.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
							ACS.	ACS.		ACS.	ACS.	
Ganjám	Parlákimedi	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Bodogodo	98	193	4	19	314	7,599	7,599	100·0	6,890	6,890	100·0
	Pedda Kimedi	111	37	..	108	256	14,940	14,940	100·0	13,036	13,036	100·0
	Serugada	4	22	..	12	38	606	606	100·0	666	666	100·0
Vizagapatam	Chidikada	5	..	..	..	5	2,199	2,145	97·5	2,199	2,181	99·1
	Chipurupalle	1	..	..	1	2	2,515	2,377	94·5	2,503	2,395	95·6
	Appikonda	1	..	..	..	1	302	296	98·0	294	294	100·0
	Belgam	15	..	..	1	(a) 16	(a) 1,822	(a) 1,822	100·0	1,822	1,822	100·0
	Kurupám	54	152	..	52	258	6,891	6,891	100·0	6,945	6,945	100·0
	Sálúru	92	30	..	60	(b) 182	18,187	18,187	100·0	18,964	18,964	100·0
	Peda Merangi	10	30	..	16	56	1,849	1,849	100·0	1,849	1,849	100·0
	Kasimkota	34	..	..	1	35	21,456	21,456	100·0	27,065	27,065	100·0
	Mamidivada	1	..	..	..	1	532	532	100·0	476	476	100·0
Gódávari	Tangellamúdi	1	..	..	..	(c) 1	5,044	4,474	88·7	1,642	(c) 1,369	83·4
	Pithápuram	142	20	5	1	168	124,520	103,384	83·0	124,631	97,620	70·0
Kistna	Telaprole	35	5	..	18	58	26,496	(d)22,212	(d) 83·8	25,927	20,656	79·7
	Munagala	28	10	..	3	41	23,792	21,895	92·0	23,567	20,013	84·9
	Moduru	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Bellary	V. U. Ayyanna's Estate	..	..	..	..	..	61	61	100·0	61	61	100·0
	Agali Basappa's Estate	..	..	..	..	..	179	176	98·3	179	176	98·3
North Arcot	Timmajammal's Estate	11	..	..	1	12	1,942	1,912	98·5	2,018	1,776	88·0
	Thumba	10	..	..	..	10	505	384	76·0	483	361	74·7
	Palayavanam	1	..	1	10	12	(d) 973	697	71·6	1,054	780	74·0
	Edamanal	..	..	..	..	..	1,296	1,230	94·9	1,296	1,230	94·9
Tanjore	Karuvalakarai	..	..	..	..	..	189	189	100·0	189	189	100·0
	Sithamalli	..	..	..	..	..	291	283	97·2	291	280	96·2
	Maruthanthanallur	..	..	..	..	..	638	638	100·0	640	640	100·0
	T. Chokkappa Mudaliar's Estate	..	..	..	..	..	889	722	81·2	889	816	91·8
	Marungapuri	78	..	..	22	100	37,453	35,693	95·3	39,891	33,942	85·0
Trichinopoly	B. Chockalingam Pillai's Estate	9	..	..	1	10	892	881	98·8	892	881	98·8
	Minakshi Ayal's Estate	1	..	..	..	1	280	266	95·0	280	227	81·0

(a) Excludes the villages handed over to the plaintiff in Original Suit No. 15 of 1889 on the file of the District Court and one village in the enjoyment of the ward's mother.
(b) The correct number is 186, of which four villages were handed over to the late Raja's mother.
(c) The other villages were handed over to the plaintiff in Original Suit No. 11 of 1886 on the file of the Ellore Sub-Court. (d) This is the correct figure.

No. II-A.—Statement showing the number of villages and the proportion of cultivation to holdings, &c.—*cont*

Districts.	Estates.	Number of villages.					Fasli 1305.			Fasli 1306.		
		Ryotwari or joint-rent.	Rented to middle-men; whether paying rent in money or in kind.	Partly ryotwari and partly rented.	Inam or shrotriem.	Total.	Holdings.	Actual cultivation.	Percentage of cultivation to holdings.	Holdings.	Actual cultivation.	Percentage of cultivation to holdings.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
							ACS.	ACS.		ACS.	ACS.	
Madura	Sáptúr	17	..	..	2	19	21,934	18,890	86·1	22,024	18,656	84·7
	Mambarai	3	..	..	..	3	4,274	2,992	70·0	4,199	2,791	66·4
	Palayampatti	24	..	..	12	* 36	18,418	18,418	100·0	17,806	17,806	100·0
Tinnevelly	Anavaradam Pillai's Estate	..	..	..	..	..	206	187	90·8	206	187	90·8
	Ettiyapuram (proper)	300	22	..	59	381	270,728	265,601	98·1	270,653	265,751	98·2
	Do. (Gantamanayakanur portion)	15	..	..	..	15	Not reported.			25,446	24,105	94·7
	Thalavankottai	9	..	2	3	14	6,356	5,299	83·4	6,357	5,439	85·5
Coimbatore	Sivagiri	24	..	..	..	24	Exact figures not available.			49,506	45,214	91·3
	Sivasamudram	..	..	..	3	3	4,946	3,997	80·8	4,971	4,601	92·6
Salem	Shulagiri	63	27	..	49	139	10,137	8,363	82·5	9,947	8,079	81·2
	Royakota	29	5	..	2	36	2,435	2,299	94·4	2,434	2,306	94·7
	Kakangarai	4	..	..	..	4	2,508	2,500	99·7	2,508	2,504	99·8
	Ankusagiri (*or* Berikai)	88	40	..	42	170	13,990	11,752	84·0	15,171	11,605	76·5
Malabar	Kavalpara	..	..	..	..	..	16,627	11,716	70·5	16,627	11,716	70·5
	Punnathur Kovilogam	..	..	..	..	..	19,000	16,000	84·3	19,000	16,000	84·3
	Total	1,318	593	12	497	2,420	695,897	641,811	92·2	773,434	700,300	90·5

* NOTE.—Of these, only 27 are whole villages.

II-B.—STATEMENT showing the settled demand of the Estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1306 (1896–97).

Districts.	Estates.	Land Revenue.								Miscellaneous.		
		Dry.		Wet.		Total.		Other items.	Total.	Jodi or quit-rent on inams.	Land-cess.	Water-rate and second-crop assessment.
		Extent.	Assessment.	Extent.	Assessment.	Extent.	Assessment.					
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
		ACS.	RS.	ACS.	RS.	ACS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Ganjám	Parlákimedi	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Bodogodo	3,131	3,414	3,759	15,034	6,890	18,448	11,401	29,849	847	2,323	..
	Pedda Kimedi	2,575	8,806	10,461	49,964	13,036	58,770	32,780	91,550	9,532	7,217	..
	Sérugadá	244	488	362	796	606	1,284	18,807	20,091	2,071	1,737	..
	Chidikáda	810	2,423	1,389	14,563	2,199	16,986	..	16,986	207	686	..
Vizagapatam ..	Chípurupalle	2,335	6,460	168	299	2,503	6,759	..	6,759	105	224	..
	Appikonda	245	902	49	363	294	1,265	..	1,265	..	147	..
	Belgam	357	592	1,465	20,383	1,822	20,975	..	20,975	1,503	1,028	..
	Kurupám	1,818	..	5,127	..	6,945	49,849	10,667	60,516	7,549	3,014	..
	Sálúru	9,459	..	9,505	..	18,964	92,137	8,351	1,00,488	11,587	6,959	..
	Peda Merangi	659	..	1,190	..	1,849	9,895	1,236	11,131	2,182	526	..
	Kasimkóta	..	21,813	..	57,891	27,065	79,704	501	80,205	4,492	2,941	..
Gódávari ..	Mamidivada	..	..	..	..	476	4,400	..	4,400	410	154	..
	Tangellamúdi	273	660	1,369	9,587	1,642	10,247	..	10,247	..	350	..
	Pithápuram	56,776	2,13,504	66,478	4,71,005	(a) 1,23,254	6,84,509	1,31,636	8,16,145	16,998	34,346	1,00,559
Kistna	Telaprole	15,586	31,804	10,341	47,619	25,927	79,423	870	80,293	9,443	2,690	1,018
	Munagala	19,628	15,565	1,886	11,717	(b) 21,514	27,282	12,600	39,882	86	1,975	2,375
	Meduru	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Bellary	V. U. Ayyanna's estate	..	20	..	41	..	61	1,040	1,040	..	..	..
	Agali Basappa's estate	106	..	73	..	179	..	2,873	2,873	..	..	..
North Arcot ..	Timmajammal's estate	1,846	..	172	..	2,018	..	4,998	4,998	290	236	..
	Thumba	267	622	216	2,269	483	2,891	..	2,891	502	217	375
	Palayavanam	550	679	230	987	(c) 780	1,666	..	1,666	243	105	..
Tanjore	Edamanal	432	..	864	..	1,296	..	15,047	15,047	..	..	..
	Karuvalakarai	42	..	147	..	189	..	2,464	2,464	..	..	..
	Sithamalli	12	..	279	..	291	..	3,359	3,359	..	..	3
	Maruthanthanallur	42	..	598	..	640	..	9,532	9,532	..	..	109
	T. Chokkappa Mudaliar's estate.	160	..	729	..	889	..	12,303	12,303	..	..	..

(a) The difference between this and column 11 of statement No. II-A has not been explained.
(b) Excludes the area of home-farm lands and assessed inams.
(c) The extent of actual cultivation alone is shown in this statement.

II-B.—Statement showing the settled demand of the Estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1306 (1896–97)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Miscellaneous—*cont.*		Total of columns 10 and 15.	Deduct remission.	Net revenue.	Net demand for fasli 1305.	Difference.	Explanation for large variations.
		Other items.	Total.						
		14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	
Ganjám	Parlákimedi	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
	Bodogodo	9,453	12,623	42,472	5,976	36,496	39,209	— 2,713	Due to the failure of wet crops.
	Pedda Kimedi	5,092	21,841	1,13,591	21,258	92,133	1,17,635	— 25,502	Due to the failure of wet crops and the grant of large remissions.
	Sérugadá	6,793	10,601	30,692	..	30,692	29,933	+ 759	
	Chidikáda	5,516	6,409	23,395	..	23,395	22,137	+ 1,258	Due to the inclusion of the demand of the Uppada and Aminbada villages.
Vizagapatam ..	Chípurupalle	250	579	7,338	..	7,338	7,385	— 47	
	Appikonda	7,436	7,583	8,848	..	8,848	8,723	+ 125	
	Belgam	233	2,764	23,739	..	23,739	39,539	— 15,800	Due to the surrender of one-half of the estate to the late zamindar's cousin under a decree of Court.
	Kurupám	19,235	29,798	90,314	..	90,314	91,646	— 1,332	Due to the delivery of one-half of the Chilakam agraharam to the sister of the ward.
	Sálúru	2,298	20,844	1,21,332	..	1,21,332	1,20,296	+ 1,036	Due to the collection of interest on arrears of rent.
	Peda Merangi	50	2,758	13,889	..	13,889	13,934	— 45	
	Kasimkóta	668	8,101	88,306	456	87,850	85,917	+ 1,933	Increase due to the incorporation with the estate of certain lands nominally held by relations.
Gódávari ..	Mamidivada	..	564	4,964	..	4,964	4,964	..	
	Tangellamúdi	1,128	1,478	11,725	..	11,725	29,567	— 17,842	Due to the delivery under a Civil Court's decree of the greater portion of the estate to Sri Rajah Papamma Row.
	Pithápuram	56,793	2,08,696	10,24,841	..	10,24,841	9,97,670	+ 27,171	Due to enhancement of rent in certain cases, to the imposition of penal water-rate and to the occupation of waste lands.
Kistna	Telaprole	11,066	24,217	1,04,510	8,056	96,454	1,07,998	— 11,544	Due to unfavourable season.
	Munagala	4,909	8,445	48,327	5,733	42,594	54,615	— 12,021	Due mainly to unfavourable season.
	Meduru	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Bellary	V. U. Ayyanna's estate	..	..	1,040	..	1,040	947	+ 93	
	Agali Basappa's estate	..	..	2,873	..	2,873	2,866	+ 7	
North Arcot ..	Timmajammal's estate	579	1,105	6,103	..	6,103	5,981	+ 122	
	Thumba	2,759	3,853	6,744	1,538	5 206	5,487	— 281	
	Palayavanam	3,941	4,289	5,955	..	5,955	2,000	+ 3,955	Due partly to the redemption of Ramanerivayal and partly to the inclusion of the Peshkush recovered from mortgagees.
Tanjore	Edamanal	170	170	15,217	..	15,217	15,440	— 223	
	Karuvalakarai	47	47	2,511	..	2,511	3,503	— 992	Due to fluctuation in the value of grain.
	Sithamalli	138	141	3,500	..	3,500	4,398	— 898	Do. and to damage by floods.
	Maruthanthanallur	2,774	2,883	12,415	..	12,415	9,580	+ 2,835	Due to the recovery of mesne profits.
	T. Chokkappa Mudaliar's estate ..	66	66	12,369	..	12,369	8,574	+ 3,795	Due to more favourable season and to more timely cultivation.

II-B.—Statement showing the settled demand of the Estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1306 (1896-97)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Land Revenue.								Miscellaneous.		
		Dry.		Wet.		Total.		Other items.	Total.	Jodi or quit-rent on inams.	Land-cess.	Water-rate and second-crop assessment.
		Extent.	Assessment.	Extent.	Assessment.	Extent.	Assessment.					
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
		ACS.	RS.	ACS.	RS.	ACS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Trichinopoly ..	Marungapuri	32,490	37,833	7,401	19,501	39,891	57,334	..	57,334	114	1,901	600
	B. Chockalingam Pillai's estate.	90	..	802	..	892	..	17,452	17,452	..	..	..
	Minakshi Ayal's estate	64	..	216	..	280	..	3,525	3,525	..	..	..
Madura	Sáptúr	20,406	23,568	1,618	17,880	22,024	41,448	..	41,448	2	1,127	2,911
	Mambarai	3,577	3,348	622	2,118	4,199	5,466	172	5,638	..	190	..
	Palayampatti	14,956	22,146	2,850	1,954	17,806	24,100	35,655	59,755	..	1,346	246
	Anavaradam Pillai's estate ..	47	..	159	..	206	..	2,715	2,715	..	..	..
	Ettiyapuram	265,314	2,53,275	5,339	27,399	270,653	2,80,674	7,670	2,88,344	1,622	10,644	5,039
Tinnevelly ..	Do. (Gantamanayakanur portion)	24,588	42,583	858	2,361	25,446	44,944	..	44,944	..	1,750	342
	Thalavankottai	5,151	3,971	1,206	13,337	6,357	17,308	..	17,308	..	464	3,071
	Sivagiri	37,047	37,068	12,459	33,255	49,506	70,323	..	70,323	1,203	3,055	6,212
Coimbatore ..	Sivasamudram	4,205	4,139	766	4,973	4,971	9,112	..	9,112	22	584	212
	Shulagiri	9,184	10,286	763	3,971	9,947	14,257	1,995	16,252	4,127	672	22
Salem	Royakota	2,311	2,539	123	579	2,134	3,118	1,389	4,507	67	157	..
	Kakangarai	2,198	1,503	310	1,198	2,508	2,701	..	2,701	7	85	9
	Ankusagiri (*or* Berikai)	14,252	17,355	919	4,641	15,171	21,996	1,748	23,744	2,440	1,045	..
Malabar	Kavalpara	12,522	..	4,105	..	16,627	..	26,842	26,842	..	..	..
	Punnathur Kovilogam	15,600	..	3,400	..	19,000	..	10,345	10,345	..	..	..
	Total ..	581,375	7,67,346	160,814	8,35,644	769,730	17,59,271	389,973	21,49,244	77,651	88,995	1,23,103

II-B.—Statement showing the settled demand of the Estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1306 (1896-97)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Miscellaneous—*cont.*		Total of columns 10 and 15.	Deduct remission.	Net revenue.	Net demand for fasli 1305.	Difference.	Explanation for large variations.
		Other items.	Total.						
		14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	
Trichinopoly ..	Marungapuri	15,864	18,479	75,813	1,003	74,810	72,428	+ 2,382	Due to increase in the holdings.
	B. Chockalingam Pillai's estate ..	343	343	17,795	..	17,795	19,333	— 1,538	Due to decrease in the rent of certain lands leased out in the fasli.
	Minakshi Ayal's estate	..	..	3,525	42	3,483	3,541	— 58	
Madura ..	Sáptúr	12,752	16,792	58,240	496	57,744	59,428	— 1,684	Due to smaller outturn of wet lands owing to excessive rain.
	Mambarai	238	428	6,066	622	5,444	5,458	— 14	
	Palayampatti	1,459	3,051	62,806	..	62,806	58,218	+ 4,588	Due to high prices of grain.
	Anavaradam Pillai's estate ..	144	144	2,859	..	2,859	2,588	+ 271	
	Ettiyapuram	75,160	92,465	3,80,809	4,169	3,76,640	3,78,083	— 1,443	
Tinnevelly ..	Do. (Gandamanáyakanúr portion)	10,793	12,885	57,829	601	57,228	..	..	
	Thalavankottai	1,109	4,644	21,952	..	21,952	13,453	+ 8,499	Due to increased wet cultivation.
	Sivagiri	79,371	89,841	1,60,164	6,697	1,53,467	Not known.	..	
Coimbatore ..	Sivasamudram	3,948	4,766	13,878	175	13,703	..	..	
	Shulagiri	3,304	8,125	24,377	1,061	23,316	23,491	— 175	
Salem	Royakota	1,008	1,232	5,739	73	5,666	5,876	— 210	
	Kakangarai	1,876	1,977	4,678	42	4,636	4,829	— 193	
	Ankusagiri (*or* Berikai)	3,805	7,290	31,034	2,110	28,924	29,605	— 681	
Malabar	Kavalpara	3,162	3,162	30,004	..	30,004	32,137	— 2,133	Due mainly to fall under renewal fees.
	Punnathur Kovilogam	3,206	3,206	13,551	..	13,551	13,927	— 376	
	Total ..	3,58,936	6,48,685	27,97,929	60,108	27,37,821	25,52,339	..	

No. III.—STATEMENT showing the Demand, Collection and Balance of the Estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1306 (1896–97).

Districts.	Estates.	Demand.	Collection.	Amount written off.	Balance at the end of the fasli.	Subsequent collections and remissions.	Amount since recommended to be written off.	Net recoverable balance on the date of the Collector's report.	Percentage of column 9 to column 3.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
		Arrears.							
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	
Ganjám	Parlákimedi	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Bodogodo	(a) 6,426	1,465	421	4,540	56	36	4,448	69·3
	Pedda Kimedi	3,220	1,663	393	1,164	53	..	1,111	34·5
	Sérugadá	1,121	..	..	1,121	..	..	1,121	100·0
Vizagapatam	Chidikada	1,028	1,028	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Chípurupalle	(a) 576	268	66	242	8	..	234	40·6
	Appikonda	1,702	974	564	164	164	..	..	..
	Belgam	(b) 7,274	1,462	119	5,693	..	..	5,693	78·3
	Kurupám	86,314	8,015	..	78,299	79	17,251	60,969	70·6
	Sálúru	(c) 28,017	2,954	77	24,986	..	..	24,986	89·1
	Peda Merangi	1,579	472	103	1,004	149	..	855	54·1
	Kasimkóta	(d) 43,945	14,167	13,975	15,803	4,390	1,220	10,193	23·2
	Mamidivada	10,766	3,828	6,936	2	..	..	2	..
Gódávari	Tangellamúdi	5,179	3,261	1,878	40	38	..	2	..
	Pithápuram	12,15,602	1,04,842	..	11,10,760	6,230	7,04,605	3,99,925	33·0
	Telaprole	(e) 1,97,642	17,942	19,521	1,60,179	3,585	16,520	1,40,074	70·9
Kistna	Munagala	(a) 7,981	786	1,669	5,526	1	..	5,525	69·2
	Meduru	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Bellary	V. U. Ayyanna's Estate	79	..	..	79	..	..	79	100·0
	Agali Basappa's Estate	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
North Arcot	Timmajammal's Estate	576	573	3	..	..	..	..	..
	Thumba	1,127	109	359	659	37	..	622	55·2
	Palayavanam	(a) 2,504	1,942	39	523	28	..	495	19·7
	Edamanal	3,604	3,515	..	89	56	..	33	·9
Tanjore	Karuvalakarai	576	345	231	..	..	..	..	..
	Sithamalli	183	183	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Maruthanthanallur	(f) 5,292	1,794	1,898	1,600	85	..	1,515	28·6
	T. Chokkappa Mudaliar's Estate	10,228	5,766	159	4,303	778	2,945	580	5·6
	Marungápuri	(a) 20,358	18,896	36	1,426	555	..	871	4·2
Trichinopoly	B. Chockalingam Pillai's Estate	(f) 22,063	5,905	909	15,249	439	..	14,810	67·1
	Minakshi Ayal's Estate	41	41	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Sáptúr	9,323	8,114	429	780	30	31	719	7·7
	Mambarai	1,753	1,596	..	157	..	..	157	9·0
	Palayampatti	45,374	36,092	51	9,231	831	..	8,400	18·5
Madura	Anavaradam Pillai's Estate	4,546	..	4,375	171	..	..	171	3·7
	Ettiyápuram	(a) 1,69,942	39,876	12,243	1,17,823	3,016	20,065	94,742	55·7
	Do. (Gandamanáyakanúr portion). ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Tinnevelly	Thalavankottai	4,711	4,668	..	43	7	..	36	·7
	Sivagiri	78,668	15,147	..	63,521	855	61,348	1,318	1·7

(a) This is the correct figure.
(b) The decrease is due to the exclusion of the demand of the moiety surrendered under the Civil Court's decrees.
(c) The increase is due to certain old items newly brought to account.
(d) The increase is due to the inclusion of the arrear demand of certain lands newly incorporated with the estate.
(e) Includes interest on arrear rents, &c.
(f) Difference due mainly to fluctuation in the value of grain.

No. III.—Statement showing the Demand, Collection and Balance of the Estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1306 (1896–97)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Demand.	Collection.	Amount written off.	Balance at the end of the fasli.	Subsequent collections and remissions.	Amount since recommended to be written off.	Net recoverable balance on the date of the Collector's report.	Percentage of column 9 to column 3.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
		Arrears—cont.							
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	
Coimbatore	Sivasamudram	9,792	3,853	..	5,939	93	5,078	768	7·8
Salem	Shulagiri	1,409	1,235	..	174	..	..	174	12·3
	Royakota	201	36	165	..	..	..	..	..
	Kakangarai	373	..	3	370	10	..	360	96·5
	Ankusagiri (*or* Berikai)	(g) 4,724	2,936	595	1,193	24	..	1,169	24·7
Malabar	Kavalpara	4,146	3,157	584	405	60	..	345	8·3
	Punnathur Kovilogam	22,171	5,610	24	16,537	276	..	16,261	73·3
	Total ..	20,42,136	3,24,516	67,825	16,49,795	21,933	8,29,099	7,98,763	39·1

(g) Difference not explained.

No. III.—Statement showing the Demand, Collection and Balance of the Estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1306 (1896-97)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Demand.	Collection.	Amount written off.	Balance at the end of the fasli.	Subsequent collections and remissions.	Amount since recommended to be written off.	Net recoverable balance on the date of the Collector's report.	Percentage of column 9 to column 3.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
				Current.					
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Ganjám	Parlákimedi	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Bodogodo	36,496	31,688	..	4,808	1,190	..	3,618	9·9
	Pedda Kimedi	92,133	79,975	..	12,158	2,949	..	9,209	10·0
	Serugada	30,692	26,959	..	3,733	1,447	..	2,286	7·4
Vizagapatam	Chidikada	23,395	13,318	..	10,077	1,756	..	8,321	35·6
	Chipurupalle	7,338	5,874	..	1,464	18	..	1,446	19·7
	Appikonda	8,848	4,478	..	4,370	..	..	4,370	49·4
	Belgam	23,739	17,624	..	6,115	..	..	6,115	25·8
	Kurupam	90,314	51,425	..	38,889	1,727	2,122	35,040	38·8
	Sálúru	1,21,332	81,152	..	40,180	886	..	39,294	32·4
	Peda Merangi	13,889	7,813	..	6,076	180	75	5,821	41·9
	Kasimkóta	87,850	35,864	..	52,046	1,577	..	50,469	57·4
	Mamidivada	4,964	664	..	4,300	85	..	4,215	85·0
Gódávari	Tangellamúdi	11,725	10,008	..	1,717	1,090	..	627	5·4
	Pithápuram	10,24,841	7,64,216	..	2,60,625	23,533	..	2,37,092	23·1
Kistna	Telaprole	96,454	90,389	..	6,065	807	235	5,023	5·5
	Munagala	42,594	39,137	..	3,457	466	..	2,991	7·0
	Meduru	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Bellary	V. U. Ayyanna's estate	1,040	1,033	..	7	..	..	7	0·7
	Agali Basappa's estate	2,873	2,705	..	168	..	..	168	5·9
North Arcot	Timmajammal's estate	6,103	5,992	..	111	49	..	62	1·0
	Thumba	5,206	3,369	..	1,837	789	..	1,048	20·1
Tanjore	Palayavanam	(*a*) 6,253	4,739	..	1,514	276	..	1,238	19·7
	Edamanal	15,217	5,221	..	9,996	4,022	..	5,974	39·2
	Karuvalakarai	2,511	2,458	..	53	53	..	..	..
	Sithamalli	3,500	3,322	..	178	85	..	93	2·6
	Maruthanthanallur	12,415	10,323	..	2,092	891	..	1,201	9·7
	T. Chokkappa Mudaliar's estate ..	12,369	4,073	..	8,296	2,768	..	5,528	44·6
Trichinopoly	Marungápuri	74,810	34,996	..	39,814	20,997	..	18,817	25·2
	B. Chockalingam Pillai's estate ..	17,795	9,706	..	8,089	1,801	..	6,288	35·9
	Minakshi Ayal's estate	3,483	2,500	..	983	..	..	983	28·2
Madura	Sáptúr	57,744	52,874	..	4,870	3,769	..	1,101	1·9
	Mambarai	5,444	3,195	..	2,249	1,334	..	915	16·8
	Palayampatti	62,806	24,853	..	37,953	29,880	..	8,073	12·9
	Anavaradam Pillai's estate	2,859	2,789	..	70	..	..	70	2·4
Tinnevelly	Ettiyápuram	3,76,640	3,21,246	..	55,394	24,047	..	31,347	8·3
	Do. (Gandamanáyakanúr portion).	57,228	31,084	..	26,144	24,212	..	1,932	3·4
	Thalavankottai	21,952	16,658	..	5,294	4,280	..	1,014	4·6
Coimbatore	Sivagiri	1,53,467	1,20,667	..	32,800	25,518	..	7,282	4·7
	Sivasamudram	(*b*) 11,650	10,045	..	1,605	1,210	..	395	3·4
Salem	Shulagiri	23,316	21,674	..	1,642	802	..	840	3·6
	Royakota	5,666	5,597	..	69	69	..	..	..
	Kakangarai	4,636	4,559	..	77	5	..	72	1·5
	Ankusagiri (*or* Berikai)	28,924	26,809	200	1,915	235	..	1,680	5·8
Malabar	Kavalpara	30,004	27,659	..	2,345	52	..	2,293	7·6
	Punnathur Kovilogom	13,551	5,703	..	7,848	299	..	7,549	55·7
	Total ..	27,36,066	20,26,373	200	7,09,493	1,85,154	2,432	5,21,907	19·1

(*a*) This includes certain items which are not "Land Revenue" and are therefore excluded from statement II-B.

(*b*) Excludes Rs. 2,053, collected before the estate came under management.

No. IV.—Financial statement of Receipts and Charges of the several Estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1306 (1896-97).

Districts.	Estates.	Amount to the credit of the estate at the beginning of fasli 1306.				Total cash receipts.	Total of columns 7 and 4.	Total charges.	Balance to the credit of the estate at the clos of efasli 1306.				Increase or decrease as compared with the opening balance.	Percentage of establishment charges and Government commission to normal receipts.
		Government securities.	Cash.	Value of grain.	Total.				Government securities.	Cash.	Value of grain.	Total.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Ganjám ..	Parlákimedi	33,79,400	48,300	..	34,27,700	1,17,620	1,65,920	1,04,383	*33,77,900	61,537	..	34,39,437	+ 11,737	..
	Bodogodo	26,500	25,701	..	52,201	39,258	64,959	63,449	48,800	1,510	1,000	51,310	— 891	19·3
	Pedda Kimedi	..	22,035	..	22,035	1,38,315	1,60,350	1,53,655	..	6,695	..	6,695	— 15,340	15·1
	Serugada	..	..	..	..	7,979	7,979	5,690	..	2,289	..	2,289	+ 2,289	1·3
	Chidikada	43,200	7,099	..	50,299	28,355	35,454	30,099	31,900	5,355	..	37,255	— 13,044	20·0
	Chipurupalle	400	— 269	..	131	6,742	6,473	6,120	..	353	..	353	+ 222	15·8
	Appikonda	18,400	6,721	..	25,121	12,120	18,841	13,172	19,100	5,669	..	24,769	— 352	37·4
	Belgam	4,100	21,610	..	25,710	22,011	43,621	26,663	6,000	16,958	..	22,958	— 2,752	49·4
	Kurupám	79,300	8,973	..	88,273	1,49,099	1,58,072	1,54,936	1,29,200	3,136	..	1,32,336	+ 44,063	41·1
	Sálúru	..	12,353	..	12,353	5,17,809	5,30,162	5,20,968	..	9,194	..	9,194	— 3,159	18·8
	Peda Merangi	..	16,220	..	16,220	78,438	94,658	93,688	..	970	..	970	— 15,250	35·5
	Kasimkóta	‡ 1,08,200	25,541	..	1,33,741	71,946	97,487	85,379	¶ 1,09,600	12,108	..	1,21,708	— 12,033	21·6
	Mamidivada	..	— 186	..	— 186	6,492	6,306	4,860	..	1,446	..	1,446	+ 1,632	7·0
Gódávari ..	Tangellamúdi	28,300	43,470	251	72,021	18,774	62,244	19,005	28,300	43,239	..	71,539	— 482	16·2
	Pithápuram	..	6,26,112	..	6,26,112	9,35,436	15,61,548	12,28,635	..	3,32,913	..	3,32,913	—2,93,199	§ 8·7
Kistna ..	Telaprole	2,84,200	90,861	..	3,75,061	1,51,688	2,42,549	1,78,019	3,59,200	64,530	..	4,23,730	+ 48,669	12·5
	Munagala	..	15,507	..	15,507	43,002	58,509	31,718	..	26,791	..	26,791	+ 11,284	18·6
	Meduru	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Bellary ..	V. U. Ayyanna's estate	12,300	1,281	..	13,581	1,590	2,871	2,105	13,200	766	..	13,966	+ 385	19·0
	Agali Basappa's do.	32,500	6,823	..	39,323	5,018	11,841	9,525	39,800	2,316	..	42,116	+ 2,793	8·0
North Arcot ..	Timmajammal's do.	..	15,980	..	15,980	6,564	22,544	2,660	..	19,884	..	19,884	+ 3,904	16·4
	Thumba	..	1,335	..	1,335	3,482	4,817	4,418	..	399	..	399	— 936	26·0
	Palayavanam	..	3,249	181	3,430	10,563	13,812	14,036	..	— 224	904	680	— 2,750	7·9
Tanjore ..	Edamanal	..	7,066	..	7,066	15,122	22,188	15,849	..	6,339	969	7,308	+ 242	15·0
	Karuvalakarai	5,100	637	2,810	8,547	3,824	4,461	3,978	6,300	483	2,058	8,841	+ 294	8·2
	Sithamalli	53,800	5,498	4,015	63,223	11,962	17,370	14,370	60,100	3,000	1,552	64,652	+ 1,429	14·3
	Maruthanthanallur	11,300	‡ 1,908	562	‡ 13,770	14,581	16,489	14,860	13,500	1,629	214	15,343	+ 1,573	12·7
	T. Chokkappa Mudaliar's estate.	..	2,781	902	3,683	16,780	19,561	17,898	..	1,663	902	2,565	— 1,118	10·1
Trichinopoly ..	Marungápuri	..	73,932	..	73,932	50,862	1,24,794	1,12,612	32,600	12,182	..	44,782	— 29,150	18·8
	B. Chockalingam Pillai's estate.	9,900	16,571	419	26,890	15,781	32,352	29,190	21,300	3,162	2,529	26,991	+ 101	18·3
	Minakshi Ayal's estate	7,100	6,948	..	14,048	14,134	21,082	10,088	‖ 2,100	10,994	..	13,094	— 954	7·4
Madura ..	Sáptúr	1,56,700	13,062	23,005	1,92,767	73,792	86,854	75,498	1,56,700	11,356	18,779	1,86,835	— 5,932	17·5
	Mambarai	..	3,193	..	3,193	4,816	8,009	6,200	..	1,809	..	1,809	— 1,384	13·4
	Palayampatti	..	† 9,655	† 21,058	† 30,713	† 2,20,209	2,29,864	2,22,783	..	7,081	28,311	35,392	+ 4,679	18·1

* Exclusive of pro-notes of the nominal value of one lakh of rupees handed over to the old zemindar on account of the marriage of his younger son. † Difference not explained by the Collector.

‡ This is the correct figure. § This is with reference to the establishment entertained by the Court of Wards after the 10th March 1897.

‖ Includes pro-notes of the nominal value of Rs. 2,000 deposited by a lessee of the Estate.

¶ Including pro-notes for Rs 1,400 purchased at the end of the fasli and since brought to account in the Court's ledger.

No. IV.—Financial statement of Receipts and Charges of the several Estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1306 (1896–97)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Amount to the credit of the estate at the beginning of fasli 1306.			Total cash receipts.	Total of columns 7 and 4.	Total charges.	Balance to the credit of the estate at the close of fasli 1306.			Increase or decrease as compared with the opening balance.	Percentage of establishment charges and Government commission to normal receipts.
		Government securities.	Cash.	Value of grain.				Government securities.	Cash.	Value of grain.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Tinnevelly ..	Anavaradam Pillai's estate ..	..	638	..	638	3,443	2,940	..	503	..	503	503	135	3·4
	Ettiyapuram ..	1,26,900	84,725	389	2,12,014	4,60,124	3,05,853	*1,30,400	1,54,271	133	2,84,804	+ 72,790		9·1
	Do. (Gandamanáyakanúr portion) ..	..	..	..	33,249	33,249	16,990	..	16,259	..	16,259	+ 16,259		4·0
Coimbatore ..	Thalavankottai	..	1,353	2,105	3,458	33,964	26,933	..	7,031	6,362	13,393	+ 9,935		8·3
	Sivagiri	..	90	19,285	19,375	1,06,612	79,302	..	27,310	46,925	74,236	+ 64,860		12·8
	Sivasamudram	..	..	975	975	14,704	4,461	..	10,243	2,461	12,704	+ 11,729		9·8
	Shulagiri	..	16,879	..	16,879	40,116	30,594	..	9,522	..	9,522	+ 7,357		14·6
Salem	Royakota	..	4,367	..	4,367	10,000	5,517	..	4,483	..	4,483	+ 116		20·3
	Kakangarai	..	6,293	..	14,083	15,083	9,509	..	5,574	..	16,774	+ 2,691		15·2
	Ankusagiri (*or* Berikai) ..	..	5,318	..	5,318	35,088	31,108	..	3,980	..	3,980	— 1,338		17·1
Malabar ..	Kavalpara	7,860	41,744	3,566	1,22,310	91,881	72,306	80,300	19,575	3,883	1,03,758	— 18,552		12·0
	Punnathur Kovilogam ..	77,000	5,774	809	6,583	27,447	23,557	..	3,890	900	4,790	— 1,793		22·3
	Total ..	44,72,400	13,07,048	80,332	58,59,780	†35,18,704	48,25,752	‡38,85,579	46,77,500	9,40,173	1,17,882	57,35,555	—1,24,225	13·5

* Includes pro-notes of the nominal value of Rs. 3,500 received from the District Board in part-payment of a loan.
† Including Rs. 21,824 realised by the sale of pro-notes of the nominal value of Rs. 21,300.
‡ Including Rs. 3,29,155 invested in the purchase of pro-notes of the nominal value of Rs. 3,22,900.

APPENDIX F.

ENCLOSURE No. 1 to Statement No. IV showing particulars of Receipts of the several Estates under management during fasli 1306 (1896–97).

Districts.	Estates.	Receipts.							
		Land and miscellaneous revenue.	Interest on Government securities.	Debts recovered.	Sale-proceeds of grain.	Amount realized by the sale of property, such as houses, &c.	Amount borrowed.	Other items.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Ganjám ..	Parlákimedi	..	1,17,620	..	..	..	..	..	1,17,620
	Bodogodo	(*a*) 32,153	1,620	..	..	66	..	5,419	39,258
	Pedda Kimedi	81,638	..	1,051	..	1,375	46,000	8,251	1,38,315
	Serugada	(*b*) 7,791	..	22	..	..	..	166	7,979
Vizagapatam.	Chidikada	14,346	1,304	..	..	12,326	..	379	28,355
	Chipurupalle	6,142	14	..	..	415	..	171	6,742
	Appikonda	5,452	844	2,994	..	..	..	2,830	12,120
	Belgam	19,086	212	110	..	75	..	2,528	22,011
	Kurupam	(*c*) 58,400	2,778	76,250	..	..	3,000	8,671	1,49,099
	Sálúru	(*c*) 84,057	..	1,455	..	4,26,727	..	5,570	5,17,809
	Peda Merangi	8,285	..	76	..	..	70,000	77	78,438
	Kasimkóta	(*c*) 49,889	19,552	814	433	..	..	1,084	71,946
	Mamidivada	4,492	..	..	..	174	..	..	6,492
Gódávari ..	Tangellamúdi	(*d*) 16,206	979	..	326	..	2,000	..	18,774
	Pithápuram	(*e*) 8,59,601	..	3,025	..	639	..	624	9,35,436
	Telaprole	1,08,331	11,109	23,059	..	456	..	72,354	1,51,688
Kistna ..	Munagala	39,923	..	..	..	..	..	9,189	43,002
	Meduru	..	..	..	..	136	..	2,943	..
Bellary ..	V. U. Ayyanna's estate.	1,033	439	108	..	..	..	..	..
	Agali Basappa's do.	2,705	1,230	975	..	..	..	10	1,590
	Timmajiammal's do.	(*f*) 6,564	..	..	..	..	..	108	5,018
North Arcot.	Thumba	3,478	..	..	..	..	..	..	6,564
	Palayavanam	(*g*) 5,858	..	3,186	279	149	..	4	3,482
	Edamanal	(*a*) 866	..	9	6,892	..	..	1,091	10,563
	Karuvalakarai	(*g*) 742	198	..	2,283	..	..	7,355	15,122
Tanjore ..	Sithamalli	(*a*) 364	1,970	3,828	4,406	..	..	601	3,824
	Maruthanthanallur ..	(*g*) 684	455	..	9,192	..	..	1,394	11,962
	T. Chokkappa Mudaliar's estate	(*g*) 2,850	..	249	7,032	..	..	4,250	14,581
	Marungápuri	(*f*) 49,553	539	..	..	..	..	6,649	16,780
Trichinopoly.	B. Cheekalingam Pillai's estate ..	(*h*) 12,193	638	54	1,893	..	..	770	50,862
	Minakshi Ayal's estate.	2,541	168	..	..	..	..	1,003	15,781
	Sáptúr	(*i*) 41,011	5,328	464	20,978	9,083	..	2,342	14,134
Madura ..	Mambarai	4,791	..	..	..	202	..	5,809	73,792
	Palayampatti	(*a*) 36,474	..	6,814	24,471	..	..	25	4,816
	Anavaradam Pillai's estate	2,789	..	..	..	60	1,50,000	2,390	2,20,209
	Ettiyápuram	(*g*) 3,25,802	4,355	11,630	653	..	..	16	2,805
	Do. (Gandamanáyakanúr portion) ..	31,084	..	..	..	45	..	32,914	3,75,399
Tinnevelly ..	Thalavankottai	(*g*) 4,804	..	17,090	10,526	..	..	2,165	33,249
	Sivagiri	(*a*) 62,300	..	..	41,778	1,010	..	191	32,611
	Sivasamudram	13,898	..	524	147	38	..	1,434	1,06,522
Coimbatore ..	Shulagiri	22,909	..	40	..	232	..	97	14,704
	Royakota	5,633	..	..	..	..	..	56	23,237
Salem ..	Kakangarai	4,559	443	3,328	..	..	..	..	5,633
	Ankusagiri (*or* Berikai).	(*f*) 29,376	..	..	..	..	..	470	8,809
	Kavalpara	(*a*) 26,933	2,679	..	3,605	25	..	369	29,770
Malabar ..	Punnathur Kovilogam.	(*a*) 10,413	..	58	809	113	..	16,807	50,137
						1	1,871	8,521	21,673
	Total ..	21,07,999	1,74,474	1,57,213	1,35,703	(*j*) 4,53,347	2,72,871	2,17,097	35,18,704

Explanation for variations between column 3 of this statement and column 4 of statement III, arrears and current :—

(*a*) This figure excludes the value of grain collections.
(*b*) This figure is exclusive of Rs. 19,168 collected during the life-time of the late proprietor.
(*c*) Due to the exclusion from this statement of the figures relating to the attached institutions.
(*d*) Rupees 2,937 of the collections relate to the villages made over to Sri Raja Papamma Row and have been excluded from this statement.
(*e*) Due to the exclusion from this statement of the interest received on compensation money and of rent on buildings.
(*f*) Due to the adjustment of excess and advance collections.
(*g*) Difference not explained.
(*h*) Due to the adjustment of advance and excess collections and to the exclusion from this statement of the value of grain collections.
(*i*) Due mainly to the exclusion from this statement of the value of grain collections.
(*j*) Includes Rs. 21,824 realised by the sale of promissory notes of the nominal value of Rs. 21,300 in the case of the following estates :—

Estates.	Nominal value of promissory notes sold.	Amount realised.
	Rs.	Rs.
Chidikada	12,000	12,326
Chipurupalle	400	415
Minakshi Ayal's estate	8,900	9,083
Total ..	21,300	21,824

ENCLOSURE No. 2 to statement No. IV showing the particulars of charges of the several estates under management during fasli 1306 (1896–97).

Districts.	Estates.	Charges.											
		Establishment for management and contingencies.	Maramut expenditure.	Government demand.		Government commission for management.	Total management works and Government demand.	Maintenance and education of the wards.	Allowance to the other members of the family.	Repayment of debts.	Invested in Government securities.	Other miscellaneous disbursements.	Total charges.
				Peshkash.	Assessment and land-cess.								
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Ganjám	Parlákimedi	1,404	..	..	..	2,941	4,345	..	..	..	1,00,038	..	1,04,383
	Bodogodo	6,827	7,356	4,004	5,575	749	24,511	2,919	1,674	..	22,961	11,384	63,449
	Pedda Kimedi	11,716	11,152	7,007	11,486	1,916	43,277	4,636	3,609	73,750	..	28,383	1,53,655
	Sérugadá	102	..	3,165	1,764	..	5,031	..	..	..	..	659	5,690
Vizagapatam	Chidikada	2,696	2,863	5,437	1,768	459	13,223	11,150	1,200	..	710	3,816	30,099
	Chipurupalle	809	15	2,580	306	167	3,877	1,516	..	..	..	727	6,120
	Appikonda	3,007	545	601	850	361	5,364	3,430	960	..	720	2,698	13,172
	Belgam	9,073	1,151	5,742	2,297	499	18,762	3,264	323	..	2,005	2,309	26,663
	Kurupám	22,696	10,601	14,525	8,827	2,632	59,281	19,050	3,422	..	51,136	22,047	1,54,936
	Sálúru	13,648	2,384	35,918	10,728	2,173	64,851	3,370	114	4,40,122	..	12,511	5,20,968
	Peda Merangi	2,731	490	3,510	1,078	208	8,017	2,635	632	78,975	..	3,429	93,688
	Kasimkóta	13,279	12,136	32,640	4,094	1,754	63,903	6,845	89	1,024	1,463	12,055	85,379
	Mamidivada	206	360	2,132	221	112	3,031	1,678	..	..	..	151	4,860
Gódávari	Tangellamúdi	2,130	6,690	3,333	3,489	563	16,205	..	..	..	..	2,800	19,005
	Pithápuram	73,907	51,387	2,64,694	1,39,684	2,623	5,32,295	498	40,800	5,17,116	..	1,37,926	12,28,635
Kistna	Telaprole	15,960	20,522	16,291	6,910	2,998	62,681	8,020	2,380	..	75,785	29,153	1,78,019
	Munagala	6,404	5,044	4,573	2,745	1,090	19,856	2,842	804	..	..	8,216	31,718
	Meduru	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Bellary	V. U. Ayyanna's estate	260	..	..	459	37	756	264	66	..	923	96	2,105
	Agali Basappa's do.	265	..	..	817	102	1,184	513	48	..	7,448	332	9,525
North Arcot	Timmajammal's do.	658	..	..	283	424	1,365	..	..	..	..	1,295	2,660
	Thumba	818	6	1,783	471	87	3,165	720	132	..	..	401	4,418
	Palayavanam	338	12	3,579	..	148	4,077	310	374	5,497	..	3,778	14,036
	Edamanal	938	613	..	5,339	230	7,120	911	210	..	..	7,608	15,849
Tanjore	Karuvalakarai	188	145	..	1,020	81	1,434	244	85	..	1,218	997	3,978
	Sithamalli	921	550	..	1,417	152	3,040	1,001	..	..	6,710	3,619	14,370
	Maruthanthanallár	1,322	506	..	5,563	307	7,698	1,011	370	..	2,226	3,555	14,860
	T. Chokkappa Mudaliar's estate.	801	500	..	8,413	242	9,956	523	78	1,127	..	6,214	17,898
	Marungápuri	8,253	11,836	20,590	3,200	1,262	45,141	4,566	2,248	..	33,091	27,566	1,12,612
Trichinopoly	B. Chockalingam Pillai's estate	2,377	1,181	..	9,580	375	13,513	1,190	720	..	11,709	2,058	29,190
	Minakshi Ayal's estate	247	98	..	1,695	122	2,162	187	..	1,400	4,088	2,251	10,088
	Sáptúr	10,987	14,403	8,812	2,940	1,933	39,075	10,070	4,332	..	..	22,021	75,498
Madura	Mambarai	525	..	1,500	330	124	2,479	1,380	..	2,000	..	341	6,200
	Palayampatti	12,319	1,344	9,625	7,922	1,385	32,595	92	4,254	1,74,955	..	10,887	2,22,783

Enclosure No. 2 to statement No. IV showing the particulars of charges of the several estates under management during fasli 1306 (1896–97)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Charges.											
		Establishment for management and contingencies.	Maramut expenditure.	Government demand.		Government commission for management.	Total management works and Government demand.	Maintenance and education of the wards.	Allowance to the other members of the family.	Repayment of debts.	Invested in Government securities.	Other miscellaneous disbursements.	Total charges.
				Peshkash.	Assessment and land-cess.								
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
	Anavaradam Pillai's Estate	21	122	..	999	56	1,198	498	139	144	..	970	2,940
Tinnevelly	Ettiyápuram	23,113	47,151	99,455	18,496	8,828	1,97,043	9,193	31,161	..	..	68,456	3,05,853
	Do. (Guntamanayakanur portion)	685	..	10,556	3,539	651	15,431	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Thalavankóttai	1,399	1,594	5,125	2,799	573	11,490	5,321	180	..	..	1,559	16,990
Coimbatore	Sivagiri	11,143	1,675	47,758	4,411	2,391	67,378	599	..	..	..	9,942	26,933
	Sivasamudram	1,430	15	1,677	440	..	3,562	..	..	..	..	11,325	79,302
	Shulagiri	2,777	3,990	5,468	1,303	587	14,125	8,194	2,360	3,500	..	899	4,461
Salem	Royakóta	1,003	..	2,627	272	141	4,043	376	180	746	..	2,415	30,594
	Kakangarai	708	156	1,580	192	139	2,775	444	895	..	..	172	5,617
	Ankusagiri (*or* Berikai)	4,297	2,176	7,191	1,986	729	16,379	725	2,505	6,691	3,474	1,921	9,509
Malabar	Kavalpara	5,382	33,513	..	3,793	1,105	43,793	8,626	640	..	..	4,808	31,108
	Punnathur Kovilogam	3,485	860	..	967	684	5,996	4,141	..	7,951	3,450	15,797	72,306
	Total	2,83,255	2,55,142	6,33,478	2,90,468	44,140	15,06,483	1,32,952	1,06,975	13,14,998	3,29,155	5,469	23,557
												4,95,016	38,85,579

* The total nominal value of pro-notes purchased was Rs. 3,22,900 and the details are as follows:—
Parlákimedi, Rs. 98,500; Bodogodo, Rs. 22,300; Chidikada, Rs. 700; Appikonda, Rs. 700; Belgam, Rs. 1,900; Kurupám, Rs. 49,900; Kasimkota, Rs. 1,400; Telaprole, Rs. 75,000; Ayyanna's estate, Rs. 900; Basappa's estate, Rs. 7,300; Karuvalakarai, Rs. 1,200; Sithamalli, Rs. 6,300; Maruthanthanallur, Rs. 2,200; Marungápuri, Rs. 32,600; B. Chockalingam Pillai's estate, Rs. 11,400; Minakshi Ayal's estate, Rs. 3,900; Kakangarai, Rs. 3,400; and Kavalpara, Rs. 3,300.

ENCLOSURE A to Statement No. IV showing the Expenditure incurred on maramut works in the several estates under management for fasli 1306 (1896-97).

Districts.	Estates.	Irrigation works.		Buildings.		Communications.	Establishments.	Total expenditure.	Allotment in the budget.	Percentage of expenditure to allotment.
		New works.	Repairs.	New works.	Repairs.					
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	
Ganjám	Parlákimedi	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Bodogodo	554	5,079	807	383	38	495	7,356	7,882	93·3
	Pedda Kimedi	150	7,443	1,167	1,136	209	1,047	11,152	11,267	99 0
	Serugada	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Vizagapatam	Chidikada	..	2,821	..	..	..	42	2,863	2,863	100 0
	Chipurupalle	..	..	..	15	..	..	15	15	‡ ..
	Appikonda	..	395	..	100	50	..	545	545	100·0
	Belgam	..	1,001	..	150	..	..	1,151	1,151	100·0
	Kurupám	..	7,020	..	1,394	737	1,450	10,601	19,278	55 0
	Sálúru	..	1,522	..	706	..	..	2,228	2,384	93·4
	Peda Merangi	..	490	..	..	..	..	490	490	§ 100·0
	Kasimkóta	..	9,828	..	2,117	..	191	12,136	18,217	66·6
	Mamidivada	..	360	..	..	..	..	360	300	..
Gódávari	Tangellamúdi	..	6,290	..	400	..	..	6,690	7,631	87·7
	Pithápuram	..	158	..	1,573	..	804	* 2,535	27,778	9·1
Kistna	Telaprole	5,426	10,113	422	2,534	..	2,027	20,522	23,964	85·6
	Munagala	..	4,417	..	247	..	350	5,044	12,470	40·4
	Moduru	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Bellary	V. U. Ayyanna's estate	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Agali Basappa's estate	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
North Arcót	Timmajammal's estate	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Thumba	..	6	..	..	..	..	6	6	100·0
Tanjore	Palayavanam	..	12	..	..	..	..	12	12	‖ 100·0
	Edamanal	..	253	..	..	..	..	253	603	41·9
	Karuvalakarai	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	150	..
	Sithamalli	..	139	..	..	..	..	139	600	23·1
	Maruthanthanallur	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,340	..
	T. Chokkappa Mudaliar's estate	..	118	192	..	..	..	310	500	62·0
Trichinopoly	Marungápuri	..	2,143	..	95	481	1,490	4,209	26,601	15·8
	B. Chockalingam Pillai's estate	..	445	..	494	242	..	1,181	1,180	100·0
	Minakshi Ayal's estate	..	343	..	..	..	..	343	345	99·4
Madura	Sáptúr	7,456	4,775	..	920	75	1,177	14,403	18,700	77·0
	Mambarai	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	500	..
	Palayampatti	..	1,149	..	195	..	..	1,344	3,554	37·7
Tinnevelly	Anavaradam Pillai's estate	..	23	..	99	..	..	122	165	73·9
	Ettiyápuram	1,419	22,449	8,243	15,644	8,350	5,275	† 61,380	76,600	80·1

* Exclusive of Rs. 48,852 spent during the time of the Kumara Rajah for which no particulars are available.
† Includes also the figures relating to the Devasthanam estate.
‡ *Note.*—The original budget allotment was surrendered for want of funds; but Rs. 15 was spent on a building.
§ *Note.*—Rs. 2,510 surrendered for want of funds.
‖ *Note.*—An allotment of Rs. 1,600 was originally made in the budget; but the greater portion thereof was subsequently surrendered.

Enclosure A to Statement No. IV showing the expenditure incurred on maramut works in the several estates under management for fasli 1306 (1896-97)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Irrigation works.		Buildings.		Communications.	Establishments.	Total expenditure.	Allotment in the budget.	Percentage of expenditure to allotment.
		New works.	Repairs.	New works.	Repairs.					
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	
Tinnevelly—*cont.*	Ettiyápuram (Gantamanayakanur portion).	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Thalavankóttai	..	989	..	545	..	60	1,594	3,933	40·5
	Sivagiri	..	743	..	620	..	312	1,675	..	..
Coimbatore	Sivasamudram	..	..	..	..	* 15	..	15	..	..
Salem	Shulagiri	..	360	..	550	3,020	60	3,990	4,862	82 0
	Royakóta	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Kakangarai	..	105	..	51	..	..	156	253	61·6
	Ankusagiri (*or* Berikai)	..	396	..	1,780	..	..	2,176	3,288	66·1
Malabar	Kavalpara	..	..	31,655	1,833	25	..	33,513	26,329	..
	Punnathur Kovilogam	..	..	..	860	..	..	860	660	..
	Total	15,005	91,415	42,486	34,441	13,242	14,780	2,11,369	3,06,416	69·0

* This was paid to the Local Fund Overseer for urgent repairs to the Sivasamudram bridge.

N.B.—The figures in column 4 of enclosure 2 to statement IV represent the amount disbursed from the treasury on account of maramut expenditure while the figures in column 9 of this statement show the value of work actually done in the fasli.

No. V-A.—STATEMENT showing the debts, other than arrears of rent covered by bonds, due to the estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1306 (1896–97).

Districts.	Estates.	Debts as per last year's account.	Debts newly contracted.	Debts newly brought to account during the year.	Interest accrued during the year.	Total.	Debts collected.	Debts written off.	Total.	Remainder due at the end of the fasli.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Ganjám	Parlákimedi	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Bodogodo	865	..	..	..	865	..	..	..	865
	Peddakimedi	3,032	..	..	231	3,263	1,051	..	1,051	2,212
	Serugada	1,66,194	..	..	..	1,66,194	22	..	22	1,66,172
Vizagapatam	Chidikada	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Chipurupalle	5,155	..	..	..	5,155	..	..	..	5,155
	Appikonda	24,635	..	..	1,908	26,543	2,994	573	3,567	22,976
	Belgam	10,111	..	..	300	10,411	110	..	110	10,301
	Kurupám	(a) 1,51,296	11,197	719	5,958	1,69,170	76,250	..	76,250	92,920
	Sálúr	(a) 15,359	..	1,286	766	17,411	1,455	..	1,455	15,956
	Peda Merangi	2,14,084	..	..	1,127	2,15,211	76	388	464	2,14,747
	Kasimkota	21,096	..	..	304	21,400	814	671	1,485	19,915
	Mamidivada	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Gódávari	Tangellamúdi	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Pithápuram	93,646	..	2,430	3,198	99,274	(b) 3,239	..	3,239	96,035
Kistna	Telaprole	66,052	..	238	1,974	68,264	23,059	815	23,874	44,390
	Munagala	38,568	..	17	361	38,946	..	17	17	38,929
	Meduru	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Bellary	V. U. Ayyanna's Estate	3,607	..	..	..	3,607	108	..	108	3,499
	Agali Basappa's do.	7,209	..	30	22	7,261	975	..	975	6,286
North Arcot	Timmajiammal's do.	(a) 7,384	..	..	..	7,384	..	7,384	7,384	..
	Thumba	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Palayavanam	4,633	..	174	628	5,435	3,186	97	3,283	2,152
	Edamanal	395	..	..	..	395	9	..	9	386
Tanjore	Karuvalakarai	43	..	..	..	43	..	43	43	..
	Sithamalli	14,965	318	..	617	15,900	(d) 4,350	447	4,797	11,103
	Maruthanthanallur	2,257	318	..	6	2,581	(d) 209	29	238	2,343
	T. Chokkappa Mudaliar's Estate	3,770	..	..	34	3,804	249	..	249	3,555
	Marungápuri	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Trichinopoly	B. Chockalingam Pillai's Estate	9,609	..	..	158	9,767	54	569	623	9,144
	Minakshi Ayal's Estate	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Sáptúr	7,167	..	..	130	7,297	464	..	464	6,833
Madura	Mambarai	7,655	..	..	240	7,895	..	..	..	7,895
	Palayampatti	1,01,033	..	3,912	8,641	1,13,586	6,814	..	6,814	106,772
	Anavaradam Pillai's Estate	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Ettiyapuram	1,20,081	9,300	..	6,372	1,35,753	(c) 15,130	..	(c) 15,130	1,20,623
Tinnevelly	Do. (Gantamanayaksanur portion) ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Thalavankottai	48,546	4,310	..	4,514	57,370	17,090	..	17,090	40,280
	Sivagiri	6,954	..	..	402	7,356	..	..	..	7,356

(a) This is the correct figure.
(b) Difference not explained.
(c) Includes Rs. 3,500 paid by the Tinnevelly District Board in the shape of Government promissory notes.
(d) Includes advances recovered.

No. V-A.—Statement showing the debts, other than arrears of rent covered by bonds, due to the estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1306 (1896–97)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Debts as per last year's account.	Debts newly contracted.	Debts newly brought to account during the year.	Interest accrued during the year.	Total.	Debts collected.	Debts written off.	Total.	Remainder due at the end of the fasli.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Coimbatore	Sivasamudram	..	..	4,961	391	5,352	524	..	524	4,828
	Shulagiri	..	80	..	..	80	40	..	40	40
Salem	Royakota	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Kakangarai	10,850	..	..	1,020	11,870	3,328	907	4,235	7,635
	Ankusagiri (*or* Berikai)	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Malabar	Kavalpara	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Punnathur Kovilogam	27,355	1,871	..	16	29,242	58	..	58	29,184
	Total ..	11,93,606	27,394	13,767	39,318	12,74,085	1,61,658	11,940	1,73,598	11,00,487

No VI.—STATEMENT showing the educational progress made by the wards and their relations in fasli 1306 (1896–97).

Districts.	Names of estates.	Names of the wards.	Age at the end of the fasli.	Class and school in which the ward was studying during the year.	Number of school days.	Number of days the ward attended school.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
			YRS.			
Ganjám ..	Bodogodo ..	Sri Kisora Chandra Simha Deo.	12	Under the tuition of a special tutor at Chatrapur.	225	223
	Pedda Kimedi.	Sri Krupamaya Ananga Bhima Deo Késari.	20	Do. do.	225	164
Vizagapatam.	Chípurupalle ..	Gode Venkata Narayana Row.	10	III and IV Standards of the London Mission School, Vizagapatam.	179	124
	Appikonda ..	1. M. Ramachandra Garu.	18	Under private tuition ..	..	..
		2. M. Subramaniyam Garu.	16	Second Form, Municipal Middle School, Bimlipatam.	236	179
	Kurupám ..	Sri Raja Vyricherla Virabhadra Raju Bahadur.	19	Under the private tuition of Mr. F. J. Moss.		
	Sálúru	Sri Raja Lakshmi Narasimha Sanyasi Raju Peda Baliar Simhalu Bahadur Garu.	12	Fourth Standard, English Lutheran Mission School, Sálúru.	194	117
	Peda Merangi.	1. Satrucherla Suryanarayana Raju.	14	IV Standard, Board Middle School, Párvatipuram.	204	31
		2. Satrucherla Jagannadha Raju.	11	III do. do.	204	34
	Kasimkota ..	Marella Chinna Venkatachallam Pantulu.	14	Under the tuition		
Gódávari ..	Tangellamudi..	Sri Raja Velugoti Govindakrishna Yachandrulavaru.	17	Was during the greater		

Subjects studied in the year.	Results of the Annual Examination.				General Remarks.
	English language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Vernacular.	
8	9	10	11	12	13
English—First and Second Readers and Grammatical Primer. Arithmetic—Up to Greatest Common Measure. Uriya—Sanitary Primer, Third Reader and Chanakya Nithi, Grammar and Nithi Bodha.	..	..	..	..	Progress and behaviour, good; hand-writing, very fair.
English—First and Second Readers, Grammatical Primer. Arithmetic—Subtraction of Fractions. Uriya—Sanitary Primer, Third Reader, Chanakya Nithi, Nithi Bodha and Agriculture.	..	..	..	..	Progress, fair in all subjects except English; behaviour, good; hand-writing, good but slow.
English, Telugu, Arithmetic, Drawing, Hygiene, Object Lessons, and Geography.	45/54	24/30	15/22	58/69	Progress, creditable; hand-writing, improving; behaviour, satisfactory.
Royal Reader, No. V .. .. Bain's—Higher Grammar .. Geometry—Books I and II .. Arithmetic—Radhakrishna Iyer's Book. Algebra—Basu's; Manual of Geography. History—England and India, by Sinclair. Roscoe's Chemistry Primer; Venkayya's Telugu Grammar and the Matriculation Telugu Text.	..	..	..	..	Conduct, thoroughly satisfactory.
Orient Reader, No. III .. Grammatical Primer .. .. Geometry—Book I; Geography, Europe; History, India; Telugu, Fourth Reader, &c.	38/115	19/80	13/80	39/85	Shows some interest in study; conduct, satisfactory.
English—"Swiss Family Robinson," Grammar and Translation. History—English and Indian .. Geography—Longman's New Readers, I to VII. Arithmetic—Exercises in rapid and accurate Addition, Subtraction, Multiplication and Division of large sums of money. Telugu—Writing letters to friends and relations.	..	..	..	..	Progress, very satisfactory; and conduct, exemplary.
English—Third Reader .. Arithmetic—Compound Rules, House accounts and Bazaar bills and Fractions. Physical Geography; Hygiene. Telugu—Fourth Reader, &c. ..	23/40	20/40	6/25	36/75	Progress, satisfactory except in Geography.
English; Arithmetic; Telugu; Geography; Hygiene.	Did not attend the annual examination.				Progress, bad; hand-writing, bad; behaviour, tolerable.
Do. do. ..	Do.		do.		Do. do.

of Mr. C. Morrison at *Newington*, Madras.

portion of the year under the tuition of Mr. C. Morrison at *Newington*, Madras.

No. VI.—Statement showing the educational progress made

Districts.	Names of estates.	Names of the wards.	Age at the end of the fasli.	Class and school in which the ward was studying during the year.	Number of school days.	Number of days the ward attended school.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Gódávari—*cont.*	Pithapuram ..	Sri Raja Row Venkatakumara Mahipati Suria Row Bahadur Garu.	yrs. 11	Under a special private tutor.	247	247
Kistna ..	Telaprole ..	1. Raja Sobhanadri Appa Row Bahadur.	8	Under private tuition ..	..	..
		2. Venkata Rangayamma Row.	11	Do.	..	..
	Munagala ..	Raja Nayana Venkata Ranga Row Bahadur.	18	III Form, Noble College, Masulipatam.	169	94
Bellary ..	V. U. Ayyanna's Estate.	1. Dodda Basappa ..	11	I and II Forms, Board Lower Secondary School, Hospet.	220	198
	Agalai Basappa's Estate.	1. Dodda Pompanna ..	15	Do.	220	202
		2. Sanna Pompanna ..	12	IV Class, Board Primary School, Hospet.	215	210
North Arcot.	Thumba ..	1. Kumara Narasimha Nayanivaru.	9	Local Fund School, Aragunda.	..	..
		2. Chellamma	16	Under private tuition ..	..	..
Tanjore ..	Palayavanam ..	Andavaraya Vanangamudi Pandarathar.	14	I Form, Board Middle School, Pattukkóttai.	205	135
	Edamanal ..	1. Vijiaraghavulu Naidu.	18	V and VI Forms, Town High School, Kumbakónam.	194	161
		2. Muthukrishna Naidu ..	15	IV do.	194	171

by the wards and their relations in fasli 1306 (1896-97)—*cont.*

Subjects studied in the year.	Results of the Annual Examination.				General Remarks.
	English language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Vernacular.	
8	9	10	11	12	13
English—Garthwaite's Second Reader. Grammatical Primer .. .. Arithmetic—Division of Vulgar Fractions. Geography—Madras Presidency and five Continents (a general outline). Telugu—Third and Fourth Readers. Nithimanjari; Venkayya's Grammar, &c.	81/120	38/40	4/10	65/118	Behaviour and progress, good.
English—Garthwaite's First Reader. Telugu—III Standard Reader. Arithmetic—Easy Problems, G.C.M. and L.C.M.	..	..	..	..	
English—Royal Reader, No. II. Telugu—Manucharitra, &c. History—Hindu and Muhammadan periods. Geography—India. Arithmetic—Fractions and easy Problems in time, work and distance.	..	..	..	..	Progress, satisfactory; behaviour, good.
English—C.V.E.S. Fifth Reader, Grammatical Primer. Arithmetic—Up to Simple Interest. Algebra—First Four Simple Rules. Geometry—Book I. History—Sinclair. Geography—Duncan's India. Hindustani.	77/200	112/200	73/100	37/100	
English, Arithmetic, Telugu, History and Geography.	25/100	55/130	78/210	55/130	Fair.
Do. do.	65/100	59/130	121/210	55/130	Good.
English, Arithmetic, Telugu, History, Geography and Hygiene.	31/60	25/30	25/70	31/63	Good.
English, First Book—Ten lessons Arithmetic—Addition and Subtraction. Telugu—III Standard Reader.	Passed the Second Standard Examination with merit.				Behaviour, good.
....	..	..	..	..	....
English—New Royal Reader, No. III. Grammar—Primer upto Verb. Arithmetic—Up to Vulgar Fractions. Geography—Duncan's Asia. History of India—Up to the death of Aurangazebe. Tamil—First Form Reader.	..	..	..	..	Progress, moderate; behaviour, satisfactory.
Subjects prescribed for the V and VI Forms.	78/225	41/120	60/160	43/110	Progress, satisfactory; and behaviour, good.
Subjects prescribed for the IV Form.	52/225	27/120	38/160	39/110	

No. VI.—Statement showing the educational progress made

Districts.	Names of estates.	Names of the wards.	Age at the end of the fasli.	Class and school in which the ward was studying during the year.	Number of school days.	Number of days the ward attended school.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
			YRS.			
Tanjore—*cont.*	Karuvalakarai ..	Vellal *alias* Suri Chetty ..	11	I Form, Municipal High School, Máyavaram.	176	157
	Sithamalli ..	1. Ramaswami Aiyar ..	15	III Form, Kalayanasundram Aiyar's High School, Tanjore.	177	161
		2. Venkatarama Aiyar ..	13		177	161
	Maruthanthanallur.	Rajah *alias* Mahalingaswami Aiyar.	12	I Form, Town High School.	193	164
	T. Chokkappa Mudaliar's Estate.	Vaithilinga Mudaliar ..	8	III Standard, Hindu Primary School, Tiruvalur.	224	217
		Gopalasami Mudaliar ..	7	I do.	224	186
Trichinopoly.	Marungapuri ..	Kristnavijaya Puchi Nayakar.	12	II and III Forms, S.P.G. High School, Trichinopoly.	177	171½
	B. Chockalingam Pillai's Estate.	Avadaya Pillai	16	III do.	177	154½
	Minakshi Ayal's Estate.	Maragathavalli Ayal ..	14	Under private tuition.		
Madura ..	Saptur ..	Ramaswami Kamayya Naick.	16	Under the tuition of		
	Mambarai ..	1. Venkatarama Naick ..	17	IV Standard, Municipal School, Palni,	271	229
		2. Parasurama Naick ..	15	III Standard, Municipal School, Palni.	276	229
		3. Mulla Bomma Naick ..	13	II Standard, Municipal School, Palni.	276	229

by the wards and their relations in fasli 1306 (1896-97)—*cont.*

Subjects studied in the year.	Results of the Annual Examination.				General Remarks.
	English language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Vernacular.	
8	9	10	11	12	13
English Royal Reader No. III. Bain's English Grammar. Geography—Duncan's Asia. Sinclair's Indian History. Tamil Poetry.	33/145	22/50	17/80	25/105	Conduct, good.
English Text and Grammar. Arithmetic, Indian History. Geography and Tamil.	Failed.	Passed.	Failed.	Passed.	Hand-writing, not settled yet; behaviour, good.
	Passed.	Passed.	Passed.	Passed.	Hand-writing, pretty fair; behaviour, good.
English—Royal Reader No. III, and Nesfield's Grammar. Arithmetic—Up to Decimals and Easy problems. Geography—Asia. History—Sinclair's. Tamil—First Form Reader, Neethimanjari, &c.	22/200	2/50	6/60	17/80	Behaviour, good; progress said to be fair.
Tamil and Arithmetic	..	8/30	10/30	32/63	Progress, fair; behaviour, good.
Tamil—I Standard Reader and Anthology. Arithmetic. English—Royal Primer, No. I.	13/21	13/15	..	..	
Subjects prescribed for the respective classes.	67/150	94/200	81/200	59/150	Attendance, good; hand-writing, improving; general behaviour, good.
Subjects prescribed for the class.	1/10	3/10	23/200	6/25	Do. do.
Tamil—Fourth Reader and other books. Telugu—First and Second Readers and other books.	..	..	..	..	Progress, fair.
Mr. C. Morrison at *Newington*, Madras.					
English—Royal Reader No. I (first 50 pages). Arithmetic—Reductions and bazaar bills. Geography—Madras Presidency. Hygiene—Ten Chapters. Tamil Poetry—Muthurai.	Passed.	Passed.	Geography Passed.	Tamil Passed.	Progress, satisfactory; hand-writing, fair; and behaviour, good.
English—Orient Primer complete (the whole). Arithmetic—Compound Addition and Subtraction and Reduction in Indian Money. Simple Multiplication and Division. Geography—Madura District. Tamil—III Standard Reader, (the whole); Grammar, Noun and Verb; Poetry, the whole of Narunthogai; Hygiene—Seven Chapters.	Ordinary.	Merit.	Geography ordinary.	Tamil, merit.	Progress, satisfactory; hand-writing, fair; and behaviour, good.
English—Longman's Second Primer (the whole). Arithmetic—Up to Simple Division. Tamil—II Standard Reader (the whole); Tamil Poetry Konraiventhan (the whole).	Ordinary.	Ordinary.	..	Tamil ordinary.	

No. VI.—Statement showing the educational progress made by the wards and their relations in fasli 1306 (1896–97).

District.	Names of estates.	Names of wards.	Age at the end of the fasli.	Class and school in which the ward was studying during the year.	Number of school days.	Number of days the ward attended school.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Madura—*cont.*	Palayampatti ..	Ramachandra Tevar *alias* Ponnusami Tevar.	YRS. 9	IV Standard S.P.G. High School, Ramnad.	185	185
Tinnevelly	Anavaradam Pillai's Estate ..	Sivasubramania Pillai ..	19	Under private tuition ..	..	..
	Ettiyapuram ..	Jaga Veera Rama Venkateswara Ettappa Ayan.	18	Under the private tuition of		
	Thalavankottai.	Indiran Ramasawmi Pandian Thalavar.	20	Do.	Do.	
	Sivagiri ..	Ramalinga Varaguna Rama Pandiya Chinnathambiar Avargal.	8	Under the care of a special tutor and guardian.	73	73
Salem ..	Shulagiri ..	Sriman Masti Mammadi Ramachandra Raja.	15	Under the private tuition of		
	Royakota ..	1. Thiruvengada Mudaliar.	14	I and II Forms, Board Middle School, Krishnagiri.	207	202½
		2. Chakrapani Mudaliar.	12	Do. do.	207	174
	Kakangarai ..	Rama Reddi	16	IV and V Forms.	186	112
	Ankusagiri ..	Vira Chokka Raugaru ..	8	Under private tuition.	..	..
Coimbatore.	Sivasamudram.	T. Anandaraya Mudaliar.	12	Class II-A, Mari Mallappa's School, Mysore.	141	139
Malabar ..	Kavalpara ..	1. Unni *alias* Itunni Kumaran Raman.	8	III Class Kavalpara Estate School.	253	245
		2. Unni *alias* Kochunni ..	6	Infant Class, Kavalpara Estate School.	253	249
	Punnathur Kovilagom.	Goda Sankara Valiya (Senior) Rajah.	7	Learning the Malayalam alphabet under a private tutor.	..	..
		Goda Sankara Eliya (Junior) Rajah.	6	Do. do.	..	..


by the wards and their relations in fasli 1306 (1896–97)—*cont.*

Subjects studied in the year.	Results of the Annual Examination.				General Remarks.
	English language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Vernacular.	
8	9	10	11	12	13
English, Arithmetic, History, Geography, Tamil and Translation.	1/3	1/4	1/4	1/4	Progress said to be fair; hand-writing and behaviour, good.
Subjects prescribed for the Matriculation Examination.	..	..	..	..	Hand-writing, fair; behaviour, good.
Mr. C. Morrison at *Newington*, Madras.					....
do. do.					....
Garthwaite's First Reader. Arithmetic. Tamil Second Standard Reader.	19/48	10/2	Not taught.	20/48	Progress satisfactory; hand-writing improving; behaviour, good.
Mr. C. Morrison at *Newington*, Madras.					....
English, Arithmetic, History, Geography and Tamil.	Fair ..	Good ..	Bad ..	Fair ..	Behaviour, satisfactory.
Do. do.	Fair ..	Fair ..	Middling ..	Fair ..	
The subjects prescribed for the respective classes.	64/140	90/120	56/80	37/60	Behaviour and progress, satisfactory.
English Alphabet, Arithmetic, up to Multiplication. Telugu—Third Book. Tamil—First Book.	..	..	..	..	Conduct, good.
Second Reader (C.V.E.S.). Grammatical Primer. Graduated Translation (Part I). Arithmetic—Simple and Compound Rules with reduction.	..	..	..	..	The progress made is reported to be unsatisfactory.
English—Garthwaite's First Reader. Arithmetic—The four Compound Rules applied to Indian Money Geography—Marsden's Geography. Malayalam—III Reader.	37/75	36/45	23/30	35/75	Hand-writing, fair; progress and behaviour, good.
Malayalam—The Infant Reader.	..	6/15	..	18/30	Do. do.
....	..	..	..	..	....
....	..	..	..	..	....


No. VII.—STATEMENT showing the demand, collection and balance, as also the financial condition of the religious and charitable institutions attached to the estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1306 (1896–97).

Districts.	Estates.	Endowments.	Demand.			Collection.			Balance.			Percentage of balance to demand.	Financial condition.				
			Arrear.	Current.	Total.	Arrear.	Current.	Total.	Arrear.	Current.	Total.		Opening balance.	Receipts.	Total.	Charges.	Balance.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Ganjám ..	Serugada ..	Sri Jagannadhaswami ..	..	903	903	..	892	892	..	11	11	1·2	..	742	742	..	742
		Sri Govindaswami ..	..	805	805	..	791	791	..	14	14	1·8					
Vizagapatam.	Kurupám ..	Sri Jagannadhaswami ..	807	1,090	1,897	81	462	543	726	628	1,354	71·4	250	1,040	1,290	(a)1,701	— 411
		Sri Suryanarayanaswami.	222	850	1,072	26	471	497	196	379	575	53·6					
	Sálúru	Sri Jagannadhaswami ..	5	77	82	..	49	49	5	28	33	40·2	..	49	49	429	— 380
	Kásimkóta ..	Sri Seetaramaswami and two other temples.	318	322	640	67	15	82	251	307	558	87·2	(a1) 16	82	98	346	— 248
Gódávari ..	Pithápuram ..	Thirty-one institutions ..	10,345	9,987	20,332	259	5,972	6,231	10,086	4,015	14,101	69·3	..	..	..	..	..
Tanjore ..	Palayavanam.	Religious institutions ..	686	992	1,678	282	686	968	404	306	710	42·3	1,473	958	2,431	656	(b) 1,775
		Choultries	7	36	43	7	36	43	..	..	..	..	194	43	237	13	(b) 224
	T. Chokkappa Mudaliar's estate.	Six religious institutions.	650	709	1,359	200	333	533	450	376	826	60·7	453	597	1,050	366	684
Trichinopoly.	Marungápuri.	Devasthanams	* 6,376	13,854	20,230	5,875	3,800	9,675	501	10,054	10,555	52·1	12,300	9,673	21,973	12,690	9,283
		Uranis	412	909	1,321	376	275	651	36	634	670	50·7	1,780	651	2,431	15	2,416
	B. Chockalingam Pillai's estate.	Trust property	660	1,072	1,732	440	753	1,193	220	319	539	31·1	43	1,044	1,087	1,086	(c) 1
Madura ..	Séptúr ..	Devasthanam	321	2,280	2,601	320	2,200	2,520	1	80	81	3·1	1,380	2,446	3,826	1,865	(d) 1,961
		Choultries	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	94	310	404	250	154
Tinnevelly.	Ettiyapuram.	Devasthanam	† 33,087	34,394	67,481	4,049 / (c) 3,562	26,242	30,291 / (c) 3,562	25,476	8,152	33,628	49·8	37,926	42,910	80,836	44,642	(f) 36,194
		Choultries	2,873	5,242	8,115	252 / (c) 194	4,124	4,376 / (c) 194	2,427	1,118	3,545	43·7					
	Thalavankóttai.	Darugapuram temple ..	155	1,879	2,034	155	1,720	1,875	..	159	159	7·7	37	1,556	1,593	929	664
	Sivagiri ..	Six Devasthanams and one Choultry.	2,416	11,284	13,700	973	10,135	11,108	1,443	1,149	2,592	18·9	6	10,579	10,585	5,169	5,416
Coimbatore.	Sivasamudram ..	Temples and Chattram at Sivasamudram.	‡..	‡..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Malabar ..	Kavalpara ..	Tirukkangod Devasam ..	1,544	3,277	4,821	793	3,190	3,983	751	87	838	17·3	5,303	3,836	9,139	6,645	2,494

* An item of Rs. 18 was newly brought to account. † This is the correct figure.
‡ The endowed villages are under the management of the Mysore State and the necessary details are not now available.
(a) Rupees 900 were spent in repairing a tank. (a1) Excludes Rs. 150 collected before the Court's intervention. (b) Exclusive of grain on hand.
(c) Exclusive of Rs. 89 worth of paddy on hand. (d) Exclusive of 332 worth of grain. (e) Amount remitted. (f) Inclusive of Pro-notes of the nominal value of Rs. 16,400.



Reg. No. 4229
Copies— 50+82
Zinco Survey Office, Madras.
1893



SKELETON MAP

of the

MADRAS PRESIDENCY

Shewing the Zemindaries under the management of the Court of wards for Fasli 1306

SOUTHERN SECTION

Scale 1 Inch = 48 Miles 1/3041280


Reg: No. 4229
Copies ~ 50+32

Zinco, Survey Office, Madras.
1898

SKELETON MAP
of the
MADRAS PRESIDENCY
Showing the Zemindaries under the
Management of the Court of Wards
For Fasli 1306

Scale 1 Inch = 80 Miles 1/5068800

100 50 0 100 Miles



ZEMINDARIES INDEX

No.	Name	Class	Demand Rs.
1	Bodogodo	III	36,496
2	Peddakimedi	II	92,193
3	Scrugada	III	30,692
4	Belgam	III	23,739
5	Kurupam	II	90,314
6	Peda Merangi	III	12,889
7	Salora	I	121,[illegible]
		II	[illegible]
8	Kasimkota	II	87,850
9	Chidikada	III	23,305
10	Chipurupalle	IV	7,538
11	Appikonda	IV	8,848
12	Mamidivada	IV	4,964
13	Tangellamudi	III	11,725
14	Pithapuram	I	10,24,841
15	Telaprole	II	96,454
16	Munagala	III	42,594
17	Thumba	IV	5,206
18	Timmajammal's Estate	IV	6,103
19	Shulagiri	III	23,316
20	Royakota	IV	5,666
21	Kakangarai	IV	4,636
22	Ankushgiri	III	28,924
23	Marungapuri	II	74,810
24	Palayavanam	IV	5,955
25	Mambarai	IV	5,464
26	Palayampatti	II	62,806
27	Saptur	II	57,744
28	Gantammanaicknur	II	57,328
29	Ettiyapuram	I	376,640
30	Thalavankottai	III	21,952
31	Sivagiri	I	153,467


Reg: No 4230
Copies 50+82

Zinco., Survey Office. Madras.
1893

SKELETON MAP
of the
MADRAS PRESIDENCY
Showing the Ryotwari Estates under the
Management of the Court of Wards
For Fasli 1305

Scale 1 Inch = 80 Miles $\frac{1}{5068800}$

100 50 0 100 Miles



RYOTWARI ESTATES INDEX

No.	Name	Class	Demand Rs.
1	Venkatapur Uppara Ayyanna's Estate	IV	1,040
2	Alagi Dasappa's Estate	IV	2,873
3	B. Chockalingam Pillay's Estate	III	17,795
4	Maruthanthanallur	III	12,415
5	Kuruvalukarsi	IV	2,611
6	Edamanal	III	15,217
7	T. Chokkappa Mudaliar's Estate	III	12,369
8	Minakshi Aiyal's Estate	IV	3,483
9	Sithamalli	IV	3,500
10	Anavaradhum Pillay's Estate	IV	2,859
11	Punnathur Kovilogam	III	13,551
12	Kavalpara	III	30.004
13	Sivasamudram Jaghire	III	13,703

Reg: No 4231
Copies 50+80

Zinco., Survey Office, Madras
1893