

Public Works (1897) Department.

G.O., No. 2928 W

DATED 19 October 1897

[Despatch Abstract.]

Lawyer's fees, Sanctioning the outlay
of Rs. 5 on account of fee for the Govt
Plaza, Madras for executing a
decree against certain liquor sellers
at the Perma Works.

19.10.97

Requests, under the circumstance
stated, sanction of Government
to admit the outlay of Rs-
5 paid to the Government
Pleader in the -

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

P. W. Dept.

CN^o 9840.

Note.

A sub-contractor of
the sale of liquor at the
Periyar Works brought an
suit against the Superi-
tendent of Works early in
1895 for damages to the
extent of Rs. 4,500 for
forcible expulsion from the
camp.

The Subordinate
Judge of Madras awarded
the complainant Rs. 3000
damages, and against the
decision an appeal was filed
in the District Court. The
decree of the lower Court
was then reversed, and
complainant was ordered
to bear both his own and
the defendants' costs.

In Co. No 2334 W dated
19th Sept 1895, payment of a
sum of Rs 365.7.0 to the
Government Pleader on acc
of costs incurred in defence
the suit was sanctioned
In Co. No 535 W dated

Book order 15 issues

Wm. 10/17

further sum of Rs. 18.12.0 was
estimated, this outlay having
been incurred in the recovery
of costs from the plaintiff.

The Supt. Engineer now asks
sanction to a further sum
of Rs. 5, the Government Pleader's
fee for executing the decree,
and it is proposed to authorise
payment.

9/10/97

W

From A. Joyce Esquire, Executive
Engineer, Madras Division, To The Supt.
Engineer, Orissa, No. 356 S.E. dated 28
September 1897:—

In defending the suit brought
against Government by Savarimuthu
Pillay, liquor seller, No. 1. Periyar Division,
in O. S. No. 30 of 1893, a cost of Rs. 4/5-10-
was incurred by the Superintendent of
Works and debited to Contingencies under
sanction accorded in G.O. No. 9.D. dt.
18 April 1895 and 2334 W dated 19 Sep-
tember 1895. The property of the plaintiff
was sold in public auction as decreed
by the Court and the sale proceeds cre-
dited to Contingencies in the accounts
for March 1897—Supplemental. The charge
of Rs. 18-12-0 incurred in obtaining
encumbrance Certificate &c of the
property was sanctioned by Government
in G.O. No. 555 W of 26 February 1897. In
May last Govt. Pleader having claimed
a fee of Rs. 5 for executing the decree
it was paid and debited to Contingencies.
It was thought that the sanction above
quoted might hold good in admitting
the outlay of Rs. 5 now in question.

Examiner of Public Works Accounts has
called for a separate sanction of Go-
vernment to admit the outlay of £ 5.
I have the honor to request that you
will be good enough to obtain the sanc-
tion of Government.

No. 1405 m O of 3^d October 1897
of 4th

Copy submitted to the Chief
Engineer, P.W.D., with a request that,
under the circumstances, the sanction
of Government may be obtained to
admit the outlay of £ 5 referred to
in the foregoing letter.

J. V. Brindley Esq. R.E.
Superintendent, "Leich"

10/10/97