Public Works (1897) Department.

G.O., No. 2745 W

DATED 20 October 1897

[Despatch Abstract.]

Excess Expenditure: Sanctioning the — of Rs. 2755 incurred on the record room for the Collector's Office at Masulipatam.

B
2.10.97

[P.W. 25. 5,000—30-11-96.]

Indexed. 2nd 7/10

H.E. 3.8.97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Completion report for Kistna Collector's record room

P.W. DEPARTMENT.

No. 2745 W 2.6.97

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

From the Superintending Engineer II circle No. 1941 K.E. d/ 20-10-96
– do – the Examiner P.W. Accounts No. 3243 D/E d/ 29-10-96.
– do – the Superintending Engineer II circle No. 2197 K.E. d/ 11-11-96
– do – do – do – II – do – No. 2805 K.E. d/ 7-1-97.
– do – do – do – II – do – No. 14 K.E. d/ 2-4-97.
– do – do – do – do – No: 1201 K.E. d/ 27-7-97
– do – do – do – do – No: 1536 K.E. d/ 28-8-97

I do not know what is meant by the excav[illegible] and 'lift'. Surely they should have been known before-hand?. I must be made to understand this, before I can consent to pass the [illegible] excess on the estimate.

A.S.H. 6.5.97

The expenditure of Rs 2,755 incurred in excess of the sanctioned estimate of Rs 14,234 for a record room for the Collector's office at Masulipatam is sanctioned.

CWS 3/6 97

B/D

To

The Supdg: Engineer II circle with completion report
" Examiner P.W. Accounts.

5/11/97

[P. W. 40. / 1,000—26-2-97.]

K.E. 3-5-97 R 31-5-97

5343

W

Public Works (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 8642

No. 2745-W 2-10-97

~~H.E.~~

From

Major W. L. C. Baddeley R.E.
Supg. Engr. 2nd Circle

Seen and mentally noted.
A.S.H 18.9.97

For **Orders**

Submitted with reference to His Excellency's query[×] dated August 11th 1897.

[×] Flag A

C.R.S.
3.9.97.

3/9/97

No. 1536 K.E.

Dated 28 August 1897

Received 30

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Estimate for the Record Room in the ~~Compound~~ Collector's Office Masulipatam. States that Mr. Hammond signed the original —

Issue the draft order flagged A.
27/9/97

W

O.L.S. 632

R 30/9

H.E. 3.9.97

R 20.9.97

~~D.W.~~

31-8-97

18/9

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
3583 C	20-8-97
3007C	30-6-97
409C	22-1-97
68C	7-1-97
4405C	25-11-96
2576C	30-7-94
3122W	6-12-94
1953W	15-7-91

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

SUPERINTENDIND ENGINEER'S OFFICE,
SECOND CIRCLE, Bezwada

No. 1536 KE

DATED 28 August 1897

FROM,

Major W. L. C. Baddeley R.E.
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
II CIRCLE.

TO,

The Chief Engineer,
P. W. Department,
Madras.

Encloa:

SIR,

In reference to your No. 3583 C d/ 20th Augt 1897, I have the honour to report that the original estimate was prepared in the Office of the Supg Engineer under the orders of Mr. Hannan. The date of the G.O. is 1891 and not 1897 as entered in your memo: under reply.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obdt Servt

W L C Baddeley
Major R.E.
Supg Engr: II Circle

D.S. 27/8/97

R 18/9

BACK PAPERS. FURTHER PAPERS.

Public Works (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 7533

H. E. the Governor

Another instance of careless estimating. Who is the culprit and where is he stationed? A.E.H. 11.8.97

~~Order~~ Submitted with reference to H.E's remarks on the draft order on

C.W. 2498. The explanation given, namely that the salvage lead must have been overlooked, that allowed in previous estimates being accepted without enquiry, can not be considered satisfactory: but it is submitted that no better explanation is likely to be obtainable, seeing the time that has elapsed since the estimate was prepared.

C.W. 1808.
3.8.97

3/8/97

From Major W. L. C. Baddeley R.E.
Supg Engr II Circle

No. 1201 R.E.

Dated 27 July 1897

Received
Registered } 29.7.97

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Record Room in the Collector's Office at Masulipatam. Excess in rates in the Est. for —. Forwards letter from the E.E. K Dn. explaining the —.

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
3007 C	30-6-97
409 C	22-1-97
68 C	7-1-97
2576 C	30-7-94
3122 W	6-12-94
1953 W	15-7-91

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

Letter from J. S. Wilson Esquire
Executive Engineer, Kistna Eastern Dn
to the Supg Engineer II circle, dated
16th July 1897, No. 169.

With reference to your No 1030 K.E. d/ 8th July 1897 I have the honour to state that the only explanation I can give is that the estimate having been prepared in the Dn Office the extra lead was overlooked and the lead given in previous estimates accepted without enquiry.

No 1201 K.E.
27.7.97

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer, P.W.D, with reference to his No 3007 C d/ 30th June 1897.

Major R.E.
Supg Engineer, II Circle

26/7/97

From the Executive Engineer, Kistna Canal [illegible]
to the Supdg. Engineer II Circle, Dated
8th July 1897, No. 169.

With reference to your No. 1230 K.E. of 2nd July 1897 I have the honor to state that the only explanation I can give is that the estimate [illegible] in this Office the extra expenditure was sanctioned on the [illegible] given in previous estimates [illegible] without enquiry.

[illegible]

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer W. D. with reference to [illegible] 30th June 1897 Compound of Collector's Office Masulipatam.

[illegible]

Public Works (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 3498

Order

From

Major W. L. C. Baddeley R.E.
Supg. Engineer,
II Circle.

No. 14 K E

Dated 2d April 1897
Received 6.4.97
Registered —.—
Enclosures 1
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Record Room in the Compound of Collector's Office, Masulipatam — Forwards with remarks explanation of the excess rates allowed for —.

O.L.5.44

R22/6

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
409 C	22-1-97
68 C	7-1-97
4405 C	25-11-96
2576 C	30-7-94
3122 W	6-12-94
1953 W	15-7-91

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

Letter from Sergt. C. J. Smith Officer in charge Southern Sub Dn. to the Exe: Engineer, Kistna Eastern Dn., d/ 19.2.97, No. 3925

Reference your No. 181 S d/ 3.2.97.

I have the honour to inform you that the Construction of the Record Room in Compound of Collector's Cutcherry at Masulipatam was carried out departmentally with the exception of a few small items for which the labour was done by piece work at Sanctioned rates.

As regards the comparison of rates for "random rubble" and "cut stone work" with those of Taluq Cutcherry I must here bring to your notice that the Record Room is one mile further from Chinnapore Boat Basin than Taluq Cutcherry and that consequently there is an extra charge of Rs 0-4-0 per Cubic yard for Cartage alone on all materials sent to site of Record Room in excess of Cost of similar materials sent

Sent to Taluq Cutcherry. The Record Room being a two storied building rates for labour are naturally more than those ~~for similar~~ work at Taluq Cutcherry which is only a one storied building.

As regards the wastage of teak wood no one is to blame: teakwood logs were purchased locally to support concrete flooring in accordance with your No. 2747 d/ 4.9.94.

After sanction had been accorded to two inch planking for first floor it was decided to utilize these logs for the purpose and in sawing up logs about 1'-3"×1'-3" into two inch planks. it is obvious that the cubic contents of the planking would be considerably less than the cubic contents of the logs before sawn up.

(True Copy)
(Sd) J.S. Wilson
Ex. Engr. K.E.Dn.

P.W.E. 1
34.28(27)

(True Copy)
Mo: Upshon
Manager
Supg Engrs Office 2nd Circle.

Letter from J.S. Wilson Esquire, Ex: Engineer Kistna Eastern Dn. to the Supg Engineer, II Circle, d/ 27.3.97, no 3778.

With reference to your No. 3054 K.E. d/ 30th January '97, I have the honour to forward a copy of an explanation submitted by Southern Sub Dn. Officer.

2. In reply to the 1st Question put on the Chief Engineer's memo, the Sub Dn. Officer explains that the work was done for the most part departmentally.

3. The increase in the rates as compared with those for the Taluq Cutcherry. The excess cost of cartage of materials for the record room owing to the lead being 1 mile greater than in the case of the Taluq Office, will account for a considerable increase and a further excess was due to the extra cost of building a two storied building as compared with a single storied one.

4. The Sub Dn. Officer's explanation of

of the wastage in teak wood seems a reasonable one and not to have been due to negligence.

No. 14 KE
2.4.97

Enc 1

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer, P.W.D., with reference to Memo No. 409 C d/ 22.1.97.

The explanation regarding the rates, as compared with those for the Taluq Office, is that the prices allowed in the original estimate for the record room were less than those found necessary by experience on the Taluq Office, and that during execution it was necessary to make a further increase to allow for the additional lead and lift.

W L C Baddeley
Major R.E.
Supg Engineer, II Circle.

---

ublic Works (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 315

Engrs:

~~**Order**~~

~~With reference to endorse~~ **From**

Major W. L. C. Baddeley R.E.
Supg Engr: II Circle

No. 2805 KE

Dated 7 January 1897
Received 11.1.97
Enclosures ~~3~~ 4
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Record Room in the Compound of the Collector's Office, Masulipatam.
Forwards with remarks K E Dn Officer's letter explaining the causes of excesses on certain items in the Est: for the —.

B

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	88C	P.W.D.	7-1-97

FURTHER PAPERS

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Kistna Eastern Devision Offi

No. 588 Dated 3rd March 1893.

From C. A. Smith Esquire
Executive Engineer
Eastern Devision
Kistna.

To
The Superintending Engineer
II circle.

Encl/

Sir,

In forwarding herewith a revised workslip for the Collecto Record room at Bunder I have th honor to state that it is not possible to complete the building with an excess of Rs 1000 above the sanctioned est even if cement plastering is not used in of Chunam plastering and I therefo request sanction to carry out the ceme plastering and the remaining items according to the workslips now sent, If cement ~~plastering~~ pointing were done to t inside instead of cement plastering there would be a saving of Rs 585 the amount now provided but I do not recommend the change as the masonry will look very rough if pointed inside. Very early orders are requested as the work is delayed for want of them.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servt
By order

M. Chinnayya
Manager
for Executive Engineer
Eastern Division
Kistna
13/3.

13-3-94

W. E.1/3/5/97

S. PG. ENGINEER'S OFFICE No. 2875 15 MAR. 94

From

The Executive Engineer
Kistna Eastern Dn

To The Supg. Engineer
2nd Circle

Dated 13th March 94

No. 5886.

Cirl.

Record room in the Compound of Collector's Cutcherry Masulipatam.

Forwards Revised work-slip for —— for Sanction

SUPG. ENGINEER'S OFFICE No. 1/2661 17 DEC. 96

With an order on the reverse 2991 KS / 13-3-94.

P.W.E.2 / 315/96

KDE

Record room

No 2991 KS / 13-3-94.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER 1992 15 MAR. 94 EASTERN DIVISION

To S.S.D.O. no 5910 / 14-3-94

Approved except that cement pointing inside should be substituted for plastering

2 It is stated by the Ex Engineer that substituting pointing for plaster will result in a saving of Rs 585-

3 As to the appearance inside, this is not a matter of any importance as the record racks will hide the wall, and in any case a record room need not look handsome

13/3/94 J. W. Martin
Supdg Engr

Work Slip

Name of work – Constructing a Record Room in the Compound of Collector's Cutcherry at Masulipatam
Estimate Rs 10,214 Sanctioned in G. O. No 1953 W dated 15th July 1891 & registered as Provincial
No 19. S.E II of 1891-92.

Comparison of Expenditure to end of January 1894 with Sanctioned estimate.

Sub Heads.	As per estimate			As Executed			Explanation of deviations, Excess &c
	Quantity	Rate	Amount	Quantity	Rate	Amount	
Lower story.							
1. Rubble work in Mortar	60.32	17-8-0	1056				
Do Do in foundations	"	"	"	23.33	20-0-0	467	The Sanctioned rates are too low. Work carried out as per rate allowed in the sanctioned estimate for Taluq cutcherry at Masulipatam.
Do Do in Superstructure	"	"	"	25.57	20-8-0	524	
Dressed face work in basement	"	"	"	11.42 60.32	23-12-0	271	Basement was built with partially dressed face stone work. hence increase in rate.
2. Cut stone work in chunam	1326	0-8-0	663	1057	0-12-6	826	Quantity entered in the original Sanctioned estimate is wrong and the rate allowed is too low. Work executed as per rate sanctioned for Taluq Cutcherry at Masulipatam.
3. Pointing with Portland cement	11.25	2-11-0	30	32.25	3-8-0	113	It is proposed to point the whole of the exterior of the building with Portland cement as the chunam pointing & plastering do not stand exposure to the salt air. Rate allowed in the original estimate is too low.
Do do with chunam	21.00 32.25	1-12-0	37				
4. Plastering with two coats of chunam	35.5	3-0-0	107	"	"	"	This item is not necessary as it is proposed to plaster the whole of the inside of the building with Portland cement.
Plastering with Portland cement	"	"	"	35.5	8-12-0	311	Portland cement plastering ½" thick proposed as the chunam plaster does not stand exposure to the salt air.
5. Flooring with asphalte ½" thick laid on concrete 4" thick	13.25	16-0-0	212	13.25	20-0-0	265	The rate allowed in the Original estimate is too low.
6. Windows ⅔ venetian & ⅓ glazed opening inside with fixed iron grating out side & fixed iron grating & glazed top including frames &c complete	561	2-0-0	1122	561	1-12-7	1002	
7. Painting with two coats of Carsons paint, chocolate color, to doors windows & all wood work	14.35	4-8-0	65	14-35	4-8-0	65	
			3292			3844	

Work Slip

Name of work — Constructing a record room in the Compound of Collector's Cutcherry at Masulipatam
Estimate Rs 10,214 Sanctioned in G. No 1953 W dated 15th July/1891 & registered as Provincial
No. 19. S.E II of 1891-92.

Comparison of Expenditure to end of January/1894 with Sanctioned estimate.

Sub Heads.	As per estimate			As Executed			Explanation of deviations, Excess &c
	Quantity	Rate	Amount	Quantity	Rate	Amount	
Brought forward			3,292			3,844	
8. Rolled iron beams (22'x6"x10")	10	100	1000	-	-		Small size beams used
Do do (24'x6"x8")	"	"	"	20	29-9-10	770	Number increased owing to small size beams used. Cross beams were not provided in the original estimate. These were found necessary to have room for spiral stair case.
Do do Cross beams (7'2"x3"x4")	"	"	"	6			
9. String course	"	"	"	"	"	65	Not provided in the original estimate.
10. Total amount of the items costing Rs 500 and under	"	"	1401	"	"	1334	Total amount of the items costing Rs 500 and is shown in lump sum as it is not possible to say the exact expenditure under each of the sub heads for which there is no detail account.
11. Contingencies	"	"	143	"	"	13	
12. Petty supervision			142			223	
Total			5,978			6,249	
Upper story							
13. Cornice work with brick in chunam	194	0-5-0	61	194	0-8-8	105	Rate allowed in the Original estimate is too low.
14. Concrete terracing in chunam	11	19-9-0	215	"	"	"	Broken stone in surki mortar substituted
Terracing with broken stone in surki mortar & 3 courses of flat tiles	"	"	"	11	40-13-0	449	Broken stone with surki mortar & 3 courses of flat tiles used as per orders of the Supdg. Engr. Executed rate now entered including fixing removing centering, watering and ramming &c.
15. Pointing with chunam —	23.04	1-12-0	41				Pointing with Portland cement proposed
Pointing with Portland cement	"	"	"	23.04	3-12-0	86	Portland cement pointing proposed in place of ordinary chunam pointing
			6295			6889	

Work Slip

Name of work – Constructing a Record Room in the Compound of Collector's Cutcherry at Masulipatam
Estimate Rs 10,214 Sanctioned in G. O. No 1953 W dated 15" July/1891 & registered as Provincial No 19. S.E II of 1891-92.

Comparison of Expenditure to end of January/1894 with Sanctioned estimate.

Sub Heads.	As per estimate Quantity	Rate	Amount	As Executed Quantity	Rate	Amount	Explanation of deviations, Excess &c
Brought forward			6,295			6,889	
16. Plastering with two coats of chunam	63.75	3-0-0	191	"	"	"	Cement plastering proposed as ordinary mortar will not stand long to the exposure of the salt air.
Plastering with Portland cement	"	"	"	63.75	10-0-0	638	Portland cement plastering ¾" thick one cement to 4 sand finished off, smooth with fine cement is proposed over flat tiles on the top of terrace & for the inside of walls.
17. Windows ⅔ venetian & ⅓ glazed opening inside with fixed iron grating outside and fixed glazes & iron grating tops including frames &c complete.	561	2-0-0	1122	561	1-14-10	1082	63.75 6 382.50 15.94 398.44 186.4 585
18. Painting with two coats of Carsons oak paint, chocolate colors to windows & wood work	11.76	4-8-0	53	11.76	4-8-0	53	
19. Rolled iron beams (22½' x 6" x 10")	10	100	1000	"	"	"	Small size beams used.
Rolled iron beams (24' x 6" x 8")	"	"	"	21	35-12-10	752	Small size beams substituted in place of those sanctioned as they were not available at the time hence increase in number
20. Total amount of the items costing Rs 500 & under			1351	"	"	2178	Total amount of the items costing Rs 500 & under, is shown in lumpsum as it is not possible to say the exact expenditure under each of the sub heads for which there is no detail account.
21. Contingencies	"	"	101	"	"	18	
22. Petty Supervision	"	"	101	"	"	104	
						11,714	
Total Estimate Rupees.			10,214			10214	
Net increase Rs						1500	

Note Cement pointing inside the record room is to be substituted for cement plaster – 13/3/94

By order

M. Chinnayya
Manager
12/3/94

L W Martin
for Executive Engineer
Eastern Dn Kistna.

Engineer

1/2875 K/E
13 MAR. 94
SECOND CIRCLE

Revised Work slip for Constructing Record rooms in the compound of Collector's Cutcherry at Masulipatam.

No. Endorsts. No 2991 E
13.3.94

2/12661 K/E
17 DEC. 96
P.W.D.
SECOND CIRCLE

K. Dist.

The fair copy of work slip received this day. Please see S.E. remark within. The whole file together with P. E. orders passed on the revised work slip sent to your honor yesterday.

14-3-94

R.4
315/97

Letter from L. L. Wickham Esquire, Execut Engineer, Kistna Eastern Dn., to the Supg Engineer, 2nd Circle, dy 16th December 1896 No 30

With reference to your endorsement No 2475 KE dy 3rd December 1896, I have the honour to resubmit the Completion Report for the construction of record room in the Compound of the Collector's Office at Masulipatam and to explain the cause of excess over the following items.

2. Item No 1. Rubble work in mortar Sanctioned rate as per Estimate is Rs 17-8-0

The same description of work was carried out at the rate of Rs 21.9.11 for the Construction of Taluq Cutcherry at Masulipatam, which is situated at a distance of 1½ miles from the boat basin, and the distance from the basin to the Collector's Office building is about 2¼ miles, consequently cartage of materials for the extra distance of about ¾ mile had to be paid.

3. The work in the foundation was carried out at the rate of Rs 20 per 100 Cft and the superstructure was done at Rs 20-8-0. The basement was built at Rs 23-12-0. The extra rate Rs 3-4-0 is due for building the basement with partially dressed face

face stone. The above rate on the rubble work in mortar in excess of the Sanctioned one was Sanctioned in your Office No. 2991 W.S. d/ 13th March 1894.

Item No 2 Cut stone in chunam. Estimate rate is Rs 0-8-6 per Cubic foot. It is too low. The Same description of work was carried out to the Taluq Office at Masulipatam at the rate of Rs 0-12-6 per cft. and the excess of 5 pies is due to the Cartage of materials for the extra distance of about 3/4 miles.

5. Item No 7 Teak wood wrought and put up. Beams received from Rangoon having been of large size, cost of labour for conveying and fixing them was increased and the surplus and unserviceable wood that remained at site had to be sold by public auction at less rate, and there was also a good deal of wastage on a certain quantity of wood purchased locally.

6. With regard to the Substitution of Cement plaster for Chunam pointing &c I beg to state that the building was plastered with Cement as the Chunam pointing and plastering do not stand exposure of the Salt air.

P.T.

P.S. It does not appear from records that Sanction of the Sup. Engineer has been obtained for the Substitution of Cement plaster.

Enc. 3.

No. 2805 KE
7.1.97

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer, P.W.D. with reference to his no 4405 C d/ 25.11.96. The undersigned considers that the replies given by the Exe Engineer, Kistna Eastern Dn, fairly explain the reasons why there was an excess over the original estimate.

vide cu. 385/97

2. As regards the use of portland Cement plastering it was a mistake to enter "Chunam pointing" in the original estimate, for nothing but portland Cement is of any use for plaster or pointing in Masulipatam owing to the action of the Sea air. This record room was in the charge of far too many Officers during its Construction, and I had to make several important changes in design as the result of my first inspection when I joined the Circle. All these matters have been fully dealt with and are recorded in G.O. no 3122 W d/ 6-12-94

W C Baildeley
Major R.E.
Supdg. Engr. II Circle

Public Works (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. 10307

Order

From Major W. L. C. Baddeley R.E.
Superintending Engr.,
II Circle

No. 2197 KE

Dated 11 November 1896
Received 14.11.96
Enclosures 1
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Record Room in the Compound of Collector's Office Masulipatam —
Executive Engineer's letter and Supdg. Engr's Endt. thereon forwarding Completion Report for the —
Forwarding a copy of —

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No 19411
20-10-96

Letter from L. L. Wickham Esqr.
Executive Engineer, Kistna Eastern Dn
to the Superintending Engineer, 2nd Circle,
No. 2750 dated 9th October 1896.

With reference to your No. 1726 A / 25-9-96 on G.O. No. 2667 W. 18-9-96, I have the honor to inform you that the accounts of the Record Room for the Collector Cutchery at Masulipatam were closed in Septr 96.

2. Completion report in the form of Comparative statement is hereunto submitted with explanation for causes of excess on different subheads, and I request you will be pleased to obtain the sanction of Government for the excess over the estimate.

3. In conclusion I beg to state that a copy of this Completion Report will be forwarded to the Examiner of P.W. Accts with the accounts for September 1896.

v.

No. 1941 R.E.
20-10-96

Enclosure 1 C ~~parating~~ of Completion Report.

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer P.W. department with reference to G.O. No. 2667 W, dated 18-9-96, through the Examiner of P.W. Accounts for favor of obtaining the sanction of Government to the excess of Rs 2755/ over the original estimate for constructing Record room in the compound of Collector's Cutcherry at Masulipatam being admitted by the Examiner.

2. Explanation for the cause of excess has been given by the Ex. Engineer in the Completion report.

Sd. W. L. C. Baddeley
Major R.E.
Superintending Engineer,
II Circle.

(True Copy)

PWE1
10302

Wm. Upshon
Manager,
Superintending Engrs. Office
II Circle

No. 2197 R.E.

Superintending Engineer's Office.
Bezwada
II CIRCLE,
Dated 11 November 1896.

From,

Major W. L. C. Baddeley ~~Esquire~~, R.E.
Superintending Engineer,
II Circle.

To,

The Chief Engineer,
Public Works Department.

Sir,

1 With reference to the remark in G.O. No. 3163 W, dated 5th Nov. 96, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of my letter No. 1941 R.E. / 20-10-96, which, the books of the office show, was despatched on the 20th Oct. 96 to you through the Examiner, P.W. Accts.

Ce. 9979
2nd b 7
w 30-10-96

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt. Servt.
W L C Baddeley
Major R.E.
Superintending Engineer
II Circle

2197 R.E

From,

Major W. L. C. Beasley R.E.
The Superintending Engineer,
II Circle.

To,

The
Chief Engineer

Dated
Received } 18

Enclosures.

NO.

SUBJECT.

With reference to your Endt. No. 3163 W, dated 5th October 1896, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of my letter No. 1941 R.E. which the work of the office [illegible] undertaken [illegible] to you through the Examiner P.W. [illegible]

Is this work contract, departmental or piecework? Let me see contract carried between [illegible] years ago [illegible] Supdg. Engineers to invariably [illegible] procedures when submitting revised estimates.

The explanations given are so meagre that it is impossible to judge whether the ~~[illegible]~~ excess ~~expenditure is~~ over estimate is justified or not.

In items under Rs 500 cement plastering was substituted ~~for~~ for chunam pointing. ~~[illegible]~~ It is not stated whether S.E. sanctioned this.

C
25 p
40

R.K.

The endorsement of S.E. forwarding the Completion report is signed by the Executive Engineer for S.E. & the S.E. passes no comments on the excess.

I think the Completion Report should be returned to S.E.

Public Works Department.

Current No. 9779
Letter No 3243 W/A.
Dated 29th October 1896
From the Examiner, P.W. Accounts.

NOTE BY TECHNICAL SECTION.

Name of work Record room in the compound of the Collector's Office at Masulipatam.

The Examiner, P.W. Accounts forwards duly verified completion report for constructing a record room in the compound of the Collector's Office at Masulipatam in the Kistna Dist.

Amount as executed	Rs	16,989
Amount as estimated	"	14,234
Excess over estimate	Rs	2,755

The excess of Rs 2755 or 19·35% over the sanctioned estimate is due to the following causes:–

(a)	To rates provided in estimate for rubble masonry & cut stone work found insufficient during execution	472
(b)	To wastage in timber purchased (nearly 56 cft)	565
(c)	To additional work in terracing	22
(d)	On items costing Rs 500 & under, i.e. to substitution of cement plastering for chunam pointing, to rates & lump sum items provided in estimate found insufficient and to new items executed not originally provided	2562
(e)	To Petty supervision	1

Total excess Rs 362

What excess Rs 3622

Deduct savings in the items of rolled beams, windows and Contingencies	867

What excess Proposed Rs 2755.

The excess rates in rubble masonry & cut stone work are as follows:-

Cut stonework Rs .. 12.11 against ..8..
Rubble masonry Rs 21.12.0 Do.- 17.8..

~~with~~ The explanation offered for item 4 in the completion report is not clear.

14.XI.96

and that Officer asked to go into the matter & explain the necessity ~~of raising~~ for increasing rates in items (1). (2) & (7). & for substituting cement plastering for chunam pointing.—

N.O.I.

Yes. & by the O.C. [illegible] suggestion that he be [illegible] to give his own opinion on [illegible] that he forwards for the consideration of the Ch. E. [illegible] & then finally forward the reports of the C.E. —

21/11/96

Public Works (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. 9779

Order

T/ For secretary

CAW BW 30-10-96

CN

From The Examiner P.W. Accounts Madras.

No 3243 G.A.

Dated 29 October/96.
Received 30.10.96
Enclosures 1 2
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Record room in the compound of Collectors Cutcherry at Masulipatam. Forwards duly verified completion Report for the work.—

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

---

22 OCT 1896

Public Works (189) Department.

CURRENT No.

Order

No. 3243 D/A of 29.10.96

To
C. W.

Forwarded with total outlay duly verified.

DCS

C.

RW
29/10

From

Major W. L. C. Baddeley R.E.
Supg Engineer,
II Circle

Thro: the Examiner P.W.A.

No. 1941 K E

Dated 20 October 1896

Received

Enclosures 1. (One)

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Record Room in the Compound of Collector's Cutchery at Masulipatam:
Forwards S. Engr: letter forwarding a C. R for the — and requesting to obtain Sanction of Govt: for the excess.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Letter from L. L. Wickham Esquire, Executive Engineer Kistna Eastern Dn. to the Supg. Engineer, 2nd Circle, dd. 9th Octor. 96, No. 2750.

22 OCT 1896

With reference to your No. 1726K dd. 25th September 1896 on G. O. No. 2667W dd. 18th Idem, I have the honour to infor you that the accounts of the Record Room for the Collector's Cutchery at Masulipatam were closed in Septr: 96.

2. Completion report in the form of Comparative statement is herewith submitted with explanation for cause of excess on different sub heads, and I request you will be pleased to obtain the sanction of Government for the excess over the estimate

3. In conclusion, I beg to state that a copy of this Completion Report will be forwarded to the Examiner of P. W. Accounts, with the accounts for Septr: 96.

Enclos.
1 Comp. C.R.

No. 1941 KE / 20.10.96

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer, P. W. Department, with reference to G. O. No 2667W dd. 18th Septr: 96, throug the Examiner of P. W. Accounts for favo
of

of obtaining the Sanction of Government to the excess of Rs 2755 over the original estimate for Constructing Record Room in the Compound of Collector's Cutchery at Masulipatam being admitted by the Examiner.

2. Explanation for the Cause of excesses has been given by the Exe: Engineer in the Completion Report.

L. L. Markham
Exe: Engineer,
for Supg: Engineer,
II Circle

J.M.

17/10

Ep 3271 W d 14.11.96

Irregular outlay incurred on certain claims outstanding at End of March 96 — Regarding the action taken by S. E. I. in connec with the —

—

not with B.

The above Ep was sent to B with Cw 11086 (O. I. Statt. I Circle for August 96)

Ep 3203 W d 10.11.96

'Material at Mogalrazpuram Quarry 94-95 — Reg outlay without Estimate on Conveying & loading —

—

The above Ep with Cw 8822 (O. I. Statt. II Circle for June 96) in C. Section 5.1.97.

C No 3498

H.C.

Through a regrettable oversight, this paper was not submitted to W before circulating it to His Excellency. I have to attend to so much miscellaneous work in the office that I am constantly interrupted. The result is that notwithstanding my scrupulous care in the discharge of my duties, omissions like the one in question sometimes occur.

CWR
1.6.97

Rew 2/6/97

C No 315

on C No 3498

Submitted whether the explanation submitted with the Supdg Engr's endorsement No 2805 W dated 7-1-97 may be accepted, or whether further information should be called for, as per the accy. draft memo.

Cu
18-1-97

I think that further information should be called for, for if a Contractor agreed to do the work at certain rates it is not clear why they should be enhanced.

CHB
18/1 97

9779

3.

Will you kindly find out from C's office, as to whether the work has been executed by Contract or otherwise, vide Dir's remarks & reply.

Jho.
18/11.96.

I

The bulk of the outlay appears to have been incurred in 1893 & the previous years. for which no accounts are available in C's office. ~~from vouchers~~ From the information available in C's office, the work seems to have been executed by piece-work & departmental labour.

GWR
21. 11. 96

To DW

Jho. 21. XI. 96.

Cm 7533

Submitted through

DW —

The explanation resubmitted may not be considered as sufficient for submission to H.G.

Cr
30-7-37

C. No. 8842