

G.O., No. 3280 W

DATED 23 Nov 1897

[Despatch Abstract.]

Order: directing that the claim to — for the old
Jail Hospital at Masulipatam from Mr
Baldrey Inspector of Police, for the period from
13 February to 21 May 1897, be withdrawn.

[illegible]

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

№ 3280 Вх-11-97

From J. L. Paul Esquire
offg. Surg. Major
and Col.

Order

The claim to rent for
the period from 15th February to
21st May 1897, will be withdrawn - Da

No. 2092 KE

^{now -}
Dated 2 November 1897

Received }
Registered } 4-11-97

Enclosures

Spare copies

note - The Supr. of Police did his duty by reporting to his departmental superior the fact that he had located his quarters. The Supr. of Police should have notified the circumstance to the P. W. Dept., but he states that he was not aware that it was his duty to have done so - the claim to have need not however be proved, for no actual loss to Govt accrues by withdrawing it - apparently no officer was appointed to succeed Mr. Baldrey at Manipalpatam during the period in question, and even if the location of quarters had been reported at once, they would still have remained empty.

SUBJECT.

Rent. Requested transfer
 to work of the - one
 by Mr R. E. Baldry
 Inspector of Police
 for the occupation of
 the Jail Hospital
 building at Wash-
 ington. / 2 1/10

2. W. S. II Circle

H.E. 16-11-97 R22-11-97

Letter from J. S. Wilson Engineer,
Executive Engineer, Krishna Eastern
Division, to the Supt. Engr. # (Encl. No. 294)
3099

I have the honor to forward the following case for your decision. Mr R. E. C. Baldrey, Inspector of Police, who occupied the Hospital attached to the old jail at Na Sulipatam as his residence on a monthly rent of Rs 3-8-0, vacated the building and left the place on transfer without giving notice to any Public works official. Can I send the monthly bill to the Treasury Deputy Collector as usual for the recovery of rent, that officer

officer informed me that
Mr Baldery had been trans-
ferred to the Cuddapah
District, and that rent had
been paid by him up to 14-2-97

3 It was also subsequently
ascertained from the Southern
Sub D. Officer that the build-
ing was not made over
to any D. W. official by the
Inspector, and that it was
only taken over on the 22nd May 97.

4 In this office No. 414, dated
28th June, 1897, the Police
Inspector was informed
that he was liable to be
called upon to pay rent
for the building from 15-2-97 to 21-5-97

and was requested to give
any explanation he had to offer.
A copy of his letter No. 146,
dated 4th August 1897, is
enclosed, in which he states
that he reported to the Super-
intendent of Police, his
Honour, that he was vacating
the building, but that the
latter officer did not no-
tify the transfer to the
Department.

5 Under these circumstances,
I have the honour to request
that sanction may be ac-
corded to write off the
rent claimed from 15th
February to 21st May 1897 at

at the rate of Rs 3-8-0 per
month.

No 2092 KE

2.11.97

Forwarded to the
Chief Engineer, P. W. Depart.
- ment for favor of Compliance.

2. The Superintendent of
Police, Kistna, states on enquiry
that he was not aware that
he had to intimate to this
Department the date of
his vacation of the building
occupied by his subordinate.

Yr. M^rg. Engineer,
28/10/97 for Supy. Engineer,
2nd Circle.

28¹⁰/₉₇