

Public Works (1897) Department.

G.O., No. 425 W.

DATED 13. February 1897.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Mr P. Mahony, Subd Officer, Engrs: Architect to Dy
Superintendent - for in correctly reporting the
nature of the soil at the site of the Servant's quarters
at Govt House Quindry, warning him to be
more careful in future and leaving the section
of the foundations of the Servant's quarters to
the Engrs: Architect's discretion.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

*B/
Documents*
No 425 W, dated 13- February 1897

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT

From the J. S. V. since Aug 1940 or 14.11.87
From the C.A. to post. Aug 5019 or 1. Dec 1987

Mr. Mahony's explanation in regard to his incorrectly reporting the nature of the soil at the site of the unwanted quarters at Fort. Monmouth, is not altogether satisfactory, but having regard to his hitherto long & faultless career in the Dept., the Govt. considers that the case will be met by a reprimand, & a warning to be more careful in future.

2. The action of the foundations of the

Servants' quarters will
now be left to the
Comr. Architects
discretion -

W 12/2/97
Cm
CARTON
12/2/97

9/2/97
C.A. to Fort.
S.S. V. will
13/4/97

4/8

Examinations of servants' quarters, Quindly
No 425W, dt 13-2-97

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Read the following:-

From the Comr. Arch. & Fort. No 5019 of 1 Feb 1897
Submitting explanation from Mr P. Mahony, Sub
divisional officer, relative to the foundations of the
servants quarters at Quindly -

FOR ORDERS, with reference to this
Gaulle's note of 22-1-97
and in continuation of
note by the undersigned
of 26-1-97.

A.S.H
10-2-97

The explanation
is not altogether satisfac-
tory, but as Mr Mahony
has had a long and
faultless career in the
Department, it will perhaps
be sufficient if he is
reprimanded, and warned
to be more careful in
future

1/2/97

S.A.W.

FOR ORDERS.

Handwritten mark

*CP no 425W
8/2/97 Remind
in connection
to S. E. Circle*

Handwritten mark
10/4/97

Abdul Aziz

*Copy now for
S. E. V Circle. Run
10/4/97*

L.H.G.

(1) Col. Rawson would
like you to under-
stand about the
Cawachi for the
family which has
not, he says, been
communicated to
him.

(2) He would also
like to know what has
been done in regard to
Presidency house - sent
claimed for him for
Nov - Dec 2 1896 when
living with W. Harris -
Anson claimed 1895 -
her name.

CHS

9.4.97

Ans

(1) - the matter was
finally disposed of
by Sp. Co. 428207
13-2-97, for it

ended in a census
on the Mackinac, the
order was sent only
to C.A. - If ordered,
a copy will be made
for S.S.V.

(2) C's report on the
papers has recently
been received, &
it has not yet been
disposed of - It
is possibly among
the papers awaiting
disposal on W's
table.

Cs
9.4.97

60. May the order about the
Pore House records work be
communicated to C.A. & Rawson?

2. Attention is invited that
letters connected with the
Residence House work.

copy
9.4.97

Public Works (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 1144

Order

From

The Consulting Architect
to the Government
of Madras

No. 5019

Dated 1st February 1897

Received 2.2.97

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting explanations
from Mr. P. Mahaling Sub-
Divisional Officer relating
to the foundation of the
servants quarters at
Guindy.

W. J. S. S. S.
an order
on
2/2/97

and
3/2/97

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	540C.		27-1-87				
	4888C.		17-12-86				
	4047C.		12-11-86				
	4242C.		18-11-86				
	3196W.		7-11-86 with CN-40(8/97)				
	2613W.		9-9-86				
	2238W.		4-8-86				
	2237W.		14-8-86				
	740D.		1-8-86				
	2115-6W.		21-7-86				

I think the mind be
 formed at H.F.
 with a view to the
 definition in the
 history: but the
 history has been a long
 & fruitless case with
 a person in the
 & to make the man
 change in future.

6/2/87.

W
 on the note under
 united, but for one
 whether a person may
 be added to the
 effect that it is
 now proposed to
 tell the Com. and
 to go on with the
 limiting, & to arrange
 the formation of
 his discretion. C.
 6-2-87

No 5619 of 1st February 1897

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer
P.W.D. with reference to his No 540 C

dy 27. 1. 97

Submit

C. J. Davis

For Mr. Archibald to Fort

To

The Consulting Architect
to Government.

Sir

With reference to your endorsement on
Chief Engineer's No 540 C of the 27th Instant, calling
upon me for an explanation of certain statements
made by Col. G. G. Rawson R. E., I have the honour
to state as follows:—

In your endorsement No 4410 of 14-2-96
on G. O. No 552 W of 13-2-96, I was directed to
submit the plan, site plan & estimate for the
Servants Quarters, the accommodation required
being ascertained from the Military Secretary
to H. E. the Governor. In obedience to these orders
I applied to the Military Secretary who directed
me to Mr. Browning. This officer gave me
information as to the No of blocks of rooms in
each block and stated that they should be
similar in design to those already constructed.
He further instructed me to prolong one of
the existing blocks on either side so as to get
the No of huts required. Under the circumstances

I leave it to you to decide whether having to prolong an existing building, the same dimensions & depth of foundations should not be adopted and having done this in the case of one block, whether the same sections should not be added to in the case of all other blocks. I may mention that all the buildings lately constructed, as also those previously constructed have all got their foundations 3 feet below surface of ground.

2. The above explains my action and if the authorities now consider that the soil is laterite, I beg to assure you that my report was influenced by the orders I received and by the state of things already existing there and that if I have erred in this, it is due neither to any wilful misrepresentation nor neglect on my part.

When I was executing a crockery room adjacent to Government House Guindy, about 2 years ago, a similar objection as regards foundation was raised by H. E. Lord Venlock.

The matter was referred to Mr. Irwin, with what result, I am ^{at present} unable to say, I was however allowed to proceed with the work as shown in the estimate.

Trusting the above will be considered satisfactory.

I have the honour to remain
Sir
your most obedient servant
J. M. A. Long
2/2/97
cont: 2/2/97