

Public Works (1897) Department.

G.O., No. 386 W

DATED 8 February 1897

[Despatch Abstract.]

Apprentice Engineer: Stating that a report on the fitness of an ~~Engineer~~ ^{Engineer} serving in any particular Circle should be submitted by the Deputy Engineer without special orders from Govt and directing the S.E. IV Circle with remarks to place Mr Manicka Nayakar in definite charge of a subdivision and to report on his fitness for the charge before the 31st March next.

[illegible]

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CURRENT No. 774

13

Order

N^o 386 Vol 8-2-97
24H

A. 5 H
3.29

Apprentice Engineer V. From
Mamilla Nayakar not having served The Supg. Engineer
a year in the IV Circle, the remarks Fourth Circle.
in para 2 of So. No 90 W of 9th January
1896 is withdrawn - the attention
of the Supg. Engr. IV Circle is however
No. 29
W

No. 29

drawn to para 11, Ch. II, VOL I Dated 18th January 1897
P.W. Code, the "local authorities" Received 22.1.97
mentioned in which, can, in this Enclosures ✓
Presidency, only mean the Super-Grants, Spare copies ✓

While for "Govt. of India" must be substituted the local Govt. I report on the fitness for apprentices.
Engineers serving in any particular circle, should therefore be submitted by the Safety Comtee without any further special orders from Govt.

SUBJECT

V. Manicka Nayakar
Apprentice Engineer,
Reports on the qualifications
of— with certain suggestions.

2. The Super^r Genl will take steps to place Mr Manohar Narayan in definite charge of a sub division, & will report ^{on} his fitness for the charge shortly before 31st March next.

cu

S.S. IV Circle

N.S. 2/2/97 R 5/2/97.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

memo	570C	P.W.	28-1-97
S.O.	90W	"	9-1-97
memo	4801C	"	11-12-96
S.O.	2230W	"	3-8-96

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
------	-----	-------------	-------

[illegible]

From J. Hannan Esq
Superintending Engineer 4th Circle
To The Chief Engineer
C. P. Department
Madras

Sir,
With reference to para 2 of G.O. No 90 D. dated 9th January 1897 wherein it is stated that my conduct in not before now having taken any steps to test the fitness of apprentice Engineer Manicka Nayaker for the charge of a Sub Division is considered unsatisfactory. I beg to point out that I never received any order to test this Gentleman's fitness for the post of Sub Divisional Officer. He was posted to this circle in the usual way to acquire experience of P.W. Proceedings and practice and the usual reports of the progress made by him in his practical course have been submitted. Vide para 3 of Comptroller Divisional Officer's letter No 2096 dated 27th June 1897.

Forwarded to the Principal Civil Engineering College. This apprentice only joined this circle on 7th March 1896. He went to Madras for his equitation course on 19th August, and remained there until 31st October, so that when your Memo. No. 4801 C. of 11th December 1896 calling for a report on his fitness for a Sub Divisional charge was received, he had put in only about 5 months of practical course. During that time he was not and could not be employed in any way to test his fitness for the post of Sub Divisional Officer.

The only way I know of testing his fitness for the post is to employ him as an overseer in charge of works for at least one year, and then for another as Sub Divisional Officer, but this, the rules of the department will not permit.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt. Servt.

W. M. M. M.
Superintending Engineer

Public Works (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 722

Order no. 5700, dt 28-1-97

O. N.

20C

Remarks

(sd) D. M. N. C.

26/1/97

N.

From

for favour of

The Suptg. Engineer
South Circle

No. 28
25

Dated 18.1.97

Received 21.1.97

Enclosures 1.

Spare copies

29/1/97

SUBJECT.

V. Manikka Nayakar
Apprentice Engineer.

Submitting for
favour of orders the question
on the passing of pay
of — from 186 to 6th
March 1896.

INDEXED.

29/1

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
90W	9-1-97
4801C	11-12-96
2230W	3-8-96

FURTHER PAPERS.