

Public Works (1897) Department.

G.O., No. 29432

DATED 21. October 1897

[Despatch Abstract.]

Supply of turbanis, Authorizing the
Examiner to admit the outlay incurred on
the — to the persons under whose supervision he
on special duty, to take command and acting
with the Examiner in his view as to the in-
admissibility of the outlay

10

FURTHER PAPERS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in proceedings :—

Again G.O., dated

189 , No.

Again G.O., dated

189 , No.

Current No. 9286

of 1897, dated 13th September from S.E. IV Circle.

10038

" 1897, " 9th October, " Exar. P. W. Accts.

79 112

” 189 ”

ORDER.

№ 29436921-60-97

The Examiner is authorised to
admit the outlay.

2. The Government agrees with the views expressed in the Examiner's note.

The Suptg. Engr., IV Circle,
with 1 enclosure.
,, Exar., P.W. Accounts.

Note.

Mr. Somers-Eve, Executive Engineer on Special Duty, Ootacamund, obtained the Superintending Engineer's sanction to supply 6 peons with --- warm clothing at an average cost of Rs. 17-8-0 per head. The clothing -- cost Rs. 14-2-8 per head, and on receipt of a petition from the peons, the Executive Engineer supplied -- them with turbans at Rs. 2-4-0 per head, a sum which came within the -- sanctioned estimate.

There is an order of 1888 (No. 2174 W dated 17-7-88) which refuses the supply of turbans to menial servants attached to the executive -- branch on the Hills, and under this order the Examiner disallowed the charge incurred by Mr. Somers Eve.

That

That officer now applies for sanction to the outlay as a special case on the ground of the temporary nature of the employment of the peons, and the difficulty of procuring men for temporary service on the Hills for Rs. 8 per mensem.

The Examiner considers that the temporary nature of the service -- instead of strengthening the claim for turbans at Government expense, is the best reason for this expense not being incurred, but that as the Executive Engineer appears to have authorised the purchase in good faith, the charge might be admitted as a special case, which should not be brought forward as a precedent.

It is proposed to sanction the outlay, and to express concurrence in the Examiner's remarks.

W. H. H. 10/10/97
W.

Public Works (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 10038

Order

From The Examiner, P.W. Accts
Madras

No. 700 ME.

Dated 7th October 1897

Received 11-10-97

Enclosures 23

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Turbans to peons—

Purchase of—

under Mr Somers Eve

55546 21/10

EXAMINER,
P. W. ACCOUNTS.
28 SEP 1897

I have the honor to bring to your notice that according to para 5, Chapter II, Volume I of the P. W. D. Code, I submitted an estimate for Warm Clothing for 5 Peons amounting to Rs 83 and a Supplemental Estimate for Rs 18 for another peon under supervision in Charge of Drainage works. They were duly sanctioned in your Nos 173 M and 303 M of 3rd April and 7th June 1897 respectively.

2. I ordered the suits and blankets which were procured at a cost of Rs 85, i.e. on the average of Rs 14-2-8 per head, the sanctioned estimate being Rs 17-8-0

3. The poems submitted to me

the enclosed petition praying for increased pay and for turbans which they could not afford to buy. As it was represented to me that providing turbans was customary on the Hills, I ordered and got them as cheaply as possible at a cost of Rs 13-8-0 i.e. Rs 2-4-0 per head.

4. The Examiner takes objection to the purchase quoting G.O. no. 2174 W, dated 17th July 1888 as authority. Of course permanent men can be compelled to buy their own turbans and appear decent as ordered in that G.O., but it is rather hard to compel temporary men to do so, especially on the Hills with the small pay they get.

5. I understand that all the offices in the Military Department here and Government Secretariat offices provide their peons with turbans in addition to warm clothing.

6.

1/2

6. Under these circumstances I have the honor to request you will be good enough to obtain sanction of Government as a special case to the purchase of turbans taking into consideration the temporary nature of the appointment and the difficulty of procuring men for temporary service on Rs 8 per mensem on the Hills.

I beg to add that by this purchase the total amount of the sanctioned estimate is not exceeded.

No 502 d/13-9-97

Encls. 1 Petition

Copy submitted to the Chief Engineer P.W. Department with a request that he will be good enough to obtain the orders of Government authorizing the Examiner to admit the cost of turbans as a special case.

H. amman

Supg. Engr 4th Circle

Public Works (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 9286

EXAMINER,
P. W. ACCOUNTS.
28 SEP. 1897

Order o.c. No. 3981C 27-9-97

To C

In favour of
remuneration.

22/9/97

on

From The Supp. Engineer
At the Circle

No. 502

Dated 13th September 1897

Received 17-9-97

Enclosures One

Spare copies ✓

SUBJECT.

Turbans to Peons under
Mr Somers Esq, Esq, Esq,
on special duty, Ootacamund.

Requests to obtain orders
of Govt authorizing the Examiner
to admit the cost of —
as a special case.

Ow 5.2
10038/97

of 493
22/1/9

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

KEEP-WITH

CURRENT No.

dated

189 .

E. No .27.
1,000—8-9-97.

GOVT. }
OF MADRAS. }

1897.

{ P. W.
{ DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 9th October 1897.

OFFICE NOTE No. 700 ME.

To

W.

Your O.N.No.398I C.dated 27th.
September 1897.

The cost of the turbans referred to was preferred in the Contingent bills of the Coimbatore Division for May and June 1897, but was disallowed by this office under G.O. No. 2174 W. dated 17th. July 1888.

2. Code para 5 chapter VI volume I referred to by the Executive Engineer applies to permanent Imperial Establishment.

3. I incline to the view that the fact of the peons in question being but very temporarily employed, instead of strengthening the claim to be allowed ~~to~~ **trans** at Government expense, affords the best reason for this expense not being incurred on their account. As, however, the Executive Engineer appears to have authorized the purchase in all good faith, Government might

feel disposed, under the circumstances
described in Executive Engineer's let-
ter, to sanction the charge as a special
case, and, if my view be concurred in, on
the understanding that this sanction is
not allowed to stand as a precedent.

62
9.10.97

C.

Attk
9/10/97