

Public Works (1897) Department.

G.O., No. 373 W

DATED ² February 1897

[Despatch Abstract.]

Army Reserve. Pros: Financial Dept Comdg.
the orders of the Govt of India, that the time spent by all
members of the — withdrawn from their civil duties for
drill duty with their regiments, in journeying between
their stations and the place of training be treated as
duty qualifying for leave, under Civil Rules, Comdg.
to Officers of the P.W.D.

[illegible]

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Communicating to the departments of the Secretariat and to all heads of departments under the Chief Secretary the orders of the Government of India that the time spent by all — in journeying between their stations and the place of training be treated as duty qualifying for leave under Civil rules.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following paper:—

G.O., No. 357, Financial, dated 3rd June 1896.

Read—the following letter from R. NATHAN, Esq., Officiating Under Secretary to the Government of India, Finance and Commerce Department (Leave and Leave Allowances), to the Secretary to the Government of Bengal, Revenue Department, dated Simla, the 9th May 1896, No. 2061-P.:—

I am directed to refer to your letter, No. 370, dated 18th January last, and in reply to say that the period during which reservist sepoy employed in the Opium department are on drill duty with their regiments under Military Department Circular No. 20-P., dated the 9th September 1890, may be treated as duty qualifying for leave under Civil rules.

Copy forwarded to the Home and Military Departments for information.

Copy also forwarded to all Local Governments and Administrations, to the Comptroller and Auditor General, to all Accountants-General and Comptrollers and to all heads of departments under this department for information.

(By order.)

(Signed) R. NATHAN,
Offg. Under Secy. to the Govt. of India.

Order—No. 357, Financial, dated 3rd June 1896.

Recorded.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Revenue Department.

READ—also the following paper:—

From L. M. THORNTON, Esq., Deputy Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department (Police), to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, dated Calcutta, the 31st December 1896, No. 10-Police—752.

I am directed to forward, for the information of His Excellency the Governor in Council, a copy of correspondence with the Government of Bombay, from which it will be seen that the Government of India have decided that the orders contained in Finance Department letter, No. 2061-P., dated 9th May 1896, apply to all members of the army reserve withdrawn from their civil duties for drill duty with their regiments, and that the time spent by them in journeying between their stations and the place of training may also be treated as duty qualifying for leave under Civil rules.

From the Government of Bombay, No. 6999, dated the 13th October 1896.
To the Government of Bombay, No. 10-Police—742, dated the 31st December 1896.

No. 2061-P., dated 9th May 1896, apply to all members of the army reserve withdrawn from their civil duties for drill duty with their regiments, and that the time spent by them in journeying between their stations and the place of training may also be treated as duty qualifying for leave under Civil rules.

ENCLOSURES.

From the Honourable Mr. H. BATTY, I.C.S., Acting Chief Secretary to the Government of Bombay, to the Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department, dated Bombay Castle, the 13th October 1896, No. 6999.

In their letter to the Government of Bengal, No. 2061-P., of the 9th May 1896,* the Government of India, in the Department of Finance and Commerce, have ruled that the period during which reservist sepoy employed in the Opium department are on drill duty with their regiments may be treated as duty qualifying for leave under Civil rules. A question has now been raised whether these orders, which have been communicated to this Government, are applicable to reservists employed in the Police department.

2. There are, I am to say, several military reservists serving in the Police of this Presidency who, under the Regulations for the Indian Army Reserve, are required to undergo a training every year for 31 days. The one month's privilege leave to which these men become entitled every year under the Civil Service Regulations does not suffice to cover the whole period of training, including the time required for the journey to and from the station where the training is held, and, in consequence, these reservists would, under existing arrangements, have to overstay their privilege leave, with such results as are described in Articles 261, 284 and 463 of the Civil Service Regulations. His Excellency the Governor in Council, I am to state, is of opinion that this condition of affairs constitutes a hardship to the reservists in question, the more so as they would be debarred from availing themselves of privilege leave on any other occasions when they might be under the necessity of asking for it.

3. Though the orders of the 9th May, quoted above, do not specifically extend to men serving in the Police department, the Accountant-General is of opinion that they are intended to be of general application to all reservists. In this opinion His Excellency the Governor in Council concurs, and I am accordingly directed to request that the Government of India may be moved to rule that the period during which the reservist sepoy of the Police department are on drill duty with their regiments as also the time spent by them in journeying between their stations and the place of training should be treated as duty qualifying for leave under Civil rules.

From L. M. THORNTON, Esq., Deputy Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department (Police), to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Bombay, dated Calcutta, the 31st December 1896, No. 10-Police—742.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, No. 6999, dated the 13th October 1896, and in reply to say that the ruling contained in Finance Department letter, No. 2061-P., dated 9th May 1896, may be applied to all members of the army reserve withdrawn from their civil duties for drill duty with their regiments, and that the time spent by them in journeying between their stations and the place of training may also be treated as duty qualifying for leave under Civil rules.

ORDER—No. 45, Financial, dated 19th January 1897.

Communicated to the departments of the Secretariat and to all heads of departments under the Chief Secretary.

(True Extract.)

To the Departments of the Secretariat.
„ all Heads of Departments.

Exd. A. Raitt.

for A. R. Ruffe.
Chief Secretary.

Rms.
Government {1897} of Madras.
FINANCIAL.

Recd.

Regd.

} 1897.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O., No. 45, 19th January 1897.

W. 373 W of 6. 2. 97
Communicated to
offices of the P.W. Dept.
(old) D. Mc. N.C.

JANUARY.

W.
to the Ch. S. for Irrigation
" C. S. for Ry.
" Supt. Supt.
" S. & on Special duty
" C.A. to the
" S. S. L.H. D.
" S. S. P.W. L.
" S. S. Ry. acts.

**Members of the Army Reserve withdrawn from their Civil duties for
Drill duty with their Regiments.**

Communicating to the departments of the Secretariat and to all heads of departments under the Chief Secretary the orders of the Government of India that the time spent by all — in journeying between their stations and the place of training be treated as duty qualifying for leave under Civil rules.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following paper:—

G.O., No. 357, Financial, dated 3rd June 1896.

Read—the following letter from R. NATHAN, Esq., Officiating Under Secretary to the Government of India, Finance and Commerce Department (Leave and Leave Allowances), to the Secretary to the Government of Bengal, Revenue Department, dated Simla, the 9th May 1896, No. 2061-P.:—

I am directed to refer to your letter, No. 370, dated 18th January last, and in reply to say that the period during which reservist sepoys employed in the Opium department are on drill duty with their regiments under Military Department Circular No. 20-P., dated the 9th September 1890, may be treated as duty qualifying for leave under Civil rules.

Copy forwarded to the Home and Military Departments for information.

Copy also forwarded to all Local Governments and Administrations, to the Comptroller and Auditor General, to all Accountants-General and Comptrollers and to all heads of departments under this department for information.

(By order.)

(Signed) R. NATHAN,
Offg. Under Secy. to the Govt. of India.

Order—No. 357, Financial, dated 3rd June 1896.

Recorded.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Revenue Department.

READ—also the following paper:—

From L. M. THORNTON, Esq., Deputy Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department (Police), to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, dated Calcutta, the 31st December 1896, No. 10-Police—752.

I am directed to forward, for the information of His Excellency the Governor in Council, a copy of correspondence with

From the Government of Bombay, No. 6999, dated the 13th October 1896.
To the Government of Bombay, No. 10-Police—742, dated the 31st December 1896.

the Government of Bombay, from which it will be seen that the Government of India have decided that the orders contained in Finance Department letter,

No. 2061-P., dated 9th May 1896, apply to all members of the army reserve withdrawn from their civil duties for drill duty with their regiments, and that the time spent by them in journeying between their stations and the place of training may also be treated as duty qualifying for leave under Civil rules.

ENCLOSURES.

From the Honourable Mr. H. BATTY, I.C.S., Acting Chief Secretary to the Government of Bombay, to the Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department, dated Bombay Castle, the 13th October 1896, No. 6999.

In their letter to the Government of Bengal, No. 2061-P., of the 9th May 1896,* the Government of India, in the Department of Finance and Commerce, have ruled that the period during which reservist sepoys employed in the Opium department are on drill duty with their regiments may be treated as duty qualifying for leave under Civil rules. A question has now been raised whether these orders, which have been communicated to this Government, are applicable to reservists employed in the Police department.

2. There are, I am to say, several military reservists serving in the Police of this Presidency who, under the Regulations for the Indian Army Reserve, are required to undergo a training every year for 31 days. The one month's privilege leave to which these men become entitled every year under the Civil Service Regulations does not suffice to cover the whole period of training, including the time required for the journey to and from the station where the training is held, and, in consequence, these reservists would, under existing arrangements, have to overstay their privilege leave, with such results as are described in Articles 261, 284 and 463 of the Civil Service Regulations. His Excellency the Governor in Council, I am to state, is of opinion that this condition of affairs constitutes a hardship to the reservists in question, the more so as they would be debarred from availing themselves of privilege leave on any other occasions when they might be under the necessity of asking for it.

3. Though the orders of the 9th May, quoted above, do not specifically extend to men serving in the Police department, the Accountant-General is of opinion that they are intended to be of general application to all reservists. In this opinion His Excellency the Governor in Council concurs, and I am accordingly directed to request that the Government of India may be moved to rule that the period during which the reservist sepoys of the Police department are on drill duty with their regiments as also the time spent by them in journeying between their stations and the place of training should be treated as duty qualifying for leave under Civil rules.

From L. M. THORNTON, Esq., Deputy Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department (Police), to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Bombay, dated Calcutta, the 31st December 1896, No. 10-Police—742.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, No. 6999, dated the 13th October 1896, and in reply to say that the ruling contained in Finance Department letter, No. 2061-P., dated 9th May 1896, may be applied to all members of the army reserve withdrawn from their civil duties for drill duty with their regiments, and that the time spent by them in journeying between their stations and the place of training may also be treated as duty qualifying for leave under Civil rules.

ORDER—No. 45, Financial, dated 19th January 1897.

Communicated to the departments of the Secretariat and to all heads of departments under the Chief Secretary.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Departments of the Secretariat.
„ all Heads of Departments.

Government {1897} of Madras.
FINANCIAL.

Recd.

1897.

Enclosures

Regd.

Spare copies

G.O., No. 45, 19th January 1897.

JANUARY.

Members of the Army Reserve withdrawn from their Civil duties for
Drill duty with their Regiments.

Communicating to the departments of the Secretariat and to all heads of departments under the Chief Secretary the orders of the Government of India that the time spent by all — in journeying between their stations and the place of training be treated as duty qualifying for leave under Civil rules.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following paper:—

G.O., No. 357, Financial, dated 3rd June 1896.

Read—the following letter from R. NATHAN, Esq., Officiating Under Secretary to the Government of India, Finance and Commerce Department (Leave and Leave Allowances), to the Secretary to the Government of Bengal, Revenue Department, dated Simla, the 9th May 1896, No. 2061-P.:—

I am directed to refer to your letter, No. 370, dated 18th January last, and in reply to say that the period during which reservist sepoy employed in the Opium department are on drill duty with their regiments under Military Department Circular No. 20-P., dated the 9th September 1890, may be treated as duty qualifying for leave under Civil rules.

Copy forwarded to the Home and Military Departments for information.

Copy also forwarded to all Local Governments and Administrations, to the Comptroller and Auditor General, to all Accountants-General and Comptrollers and to all heads of departments under this department for information.

(By order.)

(Signed) R. NATHAN,
Offg. Under Secy. to the Govt. of India.

Order—No. 357, Financial, dated 3rd June 1896.

Recorded.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Revenue Department.

READ—also the following paper:—

From L. M. THORNTON, Esq., Deputy Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department (Police), to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, dated Calcutta, the 31st December 1896, No. 10-Police—752.

I am directed to forward, for the information of His Excellency the Governor in

From the Government of Bombay, No. 6999, dated the 13th October 1896.
To the Government of Bombay, No. 10-Police—742, dated the 31st December 1896.

Council, a copy of correspondence with the Government of Bombay, from which it will be seen that the Government of India have decided that the orders contained in Finance Department letter,

No. 2061-P., dated 9th May 1896, apply to all members of the army reserve withdrawn from their civil duties for drill duty with their regiments, and that the time spent by them in journeying between their stations and the place of training may also be treated as duty qualifying for leave under Civil rules.

ENCLOSURES.

From the Honourable Mr. H. BATTY, I.C.S., Acting Chief Secretary to the Government of Bombay, to the Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department, dated Bombay Castle, the 13th October 1896, No. 6999.

In their letter to the Government of Bengal, No. 2061-P., of the 9th May 1896,* the Government of India, in the Department of Finance and Commerce, have ruled that the

period during which reservist sepoy employed in the Opium department are on drill duty with their regiments may be treated as duty qualifying for leave under Civil rules. A question has now been raised whether these orders, which have been communicated to this Government, are applicable to reservists employed in the Police department.

2. There are, I am to say, several military reservists serving in the Police of this Presidency who, under the Regulations for the Indian Army Reserve, are required to undergo a training every year for 31 days. The one month's privilege leave to which these men become entitled every year under the Civil Service Regulations does not suffice to cover the whole period of training, including the time required for the journey to and from the station where the training is held, and, in consequence, these reservists would, under existing arrangements, have to overstay their privilege leave, with such results as are described in Articles 261, 284 and 463 of the Civil Service Regulations. His Excellency the Governor in Council, I am to state, is of opinion that this condition of affairs constitutes a hardship to the reservists in question, the more so as they would be debarred from availing themselves of privilege leave on any other occasions when they might be under the necessity of asking for it.

3. Though the orders of the 9th May, quoted above, do not specifically extend to men serving in the Police department, the Accountant-General is of opinion that they are intended to be of general application to all reservists. In this opinion His Excellency the Governor in Council concurs, and I am accordingly directed to request that the Government of India may be moved to rule that the period during which the reservist sepoy of the Police department are on drill duty with their regiments as also the time spent by them in journeying between their stations and the place of training should be treated as duty qualifying for leave under Civil rules.

From L. M. THORNTON, Esq., Deputy Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department (Police), to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Bombay, dated Calcutta, the 31st December 1896, No. 10-Police—742.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, No. 6999, dated the 13th October 1896, and in reply to say that the ruling contained in Finance Department letter, No. 2061-P., dated 9th May 1896, may be applied to all members of the army reserve withdrawn from their civil duties for drill duty with their regiments, and that the time spent by them in journeying between their stations and the place of training may also be treated as duty qualifying for leave under Civil rules.

ORDER—No. 45, Financial, dated 19th January 1897.

Communicated to the departments of the Secretariat and to all heads of departments under the Chief Secretary.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Departments of the Secretariat,
„ all Heads of Departments.

P.W.D.

Government {1897} of Madras.
FINANCIAL.

Recd.

Enclosures

1897.

Regd.

Spare copies

G.O., No. 45, 19th January 1897.

10-3754 of 6-2-97
Communicated to officers
of the P.W. Dept
(sd) D. McC. N.C.

JANUARY.

to the Ch. Sec. for J
" C. Sec. for Ry
" S. Sec. on special duty
" C. A. to Govt.
" S. Sec. Lt. H. D.
" Exr., P.W. A.
" Exr., Ry accounts.

Members of the Army Reserve withdrawn from their Civil duties for
Drill duty with their Regiments.

Communicating to the departments of the Secretariat and to all heads of departments under the Chief Secretary the orders of the Government of India that the time spent by all — in journeying between their stations and the place of training be treated as duty qualifying for leave under Civil rules.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following paper:—

G.O., No. 357, Financial, dated 3rd June 1896.

Read—the following letter from R. NATHAN, Esq., Officiating Under Secretary to the Government of India, Finance and Commerce Department (Leave and Leave Allowances), to the Secretary to the Government of Bengal, Revenue Department, dated Simla, the 9th May 1896, No. 2061-P.:—

I am directed to refer to your letter, No. 370, dated 18th January last, and in reply to say that the period during which reservist sepoy employed in the Opium department are on drill duty with their regiments under Military Department Circular No. 20-P., dated the 9th September 1890, may be treated as duty qualifying for leave under Civil rules.

Copy forwarded to the Home and Military Departments for information.

Copy also forwarded to all Local Governments and Administrations, to the Comptroller and Auditor General, to all Accountants-General and Comptrollers and to all heads of departments under this department for information.

(By order.)

(Signed) R. NATHAN,
Offg. Under Secy. to the Govt. of India.

Order—No. 357, Financial, dated 3rd June 1896.

Recorded.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Revenue Department.

READ—also the following paper:—

From L. M. THORNTON, Esq., Deputy Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department (Police), to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, dated Calcutta, the 31st December 1896, No. 10-Police—752.

I am directed to forward, for the information of His Excellency the Governor in Council, a copy of correspondence with the Government of Bombay, from which it will be seen that the Government of India have decided that the orders contained in Finance Department letter, No. 2061-P., dated 9th May 1896, apply to all members of the army reserve withdrawn from their civil duties for drill duty with their regiments, and that the time spent by them in journeying between their stations and the place of training may also be treated as duty qualifying for leave under Civil rules.

From the Government of Bombay, No. 6999, dated the 13th October 1896,
To the Government of Bombay, No. 10-Police—742, dated the 31st December 1896.

ENCLOSURES.

From the Honourable Mr. H. BATTY, I.C.S., Acting Chief Secretary to the Government of Bombay, to the Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department, dated Bombay Castle, the 13th October 1896, No. 6999.

In their letter to the Government of Bengal, No. 2061-P., of the 9th May 1896,* the Government of India, in the Department of Finance and Commerce, have ruled that the period during which reservist sepoy employed in the Opium department are on drill duty with their regiments may be treated as duty qualifying for leave under Civil rules. A question has now been raised whether these orders, which have been communicated to this Government, are applicable to reservists employed in the Police department.

2. There are, I am to say, several military reservists serving in the Police of this Presidency who, under the Regulations for the Indian Army Reserve, are required to undergo a training every year for 31 days. The one month's privilege leave to which these men become entitled every year under the Civil Service Regulations does not suffice to cover the whole period of training, including the time required for the journey to and from the station where the training is held, and, in consequence, these reservists would, under existing arrangements, have to overstay their privilege leave, with such results as are described in Articles 261, 284 and 463 of the Civil Service Regulations. His Excellency the Governor in Council, I am to state, is of opinion that this condition of affairs constitutes a hardship to the reservists in question, the more so as they would be debarred from availing themselves of privilege leave on any other occasions when they might be under the necessity of asking for it.

3. Though the orders of the 9th May, quoted above, do not specifically extend to men serving in the Police department, the Accountant-General is of opinion that they are intended to be of general application to all reservists. In this opinion His Excellency the Governor in Council concurs, and I am accordingly directed to request that the Government of India may be moved to rule that the period during which the reservist sepoy of the Police department are on drill duty with their regiments as also the time spent by them in journeying between their stations and the place of training should be treated as duty qualifying for leave under Civil rules.

From L. M. THORNTON, Esq., Deputy Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department (Police), to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Bombay, dated Calcutta, the 31st December 1896, No. 10-Police—742.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, No. 6999, dated the 13th October 1896, and in reply to say that the ruling contained in Finance Department letter, No. 2061-P., dated 9th May 1896, may be applied to all members of the army reserve withdrawn from their civil duties for drill duty with their regiments, and that the time spent by them in journeying between their stations and the place of training may also be treated as duty qualifying for leave under Civil rules.

ORDER—No. 45, Financial, dated 19th January 1897.

Communicated to the departments of the Secretariat and to all heads of departments under the Chief Secretary.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Departments of the Secretariat.
„ all Heads of Departments.