

Public Works (1897) Department.

✓ G.O., No. 16596/W

DATED 17 June 1897

[Despatch Abstract.]

Mr. A. Stuart, Temporary Engineer: Ordered that application be made to the Govt of India for sanction to the employment of — for a further period of 12 months from 1st September 1897 in the same capacity.

The Govt of India addressed

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	2314	W	12.8.96				
	19378	W	2.7.96				
	875	D	2.8.95				
	876	D	2.8.95				
	463	W	8.10.95				
		File					

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

again
READ the following

G.O. No. 2314 W dated 12th August 1896.

No: 1659 W of 17.6.97

As the term for which Mr.

Stuart, Temporary Engineer, was engaged will expire on the 10th Sept. 1897, application will be made to the Govt. of India for sanction to his employment for a further period of twelve months in the same capacity.

To
The Supts. Engr., III Circle

Div.

(H.R. No. 1660 W of 17th June 1897).

To
The Secy. to the Govt. of India,
P. W. D.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No. 1788 of dated 17th July 1896, I am directed to state that the period for which sanction was given to the employment of Mr. A. Stuart as a temporary Engineer

Engineer will expire on the 10th Sept.
1897, and to request that his re-employment in the same capacity on Rs 300 per mensem for a further term of twelve months may be sanctioned by the Govt. of India.

2. Mr. Stuart is now employed on famine duty, being in charge of the No. III Famine Sub-division in the Bellary division. He continues to be efficient and active, and the Governor in Council considers it desirable to retain his services, and strongly recommends his re-employment for a further period of twelve months.

Comp.
Dr.
9/6/97

R.

Comp.
Dr.
12/6/97
R.

P.W.D.

Note for His Excellency the Governor

The sanction accorded by the Govt. of India to the retention of the services of Mr. A. Stuart, Temporary Engineer on Rs 300, will expire on 10th Sept. 1897. Mr. Stuart is now employed on famine duty, being in charge of No. III Famine Sub-division in the Bellary Division.

Mr. Stuart continues to give satisfaction, and it is desirable to retain his services. The Dept. at present is moreover very short-handed. It is therefore recommended that application be made to the Govt. of India for the continuance of his employment for another year. A draft letter to that authority is submitted for approval.

Comp.
Dr.
9/6/97
R.

H.E. 4.6.97 R. 12.6.97

Handwritten mark resembling a stylized 'C' or 'G' with a diagonal slash.

(a) Order 4-0. 23/4/12. 8-96
Flagged 'A'

Dr.

The sanction accorded^(a)
by the Govt. of India to the
retention of the services of Mr. A.
Stuart, temporary Engineer m.b.: 300,
will expire on the 10th September
1897. Mr. Stuart held a Subdi-
visional Charge in the Dinnvelly
Dn. VI Circle, prior to his transfer
to the III Circle for famine duty,
and is now in charge of N^o. III
Famine Subdivision, Bellary Dn.

Should it be desired to
retain the services of Mr. Stuart
for another year, application
for renewed sanction has now
to be made to the Govt. of India
as required by para 2 of
the Govt. of India letter No. 2279
Gd/8. 8. 95.

In support of such
application, it may perhaps
be urged that the number of
officers now absent on leave or
deputation^{vis 14 (c)} exceeds the provision
for absences made in the Engineer
Cadre for Madras, ^(d) viz 10 — a
circumstance which warrants
the entertainment of temporary Engineers.

as

(b) Order 8752 d/29. 8. 95.
Flagged 'B'

(c) ^{long} 10 on leave (including Mr. Kuleb.)
4 on deputation (excluding Mr. Allen
likely to be sent to Madras)
14

(d) Order para 5 of the Govt. of India
letter No. 917 d/22. 4. 95. Flagged 'D'

As stated in the Govt of India
letter ^(C1) recorded in E.O. 13280
of 6-6-95.

(C1) Flagged 'C'

7.6.97

I do not consider that
Mr Stuart's extension should
be recommended on the
grounds proposed, for
the absence of officers on
leave may not be an
adequate plea in future
years when it may never-
theless be desirable to
retain his services. The
retention of Mr. Stuart's
services should rather be
recommended for
reasons given by Mr. Hughes,
as SE VI vide, in his letter
no 703 of 17-6-96. A note
for the in those lines may
be drafted accordingly.

CCM

8/6/97.