

Public Works (1897) Department.

G.O., No. 3447W

DATED 9th December 1897

[Despatch Abstract.]

Port Officer's Residence at Ramban; Letter from
S.E. VI (in) Submitting Copy of Letter from the Ex. Engineer
Madura Dⁿ stating that the original estimate
for the — appears to have been prepared at the time
when Mr. Garrett was the Ex. Engineer, recorded.

[illegible]

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CURRENT No. 10980

From The Suptg. Engineer
to F. J. A. VI Circle

No. 1595. In D.

Received 5-11-97

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

Port Officer's residence at
Pamban. Submits copy of
Madura Ex: Engineer's letter
informing that the original
estimate for the — appears
to have been ~~made~~ prepared
at the time of Mr Garnett,
the then Ex: Engineer.

H.E. 3/12/97 RT-12-97

2744W. 2-10-97
 2656C. 13-5-97
 2265C. 29-4-97
 1869C. 1-4-97
 395W. 9-2-97
 480C. 25-1-97
 1556W. 19-5-96
 1305W. 23-4-96
 882. 25-2-96
 625W. 6-3-94
 71-72W. 11-1-94

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

From A. Joyce Esquire, Executive Engineer, Madras Division, to the Superintending Engineer, M. Circle, No. 430 P.E. of 27 October 1897:—

With reference to para 3 of your Enclosurement No. 1431 Ind. dated 9 October 1897, on G.O. No. 2744 W. dated 2-10-97, I have the honor to inform you that the original estimate of 6400 sanctioned in Register No. 4 of 1893-94, appears to have been prepared in the Division Office at the time of Mr. Garrett, the then Executive Engineer.

No. 1595. L.D. of 3rd November 1897.

Copy submitted to the Chief Engineer, P.W.D., for information, with reference to para 2 of G.O. No. 2744 W. dated 2nd October 1897.

J. T. B. M. L. Hob. R. B.
 Superintending Engineer
 M. Circle

[Handwritten signature/initials]

D. W.

With reference to your note to W in which it has been remarked that I would be able to explain in regard to the insertion of the word "original" in the draft of G.O. No. 2744 W of 2nd October 1897 I beg to state that the interlineation had been made before the draft was passed by you and Rob. Campbell. It is a recognised rule in every office that no alteration in a draft passed by an officer ~~could~~ should be made without the approval of that officer and I could not have evaded this rule in the present instance, nor could any motive be attributed to me for doing so.

From the information available in this office, it is not possible to say whether the excess is on the original estimate of £6,400, or on the supplementary additional allowance of £1,600, sanctioned in G.O. No. 1556 W dated 19th May 1896.

The allowance of £1,600 made for the 8th September may have been exceeded at a less or more cost and in the absence of details I am not able to explain the difference.

There is no doubt that the original estimate of £6,400 was exceeded, as it will be observed from my note dated 16.9.97 that the estimate was increased considerably.

Submit L.S. 1851 to W. with reference to his remarks on the 11th 1897.

has been very different to estimate rates correctly in such an isolated spot as the island of Oahu.

As regards the paleness of the ink in which the word "original" appeared I beg to state that it is due to the word having been blotted immediately after it was written, which was not the case with the remaining portion of the draft which is black.

W. H. S.
25-11-97

In this connection, I may also mention that the initials of D.W. and Ware of the same colour as the word interlined.

Interlineations in a draft submitted for the first time are not usually initialled by the officers.

My reason for inserting the word "Original" is as follows and I submit that it is correct.

There was an estimate of Rs 6400 sanctioned for the work in G.O. 625W of 6th March 1894. The S.E. submitted a revised estimate of Rs 10,500, but this was not sanctioned. A lump sum allowance of Rs 1,600 was however

made in this office for providing a verandah 8 feet wide to the front and sides of the building in addition to the original estimate of Rs 6400, raising the total estimate to Rs 8000.

(Please see the wording of G.O. 1556W of 19th May 1896) There was thus no revised estimate of Rs 8000 prepared by the Executive or Suptg. Engineer and the original sanction for Rs 6400 held good subject to the additional expenditure of Rs 1600 permitted to be incurred in the aforesaid G.O. The excess in question is therefore on the original and not the revised estimate. A reference to para 4 of T's note of 16-9-97 will also bear out my statement.

SW.
If the Minister was asked the SE who prepared it, and the same should not be issued immediately. Meanwhile T should state whether the excess is on the original Rs 6400 or an additional Rs 1600 and explain matters as far as possible.

May 18/11/97

16.4.97 P.T.O. →
J

W.

When H.E. called for the name of the officer who made the estimate he obviously referred to the revised estimate of Rs 8000 which has been executed, and not the original estimate of Rs 6400. In draft G.O. No. 2744 W dated 2-10-97 the word "original" seems to have been inserted after the order was passed by me and Colonel Campbell, for it is in lighter coloured ink. The then Acting M. may however be able to explain this.

It is in lighter coloured ink. The then Acting M. may however be able to explain this.

Hence for approval herewith.

W.H.S.
18.11.97

Consd
14-11-97.

In this connection I may also mention

Public Works (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 10980

Recd
Order

In para 27 of S.O. No. 2744 W dated

2nd October 1897 the word

"original" was inserted by
mistake the correct estimate

of Rs 8000 being the one referred
to and in view there was

an excess at the of Rs 220-0-4.

The undersigned has therefore

the honour to request that

the name of the officer who

prepared the estimate of

Rs 8000 may be reported.

Cd 88
14-11-97.

W. H. S.
15-11-97

on
11-11-97

From
The Superintending Engineer
N. Circle

No. 1595.L.D.

Dated 3rd November.

Received 5-11-97

Enclosures - u -

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Port Officer's Residence
at Sambau - Conubniti
Cep of Madras Cey: Cey's
when informing that the
original bill for the
appears to have been pre-
pared at the time of Mr. Jans
the then Cey: Engineer.