

Public Works (1897) Department.

G.O., No. 3128 W

DATED 10 Nov 1897

[Despatch Abstract.]

Mr. P. M. Cabral, Oolacorum informed with reference to his petition, that the claims of his late father were rejected in E.O. No. 4532 of 21. Sept. 1882 and that the terms of that order cannot be reconsidered.

16/8/89

20 The Petitioner
with 27 enclos: D 11/11/97

M. P. M. Cabral.

Read the following:—

Petition from Mr. P. M. Cabral of Botacamund Sibuy
 And at: thereon by the Private Secretary to A.B. the Governor
 of 2^d August 1897.

FOR ORDERS.

The petitioner, Mr.
 P. M. Cabral, prays for the
 grant of arrears of pay &
 pension which he believes
 to have been due to his
 late father Mr. M. A.
 Cabral.

2. The case of the father
 was briefly as follows:—

Having held the ap-
 -pointment of storekeeper
 in the Supty: Engineers
 office 7th division from
 1st July 1863 to 12th July 1864,
 he was on the latter date
 while drawing Rs. 50 per mensem,
 reduced an account of
 inefficiency, to a lower

FOR ORDERS.

post on ~~Rs~~ 40 per mensem. On the 1st March 1865, or nearly 8 months afterwards, he was suspended, and subsequently dismissed; but on appeal, the Government considering that he was somewhat harshly dealt with, directed his reinstatement in his former post, which was accordingly done. He considered that he should have been restored to ~~Rs~~ 50/ per mensem, but it is observed that the order of Government no 1679 of 28 May 1866 took no cognisance of his reduction in July 1864, and his reemployment on ~~Rs~~ 40/ per mensem was specially reported to and approved by the Government in its order

* Pros: of Govt: no 1679 of 28 May 1866. Day '5'

* Pros: of Govt: no 258 of 28 August 72. Day '10'

* Pros: of Govt: no 483 of 25 October 1878, Pension sept: Day '11'

no 2791 dated 3rd September 1866.

Again, on the 31st December 1871, Mr Cabral was discharged on a gratuity under the 55 years order, but subsequently, as an act of grace, the Government directed that opportunity should be taken in the reorganisation of the P. W. Department to reemploy him in one of the new offices for the period of time necessary to qualify him for a pension. The only appointment then found to be available for him was a clerkship on ~~Rs~~ 35/ per mensem, and this post he held from the 1st December 1872 to the 31st December 1877, when he retired on pension.

In August 1882, Mr Cabral submitted a petition to Government praying for difference of pay between

FOR ORDERS.

50 and 40 from 12 July 10
the date of his reduction
18 to 31st December 1871, the date
of his discharge on gratuity;
to full pay at ~~Rs~~ 50 per
mensem from the latter
date to 30 November 1872,
the period during which
he was out of employ; for
difference of pay between
~~Rs~~ 50 and 35 from 1st
December 1872 to 31st
December 1877, the date
of his retirement, and for
pension calculated on a
salary of ~~Rs~~ 50 per mensem.

R. 897

R. 550

R. 915
R. 2362

R. 16-10-8 instead of
R. 11-10-8

It was then decided in
G.O. No 2453-W of 21st
September 1882, that as the
order of May 1866, directing
his reinstatement in the
service, had nothing to do
with his reduction in
July 1864 from ~~Rs~~ 50 to
~~Rs~~ 40, he had no title to
the former rate of pay; and

May X

G.O. 679 of 28.5.1886
May 5

vide annexures
to the petition
& May 'N'

May 'III'

on ~~Rs~~ 35 per mensem,
on 1st December 1872, was a
special indulgence, without
which he could not have
earned a pension at all,
his pension was rightly
calculated on the lower
rate of pay.

From this decision Mr
Cabral appealed to the
Viceroy, and it will be
seen from letter No 60 G.
1st Feb: 1886, in the file
that the Govt: of India
considered that the orders
of the Madras Govt: were
right, and could not be
interfered with.

3. He then appealed to the
Secretary of State in May 1887,
but the transmission of
the appeal was withheld
under the provisions of the
memorial rules (G.O. No
1870-W of 7 July 1887).

4. In September 1895, Mr
P. M. Cabral, the son, sub-
mitted a petition

for majesty the Queen,
praying for the grant of
the money supposed to be
due to his deceased father,
but this petition also was
withheld (G.O. No 2689 W
of 28 October 1895.)

5. His present petition to
His Excellency the Governor
is to the same effect, and
it is proposed to tell him
that his father's case was
finally settled in G.O.
No 2453-W of 21st September
1882, and that that order
cannot be reconsidered.
A draft G.O. is submitted
accordingly.

16/8/97
W

FOR ORDERS.

Public (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 7910

Rs 4-8-97



Asim Esqes
Ag Private Secretary to
the Governor.

Order

No.

Dated 2nd August 1897

Received 4.8.97

Registered 9.8.97

Enclosures 27

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Transfers a petition of 31st May
1897 from P. H. Cabral Cabocam
praying for a re-consideration
of his case.

(By order)

Ag Private Secretary
12

transferred
5/8/97
14/8/97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

To,

HIS EXCELLENCY THE RIGHT HONOURABLE,
SIR ARTHUR ELIBANK HAVELOCK,
GOVERNOR IN COUNCIL,
FORT SAINT GEORGE,
OOTACAMUND.

The humble Petition of P.M. Cabral,
"Alexandra House", Ootacamund.

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:-

Vide list enclosed.

That in submitting the marginally noted papers, he most humbly begs, for a re-consideration of the most unfortunate case of his Father and himself, particulars of which, will be found on perusal of the enclosed list. The petitioner is now in extremely reduced circumstances, and craves grace, under the benevolent hands of Government, as a concession granted to him, on the occasion of the Diamond Jubilee of Her Most Gracious Majesty, to reconsider his case, which deserves Your Excellency's attention. Troubled by poverty and shocked by the apathy of Government, he has delayed the re-submission of the above papers, which he however does now, on the occasion of the Queen's Diamond Jubilee. Respectfully craving a gracious consideration, of his most pitiable case.

He begs to remain,
Your Excellency's Most
humble servant,
Ootacamund.
31st May 1897.

P. M. Cabral