Public Works (1898.) Department.

G.O., No. 1054 1055 SW

DATED 26 April 1898

1756 98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Mr C.H.B. Boulton & Engr in Trade: ordered the letter from - on the subject of the coal allowance admissible to him as Under Secretary, be forwarded for the orders of the Govr of Bora.

The Govr of Bora addressed.

Indexed.

[P. W. 25. 1,000—2-3-98]

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Public Works (1897) Department.

&D. CURRENT No. 11

From The Secretary to the Govt. of Bengal

&D. No. 91 E

Dated 13th November 1897

Received } 22-11-97

Registered }

Enclosures one

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Enclosing copy of a letter from the Govt. of India prescribing the maximum pay of Rs 1050 for Under Secretaries of the P.W.D. in Bengal, North-Western Provinces and Burma.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT BENGAL

D.O.No 91 E.

Writers' Buildings.

The 13th November 1897.

Dear Sir,

In reply to your D.O. dated the 4th instant, I enclose copy of a letter No. 1865 G dated the 15th July 1890, from the Government of India, Public Works Department, from which it will be seen that in Bengal, North-Western Provinces and Burma the maximum pay of Rs. 1050 for Under-Secretaries in the Public Works Department is a hard and fast limit intended to include pay and all allowances and is applicable even in the case of Executive Engineers of the 1st grade of 4 years' standing. For Madras, however, the conditions may be different - we do not know.

Yours faithfully

J. H. Glass

Secretary.

To

W. B. DeWinton, Esquire,

Acting Chief Engineer,

Madras Public Works Secretariat.

No. 1865 G.

Government of India
P.W.D.
General

To

The Secretaries to the Governments of Bengal and the North western Provinces and Oudh, Public Works Department.

The Chief Commissioner, Burma.

Simla, the 15th July 1890.

Sir,

I am directed to state that the Secretary of State for India, on the recommendation of the Government of India, has been pleased to sanction the grant of a local allowance of Rs 100 per mensem, in addition to his/their grade pay, to the officer (s) holding the appointment (s) noted in the margin*, provided that the allowance thus granted does not raise, above Rs 1,050 a month, the sum of pay and Presidency

* Under Secretaries to the Government of Bengal in the Buildings & Roads, Irrigation and Railway Branches.

Under Secretaries to the Government of the North-Western Provinces and Oudh in the Buildings and Roads and Irrigation Branches.

Under Secretary in the Public Works Department, Burma.

allowance and house rent and allowances of all sorts, including, in the case of Military officers, their Military allowances.

2. This sanction will have effect from the 14th May 1890.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
(Sd) G. H. D. Walker.
Under Secy. to the Govt. of India

Documents accompanying
Nil.

Fin Cstg
Appts
9/11/97

Public Works (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 12488

From The Secy. to the Bombay Govt.

No. 837 E

Dated 11th December 1897

Received 16.12.97

Registered

Enclosures 8

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Pay of Under Secy. to Govt. P.W.D.

Forwarding copies of correspondence relating to the —

He has left by return

17/12/97

17.12.97

16/12/97

O.S. 6 R 25/11

11/12/97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

6

No 2474 G

Government of India

P. W. Department

General

To,

The Secretary to the Govt. of Bombay

Public Works Department

Simla, the 19th August 1892.

Sir,

I am directed to state that the explanation contained in your letter No: 243 E-1122, dated the 11th ultimo, regarding the appointments made by His Excellency the Governor in Council, consequent on the transfer to Foreign Service of Mr W.C. Hughes, Secretary to the Government of Bombay in the Public Works Department is accepted.

2- In regard, however, to the staff allowance of Rs 250 per mensem which under present arrangements is drawn by the Under Secretary in the Public Works Department, I am to point out that officers of the Engineer Establishment who are attached to the Public Works Department Secretariat of the Government of India, or to the Secretariats of certain

Local

Local Governments, as Under Secretaries receive a local allowance of Rs 100 a month only in addition to grade pay, provided that their salary inclusive of this and all other allowances does not exceed Rs 1050/- a month. I am therefore to state that in the opinion of the Government of India the staff allowance of the Under Secretary to the Government of Bombay in the Public Works Department should be reduced from Rs 250 to Rs 100 a month or any smaller amount admissible under the above proviso, when the present incumbent (Mr: C. F. Burke) vacates the appointment.

I have &c.

(Sd) J. H. D Walker

Under Secy: to the Govt of India

Documents accompanying

Nil.

(True copy)

J D Kolatkar

Assistant Secretary to Government

W. 2/1248/97

No. 309 E.—1438 of 1892.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Bombay Castle, 6th September 1892.

FROM

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

V.—Establishments.

SIR,

Referring to the 2nd para. of your letter No. 2474 G., dated 19th ultimo, in which you intimate that, when the present incumbent (Mr. Burke) vacates the appointment of Under Secretary to this Government in the Public Works Department, the staff allowance attached to the office should, in the opinion of the Government of India, be reduced from Rs. 250 to Rs. 100, or to any smaller sum necessary to limit the total emoluments of the incumbent to Rs. 1,050 a month, I am directed to remark that, in forming this opinion, the Government of India do not appear to have given consideration to the fact, brought to notice in the 4th para. of my letter No 243 E.—1122 of 14th July 1892, that so long as there is no Chief Engineer for irrigation and Joint Secretary in this Administration, the position of the Under Secretary to this Government in the Public Works Department remains precisely what it has always been since the staff salary was first sanctioned by the Secretary of State.

2. I am therefore to invite a reconsideration of this fact, and with a view to assist at a clear understanding of the position, I am to point out that the whole of the Public Works Secretariat duties of this Presidency and Sind, which were formerly administered by a Secretary, an Under Secretary, a Chief Engineer for Irrigation and Joint Secretary, with personal assistant, now devolve on the Secretary and Under Secretary.

3. In these altered circumstances it is imperatively necessary that the Secretary should be assisted by an officer of standing, possessing special experience, and in the opinion of His Excellency in Council the staff allowance of Rs. 250 that was sanctioned by the Secretary of State is no more than a reasonable and fitting remuneration for the work required of such an Under Secretary, and he trusts, with the further explanation I have now afforded, that the Government of India will be able to concur in this opinion, and will not press for a reduction of the present staff allowance of Rs. 250 a month, so long as the appointment of Chief Engineer for Irrigation and Joint Secretary to Government remains in abeyance.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

W. S. HOWARD,
Secretary to Government.

Exd. J. D. E.

9

GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

V.—ESTABLISHMENTS.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF INDIA,

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No. 309 E.—1438.

Dated 6th September 1892.

Received

With reference to Government of India No. 2474 G., dated 19th August 1892, recommends that the staff allowance of Rs 250 per mensem, at present sanctioned to the Under Secretary to Government in the Public Works Department, may be continued so long as the appointment of Chief Engineer for Irrigation and Joint Secretary to Government remains in abeyance.

P. W. D. Press.—6-9-92.

W

No 3346 G.

Government of India

Public works Department

General

To,

The Secretary to the Govt. of Bombay

Public works Department

Simla, the 13th October 1892

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No: 309 E. 1438 dated the 6th ultimo, in which you state that His Excellency the Governor in Council thanks, for certain reasons, that the staff allowance of Rs 250/- per mensem, at present drawn by the Under Secretary to the Government of Bombay in the Public works Department, may not be reduced so long as the appointment of Chief Engineer for Irrigation and Joint Secretary to Government remains in abeyance.

2- In reply I am to say that, as the office is really that of an Under Secretary and not that of Chief Engineer for Irrigation and Joint Secretary to Government, there is in the opinion of the Governor General in Council no good reason why the allowance attached

attached to it should be higher than the allowances of other Under Secretaries attached to the Public Works Department Secretariat of the Government of India, and to the Secretaries of certain Local Governments.

5- His Excellency the Governor General in Council therefore still adheres to the opinion expressed in paragraph 3 of Public Works Department letter No: 2474 S dated the 19th August last, and considers that the staff allowance of the Under Secretary to the Government of Bombay in the Public Works Department should be reduced when the present incumbent vacates the appointment.

I have &c.

(Sd) J. S. O'Callaghan,

Secretary to the Govt of India

Document accompanying

Nil

(True copy)

J D Kolatkar

Assistant Secy: to Government

P.W.D. 3/24 88/97

No. 217 E.—749 of 1894.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.
Bombay Castle, 1*st May* 1894.

FROM

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

V.—Establishments.

SIR,

I am directed to invite reference to the order contained in your letter No. 2474 G., dated 19th August 1892, confirmed in letter No. 3346 G., dated 13th October 1892, to the effect that, on Mr. Burke vacating the appointment of Under Secretary to this Government in the Public Works Department, the staff allowance of Rs. 250 drawn by him should be reduced in the case of future incumbents to the usual local allowance of Rs. 100, subject to the limiting amount of Rs. 1,050 for all pay and allowances.

2. I am to state that, as Mr. Burke has now proceeded on furlough, this Government have selected as his successor an Officer who holds (as does Mr. Burke) the rank of 1st Grade Executive Engineer, the salary of which grade is Rs. 1,000 per mensem; and, as the limit of Rs 1,050 has to be applied in his case, the local allowance of Rs. 100 is reduced to Rs. 5 per mensem only, the balance, Rs. 45, representing Presidency house rent.

3. I am to represent that, under the recent re-organization of the Department, one-half of the Executive Engineers are now in the 1st Grade, and that even had a 2nd Grade Executive Engineer been selected for the post, he could not have received the full benefit of the Rs. 100 local allowance; for, being entitled to Presidency allowance, his maximum of Rs. 1,050 would have been made up of:—

	Rs.
Pay	850
Presidency Allowance	100
Do. House Rent	45
Portion of Local Allowance	55
	1,050

It is thus only a 3rd grade Executive Engineer, of whom there are only 8 out of the 39 Executive Engineers on the Bombay list, who could benefit to the full extent of the local allowance of Rs. 100. An Executive Engineer 1st Grade, moreover, receives only the same total emoluments as an Executive Engineer 2nd grade would receive in the same appointment, and loses the benefit of his promotion to the higher rank.

4. I am to remark that the total expense to Government would be more if a 2nd or 3rd Grade Executive Engineer held the post, as is shown thus:—

A.	Rs.
An Executive Engineer 1st Grade as Under Secretary	1,050
An Executive Engineer 2nd Grade in charge of a District	850
Total ..	1,900

B	
A 2nd Grade Executive Engineer as Under Secretary	1,050
A 1st Grade Executive Engineer in charge of a District	1,000
Total ..	2,050

C.	
A 3rd Grade Executive Engineer as Under Secretary	945
A 1st Grade Executive Engineer in charge of a District	1,000
Total ..	1,945

This saving is brought about practically at the expense of the 1st Grade Officer selected, who would unquestionably be in a much better pecuniary position on his grade pay in charge of a District than he is on Rs. 5 additional (exclusive of Rs. 45 house rent, all of which goes for its legitimate object) in such an expensive place as Bombay. He is, in fact, taxed on account of his selection for a special post.

5. It is noted that the limit of Rs 1,050 was fixed when the pay of 1st and 2nd Grade Executive Engineers was Rs. 950 and 800, respectively, and when the proportion of officers in the 1st Grade was very much less than it now is, so that there were a larger number in the lower grades from which to make selection.

6. I am to express a hope that the Government of India will give these points their best consideration, and will be able to recommend the Secretary of State to withdraw the restriction to Rs. 1,050 of the total pay and allowances of Under Secretaries, and to allow such Officers the full benefit of the local allowance which is presumably given on account of the special nature of the duties required.

7. If this be done, a 1st Grade Executive Engineer will be enabled to draw as Under Secretary a total of pay and allowances of Rs. 1,145 and a 2nd Grade Executive Engineer in the same post, Rs. 1,095. The difference is small, while the total cost, as in the present case of a 1st Grade Executive Engineer holding the appointment, will still be less than if a 2nd Grade Executive Engineer were appointed under the existing limitation to Rs. 1,050, as thus:—

	Rs.
Executive Engineer 1st Grade as Under Secretary (unrestricted)	1,145
Executive Engineer 2nd Grade in charge of a District	850
Total..	1,995

Executive Engineer 2nd Grade as Under Secretary (restricted)	1,050
Executive Engineer 1st Grade in charge of a District	1,000
Total..	2,050

8. In case the Government of India are unable to recommend the general removal of the restriction, I am to ask that it may at least be removed in this, as a special case, having regard to the arguments adduced in this Government's letters Nos. 243 E.—1142, dated 14th July 1892, and 309 E.—1438, dated 6th September 1892, and to the fact that the Under Secretary in Bombay has to deal with the work of both Irrigation and General Branches, which in Madras, with a slightly smaller establishment, is divided between two Under Secretaries. I am to ask further that the withdrawal of the restriction may have retrospective effect from the date (March 9th, 1894) on which the present incumbent received charge of his duties from Mr. Burke.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

T. D. LITTLE,
Secretary to Government.

Exd. J. D. K.

15.

GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

V.—ESTABLISHMENTS.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF INDIA,

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No. 217 E.—749.

Dated 1st May 1894.

Received

Requests, for reasons stated, that the Government of India will withdraw the restriction to Rs. 1,050 of the total pay and allowances of Under Secretaries, and allow such Officers the full benefit of the local allowance which is presumably given on account of the special nature of the duties required.

P. W. D. Press.—1-5-94.

16

No 3318 G

Government of India

Public Works Department

General

To,

The Secretary to the Govt. of Bombay

Public Works Department

Simla, the 31st October 1894

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No: 217 E-749 dated 1st May last, requesting, under the circumstances therein stated, that the Secretary of State may be moved to withdraw the restriction of the total pay and allowances of all Under Secretaries in the Public Works Department to a total of Rs 1,050 per mensem, and to allow such officers the full benefit of the local allowances admissible to them; or if this is not possible, that that restriction may be removed, as a special case, as affecting the Under Secretary to the Government of Bombay, with effect from the 9th March

17

March 1894.

2 - In reply, I am to say that, in view of the existing financial position of the Government of India, His Excellency the Governor General in Council considers that the present time is inopportune for advocating any proposal of the above nature which involves an increase of expenditure in establishment charges. The Government of India regret therefore, that they are unable at present to make any recommendation in the matter to the Secretary of State.

I have &c.

(Sd.) W. W. Bisset, Lt.-Col. R.E.
Secretary to the Govt. of India

Documents accompanying
Nil

(True copy)

J. D. Kolatkar
Assistant Secretary to Government.

H.S. 5
12 Sept 97

18 2/-

No. 270 A.—963 of 1895.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.
Bombay Castle, 17th June 1895.

FROM

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY.

TO

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

VI.—Accounts.

SIR,

I am directed by His Excellency the Governor-in-Council to recommend, for the favorable consideration of the Government of India, the inclusion in the list of Officers entitled to free quarters, of the Under Secretary to this Government in the Public Works Department, when the officer holding the appointment is not entitled, under the rules, to Presidency Allowance.

2. I am to state that the abolition of the appointment of Chief Engineer for Irrigation and Joint Secretary, which formerly existed in this Presidency, has increased the duties devolving upon the Under Secretary, and it is essential that he should be an officer of standing and experience. The Public Works Department in this Presidency had formerly (1) a Chief Engineer and Secretary, (2) a Chief Engineer and Joint Secretary for Irrigation, (3) an Under Secretary, and (4) an Assistant to the Chief Engineer and Joint Secretary; but two out of the four appointments have been abolished, and the whole work of both the General and Irrigation Branches throughout Bombay, including Sind, has to be dealt with by the Chief Engineer and Secretary, aided by one Under Secretary; and to maintain the Secretariat on an efficient footing, and to allow of the Chief Engineer being absent occasionally on inspection duty, it is imperative that the Under Secretary should be a senior Executive Engineer.

3. As one-half of the total number of Executive Engineers are now in the 1st Grade, a selection from the lower grades is much more limited than was formerly the case, and it will be to the serious disadvantage of the Department if 1st Grade Executive Engineers are to be eliminated from the list of candidates.

4. In the event, however, of a 1st Grade Officer being selected, the rules, which (1) do not admit of his drawing Presidency Allowance, and (2) limit the total of his pay and allowances to Rs 1,050, operate very hardly, and place him in an unfavorable position financially, as compared with what he would occupy if in Executive charge of a district up-country, as the cost of living is so much greater in Bombay. Moreover, under the operation of the rules referred to above, a 1st Grade Executive Engineer draws precisely the same total pay and allowances as a 2nd Grade Executive Engineer would do in the same appointment, though from his longer service and higher position in the Department he might reasonably expect higher emoluments.

5. His Excellency the Governor in Council had hoped that the maximum might have been raised from Rs. 1,050 to 1,150 per mensem, but, failing this, he is anxious that the Under Secretary, if a 1st Grade Executive Engineer—a condition which obtains at present and is often likely to occur in the future,—should not be a loser by selection for this important appointment, and he desires that the selection of a suitable Under Secretary may not be limited by the very natural unwillingness of senior officers to be put to extra expense on account of such selection.

6. I am accordingly to recommend strongly the small concession asked for above, *viz.*, the grant of free quarters which can be made available in an existing Government building, and to express a hope that the Government of India will be pleased to accord their sanction to this as a special case, and in consideration of the fact that the abolition of the posts of Joint Secretary and his Assistant, while leading to a large saving, has made it necessary that the Under Secretary of the Bombay Public Works Department should be a selected officer of long service and experience.

7. Should the concession be granted, the Under Secretary will, of course, forfeit his Presidency House Rent Allowance of Rs. 45 per mensem.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

T. D. LITTLE,
Secretary to Government.

Exd. R. W. L.

GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,

VI.—ACCOUNTS.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF INDIA,

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No. 270 A.—963.

Dated 17th June 1895.

Received

Recommends, for the favorable consideration of the Government of India, the inclusion, in the list of officers entitled to free quarters, of the Under Secretary to this Government in the Public Works Department, when the officer holding the appointment is not entitled, under the rules, to Presidency Allowance.

P. W. D. Press.—17-6-95.

20

No 2478 G.

Government of India
Public Works Department.

To,

The Secretary to the Government of Bombay,
Public Works Department

Simla, the 5th September 1895

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No: 270 A. 963, dated 17th June last, requesting sanction as a special case, to the grant to the Under Secretary to the Government of Bombay in the Public Works Department of free quarters in lieu of the house rent allowance of Rs 45 per mensem, in order that, in the event of the officer holding the appointment being a 1st grade Executive Engineer, he may not be a loser by the operation of the rules, which (1) do not admit of his drawing Presidency allowance, and (2) preclude the payment of the special local allowance, sanctioned for the Under Secretary, to any officer whose pay and allowances equal or exceed Rs 1050 a month

2.

11

2- In reply, I am to say that the Government of India consider that the effect of the proposed concession, if granted, would be practically an evasion of the existing orders which were approved by the Secretary of State, prescribing that an Executive Engineer shall not receive any local allowance as Under Secretary which would have the effect of raising his total salary above Rs 1,050.

3- After giving careful consideration to the several arguments urged by His Excellency the Governor-in-Council in favour of obtaining a relaxation of the above rule in favour of an Executive Engineer 1st Grade by granting a rent free house in place of the house rent allowance of Rs 145 a month, His Excellency the Governor General in Council regrets that he is unable to sanction the proposal, or to recommend the Secretary of State to relax the present orders on the subject.

I have &c
(Sd) J.A.D Walker
for Secy to the Govt of India

Documents accompanying
Nil.

(True copy)
J.D. Kolatkar
Assistant Secretary to Govt.

10.2.97
12 8/97

9

22

No. 153 E.—576 of 1896.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.
Bombay Castle, 18th April 1896.

FROM

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY.

TO

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

V.—Establishments.

SIR,

I am directed to invite reference to your letter No. 3318 G., dated 31st October 1894, in which it was stated in reply to my No. 217 E.—749, dated 1st May 1894, asking that the Government of India would be pleased to recommend to the Secretary of State the withdrawal of the restriction to Rs. 1,050 of the total pay and allowances of Under Secretaries, that, in view of the then existing financial position of the Government of India, His Excellency the Governor-General in Council considered that the time was inopportune for advocating any proposal of the above nature which would involve an increase of expenditure in Establishment charges.

2. I am to again invite attention to this matter, and to say that, in the opinion of this Government, the existing rule leads to practical administrative difficulties. These difficulties are probably greater in this Presidency than elsewhere, for, owing to the specially small strength of the Bombay Public Works Department Secretariat, it is essential that the Under Secretary should be an officer of experience, while the expenses and other disadvantages of living in Bombay make service in it very distasteful to many men.

3. Besides a Secretary and an Under Secretary, the Bombay Secretariat had, until a few years ago, a Joint Secretary for Irrigation with an Assistant. Now the two latter offices have been abolished, and the whole of the work with the heavy Irrigation expenditure in Sind has to be performed by the Secretary and the Under Secretary. This is only practicable, provided the Under Secretary is a man of special ability and experience; and it is essential that this Government should have the power of utilizing the services of officers in the 1st Grade of Executive Engineers, who now number one-half of the total of Executive Engineers. But, under the rules as they stand, a 1st Grade Executive Engineer only receives Rs. 50 extra pay for serving in Bombay, while men of the 2nd grade receive Rs. 200 and of the 3rd grade Rs. 245 additional, including Presidency allowance and house rent and special allowance. The total of Rs. 200 or Rs. 245 is sufficient to induce junior men to accept the position and to satisfy them, but in the case of senior men Rs. 50 is not enough to prove attractive, and the majority of officers would prefer to serve up-country rather than in Bombay, with so small an addition to their pay.

4. This Government will shortly have to face the difficulty referred to, and must either order a senior man to the Presidency, without reference to his inclination, or employ a junior officer. This, I am to submit, is a position which is undesirable in the public interests, and His Excellency the Governor in Council would again urge that the remedy suggested in my letter noted in margin may be sanctioned. Even with this, a 1st Grade Executive Engineer will only draw in Bombay an extra allowance of Rs. 145 per mensem as Under Secretary, while an Executive Engineer 2nd or 3rd Grade would draw Rs. 245 additional; but the very moderate concession suggested will, in the opinion of His Excellency, be sufficient to secure the willing services of a sufficient number of senior officers, and will thus remove the practical difficulties referred to.

No. 217 E.—749, dated 1st May 1894.

23

5. I am to point out that every 1st Grade Executive Engineer, selected for the position of Under Secretary, would, where Presidency allowances are granted, give a saving of Rs. 100 per mensem, as compared to the cost of a junior officer holding the same position, while the total maximum additional expenditure, which the concession would involve under any circumstances likely to occur in practice, would be very trifling.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

T. D. LITTLE,
Secretary to Government.

Exd. J. D. K.

GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

V.—ESTABLISHMENTS.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF INDIA,

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No. 153 E.—576.

Dated 18*th April* 1896.

Received

Urges, for reasons stated, that the restriction to Rs. 1,050 as salary of the Under Secretary in the Public Works Department may be withdrawn.

P. W. D. Press.—18-4-96.

W.D. 12-8-97

24

No 837 of 1897.

Public Works Department

Bombay Castle, 11th December 1897.

To

The Secretary to the

Government of Madras

Public Works Department

Sir,

In acknowledging the receipt of your letter No 3309 W, dated 26th November 1897,

Govt. of India letter No. 2474 G. dated	19-8-92
Bombay Govt. letter No. 3095-1438, dated	6-9-92
Govt of India letter No. 3346 G. dated	13-10-92
Bombay Govt. letter No. 2175-749, dated	1-5-94.
Govt of India letter No. 3318 G. dated	31-10-94
Bombay Govt letter No. 2704-963, dated	17-6-95
Govt of India letter No. 2478 G. dated	5-9-95
Bombay Govt letter No. 153 E-576, dated	18-4-96

I am directed to forward herewith copies of the marginally noted correspondence between the Government of India and the Government

25

Government on the subject of
the pay of Under Secretary
to Government in the Public
Works Department.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

H. Hutchinson

Secretary to Govt.

26

Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 1667

with 12488

From W^m. C. A. C. Burlton
Dy. Chief Engr. & Undersecy.
to Govt. P. W. Dept.

Order

No.

Dated 21st February 1898.

Received } 22. 2. 98
Registered }

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Local Allowance of Rs 100.
Requesting to move the Govt.
of India to sanction the ——
being made retrospective in this
case from the date of his
appointment.

Answer to
return

S.O. 24-2-98

Reg

O.L.S. 6 R 23/11

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
5285 C	6-12-97
3309 W	26-11-97
~~D.O.C. No 11~~	
234 W	20-1-96.
2545 W	16-10-95
2450 W	5-10-95
2331 W	19-9-95
2266 W	12-9-95
2199 W	5-9-95
655 C	19-2-95
425 W	19-2-95
491 C	8-2-95
91 W	15-1-95
3104 W	4-12-94
2950 W	16-11-94
1602 W	27-6-94

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

27

Ootacamund, Nilgiris,
21st February 1898.

From

Mr. C. H. B. Boulton,
Deputy Chief Engineer and Under Secretary
to Government, P. W. D. Madras (on leave)

To

The Secretary to Government,
P. W. D. Madras.

Sir,

I have the honour to respectfully invite attention to the Government of India's letter No. 1865 G. P.W.D. General, dated 15th July 1890 wherein it will be seen that the local allowance of Rs 100 per mensem was sanctioned for the Public Works Under-Secretaries of Bengal, the North West Provinces and Oudh, and of Burma with retrospective effect from 14th May '90. But in Madras the sanction is under the Government of India's letter No 2828 G. P.W.D. General, dated 29th August 1895, restricted in retrospective effect to the 12th August 1895. As I received my present appointment on 2nd April 1894 I thus find myself unfavourably placed compared with the Under-Secretaries of the above mentioned Provinces, and I would further represent that

from

from the date of my appointment until 6th February 1895 I was Executive Engineer 2d Grade when I might have materially benefited by the concession. Since then, being Executive Engineer 1st grade, my pay and allowances have, exclusive of the local allowance, approximated so closely to the Rs. 1050 maximum prescribed that the sanction of the Rs 100 has given me only Rs 5 extra when serving in Madras and Rs 50 at Ootacamund. In the former place, in fact, the aggregate drawn by me is precisely the same as though I were still in the 2d grade. With these points for consideration I should be most grateful for such steps being taken as may be necessary to approach the Government of India with a view to the sanction to the local allowance of Rs. 100 being made retrospective in my case from the date of appointment thus placing me on an equal footing with the Public Works Under Secretaries in the Provinces aforesaid.

2. I would also respectfully submit for consideration the question whether Presidency house rent, Rs 45, might not be eliminated from the maximum of Rs 1050 a month,

for

for my pay plus local allowance being Rs 1100 subject to that maximum, I am virtually deprived of the house rent that other officers draw.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,
(Sd). C.H.B. Burlton.
Dy Chief Engineer and Under Secretary
to Govt. P.W.D. Madras.

Note.

It having come to notice in June 1894 that a special allowance of Rs. 100 per mensem, in addition to pay of grade, was granted to Under Secretaries in all branches of the P.W. department in other provinces, while Madras officers in similar positions drew only the pay of their grades, the Government of India was requested in letter No. 1602 W dated 27th June '94 to sanction a similar local allowance to the Under Secretaries in the General, Irrigation and Railway — branches of the P.W. Department in - this Presidency.

2. Reminders were sent to the --- Government of India on 16th November 1894, 15th January 1895 and 5th September 1895, and finally in a letter dated 29th August 1895, which reached this office on 7th September 1895, the sanction of the Secretary of -- State to the grant of the allowance was communicated by the Government of India.

3. It was added that, as in the -- case of P.W. Under Secretaries serving in other provinces, the sum of pay, presidency allowance, house rent, & the local allowance, was not to exceed Rs. 1,050 per month. It was also added that the sanction would have effect

effect from the 12th August 1895, the date of receipt of the Secretary of State's sanction by the Government of India.

4. In letter No.2450 W dated 5th October 1895, the Government of India was asked whether the allowance might be passed from the 27th June 1894, the date on which it was applied for by the Madras Government, considerable delay having occurred in the disposal of the question by the Government of India. The reply (No. 43 G dated 6th January 1896) was a refusal to give retrospective effect to the sanction, as in the opinion of the Government of India, no special hardship to the officers concerned was involved.

5. It has been ascertained however that the allowance was granted to P.W. Under Secretaries in Bengal, N.W. Provinces & Oudh, & Burma with effect from the 14th May 1890.

6. The restriction of the total pay & allowances of an Under Secretary to Rs. 1,050 per mensem, has the effect, in the case of an Executive Engineer 1st grade, of giving hardly any extra allowance at all. The pay of an ~~Assistant~~ Executive Engineer 1st grade being Rs. 1,000 per mensem, and his Presidency house rent Rs.45 per mensem, he can draw only Rs.5 out of the special allowance of Rs.100 per mensem.

7.

7. Mr. Burlton, the present senior Under Secretary in the P.W. Department, is an Executive Engineer 1st grade, and thus draws only Rs.5 per mensem -- extra allowance. When he joined the appointment on 2nd April 1894 he was an Executive Engineer 2nd grade, and if the extra allowance had been -- granted to Madras officers at the -- time it was given to Under Secretaries in other provinces, viz, in May 1890, he might have benefitted by the concession to the extent of Rs.55 per mensem from 2nd April 1894 to the 6th February 1895, when he was -- promoted to the 1st grade. His request that the allowance should in his case be granted with retrospective effect from the date he took up the appointment of Under Secretary seems reasonable, considering that Under Secretaries in other provinces were actually in receipt of the allowance at the time.

8. The restriction of total pay and allowances to Rs. 1,050 as above stated bears hardly on an Executive Engineer 1st grade, and it seems only right that house rent should be excluded from the calcu lation. From papers received from the Bombay -- Government, it will be seen that the question is being contested by that

Government

Government also, and a representation on the point from this Government will add force to the matter.

W. 19. 3. 98.

Cm

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

[43 / 509]

C. No. 12488 of 97
& 16677 '98

SUBJECT.

~~HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR.~~

~~THE HON'BLE SIR HENRY BLISS, K.C.I.E.~~

~~THE HON'BLE MR. GROSE, C.I.E.~~

FOR ORDERS.

1. I beg to reply as follows to His Excellency's remarks on -- Note for orders dated 19-3-98.

(a) The words "inequality of --- treatment" will be substituted for "injustice".

(4) It is submitted that the necessity for the concession is admitted in Govt. of ~~Madras~~ India's × letter No. 2428 G of 29th August 1895, & it is evident from the fact that the concession had been granted simultaneously to Under Secretaries at Calcutta, Rangoon and Allahabad that the expensiveness of the head-quarters was not one of the reasons for granting it. Calcutta and Rangoon are more expensive places than Madras, but Allahabad is not, and it is therefore unnecessary to take ~~up~~ the question of the comparative cost of living at the various head-quarters into consideration in connection with this question.

× Flag E.

Write either to India accordingly
16.4.98

W
Draft letter
issues accordingly
S.S.
18/4/98

The reason given by India for dating the concession to Madras from 12th ~~May~~ August 1895 is that that is the date of receipt of the Secretary of State's despatch sanctioning the concession. There may be some rule to this effect but it is very hard on Madras officers to enforce it in their case because although the same concession had been granted in 1890 to the Bengal, Burmah & N.W.P. Govts. India took 14 months to dispose of a similar application from Madras. This seems to be treating Madras with rather scant courtesy. I submit therefore that the para as written is an accurate representation of the case. I propose to tone it down as follows:- " I am now directed to state that this Government considers the hardship involved to be very real, and is unable to understand the principle on which an allowance which was sanctioned to the Under Secretaries of Bengal, North West Provinces and Burmah in 1890 is withheld from the Under Secretaries of Madras until August 1895. If it is usual to grant such concessions from the date of the Secretary of State's despatch sanctioning them, I am to point out that to enforce this rule in

I think this needs further toning down. Mr. Burlton is asking for payment of this allce for a period anterior even to our request for it. Mr. B. entered on the Office on 2 Apl. 1894. Our letter was of 27 June 1894, and the Sec. of State's sanction was rec'd on 29 Aug. 1895. We are bound by it to print it & there is so much unpleasantness that they will not be thrown back. Besides, the delay of 14 mos. was not all due to India's delay. There was the Sec. of State's also. I think it will be well to omit the passage I have marked marginally with red ink.

H.S.H.

in the present instance bears very hardly on the Under Secretaries of Madras, because of the fact that the Government of India took 14 months to dispose of this Government's application for the grant of a concession which was throughout that period in force elsewhere. This Government, therefore, supports Mr. Burlton's application that the grant of the allowance may date from 2nd April 1894 the date of his appointment to his present office".

(c) The case referred to in His Excellency's remarks on paras 4 & 5 lies on a totally different basis. At some period anterior to 1892, the Bombay Government appears to have abolished the post of Chief Engineer for Irrigation and to have given his duties to the Secretary and Under Secretary, the latter of whom drew Rs. 250 staff salary in consideration of the extra duties imposed upon him. It was India's proposal to reduce this allowance from Rs. 250 to Rs. ~~200~~ 100 which ~~evolved~~ evoked Bombay's protest and made India retaliate with the remark that the office is really that of an Under Secretary. India has nowhere said or implied that it considers an Executive Engineer, 1st grade, too senior an officer for the post of Under

Under Secretary, P.W.D., and it is certain that it does not think so. As a matter of fact ~~fine~~ Under Secretar*ies* in the P.W.D. in India at this moment ~~are~~ Executive Engineer*s* 1st grade, and except for short periods on 2 occasions when junior officers acted for the permanent incumbents while on privilege leave, there has only been one instance in the last 20 years of an officer junior to that rank having been Under Secretary, P.W.D., in Madras. The Under Secretary in Bombay has, no doubt, more onerous work than any Under Secretary elsewhere, because of his Irrigation duties, and the question of his increased emoluments is, it is noted, still before the Govt. of India. We do not claim any such increase, but only a concession that will prevent an Executive Engineer, 1st grade, losing money by ~~the~~ accepting the appointment of Under Secretary. I therefore propose to leave para 4 as it is, with the addition of the following words:-

" Where Govt. quarters are provided for an officer it is laid down that in no case must the rent exceed 10 per cent of his pay. A house in Madras suitable for an Under Secretary to occupy is not obtainable for less than Rs. 150 per mensem, which exceeds 14 per cent of his pay. Thus his appointment as

I take no objection to this proposal. But, I doubt the consent of the G. of India. Hitherto Govt. has maintained throughout that the pay of Under Secs. did not exceed Rs. 1050, and I do not think it likely they will depart from that ground, in respect of House rent. R.H. 15.4.98.

as Under Secretary obliges an Executive Engineer, 1st grade, to break the above rule and makes his appoint ment an additional expense to him, whereas, if granted, the house rent of his rank, viz, Rs. 45 per mensem, the cost of his house does not exceed 10 per cent of his pay."

2. I may add that I spoke to Mr. Higham on this subject when he was in Madras, and he thought it very pro bable that the house rent would be sanctioned by India. I do not quite know which the papers referred to by His Excellency as flagged (2), (3) & (4) are, as no such flags could be found, but I presume them to be those now so flagged in this office.

W 11.4.98

Ex d

39

List of Officers who have served as Under Secretary P.W.D. for the last 25 years.

Name	Rank
1. Major A. deC. Scott, R.E.	Executive Engineer, 1st grade
2. Capt. P. Montgomerie, R.E	—— do —— 2nd "
3. Col. E.A. Foord, R.E.	Superintending Engineer, 1st.
4. Col. W.T.J. Farewell, R.E.	—— do —— do ——
5. Major J. Pennycuick, R.E.	Executive Engineer, 1st grade
6. Capt. C.C. Rawson, R.E.	—— do —— 4th "
7. Lt-Col. Ross Thompson, R.E	—— do —— 1st "
8. Major C. Bowen, R.E	—— do —— do ——
9. Capt. D. McNeil Campbell, R.E	—— do —— do ——
10. Capt. W.L.C. Baddeley, R.E	—— do —— 2nd grade
11. Major O.V. Boddy, R.E	—— do —— 1st "
12. Hon. C.H.B. Burlton	—— do —— do ——
13. " J.B. Murray	—— do —— 3rd grade

N 30.3.98

+ Was 2 years acted for short periods in Gen. leave vacancies.

CH 30.3.98

[4 800-14-10-97.]

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in proceedings :—

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.

Current No. 12488 of 1897, dated 16th December, from the Secretary to the Govt. of Bombay.

" " 1667 " 1898, " 24th February, " Mr. C. H. B. Burlton., Dy. Chief Engr. & Under Secy, P.W.D

" " " 189 , "

No 105-4W 26-4-98

ORDER.

The Government of India will be addressed.

(H.E. No. 1055W d/ 26th March 98)

To

The Joint Secretary to the Government of India,

P.W. Department.

Sir,

I am directed to forward for the orders of the Government of India the accompanying letter received from Mr. C.H.B. Burlton, Executive Engineer 1st grade, & Under Secretary to this Government in the P.W. Department, in which he prays that sanction to the grant of his local allowance as Under Secretary may commence from the date of his appointment to that office, viz, 2nd April 1894, instead of from the date (12th August 1895) sanctioned in your letter No. 2428 & 2725 dated and that he may be given, while in Madras, the house rent due to his rank in addition to the Rs. 1,050, which

Y 50 2266 W-12-9-95

which has been fixed by the Government of India as the maximum pay of an Under Secretary.

2. I am to invite your attention to the correspondence noted in the margin, which has passed between the two Governments on this question, and to point out that the first application made by this Government for the grant of this local allowance was dated 27th June 1894, whereas the Government of India letter according sanction thereto was dated 29th August 1895, so that a delay of 14 months was allowed to occur in sanctioning the allowance, although during the whole of that period and for some years previously, the Under Secretaries in other Provinces were in receipt thereof.

This ~~injustice~~ to the under Secretaries serving in ~~India~~ Madras was brought to the notice of the Government of India at the time in letter No. 2450 W dated 5th October 1895, but it was considered by that Government that no 'special hardship' was involved, and the request to antedate the grant of the allowance to 24th June 1894 was refused.

3. I am now directed to state that this Government is ~~wholly~~ unable to understand the principle on -- which an allowance which was sanctioned to the Under Secretaries of Bengal

To Govt: of India No. 1650 W dt 27.6.94.
— do — " 2350 W " 16.11.94.
From Govt: of India " 3553 W dt 24.11.94.
To — do — " 91 W " 15.1.95.
From — do — " 342 W " 6.2.95.
To — do — " 2195 W " 5.8.95.
From — do — " 2425 W " 29.8.95.

Bengal, N.W.P. & Burmah ~~on 15th July~~ in 1890, is withheld from the Under -- Secretaries of Madras until ~~18th~~ -- August 1895. This Government therefore ~~most strongly~~ supports Mr. Burlton's application that the grant of the allowance may date from 2nd April 1894, the date of his appointment to ~~the~~ his present office.

4. Mr. Burlton's second request is that he may be allowed to draw the Presidency house rent of his rank in addition to the maximum pay of Rs. 1,050 laid down for Under Secretaries. With regard to this I am directed to say that, as will be seen from the comparative table attached, the maximum limit of Rs. 1,050 has the effect of placing an Executive Engineer 1st grade, who may have 25 year's service, on a par as regards pay of an officer of much superior standing. The maximum limit of pay prescribed has no ill effect in the case of the Under Secretaries of the Irrigation and Railway Branches, because such officers are generally -- selected from the 2nd or 3rd grade of Executive Engineers, but I am to point out that for the senior Under Secretary in the P.W.D. it is very necessary to select an officer of great experience and professional knowledge, that is to say he should be

be, and generally is, selected from the 1st grade of Executive Engineers. But, as shown by the comparative statement attached, his selection for this important post brings to an officer of this grade only Rs. 5 per mensem increase of pay, if he happens to be under 4 year's service in the grade, and a loss of Rs. 45 per mensem if he is of 4 year's service or over. The practical effect of this is that Executive Engineers 1st grade, who are otherwise eminently fitted for the post, are unwilling to accept it because they get no additional recompense for the expense of living at the Presidency town.

5. I am therefore directed to request that this application also may be favourably considered, and the words 'house rent' eliminated from the orders on the subject.

I have etc.,

W. 19.5.08

(explanation, &c attached)

Where Govt. quarters are provided for an officer it is laid down that in no case should the rent exceed 10 per cent of his pay. A house in Madras suitable for an Under Secretary to occupy is not obtainable for less than Rs 150 per mensem which exceeds 14 per cent of his pay. Thus his appointment as Under Secy obliges an Executive Engineer 1 grade to break the above rule, and makes his appointment an additional expense to him, whereas if granted the house rent of his rank - viz Rs 45 per mensem the cost of his house does not exceed 10 per cent of his pay.

10.5.08

Comparative Statement showing emoluments of various officers serving at the Presidency Town.

	Executive Engineer, 1st grade.			Ex. Engineer, 2nd grade.	Ex. Engineer, 3rd grade.
	Under 4 Years.	Over 4 Years.	As Under Secretary P.W.D.	As Under Secretary	As Under Secy.
Pay.....	1,000	1,050	1,000	850	700
House Rent.....	45	45	45	45	45
Local Allowance.			5	55	100
Presidency Allowance...				100	100
T o t a l.	1,045	1,095	1,050	1,050	945

Note for the Secretary.

With you kindly have the accompanying office note + rough Copies of Drafts Councils bills G.O.Nos. 1054-55 W of 28.4.98. files with the Original

C. Ramachandra Rao
9-3-16.
Subdt-
Record Section Clerk
9.3.16

Office notes + rough Copies of drafts connected with Go Nos 1054-55 W of 28—4—98

8/2/16

Office Copy

Tattapallam,
Nilgiris
Feb.y 21st 1898

From Mr. C.H.D. Burkitt
Deputy Chief Engineer and Under Secretary
to Government P.W.D. Madras. (on leave)

To The Secretary to Government,
P.W.D. Madras.

~~Feb.y 21st 1898~~

Sir,

I have the honour to respectfully invite attention to the Government of India's letter No. 1865 G - P.W.D. General - dated 15th July 1890 wherein it will be seen that the local allowance of Rs.100 per mensem was sanctioned for the P.W. Under Secretaries of Bengal, the North-West Provinces and Oudh, and of Burma with retrospective effect from 14th May 1890. But in Madras the sanction is, under the Government of India's letter No. 2828 G - P.W.D. General - dated 29th August 1895, restricted in retrospective effect to 12th August 1895. As I received my

Can you tell me what the rule is about the limit of pay drawn by D.Es. & the reason for it. I understand that he is the only officer at the Presidency who does not draw House Rent - which seems very anomalous. Also why an Asst. Engr. 1st grade on Rs 1050 occupies the post, he cannot nothing by it in any way if the limit is Rs 1050 per mo

his pay

2266W
12.9.95
P.W.D.
2.10.95

present appointment on 2nd April 1894 I th
find myself unfavourably placed compared
with the Under Secretaries of the above mentioned
Provinces, and I would further represent that
from the date of my appointment until 6th
February 1895 I was Executive Engineer 2nd
Grade when I might have materially benefited
by the concession. Since then, being Executive
Engineer 1st Grade, my pay and allowances
have approximated so closely to the Rs. 1050
exclusive of the local allowance, to the
maximum prescribed that the sanction of
the Rs. 100 has given me only Rs. 50 when serving in
Madras and Rs. 50 at Votacamund. In the
former place, in fact, the aggregate drawn by
me is precisely the same as when I was still
in the 2nd Grade. With these points ~~before~~ for
consideration I should be most grateful for such steps being taken
as may be necessary to the approach the
Government of India with a view to the
sanction to the local allowance of Rs. 100 being
made retrospective in my case from the
date of my appointment, thus placing
me on an equal footing with the Public Work

Under Secretaries in the Provinces aforesaid.

2. I would also respectfully submit for consideration the question whether Presidency house rent - Rs. 45. might not be eliminated from the maximum of Rs. 1050 a month, for the ~~month~~ purposes of my pay plus local allowance being Rs. 100 subject to that maximum. I am virtually deprived of the house rent that other officers draw.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

C. H. D. Burton
Deputy Chief Engineer & Under Secretary
to the Madras Govt. P.W.D. (on leave).

Sir,

from time to time each time
Calcutta time here made
owing the lapse of
Rs 2 — respectively
3 "
as under Secy
Please see there
last up.

7-3-98

W

Submitted - please
see slip flagged M.

7-3-98

No.

Government of Madras.

DEPARTMENT.

MEMORANDUM.

Dated Madras, 189

The Govt of India will be addressed Sir, I am directed to forward for the order of the Govt of India the accompanying letter received from the C. & M. Auditor, Calcutta, dated, & under Secretary to the Govt in the P. W. D., in which he brings to sanction the grant of his local allowance as Under Secretary may commence from the date of the appointment to that office by 2nd April 1894, instead of from the date (12 August 1895) sanctioned in my letter No. dated, and that he may be given the same rank in addition to the ~~maximum~~ of Rs 1050, which has been fixed by the Govt of India as the maximum pay of an Under Secretary.

2. I am to invite your attention to the correspondence noted in the margin, which has passed between the two Govts on this question, & to point out that the post appointment made by this Govt to the grant of this local allowance has

P. W. 41
1,000—14-7-97

dated 27 June 1894, whereas the Govt of India letter according sanction thereto was dated 29 August 1895, so that a delay of 14 months has almost to occur in sanctioning the allowance, although during the whole of that period for some years previous the Under Secretaries in the Provinces were in receipt thereof. This injustice to the Under Secretaries serving in Madras was urged by the Govt of India at the time in letter No 2450 dated 5 Oct 1895, but it was considered that no special hardship was involved, & the request to antedate the grant of the allowance to 29 June 1894 was refused.

3. I am now directed to state that in the opinion of this Govt the recent landslip is involved in opening to grant to Under Secretaries in Madras the same privilege as the Govt of India to allow the Govt is wholly unable to understand the principle on which an allowance which was sanctioned to the Under Secretaries of Bengal, N W P & Assam on 16 July 1890, is withheld from the Under Secretaries of Madras until 12 August 1895. The Govt therefore must think & requests Mr Hamilton will consider that the grant of the allowance may date from 2 April 1894, the date of his appointment to this present office.

No.

Government of Madras.

DEPARTMENT.

MEMORANDUM.

Dated Madras, 189 .

4. Mr Hamilton second request is that he may be allowed to draw the increment of this rank in addition to the maximum pay of Rs 1050 can be understood. In regard to this I am directed to say that, as will be seen from the comparative table attached, the maximum limit of Rs 1050 for the object of placing an Collector 1st grade, who may have 25 years service, on a par as regards pay with an Collector II grade who may have only 16 years service & while an Collector III grade who may have only 11 years service, can draw within his cost for increment of the pay of an officer of much inferior standing. The maximum limit of pay secured by such object in the case of the Under Secretaries of the Irrigation & Railway Branches, because such officers are generally selected from the 2nd or 3rd grades of Collectors

P. W. 41.
1,000—14-7-97

but I am to point out that in the Senior Under Secy in the O[ffice] W[orks] it is very necessary to select an officer of great experience & superior knowledge, that in two say he should be a specialist, selected from the 1st grade of Engineers. But, as there is the comparative element attached, this selection ~~from this~~ must be an officer of this grade of Rs 500 per mensem increasing by Rs 50 if he attains to be of under 4 years service in his grade, & ~~no increase shall~~ a loss of Rs 50 per mensem if he is of 4 years service or over. The practical effect of this is that officers of the Secy type will hardly be found willing to accept it for fear they get no additional remuneration for the extreme duties of the Pending time. I am therefore directed to request that this application may be favourably considered & the words 'immediately' eliminated from the order in the 1st Para.

9.5.98

Ld.
Please write a note to go to the Govt when forwarding this case

9.5.98

No.

Government of Madras.

DEPARTMENT.

MEMORANDUM.

Dated Madras, 189

[P. W. 41.
1,000—14-7-97]

1667

DW

as ordered by W at the end of his rough draft on this case, a "note" for his Secy. has been added to the draft Pros.

For orders whether the file should now go to W to Camp, or be kept over until his return from tour.

CG
14/3/98

It may be kept over till W's return.

CLOWS
15.3.98.

[4 / 500-14-10-97.]

DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in proceedings :—

Again G.O., dated			189 , No.
Again G.O., dated			189 , No.
Current No.	of 189 , dated		, from
,, ,,	,, 189 , ,,		, ,,
,, ,,	,, 189 , ,,		, ,,

ORDER.

D.W.

1. Please let me have a list of the officers who have served as Under Secy D.W.D. in the last 25 years with their rank.

2. I don't see the letter mentioning W.S. as played 2, 3 & 4.

25.3.98

DW

(1) List submitted.

(2) Sir Hayes-Fisher (2)(3) & (4) referred to by W., appears to have been posted at Govt. House, & taken off again before the file

was returned to this office. Mr. apparently means the papers which have now been marked (2) (3) & (4) - the blue pencil marks on the papers appear to have been made at Govt House.

Ch
30/3/28

W.
Submitted
with
31.3.28.

.....DEPARTMENT.

C. No.....

HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR.
THE HON'BLE SIR HENRY BLISS, K.C.I.E.
THE HON'BLE MR. GROSE, C.I.E.

SUBJECT.

Fair

FOR ORDERS.

1. I beg to offer an explanation to His remarks in Note in orders dated 19.3.28

(a) The words 'migrating treatment' will be substituted for 'importing'

(b) It is submitted that the necessity for the concession is admitted in Govt of India letter No 2428 F. of 29 Aug 1895, & it is evident, from the fact that the concession have been granted since to under lessees of lands at Calcutta Rangoon and Allahabad that the representation of the head planters was

Flag.

[P. W. 43.
500—27-1-28.]

not me of the reasons
for granting it. Calcutta
& Rangoon are more
defensible places than
Madras, but Akyab is
not, since it is thought
I think unnecessary to take
the granting of the Cachar
Tea out of the
Tea Lands Act in connection
with this petition.
The reasons given by India
for asking the Concessions
to Madras from 12 May 1891
is that due to the date
of receipt of the Tea
State despatch sanctioning
the Concessions. There may
be some ground for this objection
but it is very hard on
Madras officials to force
effect to it in their case
because although the Cachar
Concessions Land Rules
granted in 1890 to the
Bengal Assam & 1880
Govts, India took 14

.....DEPARTMENT.

C. No.....

SUBJECT.

HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR.

THE HON'BLE SIR HENRY BLISS, K.C.I.E.

THE HON'BLE MR. GROSE, C.I.E. 2

FOR ORDERS.

months to despise of a
similar application from
Madras. This seems to
be treating Madras in the
rather scant courtesy.
I submit therefore that the
para as written is an
accurate representation
of the Case. I propose
to time it remains
for him.

I am now directed to
report a reconsideration
of this order. The special
hardship involved is
the fact that the Govt of
India took 14 months to
despise further grounds
for the necessity of the
Concessions being admitted

I am now directed to state
that this Govt considers
the hardship involved to
be very real, & is unable
to understand the
principle on which an
allowance which has

[P. W. 43.
500—27-1-98.]

the Under Secretaries of Madras became of the fact that the Govt of India took the words to grant to Madras a concession granted 4 years previously to Bengal, Bombay & the N-W Provinces.

Landed to the Under Secretaries of Bengal, Madras & Bombay in 1870 is withheld from the Under Secretaries of Madras until August 1875. It is usual to [illegible] such concessions to the Secretaries of State for the Secretaries

(2) The Govt of India took the words to grant to Madras a concession granted 4 years previously to Bengal, Bombay & the N-W Provinces.

something more, seems to point out that to enforce this rule in the present instance Lears Lay Lord & the Under Secretaries of Madras became of the fact that the Govt of India took the words to grant to Madras a concession of the application of a concession which was thought not [illegible] to Bengal in free charter. The Govt therefore objects to Mr Bartleau's application that the grant of the allowance may date from 2 April 1874, the date of his appointment to this present office"

DEPARTMENT.

C. No.

SUBJECT.

HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR.
THE HON'BLE SIR HENRY BLISS, K.C.I.E.
THE HON'BLE MR. GROSE, C.I.E.

FOR ORDERS.

(c) The case referred to in the remarks in Paras 4 & 5 [illegible] is totally different basis at time hence entirely to 1872. The Govt of India appear to have submitted the Govt of C & L by Mysore were pres his Duke to the Chief Secretary & to the Undersecretary, the latter of whom drew Rs 250 staff salary in consideration of the extra duties imposed upon him. It was the Govt of India proposed to reduce this allowance from Rs 250 to Rs 100 which evoked Mr Lay's

[P. W. 45. 500—27-1-08.]

interest & made India
estimate whether remark
that the Press is really
that of our Indian Secretary
India. Lastly there remains
that it considers whether
Engr. Corps ought to remain
our Press whether part
of Indian Secretary & W.D.
It is certain that it
does not think so.
As a matter of fact every
Under Secretary in the
W.D. in India at this
moment is entirely
employed, & except for
short periods on occasions
when Junior Officers acted
in the permanent vacancy
- both while the Foreign Clerk,
there has not been
instance in the last 20
years of an Officer Junior
to that rank being left
Under Secy of W.D. to make
the Under Secy in Simla
has, no doubt, more
routine work than any

SKW
5/4/18

Department.

C. No.

SUBJECT.

HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR.
THE HON'BLE SIR HENRY BLISS, K.C.I.E.
THE HON'BLE MR. GROSE, C.I.E.

4.

FOR ORDERS.

Under Secy elsewhere became
of this Corps duties.
The pecuniary
interest emoluments
is, it is stated, little
before the Govt of India.
He does not claim any
such interest, but my
a concession that will
interest Under Secys as
Calcy we paid by giving
money by accepting the
appointment of Under
Secy. I therefore hope
to leave para 4 as
it is with the
addition of the following
words
"& where Govt grants

[P. W. 43.
800—27-1-18.]

are invested in an office
It is laid down that
in no case must the
rent exceed 10 per
cent of his pay. A
house not in the hades
suitable for an Under
Secretary to occupy
is not obtainable
less than Rs 150 per
mensem, which is
exceeds 14 per cent of
his pay. Thus his
appointment as Under
Secy ships are by
rule to break the
above rule, whereas if
granted the "honorarium
of this rank, viz
Rs 100 per mensem, the
cost of this house
does not exceed 10% of
his pay."

10.5) 150 (14
105
450
420
300

1st under this appointment an additional scheme to him

2. I may add that I
spoke to him of this
matter when he
was in Madras, & he
thought it very probable
that the house rent bill

[illegible]

DEPARTMENT.

C. No.

SUBJECT.

HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR.
THE HON'BLE SIR HENRY BLISS, K.C.I.E.
THE HON'BLE MR. GROSE, C.I.E.

4 5

FOR ORDERS.

He cannot by rules.
I do not quite know
what the latter reference
to by the [illegible]
[illegible] are, as he such
allowance can be found
I presume them to be
those and to played
in this office.

2-11-20

[P. W. 43.
500—27-1-48.]

S.H.W.

With reference to the remark "every Under Secty in the P.W.D. in India at this moment is an Executive Engineer 1st grade", please see accompanying list of the 9 Under Secretaries in Bengal, N.W.P. and Oudh, with Kashmir, Punjab and Burma, Under ort from the Latest Classified List available. It will be seen that of the 9 Under secretaries, 4 are of the 1st grade, 4 of the 2d grade and only 1 of the 3d grade.

The Bombay classified list is probably with us and is not available in the Office, but the Correspondence in the file shows that they consider that an Officer of the Standing of an Ex-Engr 1st grade is necessary for the post of P.W.D. Under Secretary in Bombay.

Submitted. 5/4/98.

Dw
His note has been

Under Secretaries in Bengal.

(Ex Engrs 1st grade)

E.R. Gardiner Lt-Col. Ex Engr Branches 2nd
T.H. Clowes. Asst Engr — 3rd Branches.
W.B. Gwyther Civil Works 2nd Branch.

N.W. Provinces & Oudh

W.G. Wood. Bro Hd Qrs Ex Engr Branches 2nd
W.B. Gordon Irrigation Branch — 1st

Punjab

E. Dukane Smith, Under Secy, Ex Engr 1st
L.M. Jacob. Under Secy Irrign Ex Engr Branch. 1st

Burma.

C.E. Houston, Under Secy. Ex Engr Office Burma. 1st
E.A.W. Phillips. Under Secy Ex Engr Lower Burma. 2nd

W
5/4/98.

W.
Submitted. So that you may see this before signing the fair copy of your note.

Curzon
5.4.98.

P.S. Please see following note

Mr. Curzon
Before sanctioning this back
I would please let me
know whether there is
any Deputy-Civil Engineer
in India who is not
in Executive Engr 1st grade.

CLWS
5.4.98.

W.
The classified List
does not show that there
is no officer with the
title of "Deputy
Civil Engineer" in any of
the Provinces for which
Under Secretaries has
been appointed.
Under Secretaries are
usually "Engineers" or "Per-
manent Officers" of the

Indexed. W

[P. W. 25. 1,000—2-3-98]

Mr. ...

Before any thing else
I would please let me
know whether there is
any Deputy Chief Engineer
in India who is not
Executive Engr. 1st grade.

CWPM
5.4.98.

The classified list
does not show that there
is any officer with the
designation of "Deputy
Chief Engineer" in any of
the offices for which the
Executive Engineers be
appointed.

The Executive Engineers are
designated as "Divisional" or "Per-
manent Way" Officers.