

Public Works (1897) Department.

G.O., No. 1789

DATED 22nd February 1897

[Despatch Abstract.]

Open Capital Rules Comts. with remarks to officers concerned, the orders of the Govt of India ~~sanctioning~~ extending the dates fixed for the completion of certain items in the statement of works sanctioned up to 1895-96, under —.

Open Capital ^{Account} ~~Rules~~ ^{forwarding} ~~with remarks~~ ^{Copy of} ~~Pro:~~ ~~orders~~ ^{that certain works} ~~of the Govt of India~~ requesting that certain works may be included in the register of sanctions against Open Capital Account, maintained by the Govt of India.

Forwarding copy of Proceedings requesting, with remarks, that certain works may be included in the register of sanctions against — maintained by the Government of India.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Irrigation.
Account.

READ—the following paper :—

From N. F. MACKENZIE, Esq., Under Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department (Civil Works—Irrigation), to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Works Department, dated Calcutta, 5th February 1897, No. 45 I.

With reference to correspondence ending with your memo. No. 35 I., dated the 8th January 1897, I am directed to communicate the sanction of the Government of India to the date fixed for the completion of item 3 in the statement of works sanctioned up to 1895-96, under open Capital rules requiring renewal of sanction, forwarded under your No. 874 I., dated the 24th October 1896, being extended to the 31st March 1896, and to the dates for items 4 to 11 being extended to the 31st March 1897. In communicating the sanction, I am to point out that the estimate for the Gogulapalli Branch channel, Minor sluice, Sangam anicut project, referred to in paragraph 4 of Madras Government Order No. 1027 I., dated the 26th November 1895, (copy forwarded with your memo. No. 35 I., quoted above), has not been hitherto reported to the Government of India as a sanction against the open Capital account of that project, and to request that a formal report may now be submitted for inclusion in the register of sanctions against open Capital account maintained in this office.

2. I am also to point out that neither of the estimates referred to in the same paragraph 4, and quoted on the margin, should have been sanctioned against Capital, as the cost for works was under Rs. 1,000 [vide Public Works Department Code, Volume II, Chapter V, paragraph 36 (a)], and I am to request that, in future, estimates for works alone costing less than Rs. 1,000 may, under the Code rule quoted, be sanctioned against Revenue. The sanctions already made

against the open Capital account may stand.

ORDER—No. 178 I., dated 22nd February 1897.

Communicated to the officers concerned for information, with reference to G.O., No. 873 I., dated 24th October 1896.

2. The rule referred to in paragraph 2 of the foregoing letter lays down that improvements, amounting for works alone to Rs. 1,000 or under, should be charged to the Revenue account of a Productive Irrigation work after the closure of its construction estimate. It is observed that the two works referred to were sanctioned from the balance available for unforeseen works of the Sangam anicut system, Capital

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Irrigation.
Account.

READ—the following paper :—

From N. F. MACKENZIE, Esq., Under Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department (Civil Works—Irrigation), to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Works Department, dated Calcutta, 5th February 1897, No. 45 I.

With reference to correspondence ending with your memo. No. 35 I., dated the 8th January 1897, I am directed to communicate the sanction of the Government of India to the date fixed for the completion of item 3 in the statement of works sanctioned up to 1895-96, under open Capital rules requiring renewal of sanction, forwarded under your No. 874 I., dated the 24th October 1896, being extended to the 31st March 1896, and to the dates for items 4 to 11 being extended to the 31st March 1897. In communicating the sanction, I am to point out that the estimate for the Gogulapalli Branch channel, Minor sluice, Sangam anicut project, referred to in paragraph 4 of Madras Government Order No. 1027 I., dated the 26th November 1895, (copy forwarded with your memo. No. 35 I., quoted above), has not been hitherto reported to the Government of India as a sanction against the open Capital account of that project, and to request that a formal report may now be submitted for inclusion in the register of sanctions against open Capital account maintained in this office.

2. I am also to point out that neither of the estimates referred to in the same paragraph 4, and quoted on the margin, should have been sanctioned against Capital, as the cost for works was under Rs. 1,000 [vide Public Works Department Code, Volume II, Chapter V, paragraph 36 (a)], and I am to request that, in future, estimates for works alone costing less than Rs. 1,000 may, under the Code rule quoted, be sanctioned against Revenue. The sanctions already made against the open Capital account may stand.

ORDER—No. 178 I., dated 22nd February 1897.

Communicated to the officers concerned for information, with reference to G.O., No. 873 I., dated 24th October 1896.

2. The rule referred to in paragraph 2 of the foregoing letter lays down that improvements, amounting for works alone to Rs. 1,000 or under, should be charged to the Revenue account of a Productive Irrigation work after the closure of its construction estimate. It is observed that the two works referred to were sanctioned from the balance available for unforeseen works of the Sangam anicut system, Capital

account, in G.O., No. 431 I., dated 5th June 1894, or before orders were issued by the Government of India in its letter, No. 168 I., dated 13th September 1894, printed in Proceedings of Madras Government, No. 724 I., dated 3rd October 1894, for the closure of the construction estimate of the system, with effect from the 31st March 1894. The two works were included in the schedule of incomplete works submitted for the sanction of the Government of India in Proceedings of Madras Government, No. 698 I., dated 29th August 1895; but as the works were excluded from the sanction accorded in paragraph 4 of the letter from the Government of India, No. 270 I., dated 5th November 1895, printed in Proceedings of Madras Government, No. 1027 I., dated 26th idem, the estimates were ordered to be treated as having been sanctioned under "open Capital account," under the impression that there was no necessity for altering the original classification of the works.

3. Ordered that a copy of these Proceedings and of Proceedings of Madras Government, No. 431 I., dated 5th June 1894, be forwarded to the Government of India, with a request that the works, items 40 and 48 of the statement forwarded with endorsement, No. 877 I., dated 24th October 1896, may be included in the register of sanctions against open Capital account maintained by the Government of India.

[Here enter No. 179 I., dated 22nd February 1897.]

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUGHES,
Joint Secy. to Government,
Irrigation Branch.

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" Superintending Engineer, I Circle.
" " II "
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

ENDORSEMENT—No. 179 I., dated 22nd February 1897.

Forwarded.

(By order.)

(Signed) W. HUGHES,
Joint Secy. to Government,
Irrigation Branch.

Erd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,

IRRIGATION BRANCH.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the

Read the following

From Govt of India No. 459, of 5 Feb 1907

Communicated to
the officers concerned
for information with
reference to G.O. No.
873. I, of 24th Oct 1906.
2. The rule referred
to in para 2 of the
foregoing letter lays
down that improvements
amtg. for works alone
to Rs 1000 or under
should be charged to
the Prov. Account of a
Production Irrigation work
after the closure of its
construction estimate.
It is observed that the

Issue

W.H. 20.2.97

1929

two works referred to were sanctioned from the balance available for unforeseen works of the Sangam Ament System, Capital Account, in G.O. No 431 J, of 5 June 194, or before orders were issued by the Govt of India in its letter No 168 J, of 13 Sept/94, printed in P.M.G. No 724 J, of 3 Oct/94, for the closure of the construction estimate of the system with effect from the 31 March 194. The two works were included in the schedule of incomplete works submitted for the sanction of the Govt of India in P.M.G. No 698 J, of 29 Aug/95, but as the works were excluded from the sanction accorded in para 4 of the letter from the Govt of India, No

30

270 J, of 5 Nov/95, printed in P.M.G. No 1027 J, of 26th idem, the estimates were ordered to be treated as having been sanctioned under "Open Capital Account," under the impression that there was no necessity for altering the original classification of the works.

7.5H
18.2.97

3. Ordered that a copy of these Procs. of P.M.G. No 431 J, of 5 June 194, be forwarded to the Govt. of India, with a request that the works, items 40 & 48 of the statement forwarded with endorsement, No 877 J, of 24 Oct/96,

may be included in
the register of sanctions
against Open Capital
Account maintained
by the Govt of India

Indorsement:-
N^o 179 of 22 July 1897
Forwarded.

W.H.
16.2.97

W.H.
16.2.97

25/3/97

To the Chief Engr. I. 25/3/97
Supply I
Do
To S.W.R.

15/4/97
H.E. 16/2 R 1912

Public Works (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 1420

Order

From The Govt. of India
P.W. Department

No. 459

Dated 5.2.97

Received 9.2.97

Enclosures "

Spare copies "

SUBJECT.

Sanctioning the date
fixed for the completion
of item 3 in the state-
ment of works sanc-
tioned up to 1895-96.

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
34-35 I.	8-1-97
873-74 I.	24-10-96

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

All communications
should be addressed
to the Secretary.

No. 45 I.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

CIVIL WORKS.

Irrigation.

To

The ~~Joint~~ Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Public Works Department.

Calcutta, the 5th February 1897.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your Memorandum No.35 I., dated the 8th January 1897, I am directed to communicate the sanction of the Government of India to the date fixed for the completion of item 3 in the statement of works sanctioned up to 1895-96, under Open Capital Rules requiring renewal of sanction, forwarded under your No.874 I., dated the 24th October 1896, being extended to the 31st March 1896, and to the dates for items 4 to 11 being extended to the 31st March 1897. In communicating the sanction, I am to point out that the estimate for the Gogulapalli Branch Channel, Minor Sluice, Sangam Anicut Project, referred to in paragraph 4 of Madras Government Order No.1027 I., dated the 26th November 1895, copy forwarded with your Memorandum No.35 I., quoted above, has not been hitherto reported to the Government of India as a sanction against the Open Capital Account of that Project, and to request that a formal report may now be submitted for inclusion in the Register of sanctions against Open Capital Account maintained in this office.

2. I am also to point out that neither of the estimates referred

referred to in the same paragraph 4, and quoted on the

* Gogulapalli branch channel, minor sluice,	270	: margin
2 miles 1050 feet, right bank.		
Gogulapalli regulator and sluice,	530	: should
2 miles 1,320 feet.		
Establishment for both.....	184	: have
Total..	984	: been

sanctioned against Capital, as the cost for works was under Rs.1,000, (vide Public Works Department Code Volume II, Chapter V, paragraph 36(a)), and I am to request that in future estimates for works alone costing less than Rs.1,000, may, under the Code rule quoted, be sanctioned against Revenue. The sanctions already made against the Open Capital Account may stand.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

N. F. Maheunge

Under-Secy. to the Govt. of India.

Documents accompanying.

Nil.

Yd

B.L.