Public Works (1897) Department.

G.O., No 389W

DATED 6 November 1897

6/11/97

[Despatch Abstract.]

District Munsif's Courthouse at Patukota; Estimate of Rs. 7860 for improvements to the — in the Tanjore District sanctioned for execution when funds are available.

100/6

6 11 97

[P.W. 26. 3,000—30-11-96.]

Indexed

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Government (1897) of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Recd. } 1897.
Regd. }

Enclosures
Spare copies

G.O., No. 3098 W., 6th November 1897.

NOVEMBER.

District Munsif's Court House at Pattukkottai

Estimate of Rs. 7,860 for improvements to the —, in the Tanjore district, sanctioned for execution when funds are available.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

READ—the following papers :—

From Lieutenant-Colonel C. C. RAWSON, R.E., Superintending Engineer, V Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Chepâk, 28th April 1897, No. 139 W.

With reference to your endorsement No. 3993 C., dated 9th November 1896, I have the honour to submit for sanction an estimate of Rs. 7,860 for improvements to the District Munsif's Court house at Pattukkóttai, Negapatam division, and constructing a Pleader's shed and compound wall round the court, revised in accordance with the suggestions made by the District Judge.

2. The original endorsement quoted above and its enclosures are returned herewith.

ENCLOSURE.

COPY of a letter from Mr. N RATNASABHAPATI Pillai, Executive Engineer, Negapatam Division, to the Superintending Engineer, V Circle, No. 322 S.E., dated 2nd August 1897.

With reference to your endorsement No. 146 N.G., dated 5th June 1897, on Chief Engineer's No. 2723 C., dated 1st June 1897, I have the honour to enclose detailed data for the rates provided, considering the difficulty of procuring materials in Pattukkóttai, the rates allowed are not high.

2. The schedule rates do not allow for cartage, which when included, will give the correct rates for estimates.

3. The flooring with Consulting Architect's tiles were considered necessary as the 8″ locally manufactured square bricks will not stand the weather of the locality so well. This has also been approved of by you.

4. The scantling for king-post was wrongly given as $7'' \times 6\frac{3}{4}''$ instead of $4'' \times 6\frac{3}{4}''$, and so may be altered.

No. 341 W.

Submitted to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, with reference to his memo. No. 2723, dated 1st June 1897.

A detailed data for brickwork is herewith submitted.

24th August 1897. (Signed) C. C. RAWSON, Lieut.-Colonel, R.E.,
Superintending Engineer, V Circle.

G.O., No. 1684, Judicial, dated 26th October 1896.

Read—the following papers :—

From the Registrar of the High Court, Madras, dated 24th August 1896, No. 4553.

From the Public Works Department, dated 28th September and 5th October 1896, Nos. 3078 C., 1489 D., respectively.

From the District Judge, Tanjore, dated 17th October 1896, No. 1603.

Order—No. 1684, Judicial, dated 26th October 1896.

A ryot's shed is unnecessary, and it will be sufficient if a mud wall with tiled coping is constructed round the Court house. Subject to these remarks, the additions and alterations proposed by the District Judge of Tanjore in his letter to the High Court, No. 4553, dated 10th August 1896, are approved, and the Public Works Department will be requested to issue the necessary instructions to revise the plans and estimates accordingly.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. FRANCIS,
for Chief Secretary.

ORDER—No. 3098 W., dated 6th November 1897.

The estimate, amounting to Rs. 7,860, for improvements to the District Munsif's Court house at Pattukkóttai in the Tanjore district is sanctioned for execution when funds are available.

2. This sanction has been registered as Provincial No. 62 W. of 1897–98, and classified as Civil Buildings—Original Works.

(True Extract.)

Under Secretary to Government.

To the Superintending Engineer, V Circle, with ~~eight~~ nine enclosures.
„ Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
„ Judicial Department.

Exd. Shaik Karim.

~~H6~~

A

[40/500]

P.W. DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in proceedings :—

Impts to the D^t Munsif's Court house at Patukota

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.

Current No. 9789 ~~4201~~ of 1896 ~~1897~~, dated 26th October 1896, from the Judicial Department

" " 4201 " 1897, " 28th April 1897, " the S.E. V Circle

" " 8459 " 1897, " 24 August 1897, " —— Do ——

UP

No. 3098 W 86-11-97

ORDER.

B of R
Civil works

The estimate amounting to Rs. 7860, for improvements to the District Munsif's court house at Patukota in the Tanjore District, is sanctioned for execution when funds are available.

2. This sanction has been registered as Provincial No: 62 W of 1897-98, and classified as Civil Buildings, Original Works.

8/9/97

2.54
3.11.97

To
The Chief Secretary
Judicial Department.
for favour of communication

Maj
Chd.
8/9/97

T. [illegible]
BCM

Wr. 11497

Do
14/9/97

To
The S. E. V Circle with 9 enclosures
" Examiner P. W. Accts
" Judicial Department.

Despd
17/11/97

HE 15.9.97 R 4-11-97.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,
V CIRCLE, MADRAS,
Chepák, 27th June 1896.

No. 93 M / C

SUPERINTENDING EN[illegible] OFFICE,
No.
10 NOV 1896
L. P. W. D., MADRAS.

From
Lieut: Colonel C. C. Rawson R.E.
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
V CIRCLE,

To

THE District Judge of Tanjore.

SIR,

Adverting to G.O. No 256 Judicial Dept: d/ 7th February 1896, passing orders on your No 64 d/ 21st January 1896 to the address of the Registrar of the High Court, I have the honour to forward for your approval and transmission to Government for sanction through the Registrar of the High Court, the enclosed plan and Estimate of Rs 4,550 for repairs and improvements to the District Munsif's Court house at PatuKota, Tanjore District.

Enclosures. 4
1 Plan.
1 Report.
1 Gl: abstract
1 Detailed Este:
4

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedt: Servt:

27. 6. 96

Lt Col: R.E.
Supg Engineer V Circle

Elr
26.6.96

1,000—25-2-96.

288

H. C. No. 3987/1896

In C. No. 4553 of 1896

From
The Superintending Engineer,
V Circle,

RECEIVED 15 AUG. 96 MADRAS.

To
The District Judge
Tanjore.
Thro: the Registrar of High Court.
Madras.

Dated 27th June 1896.
Recd.

DISTRICT & SESSIONS COURT 2 JUL 96 TANJORE

Enclosures. 4

HIGH COURT RECEIVED 29 JUN 96 MADRAS.

No. 93 M.

District Munsiff's Court House at Patukota in Tanjore District.

Forwarding for approval & transmission to Govt. for Sanction an Estimate amounting Rs 4550 for repairs & improvements to—

6.

No. a 2247

Forwarded

C. G. Todhunter
Ag. Regr.

30.6.96.

To
The Dist Judge,
Tanjore

No 482

Forwarded to the District Moonsif of Patukota for remarks and return at an early date

7/7/96

F. W. Hammett
Ag. District Judge

J. No 243

10-7-96

Dis. 5.5.8

~~3789/96~~
4202/97

No. 152

Enclosures 6.

District Munsiff's Court,
Patukota, 27th July 1896.

From
M. Subbaiyar,
District Munsiff,
Patukota;

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE 10 NOV 1896 V CIRCLE, P.W.D., MADRAS.

To
The District Judge,
Tanjore.

Enclosures 6.
1. Plan
1. Report
1. General abstract
1. Detailed estimate
1. Memorial of pleaders &
1. Letter No. 482 of 7-7-96 of the Dt Court
6.

Sir,

In returning herewith the plan and Estimate of Rs 4,550 for repairs and improvements to the District Munsiff's Court here forwarded to me for remarks, I have the honor to state as follows:-

2. The proposed repairs and improvements relate to four buildings, viz, (1) the office building, (2) the Court hall and (3 and 4) two out-houses. The total estimates for the two out-houses are respectively Rs 135 and Rs 310 aggregating to Rs 445. The two out-houses are situated to the South east of the office building and far behind of the Court hall. They are now in a very much neglected state and have not been used for any purpose for the past 25 years. They are not favourably situated so as to be used either as pleaders' room or parties' and witnesses' shed, the want of both of which is very much felt

here. The out-houses are at present in a very bad state. The report of the Superintending Engineer accompanying the plan and the Estimate says of the outhouses that "the "roofs and floors are in a very bad state "requiring renewal." The walls and windows of the out-houses also require repairs as reported by the Engineer. The walls are all cracked and the windows require shutters. In this state of things and having regard to the fact that these out-houses are not situated favourably for the purposes for which alone they can be used — (I do not see any other use for them) — and to the fact that two new and properly situated buildings — one for the pleaders and one for the parties and witnesses — can be erected at about the same cost at which repairs and improvements are proposed to be effected for these two almost dilapidated houses, I beg to submit that these two out-houses may be pulled down and two new houses erected as I shall propose later on.

3. In the office building, the proposed archway at D in the plan is unnecessary and in my opinion should not be opened. The room to the north of the wall in which the archway at D is proposed to be opened is 15 feet north to south by 10 feet east to west and is quite sufficient for 3 or 4 Copyists and one Examiner. Without the archway the Copyists can get in and out only by the gate at H. The archway will open a communication to the rear of the office building and reduce the safety for the records in the Copyist Department. The room A marked in the plan also as "Copyists" is not really required for the Copyists and, as there is no other room available at present for the Nazir to secure the attached moveables, I propose to use the room A for that purpose. To let in sufficient light and air, the room has already a window on its western wall. I therefore think it unnecessary to open another at E. The window at F, however, is necessary for free ventilation in the room in which it is to be opened as that room is occupied by the two Execution bench clerks. What is marked in the plan as "Clerks" room to the east of the Head Clerk's room, is now used for the "records" and what is marked in the plan as "Records" room to the west of Head clerk's room is now used for the Clerks. Probably with a view to exchange the uses of these two rooms, my predecessor proposed

the exchange between the iron-barred door at M and the door at H. I consider however that the present record room, situated as it is off the Copyists room and the much frequented Nazir's room, is more suitable for the records. It has also another iron-barred door on the South. Therefore the exchange between the iron-barred door at M and the door at H becomes unnecessary. These three modifications of mine, namely, (1) that the window at E is not wanted, (2) that the archway at D is not required and (3) that the exchange between the iron-barred door at M and the door at H is unnecessary, set free nearly an amount of Rs 50 for utilization for the erection of the proposed Pleader's room and parties' and witnesses' Shed. What is marked in the plan as "Munsiff's private room" is a very small and inconvenient room, 8'6" square and the roof over the room which is a corner of the tiled office building is so low that I doubt whether the proposed punkah of 6 feet long can be used in that room. Moreover I consider the room most inconvenient and unsuited for the District Munsiff's private room. I have in view a proposal to have a new and convenient room erected on the west of the Court hall — as the District Munsiff's private room. I do not however want to press it now as I wish to have the more pressing buildings — one for the pleaders and one for the parties and witnesses — erected first. My object in now bringing to your notice the narrowness and incommodiousness of what is now called — "the District Munsiff's private room" is to show that the proposed punkah for that room is not required at present and that this modification of mine will give us an addition of Rs 12 for the erection of the Pleaders' room and Clients' Shed. In other respects I have nothing to say on the proposed repairs and improvements.

4. Owing to the absence of a pleaders' room, even those pleaders who are not engaged in the case occupying the Court's attention are obliged to be sitting in the hall. Sometimes Clients that wish to engage pleaders come into the Court hall and speak to the pleaders. The gumastahs of the pleaders who sit in a row in the verandahs around the hall come into the Court hall to get signature and instructions from the pleaders. The parties and witnesses crowd in all the verandahs and loudly talk to the pleaders and their gumastahs and to one another. When terms for

Compromise in certain cases are proposed and hotly discussed, the parties and the mediators even forget for the moment that they are in the verandahs around the Court hall and make loud noise until called to order. I beg to submit I find it impossible conscientiously to punish them without providing the pleaders and the clients with proper accomodations for their use. I beg also to bring to your notice the letter of this Court dated 18th November 1891. No 167 in which Mr Doraisawmy Iyer, one of my predecessors, has brought the same difficulties to the notice of the District Court. In these Circumstances I beg to propose that a pleaders' room 15' square enclosed by verandahs all round of about 8' broad and a Clients' Shed of 30' by 12' or 15' be recommended to be erected - the former at the north east corner of the Courts' Compound and the latter at the north west corner of the same. The clients' shed need not be enclosed by walls but may be an open one. I have already shown that the modifications which I have proposed would give us about Rs 62. This amount added to the sum of Rs 445 estimated by the Public Works Department for the repair and improvements to the two out-houses would place at disposal a sum of Rs 500 and odd which, with perhaps a slight addition of one or two hundred rupees, would, I feel sure, quite do for the erection of the two proposed buildings the absence of which is very badly felt here.

5. Most of the palmyrah rafters and reepers which are going to be replaced by teakwood in the office building and more than half the quantity of tiles available from pulling down the outhouses, and the windows and doors and some other materials of the out-houses may also be used for the pleaders' room or for the clients' shed.

6. I beg also to enclose herein a memorial addressed to me by the pleaders practising in this Court on the subject for your kind and favourable consideration.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
(Signed) M. Subbaiyar
District Munsiff.

WS (True copy)

F W Hannett
Acting District Judge

HIGH COURT
RECEIVED
15 AUG. 96
MADRAS.

PWE.6 9
~~9789/96~~
4201 (57)

Current No. 4553 1896.
No 912

District Court
Tanjore 10th August 1896

From

F. H. Hamnett Esquire
Ag: District Judge
Tanjore

To

The Registrar of the High Court,
of Judicature
Madras

Sir,

With reference to the letter of the Superintending Engineer V Circle No: $\frac{93\ M}{C}$ dated 27th June 1896 herewith returned with its enclosures, I have the honor to forward a copy of letter No: 152 dated 27th Ultimo from the District Munsiff of Pattukota and to state that I have inspected the Court and that I am of opinion that the following alterations in the estimate prepared by the Department of Public Works are necessary:

(a) The repair of the two out houses is unnecessary. The largerone may be pulled down and the smaller one may be allowed to stand, as requested by the Vakeels, to be repaired at their cost and used as a water pandal.

(b) The windows proposed at E and F in the plan are necessary.

(C) The proposed arch way at A is not

necessary but a door-way should be constructed at D instead.

(d) The iron grating at N should be removed to the doorway between the room now selected by me for the records and the Moonsiff's room and the door should be removed to N.

If these changes are carried out the establishment can be distributed as shown by me in the plan. The junior clerks should be in the rooms on both sides of the Head Clerk and work under his supervision. The District Moonsif's proposal to leave the record room where it has hitherto been and to allow some clerks to work away from the supervision of the Head Clerk is objectionable. When I inspected the Court I found that it was the execution clerks who were allowed to work away from the supervision of the Head Clerk. These are the very clerks who require most careful supervision. The Deputy Nazir should be next to the Property Room. The two rooms next to the District Moonsif's private room will do well for the records.

I agree with the District Moonsif as to the necessity for a Vakeel's room and ryots shed, which should I think be located in the spots marked by me in the plan.

A compound wall round the Court House also seems to me very desirable.

I request that the High Court will desire the Superintending Engineer to have revised plans and estimates prepared in accordance with the above suggestions.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

F W Hammett
Ag: District Judge

SWS

Current No.

HIGH COURT, RECEIVED 15 AUG. 96 MADRAS

From

The District Judge

Tanjore

To The Registrar of the

High Court of Judicature

Madras.

CHIEF SECRETARY 27 AUG. 1896 GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Dated 10 }
Desp'd 12 } August 1896
Recd }

No 912

Enclosures 6.

Requesting to desire the Superintending Engineer to have revised plans and Estimates prepared for the District Munsif's Court of Patukota.

PWs. 910
~~3789/96~~
4206/97

To

The Hon. Mr. Justice Davies.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE, No. 10 NOV. 1896 V. CIRCLE, P. W. D., MADRAS.

CURRENT No. 3789

High Court of Judicature, Madras.

24th August 1896.

From

D. W. G. COWIE Esq.,

Registrar of the High Court of Judicature, Madras.

To

THE HON'BLE MR. J. F. PRICE, C. S. I.,

Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras.

HON'BLE SIR,

I am directed to forward herewith a letter from the District Judge of Tanjore, dated the 10th instant. No.912.(with enclosures) requesting that the Superintending Engineer may be requested to have revised plans and estimates prepared for the repairs to the District Munsif's Court of Pattukotta, and to request that the alterations proposed by the District Judge may be made and the estimates and plans revised accordingly.

I have the honour to be,
Honourable sir,
Your most obedient servant,

D. W. G. Cowie

Registrar.

BA
25.8.96

Ows. D. 11
5789/96
420 C[?]

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE
No. ....
10 NOV. 1896
V. CIRCLE, P.W.D., MADRAS.

No 247.

District Munsif Court
Patukota. 15th October 1896.

From
M. Subbaiyar,
District Munsif,
Patukota,

To
The District Judge.
Tanjore.

Sir,

With reference to the District Court's order dated yesterday (14th Instant) and passed on the official memorandum No 2064 dated 10th Instant from Government, Judicial Department Calling for information about the necessity for a Compound wall for this Court house, I have the honour to submit as follows:-

(1) The Court house site being on three sides viz East, South and West almost surrounded by open dry lands, people who resort to the adjoining lands for purposes of nature trespass on the borders of the Court Site and commit nuisance there.

(2) People who want to go to the adjoining

lands and other places beyond them often enter the Court site gate, or cross the Court site from west to east and vice versa and thus make the site in front of the Court house, a regular thorough fare. It is not practicable to stop this by employing peons for the purpose, especially on the weekly market day.

(3) Herds of cattle and flocks of sheep are also driven across the site & the adjoining lands

(4) It being freely open on the three sides, I should think the security for the Court records, the Court properties, and the batta and the Contingent monies that are deposited in the Court house is endangered.

?
a cell
[illegible]
walls
them
much
safer
NF

2. The Government memo and the plan are herewith returned.

I have the honor &c.
Sir,
your most obedient Servant
(Signed) M. Subbiyar
District Munsif

(True Copy)

F W Hammett
Acting District Judge

CKJ

Enclosure no I

Copy of Patukota Munsif's
letter No. 247 dated 15 October 1896

6
Jud
1
4561

P.W.E. 9-12
~~9789/96~~
4201/97

In a letter from the
District Judge, Tanjore
dated 17 October 1896 no 1603

No. 1603

District Court, Tanjore
17 October 1896.

CURRENT No.
4561

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,
No.
10 NOV. 1896
V. CIRCLE, P.W.D., MADRAS.

From

F. H. Hammett Esquire
Acting District Judge
Tanjore

To

The Chief Secretary to Government
Judicial Department,
Madras.

Sir,

With reference to your official memorandum No. 2064 dated 10 Instant, I have the honor to forward herewith Copy of Letter No. 247 dated 15 Idem received from the District Munsif of Patukota stating the necessity for a compound wall around his Court house.

2. The nature of the surroundings of the Court is marked in the accompanying plan as directed.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

F. H. Hammett
Acting District Judge

LPS

CLS 4 13
9755/96
4201(9)

[Pub. / 29]
1,000—29.7.96

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,
10 NOV. 1896
V. CIRCLE, P.W. , MADRAS.

Judicial Department.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following:-

From the Registrar of the High Court, Madras, dated 24th August 1896, No. 4553.

From the Public Works Department, dated ~~30~~- 28th September and 5th October 1896, Nos. 3078 C, 1489 D, respectively.

From the District Judge, Tanjore, dated 17th October 1896, No. 1603.

ORDER:-No. 1684, dated 26th October 1896

A ryot's shed is unnecessary, and it will be sufficient if a mud wall with tiled coping is constructed round the Court house. Subject to these remarks the additions and alterations proposed by the District Judge of Tanjore ~~are~~ in his letter to the High Court No. 4553, dated 10th August 1896 are approved, and the Public Works Department will be requested to issue the necessary instructions to revise ~~[illegible]~~ the plans and estimates accordingly.

(True Extract)

Francis

for ChiefSecretary.

To
The Public Works depts (with plans & estimates and orig. papers)
" Hon: the Chief Justice (with C.L)

PWE. 14
4201(97)

[Pub. 29]
1,000—29-7-96.

Works

Public (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. ~~9789~~ 5.15
4201(97)

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,
No. 835. W.
10 NOV. 1896
V CIRCLE, P. W. D., MADRAS.

No. 3993 C of 9th Novr 1896

~~Order~~ Endt.

Forwarded to the Supdg Engr V Circle in view to the estimate being revised accordingly.

(Sd.) Campbell Colonel RE
Secy to Govt.

To the Supdg Engr V Circle with papers in orig (to be returned)

10.11.96

From
The Judicial Dept

No. 1604

Dated 21st October 1896.
Received 30.10.96
Registered
Enclosures ~~with plans &c~~ 11 (2 plans)
Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Approving with remarks the additions and alterations proposed by the District Judge, Tanjore to the Dt Munsif's Court at Pattukota & requesting the Public Works Dept to issue necessary instructions for revising the plans & estimates.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Public Works (1897) Department.

3098W

CURRENT No. 4201

Order

From The Supdg: Engineer V Circle.

No. 139.W.

Dated 28 April 1897

Received 29. 4. 97

Enclosures 15 (3 plans)

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Improvements to the District Munsiff's Court house at Pattukota & constg: a Pleader's shed & compound wall round the Court — Submits for sanction an estimate of Rs 7860/- for ——

I/ For scrutiny

Cr 12/5/97

0.L.S 143

R 31/5

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	3993C	P.W.	9-11-96 ✓
	1489D	-	5-10-96 ✓
	1233D	-	7-9-96 ✓
	3078C	-	13-8-96 ✓
	2724C	-	6-7-96 ✓
	2422C	-	3-6-96 ✓
	605W	-	18-2-96 ✓

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No. 139 W.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,
V CIRCLE, MADRAS,
Chepák, 28 april 1897.

From
Lieut. Colonel C. C. Rawson R.E.
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, V CIRCLE,

To
THE Chief Engineer
P.W. Department

Enclosures. 15
1 Site plan
1 Plan of Pleaders shed
1 -do- District Munsiffs Court
1 Detailed estimate
1 General abstract
1 Report
1 Data
1 Chief Engrs endorsement No: 3993 C. d/9.11.96
1 G.O. No. 1684 Judicial Dept d/26 October 1896
1 Supdg: Engrs letter No. 93 M/C dated 27 June 1896
1 copy Letter No. 152 d/27.7.96 from the District Munsiff to the District Judge Tanjore
1 Letter No. 4553 dated 10 august 1896 from the District Judge to Registrar of the High Court
1 Letter No. 3789 dated 24 augt. 1896 from the Registrar to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras.
1 copy Letter No. 247 d/15 October 1896 from the District Munsiff to the District Judge
1 Letter No. 1603 dated 17 Octr 96 from Dt Judge to the Chief Secretary to Government.
15

SIR,

With reference to your endorsement No. 3993 C dated 9th November 1896 I have the honour to submit for sanction an estimate of Rs. 7,860/- for improvements to the District Munsiff's Court house at Patukotta, Negapatam Division and constructing a Pleader's shed and compound wall round the court, revised in accordance

with

500—25-2-96.

with the suggestions made by the District Judge.

2. The original endorsement quoted above and its enclosures are returned herewith.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

28/4/97

Lt Coll R.E.

Superintending Engineer

5th Circle.

From

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

V CIRCLE,

To

THE

Dated }
Recd. } 189

ENCLOSURES.

No.

T [illegible] 1/6/97
B. [illegible]

Dw

Dr [illegible]

C

No. 2723 C d/ 1st June 1897

To SE V circle

The undersigned has the honour to observe that, Rs 16-0, the Schedule rate for brickwork has been increased to Rs 18-0 for foundations and Rs 19-0 for superstructure entailing an expenditure of Rs ~~305~~ 53 more than would otherwise be the case. Moreover it is noticed that by substituting 8" square brick flooring on a bed of 4" concrete for 6" pressed tiles on six inches of concrete, and adhering to Schedule rates a further saving of Rs 494 will be

3/6/97 [illegible]

[P. W.-58 / 250—5-8-96.]

Public Works Department.

Current No. 4201
Letter No. 139 W
Dated 28th April 1897
From the Supg: Engr V Circle

TECHNICAL NOTE.

Name of Work Improvements to the District Munsiff's Court at Pattukotta and Constg: a Pleaders Shed & Compound wall round the Court, Tanjore District.

Amount of Estimate, Rs. 7,860

PARTICULARS OF ESTIMATE.

Main Building Improvements to Office } Rs 3,320
Improvements to Court Hall 910
Subsidiary Buildings Dismantling stable ,, 20
Constg: Pleaders shed ,, 2870
-Do- Compound wall ,, 740
Contingencies 5 per cent 366 } ,,
Petty Supervision 3 -do- 218 } ,,
Land Compensation ,,

Total Rupees ... 7,860

PARTICULARS OF CONSTRUCTION.

Pleaders Shed

Surface soil }
Sub-soil } Hard red earth.

Foundations to be 4 ft deep of which the bottom layer of Concrete to be 3'-3" deep
Top layer, Brick in chunam - 0-9 - "
Total 4-0 - "

Basement To be 2 ft high & to be built of brick in chunam.

Walls 12'-6" to bottom of tie beam and to be built of brick in chunam with flat arch work over doors & windows. Cut stones under truss & for sills of doors provided.

Plastering or Pointing: Interior & Exterior of the building to be plastered with 2 Coats of Chunam, Except basement all round and steps which are to be plastered with Portland Cement ¼" thick-

Flooring: To be made of 6" Sqr. Pressed tiles on a bed of 6" Concrete, tiles set in & pointed with Portland Cement-

Roofing: to be of flat & pan tiles & teak reepers resting on teak wood truss-

Doors and Windows: to be of teak & painted with 2 Coats of Silicate Chocolate paint.

Roof timbers: Teak.

Scantlings: Correct, Except king post & tie beam which should be 4"×6¾" instead of 7"×6¾".

Rates: Fair Except the following:—

The plinth area of the main building is 1,350 square feet, and the cost per square foot will be Rs. 2-2-0

The plans and estimates have not been countersigned by the District Judge, nor approved ~~and~~ by the Judicial Dept:

effected. In this way and by proportionately reducing the item "Contingencies" the Estimate may be reduced to Rs 7270.

If however, the Superintending Engineer has any reason for increasing the Schedule Rates, the data on which such increases are based should be submitted.

carry

26/5/97

	Rs-a-p	
Brick in Ch: foundation	18-8-0	against 16-8-0 in Schedule.
—Do— Superstructure	19-8-0	

The Scantling - 7"×6¾" - provided for a king post truss seems excessive. A scantling of 4"×6¾" would seem to be suitable.

26/5/97

REMARKS.

With reference to Chief Engr's Memo No. 3993C, D/ 9th November 1896, the S.E. 5th Circle, submits plans & Estimates for Rs: 7,860 for Improvements to the District Munsiff's Court at Patukola and Constructing a Pleaders Shed & Compound wall for Sanction-

In the report accompanying the Estimate, it is stated that the wood work of the roof of the office building is of Palmyrah & the rafters of the Verandah have all sagged and are perfectly useless.

The roof leaks very badly. The reepers which are also of Palmyrah are all eaten away by white ants. It is therefore proposed to replace the rafters & reepers by new teak ones & then to re-roof with old tiles with necessary quantity of new pan tiles.

Floor. The floor of Court is stated to be worn out and it is therefore proposed to renew the same with 6" Sqr. pressed tiles (Manufactured in Govt. Brick field, Madras) on a bed of 6" brick concrete.

Doors &c. A new door & 2 new windows are provided in the Clerks & Property rooms to allow of better Ventilation & the position of one of the doors altered as suggested by the Dist: Judge.

The Court Hall will be white washed 2 Coats. The tiles of roof will be shifted with ⅓ new pan tiles as the roof leaks-

All doors & exposed wood work will be re-painted & the other wood work wood oiled 2 Coats.

The office building also will be white washed & petty repairs carried out.

Out-houses. Of the two out-houses, the stable will be dismantled and removed as it is of no use - and the other will be allowed to stand to be repaired by the Vakeels at their

their own Cost and used by them as water pundal as proposed by the Dist: Judge.

__Compound wall__ - The wall around the Court will be 985 ft long & 4½ ft high to top of Coping, and will be built of mud with tiled Coping -

__Flooring__. 6" Pressed tiles on a bed of 6" Concrete is provided for the floor of the Court & the new Pleaders Shed at a Cost of Rs: 863 -

If 8" Sqr brick flooring on a bed of 4" Concrete is laid in lieu of the above, there will be a saving of Rs: 494 as per following particulars: —

31·38 Sqr of flooring with Pressed tiles @ 27-8-0 Rs per Sqr: 863

31·38 - Do — Do — with 8" Sqr bricks & 4" Concrete @ 11-12-0 - - (Schedule rate) per sqr — 369

Saving Rs: 494 ×

28-0-0

Saving in brick work according to Schedule rate —

7·43 units of brick in Ch: work for foundn & basement @ 18-8-0 per 100 cft } 137

12·71 — Do — Do - Superstructure @ 19-8-0 — } 248

Total as per Estimate - 385

20·14 units of Brick in Ch: @ Rs 16-8-0 - (Schedule rate) 332

Saving Rs: 53 ×

Total Saving × 494

53

Reduction in Contingency Rs 43

590

If the above suggestion with regard to change of flooring and reduction of rate for brick work is approved, the amount of the Estimate will be reduced from Rs: 7,800 to Rs: 7,210 -

AL
22/5/97.

C. No. 4201

Note by Budget Section.

Work Improvements to the District Munsif's court at Pattukotta &ca. in the Tanjore Dt.

Fund Provincial

Service Head Civil Buildings

Departmental Head Original Works

Amount of Estimate	Rs.	7,860 or Rs 7,210 if T's suggestions are approv
Expenditure in previous year ...	"	"
Allotment in current year ...	"	"
Balance required to complete ...	"	7,860
Total	Rs...	7,860

Note of available Funds.

The balance of the Provincial reserve is Rs 11,618, but as there may be more urgent demands against this sum, it is submitted that the estimate under reference may be sanctioned for execution when funds are available.

22/5/97

Note by Budget Section.

Public Works (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 8459

Order

From The Supg Engineer
5th Circle

No. 341 W.

Dated 24th August 1897.

Received 25. 8. 97

Enclosures /

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

District Munsiff's Court
& out houses at Patukota.

—

Submitting a data for
rates provided in the
Estimate for constructing
the —

O.L.S. 439

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	2723C	P.W.	1-6-97
	3993C	-	9-11-96
	1489D	-	5-10-96
	1233D	-	7-9-96
	3078C	-	13-8-96
	2724C	-	6-7-96
	2422C	-	3-6-96
	605W	-	18-2-96
	1876W	-	26-7-94
	2865W	-	7-11-93
	2616W	-	24-8-88
	1948W	-	27-7-93
	2540W	-	12-9-92
	2485W	-	6-9-92

2723 C 3 1-6-97

CN 6467/97

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Copy of a letter from Mr. N. Ratnasabhapati Pillai Executive Engineer, Negapatam Division to the Superintending Engineer, 5 Circle, No. 3225 dated 2 August 1897.

With reference to your endorsement No. 146 N.G. dated 5 June 1897 on Chief Engineer's No. 2723 C. dated 1 June 1897, I have the honour to enclose detailed data for the rates provided, considering the difficulty of procuring materials in Patukota, the rates allowed are not high.

v with CN 6467 both T.

Put up to Ch. 5383 & sent to S.E. on 3-6-97
3/9/7

2. The schedule rates do not allow for cartage, which when included will give the correct rates for estimates.

3. The flooring with Consulting Architect's tiles were considered necessary as the 8" locally manufactured square bricks will not stand the weather of the locality so well. This has also been approved of by you.

I ordered the flooring to be of these tiles & I consider that these are in some measure better than the use of locally made flooring brick.
C.R.

4. The scantling for king-post was wrongly given as 7" x 6 3/4" instead of 4" x 6 3/4" and so may be altered.

No. 341 W.

Submitted to the Chief Engineer

Public

Public Works Department, with reference to his Memo No 2723 dated 1st June 1897:

A detailed data for brickwork is herewith submitted.

24/8/97

Lt Col R.E.

Supdg. Engineer V Circle.

3098W

Dr

Forwarded

CM

2-9-97

Current 8459

Note

With reference to this office Memo No. 2723C* dated 1st June 97 the S.E. V Circle submits data sheet for the high rates noticed in the estimate for improvements to the Dist. Munsif's Court house at Patukota in the Tanjore Dist.

The schedule rates are reported not to provide for any cartage, consequently when cartage is included the rates entered in the estimate will be correct.*

The locally made 8" flooring bricks are reported to be inferior the S.E. has therefore ordered the use of tiles from the Architect Dist. which he states is more economical in the end.

The section of the King-post viz. 7"×6¾" is stated to be wrongly entered in the estimate for 4"×6¾", the correct section.

The data lists have been examined & found to be correct.

31-8-97

*: ~~Put up to C.W. 5803 & sent to S.E. on 3.6.97.~~ (Since received)

*: But the data for superstructure works up to Rs 19.4.. & not Rs 19.8.. as entered in the estimate

* This will make a difference in the item of only Rs 3-2.

The estimate may be sanctioned.

CWS

7-9-97.