Public Works (1897) Department.

G.O., No. 239

DATED 9th March 1897

[Despatch Abstract.]

Excess Expenditure: Authorizing the Examiner of P.W. Accounts to pass with remarks the Excess Expenditure incurred on certain Completed irrigation works in Kistna Western D.

1.C
9-3-97

10/3/97

10/4

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

IRRIGATION.
ACCOUNT.

Government {1897} of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Recd. } 1897. *Enclosures*

Regd. *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 239 I., 9th March 1897.

MARCH.

Excess Expenditure

Authorizing the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, with remarks, to pass the excess expenditure incurred on certain completed irrigation works in Kistna Western division.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

IRRIGATION.
ACCOUNT.

Government {1897} of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Recd. } 1897. *Enclosures*

Regd. *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 239 I., 9th March 1897.

MARCH.

Excess Expenditure

Authorizing the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, with remarks, to pass the excess expenditure incurred on certain completed irrigation works in Kistna Western division.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Irrigation.
Account.

READ—the following papers:—

Office-note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated Madras, 3rd February 1897, No. 368 D./A.

[To the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, No. 2842 K.W., dated 9th January 1897.]

Forwarded with the following remarks:—

Item 6.—The outlay has been corrected to Rs. 2,431 so as to agree with the books of this office to end of November 1896 in which month Rs. 6 was expended and the excess has been corrected to Rs. 531.

Item 9.—The outlay on this item to end of November 1896, including a debit of Rs. 96 in that month, is Rs. 1,665, but the amount of Rs. 1,695 shown in the statement may be allowed to stand as the Executive Engineer states that further debits are expected from the Civil Department. The first excess appeared in the accounts for June 1894 and steps only now taken to remedy the irregularity then known.

Item 10.—The outlay on this item to end of November 1896 is Rs. 3,100, but the amount Rs. 3,118 shown in the statement may be allowed to stand, as the Executive Engineer states that a further debit of Rs. 18 is expected from the Civil Department on account of compensation for land. The excess first appeared in the accounts for January 1896.

Item 17.—This item has been taken up separately—*vide* Examiner's office-note No. 90 D./A., dated 9th January 1897, forwarding Superintending Engineer's No. 2751 K.W., dated 4th January 1897, applying for sanction to a known excess of Rs. 4,720 over the estimate of Rs. 3,500 on which orders of Government are awaited. The excess is now shown to be only Rs. 3,528. The work is reported to be in progress and the estimate under revision. The excess over the estimate appeared first in the accounts for October 1895 when the excess amounted to Rs. 552 and partial steps only now taken to remedy the irregularity.

Items 11 *to* 14.—The excesses on these items having been approved with reference to Irrigation Secretary's Circular memo., No. 19 G., dated 14th September 1896, by the Superintending Engineer in the Divisional Objectionable Item statement for August 1896, no further action is necessary as to sanction, but the items have been allowed to stand as the total excess is to be met from the balance of the provision as per item No. 710 of statements printed with G.O., No. 857 I., dated 11th October 1893.

Items 15 *and* 16.—The excesses on these items having been approved, with reference to Irrigation Secretary's Circular memo., No. 19 G., dated 14th September 1896, by the Superintending Engineer in the Divisional Objectionable Item statement for October 1896, no further action is necessary as to sanction, but the items have been allowed to stand—*vide* items 11 to 14 above.

Item 4.—No explanation has been afforded for the excess on this item although it took place so far back as September 1895 and has been regularly reported in the Provincial Objectionable Item statements. The Executive Engineer states that the explanation is awaited.

2. The very great delay in applying for sanction to excesses is specially brought to notice and this will be seen from the following remarks:—

Item 1.—The first excess occurred in August 1894 and the completion report submitted in December 1894, but the irregularity has been allowed to remain unremedied until now.

Item 2.—The completion report was submitted in May 1895 and the first excess appeared in the accounts for October 1893 when the excess amounted to Rs. 80. In March 1895, the final payment was made and between October 1893 and March 1895, or in a year and a half, Rs. 386 were only spent and the irregularity allowed to continue for over three years.

Item 7.—The excess first appeared in the accounts for January 1894 and completion report submitted in March 1896. The estimate is for only Rs. 270 and the excess is about 95 per cent. Excess is reported to be due to insufficient rate and supplemental estimate should have been submitted, as soon as this was known, for sanction.

Item 9.—In this case the estimate is for only Rs. 170, the outlay 50 per cent. in excess of this amount, and the cause due to insufficient rate. The first excess appeared in the accounts for December 1892 or about three years ago.

Item 19.—The first excess appeared in the accounts for June 1893 and the completion report submitted in September of the same year. The irregularity has not been remedied three years after date of completion.

No. 46.

Note by the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

The following extract from a statement of works reported by the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, in his endorsement, No. 2842 K.W., dated 9th January 1897, to have been completed in the Kistna Western Division, is submitted to Government:—

	Amount of estimate.	Actual expenditure.	Excess.
CAPITAL EXPENDITURE NOT CHARGED TO REVENUE.	RS.	RS.	RS.
49 *Irrigation.*			
Works.			
1. Excavating Tungabhadra Bodapad channel	830	909	79
2. Constructing No. 2 crossing on Kankatapalem Branch	480	542	62
3. Constructing sheds for lock superintendent and four lascars at Kollur	1,780	1,900	120
4. Constructing revetment to Commamur canal left slope	5,500	5,552	52
5. Excavating Kopalli drain	1,910	1,964	54
6. Constructing wharf wall at Santaravur on Commamur canal	5,930	5,992	62
7. Constructing a drainage sluice No. 1 for Romperu side drain	1,990	2,058	68
8. Reconstructing and extending revetment in rear of Kollemerla weir channel	4,220	4,278	58
9. Investigating and levelling the proposed high level channel	40	53	13
Total ..	..	..	568
Tools and Plant.			
10. Constructing (5) second-class ferry ballacuts with iron hulls	4,590	4,922	332
Irrigation.			
43. Minor Works and Navigation.			
11. Repairing 4 and 5 miles of Kistna right embankment at the site of breaches	1,860	2,376	516
12. Purchasing three portable 6" cylinder pumps for watering nanel plantation in connection with river sets	2,350	2,851	501

2. The excess expenditure on items 1 and 2 is small and is chiefly due to extra earthwork found necessary in the course of execution. The estimate for item 3 provided Rs. 600 for woodwork and Rs. 103 for doors and windows. The workshop charges for teakwood supplied amounted to Rs. 621 and the charges for fitting up woodwork to Rs. 45, involving an excess of Rs. 66 under this head. The workshop charges for doors and windows amounted to Rs. 144 and an expenditure of Rs. 7 was incurred in fitting them up, the excess under this head being Rs. 48. The concrete foundations had to be laid in made-up soil and the extra cost of fitting up planks at the sides amounted to Rs. 6, making the total excess on the work Rs. 120.

3. The excesses on items 4 to 9 have been sanctioned by the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, but have to be met from the provision made for item 710 of the statement printed in Proceedings of Madras Government, No. 857 I., dated 11th October 1893.

4. The excess on item 10 is chiefly due to providing the ballacuts with loading and unloading planks, not provided for in the estimate, at a cost of Rs. 300.

5. The excess on item 11 is attributed to extra earthwork to the extent of 96,000 cubic feet having been done owing to the banks at sites of breaches having continued to sink in very considerably more than 3 to 5 feet after and during the rains and freshes of 1892, and owing to the banks at sites of and near the breaches having been raised 1 foot higher than the proper section estimated for in order to provide for any further sudden sinkage and owing to the execution of protective works in front of breaches, &c., such as darbha rollers, turfing, &c., to prevent the banks at breaches being eroded and watering them. This work was completed in September 1893.

6. The excess on item 12 is represented to be due to the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, having sanctioned the purchase of a new hose at a cost of Rs. 514 in lieu of that damaged by white-ants.

7. In the circumstances reported the excesses on items 1 to 3 and 10 to 12 are approved and are recommended for sanction.

(Signed) W. HUGHES,
Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

25th February 1897.

Order—No. 239 I., dated 9th March 1897.

The Examiner of Public Works Accounts is authorised to pass the excesses on items 1 to 3 and 10 to 12.

2. The excesses on items 1 to 9, aggregating Rs. 568, will be met from the provision made for item 710 of the statement printed in Proceedings of Madras Government, No. 857 I., dated 11th October 1893, and the excess on item 10 from the balance of the amount reserved for tools and plant for the Kistna Delta system, which will then be reduced to Rs. 7,085.

3. This sanction is registered as No. 198 I. of 1896–97.

4. The great delay in applying for sanction to the excess outlay on item 11 is noticed with dissatisfaction.

(True Extract.)

Under Secretary to Government,
Irrigation Branch.

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" Superintending Engineer, II Circle,
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. A. F. Eccleston.

Public Works Department,
Irrigation Branch.

A

Public works (1897) Department
PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.
Current No. 2346
Under date the
Read: 3-3-97
Read the following

Note by the Chief Engr for Irrigation
No. 46- I. dy 25 Feb/97.

The following extract from a statement of works reported by the Supdg. Engr. 4th Circle, in his endorsement, No. 2842 K.W., dy 9th Jan 197, to have been completed in the Kistna Western Dn, is submitted to Govt:—

	Amount of Estimate Rs.	Actual Expenditure Rs.	Excess Rs.
Capital Expenditure not charged to Revenue 49. Irrigation Works—			
1. Excavating Tungabhadra Bodapad channel . .	830	909	79
2. Constructing No. 2 crossing on Kankattapalem Branch . .	480	542	62

2. The excess expenditure on items 1 and 2 is small and is chiefly due to extra earthwork found necessary in the course of execution. The estimate for item 3 provided Rs. 600 for woodwork and Rs. 103 for doors and windows. The workshop charges for teakwood supplied amounted to Rs. 621 and the charges for fitting up woodwork to Rs. 45, involving an excess of Rs. 66 under this head. The workshop charges for doors and windows amounted to Rs. 144 and an expenditure of Rs. 7 was incurred in fitting them up, the excess under this head being Rs. 48. The concrete foundations had to be laid in made-up soil and the extra cost of fitting up planks at the sides amounted to Rs. 6, making the total excess on the work Rs. 120.

3. The excesses on items 4 to 9 have been sanctioned by the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, but have to be met from the provision made for item 710 of the statement printed in Proceedings of Madras Government, No. 857 I., dated 11th October 1893.

4. The excess on item 10 is chiefly due to providing the ballacuts with loading and unloading planks, not provided for in the estimate, at a cost of Rs. 300.

5. The excess on item 11 is attributed to extra earthwork to the extent of 96,000 cubic feet having been done owing to the banks at sites of breaches having continued to sink in very considerably more than 3 to 5 feet after and during the rains and freshes of 1892, and owing to the banks at sites of and near the breaches having been raised 1 foot higher than the proper section estimated for in order to provide for any further sudden sinkage and owing to the execution of protective works in front of breaches, &c., such as darbha rollers, turfing, &c., to prevent the banks at breaches being eroded and watering them. This work was completed in September 1893.

6. The excess on item 12 is represented to be due to the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, having sanctioned the purchase of a new hose at a cost of Rs. 514 in lieu of that damaged by white-ants.

7. In the circumstances reported the excesses on items 1 to 3 and 10 to 12 are approved and are recommended for sanction.

25th February 1897.

(Signed) W. HUGHES,
Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

Order—No. 239 I., dated 9th March 1897.

The Examiner of Public Works Accounts is authorised to pass the excesses on items 1 to 3 and 10 to 12.

2. The excesses on items 1 to 9, aggregating Rs. 568, will be met from the provision made for item 710 of the statement printed in Proceedings of Madras Government, No. 857 I., dated 11th October 1893, and the excess on item 10 from the balance of the amount reserved for tools and plant for the Kistna Delta system, which will then be reduced to Rs. 7,085.

3. This sanction is registered as No. 198 I. of 1896–97.

4. The great delay in applying for sanction to the excess outlay on item 11 is noticed with dissatisfaction.

(True Extract.)

Under Secretary to Government,
Irrigation Branch.

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
„ Superintending Engineer, II Circle.
„ Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Ed. A. F. Eccleston,

Public Works Department,
Irrigation Branch.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Public Works (1897) Department

Current No: 2346

Under date the 3-3-97

Read the following

Note by the Chief Engr for Irrigation
No. 46- I. G. 25 Feb/97.

The following extract from a statement of works reported by the Supdg. Engr. Trichi, in his endorsement, No. 2842 K.W., dg 9th Jan 1897, to have been completed in the Kistna Western Du, is submitted to Govt:—

	Amount of Estimate Rs.	Actual Expenditure Rs.	Excess Rs.
Capital Expenditure not charged to Revenue 49. Irrigation Works—			
1. Excavating Tungabhadra Bodapad channel . .	830	909	79
2. Constructing No 2 crossing on Kankattapalem Branch . .	480	542	62

3.	Constructing sheds for Lock Supt & 4 lascars at Kollur.	1,780	1,900	120
4.	Constructing revetment to Commanur canal left slope	5,800	5,552	52
5.	Excavating Kopalli drain	1,910	1,962	52
6.	Constructing wharf wall at Santaravur on Commanur canal	5,930	5,992	62
7.	Constructing a drainage sluice No 1 for Rompern side drain	1,990	2,058	68
8.	Reconstructing & extending revetment in rear of Kollimerla with channel	4,220	4,278	58
9.	Investigating & levelling the proposed high level channel	40	53	13
	Tools & Plant—		Total	568
10.	Constructing (9) 2nd class ferry ballams with iron hulls	4590	4922	332
	Irrigation			
43.	Minor works Navigation.			
11	Repairing 4 & 5 miles of Kistna right embankment at site of breaches	1860	2376	516
12.	Purchasing 3 portable 6" cylinder pumps for watering canal plantations in connection with river sets	2350	2851	501

2. The excess expenditure on items 1 & 2 is small & is

chiefly due to extra earthwork found necessary in the course of execution. The estimate for item 3 provided Rs 600 for woodwork & Rs 103 for doors & windows. The workshop charges for teakwood supplied amounted to Rs 621 & the charges for fitting up wood work to Rs 45, involving an excess of Rs 66 under this head. The workshop charges for doors & windows amounted to Rs 144 & an expenditure of Rs 7 was incurred in fitting them up; the excess under this head being Rs 48. The concrete foundations had to be laid in made up soil & the extra cost of fitting up planks at the sides

amounted to Rs 6, making the total excess on the work Rs 120.

3. The excesses on items 4 to 9 have been sanctioned by the Supt/Engr. I Circle, but have to be met from the provision made for item 710 of the statement printed in P. Wks No 857 I, dy 11th Oct/93.

4. The excess on item 10 is chiefly due to providing the ballasts with loading & unloading planks, not provided for in the estimate, at a cost of Rs 300.

5. The excess on item 11 is attributed to extra Earthwork to the extent of 96,000 cft. having been done owing to the banks at sites of breaches having continued to sink in very considerably more than 3 to 5 ft after & during the rains & freshes of 1892, & owing to the banks at sites

of & near the breaches having been raised 1 ft higher than the proper section estimated for in order to provide for any further sudden sinkage & owing to the execution of protective works in front of breaches &c, such as darbha rollers, turfing &c to prevent the banks at breaches being eroded & washing them. This work was completed in Sept/93.

6. The excess on item 12 is represented to be due to the Supt/Engr. II Circle, having sanctioned the purchase of a new hoes at a cost of Rs 574 in lieu of that damaged by white ants.

7. In the circumstances reported the excesses on items 1 to 3 & 10 to 12 are approved & are recommended for sanction.

11

Order Kerron No 2398 of 8-2-97

The Examiner of P. Wks. is authorised to pass the excesses on items 1 to 3 & 10 to 12

2. The excesses on items 1 to 9 aggregating Rs 568 will be met from the provision made for item 710 of the statement printed in P.W.G. No. 857 I, & 11th Oct 1893, & the excess on item 10 from the balance of the amount reserved for tools & plant for the Kistna Delta System, which will then be reduced to Rs. 7085.

3. This sanction is registered as No. 198 of 1896-97.

4. The great delay in applying for sanction to the excess outlay on item 11 is noticed with dissatisfaction.

original Govt

A.S.H 26.2.97

+Rs 7,417

7.2.97 6/3/97 Dy 10/3/97 Regd 10/3/97

To The Chief Engr/Irrl. Supdg Engr II Engr Surv.

WH 25/2/97

G. I. Form No. 1—400—3-12-96.

Public Works (1897) Department.

Office Note

CURRENT No. 18

Order 1

From The Examiner P.W. Accounts Madras

No. 368 D/A

Dated 3d February 1897

Received 6-2-97

Enclosures 1.

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Excess on completed Irrigation Works in Kistna Western Div.

Forwards with remarks a statement of ——

F.E.

4-2-97

6/2

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

E. No. 27.
1,000—9-12-95.

KEEP-WITH CURRENT No. GOVT. OF MADRAS. 1896.7 P. W. DEPT.

dated 189 .

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS,

Madras, 3d February 1896.7

OFFICE NOTE No. 368 A/A

To

I

Supg. Engineers (2nd. Circle) No. 2842 KW
dated 9th. January 1897.

Forwarded with the following remarks-

Item 6. The outlay has been corrected to Rs. 2431 so as to agree with the books of this office to end of November 1896 in which month Rs. 6 was expended and the excess has been corrected to Rs. 531.

Item 9. The outlay on this item to end of November 1896, including a debit of Rs. 96 in that month, is Rs. 1665, but the amount of Rs. 1695 shown in the statement may be allowed to stand as the Executive Engineer states that further debits are expected from the Civil Department. The first excess appeared in the accounts for June 1894 and steps only now taken to remedy the irregularity then known

Item 10. The outlay on this item to end of November 1896 is Rs. 3,100

amounted to Rs. 60. [illegible]

but the amount Rs.3,II8 shown in the statement may be allowed to stand,as the Executive Engineer states that a further debit of Rs.I8 is expected from the Civil Department on account of compensation for land. The excess first appeared in the accounts for January I896.

Item I7. This item has been taken up separately vide Examiners O.N.No. 90 D/A dated 9th.January I897 forwarding Supg.Engineers No.275I K.W. dated 4th.January I897 applying for sanction to a known excess of Rs.4720 over the estimate of Rs. 3500 on which orders of Govt. are awaited. The excess is now shown to be only Rs.3528. The work is reported to be in progress and the estimate under revision. The excess over the estimate appeared first in the accounts for October I895 when the excess amounted to Rs.552 and partial steps only now taken to remedy the irregularity.

Items II to I4. The excesses on these items having been approved with reference to I's Circular memo No.I9 G datedI4th.September I896,by the Superintending Engineer in the Divisional O.I.statement for August /96 no further action is necessary as to sanction,but the items have been allowed to stand as the total excess is to be met from the balance

of the provision as per item No. 7I0 of statements printed with G.O.No.857 I.dated IIth.October I893.

Items I5 & I6. The excesses on these items having been approved, with reference to I's Circular memo No.I9 G.dated I4th.September I896 by the Supg.Engineer in the Divl. O.I.S. for October I896 no further action is necessary as to sanction,but the items have been allowed to stand vide items II to I4 above.

Item 4. No explanation has been afforded for the excess on this item although it will place so far back as September I895 and has been regularly reported in the Provl O.I statements. The Executive Engineer states that the explanation is awaited.

2. The very great delay in applying for sanction to excesses is specially brought to notice and this will be seen from the following remarks-

Item I. The first excess occurred in August I894 and the completion report submitted in December I894, but the irregularity has been allowed to remain unremedied until now.

Item 2. The completion report was submitted in May I895 & the first excess appeared in the accounts for October I893 when the excess amounted to Rs.80. In Mar. I89

the final payment was made & between October I893 and March I895 or in a year and a half Rs.386 were only spent and the irregularity allowed to continue for over 3 years.

CHIEF ENGINEER FOR IRRIGATION
No. 18

Item 7. The excess first appeared in the accounts for January I894 and completion report submitted in March I896. The estimate is for only Rs.270 and the excess is about 95 %. Excess is reported to be due to insufficient rate and supplemental estimate should have been submitted, as soon as this was known, for sanction.

Item 9. In this case the estimate is for only Rs.I70 the outlay 50 % in excess of this amount, and the ~~excess~~ cause due to insufficient rate. The first excess appeared in the accounts for December I892 or about 3 years ago.

Item I9. The first excess appeared in the accounts for June I893 and the completion report submitted in September of the same year. The irregularity has not been remedied 3 years after date of completion.

C.

Irr. E.I/2326/97