

Public Works (1897) Department.

G.O., No. 34876

DATED 14th December 1897

[Despatch Abstract.]

Security : Agreeing with the views expressed by the Examiner as regards the form of — to be taken from Contractor K Basavaraddi for certain works and communicating the Examiner's note to S.E. I. for consideration.

P 30

BACK PAPERS.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
------	-----	-------------	-------

Contractor, &
(C) Person securing of
two persons of known probity
& wealth.
As stated by the Engineer,
the Contractor should be
able to adopt one of these
means of tendering security
without undue hardship
to himself, and there seems
no reason to go beyond
the Code rules.

W. H. S.
7.12.97

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
------	-----	-------------	-------

EXAMINER,
P. W. ACCOUNTS.
22 NOV. 1897

No. 662 GL

FROM

J. C. Laraine Esq. M. J. C. E.
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER.

FIRST CIRCLE.

Enclosures. nil TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

WALTAIR, Camp Duffield 11-11-1897.

Dated 13-11-97

SIR,

I have the honour to request orders on the
following point.

The contracts for the Viragapalam and
Cocanada Waterworks have been given to K
Basavareddy, a wealthy merchant of Cocanada
with whom this Department first became ac-
quainted, as a Contractor, for the new jetty
work at Cocanada. He had before this, worked
satisfactorily for the Marine Department for
similar work, at the same place.

X
Executed on 10.6.95
in favor of K Basavareddy
by Sri Rajah Ch. Appanna
Dahadoor Zamindar of
Jaggampeta.

its security for the Viragapalam
Waterworks he has deposited a Bond for Rs 30000 on the Estate of the
Rajah in the Krishna District, whose annual
revenue is stated to be 5 lakhs. But the
question now arises whether under Code,
Chapter VIII Para 24, these securities are
admissible. My opinion is that under
Clause (C) they may be accepted though
there may be some doubt, there may
perhaps

FROM
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
FIRST CIRCLE.
TO
THE CHIEF ENGINEER
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

DATED
DESPATCHED
RECEIVED

189

No.

ENCLOSURES.

SUBJECT.

*perhaps to be two fresh securities.
in each case.*
3 Basavaiddi submits
that he should not be called
on to lock up so large a
sum as Rs 20000 at the low
rate of interest he will
receive from Government
while if he had the use of
the money he would turn
it to much greater advan-
-tage.


4 Under the Circumstances,
and knowing Basavaiddi
as I do, I would recommend
that the Securities he has
tendered may be accepted

I have the honour to be,
Sir

Your Most Obedt St

J. C. Lammie
Suptg Engineer
12/11/97

Public Works (1897) Department.



CURRENT No. 11387
12008/97

No 48982420th November 1897
Order O. U.

To C

In favour

of

15.11.97

15-11-97

From
J. C. Lammie Engineer
Suptg Engineer
I. C. L.

No. 662 GL

Dated 11th Nov 97

Received
Registered 16.11.97

Enclosures nil

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Security for Viragapetam and
Cocana Water Works - Request
to be informed whether he can
accept Pro notes as from
Contractor H. Basavaiddi

12008/97

DA
DE
RE

No.

Diffe.

KEEP-WITH

189

CURRENT No.

GOVT.)
OF MADRAS.)

1897.

No. 27.
00—6-9-97.

{ P. W.
{ DEPT

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 2d December 1897.

OFFICE NOTE No. 3783 B/A

To

W.

4898
Your Office Note No. 488 C dated
20th. November 1897.

The Securities offered are not of the kind prescribed in Code Volume I. VIII.24 and I am unable to offer any opinion as to their value.

2. I consider that the rules laid down in Code I.VIII.24 are sufficiently elastic to enable security to be taken in one or other of the three authorized forms without undue hardship to Contractors, and that it is not advisable to permit security being taken in any unauthorized form that might involve Government in a very undesirable ^{class} ~~class~~ of litigation, if an attempt had to be made to realize the security on the default of the Contractor.

Erwaller

Offg. C.

27PK
2/12/97

8/149/K 10-12-98