Public Works (1897) Department.

1424
A

G.O., No. 1424 W
A

DATED 26. mar 1897

[Despatch Abstract.]

Mohidin Khan late lascar, Trichinopoly Dn;
Considering that the dismissal of —,
was justified and rejecting his appeal

[P.W. 25.
5,000—30-11-96.]

26/5/97

Indexed. 27/5

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

B

From orders.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

Petition from Mohidin Khan, late lascar, Trichinopoly Division, dated 18th Novr. 96.

Memo No. 4493 C dated 28th Novr. 96.

Letter from Supt. Engineer, VI Circle, No. ~~[illegible]~~ 2026 T.C./A. d/ ~~[illegible]th april~~ 10th Decr. 1896

Memo No. 36 C dated 5th Jany 1897.

Letter from Suptg. Engr., VI Circle, No. 161 T.C d/ 29th Jany 1897.

Memo No. 2133 C d/ 13th april 97.

Petition from Mohidin Khan dated — april 97.

Endt. of Suptg. Engr., I Circle, No. 134 V3 d/ 29th april 97.

Order No: 1424 W/A d/ 16.5.97

The petitioner is informed that the Govt. having inquired into his case, considers that his dismissal was justified. His appeal is accordingly rejected.

18/5/97

W.

27/5/97

Rv.

To

The Petitioner.

" S.E., VI Circle.

" S.E., I Circle.

(40—4,000—2-11-95.)

Soon

Public Works (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 4341

For Orders. The dismissal of the lascar was justified. The lascar's appeal is rejected.

A.S.H 17.5.97

Submitted to His Excy. the Governor, with reference to the Private Secretary's D/O of 3.4.97.

6/5/97

From J. C. Larminie Esq.
Supg. Engineer P.W.D.
To The Chief Engineer P.W.D.

No. 134 V.L.

Dated 29.4.97
Received 3-5-97.
Enclosures ~~9~~. 11.
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Moidin Khan, late lascar, Trichinopoly — Submits Mr. Allen's remarks on the explanation of

o.L.S. 60 R 20/5

H.L. 6-5-97 R 17-5-97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	2133C	PWD	13-4-97
	36C	-	5-1-97
	4493C	-	28-11-96

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Copy of Executive Engineer's
letter to the Supg Engineer VI
Circle. No. 245 SE / 12.7.96.

SUPG. ENGINEER'S OFFICE,
No. 2118
1 DEC. 1896
P.W.D.
SIXTH CIRCLE.

I have the honor to bring to your notice the conduct of my lascar Moideen who I have placed under suspension from the 11th morning pending your orders as to his dismissal. Since I started ~~to~~ for Camp in the Paramba liv Taluq on the 12th June he has been a constant source of trouble at each camp. In the first place he insisted on being jump to the camp and whenever my back was ~~turned~~ turned introduced women for the benefit of my servants. It was not until 30th June when encamped at Vengolam that I was able ~~through~~ a disturbance which this created in my camp to clearly ~~correct~~ convict him. He had been warned before this and I then fined him 12 annas and cut his batta for the month.

punished for it.

In the first place I must distinctly object to having my Camp turned into a brothel; and again, I discovered, that the money which I had been paying my servants for supplies from the villages had not been disbursed by them but for the most part frittered away on those prostitutes.

At the end of June when I had already settled with my servants, I found that nothing whatever had been paid by them at any single village where I had been encamped. I then paid the money thro' a Subordinate and dismissed my servant. but I have every reason to consider Mohideen as equally culpable if not more so as he was usually entrusted with the money with which to purchase daily supplies.

On being fined he saw fit to turn sulky and I had much trouble getting my Camp moved about. It was always late on arrival due to a stoppage during the night or it was in a wrong place or something of this sort had happened.

On the 8th July when marching from Adutheorai he took the bandies past one mile from my Camp and then stopped them and it was here I found them on the morning of the 9th

On the 10th I moved Camp from Valicondapuram to Perambalur. I sent two bandies on and they arrived at the Thoramangalam Bungalow on the 11th.

On my arrival at the Bungalow on the 11th I found that one bandy had been ransacked by thieves and much damage committed to my property and some Rs 20 worth was missing. The robbed bandy had been left entirely unguarded

For the above I consider him quite worthy of dismissal and the other lascar who was with him being young and inexperienced I am fining and transferring to a subordinate

No. 1032 S d/12 Aug 1896
d/13/

True copy

Forwarded to Mohideen for explanation.

Superintending Engineer
4942
27 APR. 97
First Circle.

A. Rowe Allen
Ex. Eng.

Ihe
11/8

Superintending Engineer
No. 9420
19 APR. 97
First Circle.

P.W. E.1
434/97

~~Q.R.E. 3~~ 2
~~1052~~ 56
1125/2

---

(1)

To.

The Superintending Engineer
VI Circle

Through

The Executive Engineer
Trichinopoly Dn.

SUPG. ENGINEER'S OFFICE,
No. 3118
1 DEC. 1896
P.W.D.
SIXTH CIRCLE.

Most Honored Sir,

In obedience to the Sne. Engr's report No. $\frac{245 S.L.}{12.7.96}$ I most humbly beg to submit my explanation as follows:—

1st Charge. "He insisted on being pimp &c" "whenever my back is turned introduced women for the benefit of my servants &" "Camp turned into a brothel". With regard to this I beg to submit that the Sne. Engr's statement is unfounded as your honor may well observe that in the first place I can gain nothing by being a pimp to the servants who hold no better position than myself. I am a Mussalman by creed and it is a well known fact that no Mussalman will undertake to do so base an action whatever the remuneration may be. The Sne. Engr. by this assertion, simply drives me to the necessity of being much more annoyed at his glaring ill-treatment. The horsekeepers move in the camp with their wives who are themselves grass cutters and it is not a strange thing that whenever an European officer pitches his tent in a village, the rude men & women gaze & stare at any body in the camp out of sheer curiosity. It is these women the Executive Engineer thinks who have turned the camp into a brothel.

2nd Charge. "Mohideen is equally culpable as he was usually entrusted with the money with which to purchase daily supplies". As regards this, I beg to say that the Ex: Engr never entrusted me with any money on any occasion. It is either his private servants or the Departmental maistries who are generally selected by the officer to arrange for his supplies. I have no concern whatever with the money and my engagement in the affair is simply carrying on my head, large quantities of firewood, straw for the horseshed and lot of fowls at a time. Whenever

I am disinclined to carry too heavy burdens on my head, the private persons shirking their own duty misrepresent the matter to the notice of the Ex: Engr who, in his turn under some disguise or other, either fines me or disallows my well earned batta or tries to tease me in any cruel manner he chooses.

3rd Charge. "I had much trouble in getting my camp moved about" "late in arrival" &ca "My camp was in a wrong place". As regards this I beg to submit that the delay in the marching of camp is due to the peculiarity of the locality (the soil of which is black cotton). Any delay in this is therefore to be attributed to the inability of the bulls on which I & my co-lascars have no command. We pitch the tents in the places where officers generally take their camp. Although the Ex: Engr is not in a position to give us instructions about the particular locality in which his tent ought to be pitched, he being new to that side, yet simply with a view to tease us orders on his arrival the removal of the tent to no better place.

4° Charge. "The robbed bandy had been left entirely unguarded" — With regard to this I respectfully beg to submit that on our way from Valikandapuram to Theramangalam we were attacked by thieves at dead of night but by some contrivance or other we managed to arrive safe to the Theramangalam Bungalow at about 1:30 in the night. It is after our arrival that the theft talked off was perpetrated while we were all fast asleep owing to the fatigue caused by the nocturnal journey. Although the Ex. Engr was aware of all these difficulties & knew full well that we had no hand in this sinful act yet with a view to satisfy his grudge against me he desired the local Sub Magistrate to arrest & remand me to the Jail, but the Magistrate simply acquitted me seeing no reason to charge me. The Ex. Engr however did not permit me to join my duty & told me verbally to go away even without hearing or taking any explanation from me. At last the culprits were detected and convicted by the Magistrate to 6 months rigorous imprisonment.

Under the above circumstances I most deferentially beg your honour will be graciously pleased to judge how far I am culpable of the charges set forth against me by the Executive Engineer.

I have been unreasonably fined and ill treated in all cruel manner. Not even a single month elapsed in which I was able to get my pay and allowances in full. I therefore pray that I may be placed beyond this Executive Engineer's jurisdiction. I have earned 13 years service in the Department and won good will & favorable opinion of the officers I served under. I therefore most humbly crave your honor will be pleased to give me a trial in the Circle office.

I beg to enclose 2 original testimonials for your honor's perusal & kind return when no longer required.

I beg to remain,
Most Honored Sir
Your Honor's most obedient &
humble servant
Signed/ Clerio Joseph Rosy
Moidin Khan
Lascar

Trichinopoly
20 — 8 — 96.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
No. 4912
27 APR. 97
6
FIRST CIRCLE.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
No. 9416
19 APR. 97
6
FIRST CIRCLE.

/ True Copy /

மொஹிதீன்கான்

P.W. 62
6436/95

O. V. Bodley Lt. Col. R.E.
Supg Engineer, VI Circle
17. 10 - 96.

A.E. 2
~~10500~~
11252 / 56

Superintending Engineer's Endorsement on the Executive Engineer's Endorsement

SUPG. ENGINEER'S OFFICE
No. 1/1816
1 DEC. 1896
P.W.D.
SIXTH CIRCLE.

No. 334 S E / 22.8.96 on Lascar Mohidien Khan's explanation dated 20.8.96

No. 1585 T C

The dismissal of the Lascar is approved.

(Signed) W. Hughes.
Supg Engineer
VI Circle.
28. 9. 96

Despd 28th

No. 1455 S d/11-10-96
Spry

True copy forwarded to Mohidien Khan late Lascar for information.

Encl: 1+2
Service Book.
2 original Certificates

P. Roscoe Allen
Ex. Engr.

J.K.
6/10

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
No. 9432
19 APR. 97
4
FIRST CIRCLE

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER.
No. 4912
27 APR. 97
4
FIRST CIRCLE.

C. W. E.3 / 4341/5

O.E-4 3
~~10566~~/86
11252

SUPG. ENGINEER'S OFFICE,
No. 4118/96
1 DEC. 1896
P.W.D.
SIXTH CIRCLE.

Tanjore.
24-2-91

The bearer Moideen Khan was Lascar attached to the Tanjore Division Officer during the time I was in charge, He worked satisfactorily

(Sigd) J W Martin

Ex Engineer

22 November
Mussoori

Moideen Saib Divisional Lascar has given me great satisfaction during the time I had charge of the Sub-division & he is energitic & useful

(Sigd) ~~illegible~~
J. N. Carless.

True Copies.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, FIRST CIRCLE. 27 APR. 97 245 S.E.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, FIRST CIRCLE. 19 APR. 97 9456

RC/3X
6086
11257

P.W. 3.5
4341/97

SUPG. ENGINEER'S OFFICE No. 1 DEC. 1896 P.W.D. SIXTH CIRCLE.

To,
The Secretary to Government
Public Works Department
Madras

The humble appeal Memorial Submitted by Moidin Khan, late Lascar attached to the Executive Engineer's staff at Trichinopoly & son of Hamid Khan formerly a Lascar in the D.P.W. most Respectfully Showeth That—

× certified Copy enclosed.

1 The order× of the Superintending Engineer, VI circle, No 1575 T.C. / 28-9-96, dismissing Appellant from his post of Lascar, is harsh, unjust and ill-considered.

2. The Superintending Engineer has been greatly biassed by the false and unfounded allegations contained in the Executive Engineer's letter,* No 245 S.E. / 12-7-96

* Do — Do.

3. Unfortunately for the Appellant, the Superintending Engineer evidently omitted to take into his favorable consideration the facts contained in the Appellant's explanation,⊗ dated 20-8-96

⊗ Do — Do.

4. The enclosed copies of testimonials of his character will show the Government what Appellant's antecedents have been.

5. Appellant Submits that he is a poor man of a very large family and is utterly incapable of the mischief, carelessness and disobedience with which the Executive Engineer has unjustly charged him.

Appellant accordingly Solicits that His Excellency will be graciously pleased to res- the papers connected with his s-e and restore him to the office from wh- he has been unjustly and undeservedly dismissed

For which act of charity and justice, Appellant, as in duty bound, shall ever pray &c &c.

Public works (1896) Department

Current number 19546

SUPG. ENGINEER'S OFFICE,
No. 18114
1 DEC. 1896
P.W.D.
SIXTH CIRCLE.

Rels 4

Read: 20. 11. 96

No. 4493 C d/ 28th Novr 1896

Referred to the Superintending Engineer for VI circle for favour of remarks.

J. McV. Campbell Colonel R.E.
Chief Engineer

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
No. 4982
27 APR. 97
FIRST CIRCLE.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
No. 9436
19 APR. 97
FIRST CIRCLE.

P.W. E.6. 434/97

P.W. ~~E.5 11252/96~~

No. 2026 T.C.

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
6TH CIRCLE,

Trichinopoly, 10' December 1896.

5 10/-

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, No. 9482, 19 APR. 97, 7, FIRST CIRCLE.

From Lt. Col. O. V. Boddy, R.E.
~~The~~ Sperintending Engineer,
6th Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer, P.W.D.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, 4912, 27 APR. 97, 7, FIRST CIRCLE.

SIR,

5 ENCLOSURES.
E.W. Endt. No: 4493C d/28.11-96 with Enclosures

With reference to your endorsement No: 4493C dated 28' November 1896, herewith returned with enclosures, I have the honor to report that my predecessor, having enquired into the case, ordered that the lascar should be dismissed.

2. I have read over the report from the Executive Engineer and the petitioner's defence & I consider that the dismissal of the lascar was justified, and that it should be upheld.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your Most obedient Servant

O. V. Boddy
Lt. Col. R.E.
Supg. Engineer, VI Circle

250—20.4.96

9/12

P. W. D. 6th CIRCLE.

FROM
THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
6TH CIRCLE,

TO
THE CHIEF ENGINEER,

NO.

Dated.
Received. 189 .

Enclosure.

P. W. E-7 / 4341(9)

Public Works (1896) Department.

Read Curr 10526
11252

1/6
B/

CURRENT No. 11252

Order

Petitioner is informed that the Govt. having inquired into his case, declines to interfere in his favour.

Cn

14/12/96

The case against the man is not very clear. On what evidence was he convicted of being 'pimp to the [illegible]'? On what evidence was he convicted of withholding payment for [illegible]?

Let me see a copy of the Magistrate's judgment in the charge of stealing the Ex: Engineer's property. Did the Ex: Engr. cause the lascar to be arrested? If so, on what grounds?

To the Petitioner

.. S. E. VI Circle.

ASH
20.12.96

From
The Supdt. Engineer
VI circle.

No. 2026 TC

Dated 10 December 1896.
Received 11.12.96
Enclosures 5.
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Lascar Mohidien Khan Trichinopoly &c:—

Informs that the dismissal of the — was justified & that it should be upheld.

H.E. 14/12 R 21/12

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Copy of Chief Engineer's Memo. No. 36 C. dated 5th January 1897:-

Letter from the Superintending Engineer VI Circle, No. 2026 C. dated 10th December 1896, reporting on the petition of lascar Mohideen Khan.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER No. 948C 19 APR. 97 FIRST CIRCLE

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER 4913 27 APR. 97 FIRST CIRCLE

The undersigned is directed to state that the case against the lascar is not clear, and to request replies to the following questions:-

(1) On what evidence was the lascar convicted of being "pimp to the camp"?

(2) On what evidence was he convicted of withholding payment for supplies?

2. The Superintending Engineer is requested to forward a copy of the Magistrate's judgment in the charge against the lascar of stealing the Executive Engineer's property, and to report whether it was the Executive Engineer who caused the lascar to be arrested, and if so, on what grounds.

P.W.&S.
4341(9)

In the Court of the Stationary 2nd Magistrate of Perambalur.

Executive Engineer's Office
774
5 SEP 1896
Trichinopoly

FILE CASE NO. 825 OF 1896.

CALENDAR CASE NO. 802 OF 1896.

JUDGMENT.

Complainant.	Accused.
Police on the complaint of J. [illegible] Christian	1. Kora Muttayan 2. Kora Gopalan.

Offence. Theft under Section 379 I. P. C.

Finding. Guilty.

Sentence. each to undergo 6 months Rigorous Imprisonment.

At about 10 P. M. of the night of 10th July last, prosecution 1st witness John Jivanandam, the butler of Mr. Allan Roscoe Executive Engineer of Trichinopoly, sent his

his master's articles in two bandies from Valikondapuram to the next camp at Toramangalam bungalow. Crossexam 2nd and 3rd witnesses Shaik Jilani and Mohidin Khan respectively the cook and lascar of the Engineer accompanied the bandies, while two men were driving them. Another servant and lascar of the gentleman also accompanied them. On reaching the road near the Elambalur Hill, they saw 2 or 3 suspicious characters passing by and the cartmen accordingly drove the bandies as fast as they could and reached the Toramangalam Bungalow at about 1 A.M. All the 6 persons that accompanied the carts slept around them. Early in the morning, when they went up to the bandies, they found that some of the boxes were missing. They went about in search of the missing boxes, when they found the box of the bandyman lying near the gate along with another box of the Engineer. The bandyman found that his rice, dholl, tamarind and salt were stolen away. The 2nd and 3rd witnesses still continuing their search, they found the remaining 3 or 4 boxes broke open near the prickly pear bushes to the north of the adjacent road. The books, papers, steel nibs etc. were found scattered. A small portion of the measurement metal tape was also torn off at the end. The leather portmanteau was also found forcibly open and the following articles viz: 2 bath towels, 2 small face towels, 1 table cloth, and one razor which had been kept there, were found to have been stolen away. In another box, it was found that a silver plated toast rack, a tin with sugar and some biscuits that had been kept therein were missing. The articles found strewn away were secured in their respective boxes and the matter was reported to the Engineer immediately after his arrival. That officer deputed his butler to look into the matter and the latter found that the aforesaid articles worth Rs 14-1-4 were missing. The Police were immediately apprised of the

the occurrence. Prosecution 4th witness Subbaroya Pillai, Station House Officer of Perambalür thereon proceeded to the spot and held a local investigation in the matter. His exhaustive enquiry having showed that the theft was the work of Koravers, he set out with a view to track out the wandering gang. After making fruitless search for them in various places, he and two constables found a gang in a pit near the Aiyilür tank at 10 A.M of the 19th Instant. The properties of the Koravers were next examined in the presence of prosecution 5th and 6th witnesses Venkatachala Reddi and Sengan Kavundan, respectively residents of Aiyilür and Sirunachür, and other persons. When they were about to examine the 1st prisoner, he wanted to go and drive his ass; ~~they~~ but they persisted that his person should be examined. They accordingly searched his person and found that he had tied a bath towel with the initials P. R. A, around his waist underneath a garment equally tied over it. The 2nd accused produced his sack for examination when it contained another bath towel with the same initials. These two Koravers were accordingly arrested and put before this Court for trial.

2. Prosecution 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th and 6th witnesses above referred to speak to the aforesaid facts.

3. Prosecution 7th witness Paramesvaran Sengan of Toramangalam swear that he found the prisoner going in advance of 2 or 3 others at about 5 or 6 naligais after sunset on the date of occurrence on the road very near to the Toramangalam Bungalow and that he informed the Station House Officer of this fact on seeing the prisoner in his custody

4.

4. The prisoners deny having committed the offence and state that the towels were introduced in the Police Station.

5. Defence 1st, 2nd and 3rd witnesses Kora Valan, Kuttan and Vinayati, three members of the gang swear that the stolen towels were not found with the accused persons.

6. The evidence of the prosecution witnesses requires careful analysis with a view to find out the real culprits in the present case. The cartman's box, which every body knows contains the daily necessaries of life, was broke open very near the gate and its contents, viz rice, salt, tamarind and dholl were stolen away. His rice pot also was found open. The sacks that contained the tent articles, had each a single cut with a knife, the thieves probably intending to understand the nature of the contents. The stolen articles consisted of towels, cloth

cloth, razor; biscuits and a toast rack apparently construed to have been entirely made of silver. A precipitate hastiness appears to have been taken in opening the cartman's box and his rice pot while the other boxes appears to have been opened at a short distance from the scene of crime, proving thereby the bold nature of the nightly adventurers. When all these facts are put together and considered, it will be established beyond doubt that the offenders concerned in the present case were illiterate needy class of people.

7. The prisoners in the present case were seen near the scene of crime shortly before the commission of the offence and were found to be in possession of the stolen property worth Rs 3-8-0 a few days after the occurrence.

The

he Search was Conducted in broad daylight in the presence of independent witnesses and the Police found that he 1st prisoner had safely secured a towel in his person, while the ther had equally deposited another owel in his own sack, which is in the shape of a bag to carry his articles. The towels bear the initial of the Executive Engineer and have been identified beyond a shadow of doubt to be his own. The prisoner were living in the village of Naranamangalam 4 miles from the Scene of crime at the time of the alleged occurrence. It has been clearly proved that they were found to have been in recent possession of Stolen property and it should accordingly be legally presumed that they were the thieves, unless they could Satisfactorily account the possession of the Stolen property. They bring in

3 persons of their own gang a their witnesses. These witnesses ar unable to explain as to why the prisoners alone should be arreste while the whole number of their gang was 44, including Children. I have already observed that the theft was the work of illiterate and Starving persons. The gang, in which the accused were members was found to possess no rice whatever at the time of Search. I fully believ that the prisoners committed the present offence and were found to be in possession of Stolen property. Their daring act was already commented upon. They would have actually carried away more valuable property, if they were really within their reach. Such acts of thefts of property of travellers should be put down with exemplary punishment, with a view to insure the Safety of Such property.

1142/15

[illegible] find the prisoner guilty under Section 379 I.P.C and sentence them to suffer 3 months rigorous imprisonment each [illegible]

9. Under Section 517 C.P.C, the property concerned in the case will be handed over to complainant after the expiration of appeal time.

Signed S. Kovil Pillai
Stg 2nd Clerk

Perambalur
5-8-96

(True copy)

No 449/2996

Submitted to the Executive Engineer, Trichinopoly with reference to his Letter No. 449 dated 27th August 96.

S. Kovil pillai
Stg 2nd Clerk

1-9-96

P.W. E. 114 [illegible]
P.W.E. Ex
934/97

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER'S OFFICE
19 SEP 1896
TRICHINOPOLY

SUPG. ENGINEER'S OFFICE
No. 1/88 T.C.
19 JAN 1897
P.W.D.
SIXTH CIRCLE

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER'S OFFICE
No. 1100
23 JAN. 1897
TRICHINOPOLY

SUPG. ENGINEER'S OFFICE
No. 1/1292
26 JAN. 1897
P.W.D.
SIXTH CIRCLE

948
19 APR. 97
FIRST CIRCLE

4972
27 APR. 97
FIRST CIRCLE

Enclosure in No. 161 T.C. d/ 29-1-97

From P. Roscoe Allen Esquire,
Executive Engineer, Trichinopoly Dn.,
to the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, No: 657 S.E. dated 18th January 1897:—

Refce: Your No: 27 T.C. d/ 7-1-97.

Regarding the above I have the honor to inform you respecting question (1) that I convicted the lascar myself half a dozen times if not more. It was precisely the same at every place we camped at.

Question (2).— Being the senior lascar he was invariably sent for supplies and invariably he was sent with money.— After my tour had progressed some week or more letters came from the Munsiffs of each of the villages I had camped at complaining that the supplies I had obtained had not been paid for. On enquiry I elicited the fact that this man had appropriated the money given to him as in fact he himself admitted.

3. The Sub Magistrate released the lascar as evidence was not suffi-

1142/11

-cient against him. Some Koravars were subsequently apprehended and convicted of this robbery but this I consider does not exculpate the lascar as he was in charge of the bandies and permitted this robbery to take place whilst he was in charge. He had in fact left the bandies to themselves with no one in charge and betaken himself to a house to sleep. The Judgment is enclosed.

The lascar has been dismissed vide 1585 T.C. dated 28 September /96 of the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.

4912
27 APR. 97

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER.
No. 943L
19 APR. 97
FIRST CIRCLE.

/ True copy /

O. V. Boddy
Lt Col. R.E.
Superintending Engineer
VI Circle

P.W.-E.9. 434/97
P.W. E.2 1142/97

No. 161 T.C

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
6TH CIRCLE,

Camp. Pullukudy
~~Trichinopoly,~~ 29 January 1897.
D/31-

From
Lt Col. O. V. Boddy R.E.
~~The~~ Sperintending Engineer,
6th Circle,

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER.
No. 943L
19 APR. 97
FIRST CIRCLE.

To

The Chief Engineer,
P.W.D.

SIR,

2. ENCLOSURES,
1. Copy of Ex. Engr's letter No: 667 P.E. of 18. 1. 97.
1. Copy of Judgment.

With reference to Public Works Department Memorandum No: 36 C dated 5th January 1897 calling for certain information on the charges brought against Mohideen Khan, lake lascar in the Trichinopoly Division, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter from the Executive Engineer No: 657 P.E. dated 18th instant giving the information required together with copy of the Magistrate's judgment in the case.

2. The Executive Engineer has reported in a subsequent letter that the lascar was arrested by the Sub. Magistrate of Perambalore on suspicion of having himself decoited his (Mr. Allen's) bandies and that the lascar was afterwards released

on

250—20.4.96

P. W. D. 6th CIRCLE.

FROM
THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
6TH CIRCLE.

TO
THE CHIEF ENGINEER,

NO.

Dated, } 189 .
Received. }

Enclosure.

P.W.E.10
4341(9)

on further enquiry &

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

J. C. Hoddy Lt Col. R.E.
Superintending Engineer
VI Circle

44V7
27 APR. 97
10
FIRST CIRCLE

Public Works (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 1140

4/3

For **Orders**, with reference to His Excy's note of 20.12.96. on the paper flagged E.

3/2/97 W

From The Supg Engineer
6th circle

No. 161 T.C.

Dated 29th January 1897.
Received 2.2.97
Enclosures 2.
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Charges brought against Mohideen Khan, late lascar in the Trichinopoly Division.

Forwards copy of Executive Engineer's letter giving the information required in regard to the — with copy of the magistrate's judgment

~~C.A.~~
~~S.A.W.~~

H.E. 4-2-97 R.5/4/97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Memo	36 C	P.W.	5-1-97

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No. 2133 C

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, No. 340, 23 APR. 1897, P.W.D., VIZAGAPATAM DIVISION.

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, No. 948, 19 APR. 97, FIRST CIRCLE.

MEMORANDUM.

18/4/97

No 91 V.Z.

Encls 9

~~Forwarded~~

Draft[illegible]

J C Larminie

Supdg. Engineer, 1st Circle

18/4/97 18/4

on Desk 20-4-97

Dated Madras, 13th April 1897.

Letter from the Superintending Engineer VI Circle, No. 2026 T.C. dated 10th December 1896, with enclosures.

×copy attached.

Copy of Chief Engineer's memo. No. 36C.× dated 5th January 1897.

Letter from the Superintending Engineer VI Circle, No. 161 T.C. dated 29th January 1897, with enclosures.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, 49 V.Z., 27 APR. 97, FIRST CIRCLE.

The papers above quoted are forwarded to Mr. P. R. Allen, with the request that he will answer the defence statements marked with a cross in blue at pages 2 and 3 of Lascar Moidin Khan's letter of 20th August 1896.

recd 23/4/97

D. Mc. Campbell Col: R.E.

Chief Engineer.

KM

To

P. R. Allen Esqre

Executive Engineer

with the papers quoted

(to be returned)

(through the Supdg. Engr. I Circle)

P. W. 41.
500—13-3-97

P.W.E. 11
4341/97

No. 279

FROM

O. R. Allen Esq.
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
VIZAGAPATAM DIVISION.

49V2
27 APR. 97
FIRST CIRCLE.

Enclosures. 9. TO

THE Superintending Engineer
First Circle.

Dated 22nd }
Despatched 26th } April 1897.

No. 134 V.Z.

Ref. No. 911 Z of $\frac{18}{20}$. 4-97.

SIR,

I have the honour to inform you referring to the first blue cross on page 2 that I refered to Women specially brought into the Camp by the lascar for immoral purposes.

1)

2. No departmental agency is ever entrusted with the purchase of supplies. The lascar was entrusted with the necessary money by my private servants and in several cases the money was given in my presence. I satisfied myself that the money was always given him.

2)

3. The roads of the Trichnopoly District are all good and I am quite capable

Submitted to the Chief Engineer with all the Enclosures.

J C [illegible]
Supg. Engr.
1st Circle
Dt. 29/4/97

of estimating the distance in which a bandy should go in one hour. Nothing more was expected than what is usually expected by every officer on tour.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant

A Roscoe Allen
Executive Engineer

70
23/4/97

R. 23/4/97.

FROM
THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
VIZAGAPATAM DIVISION.

TO
THE Superintending Engineer
First Circle

DATED 22nd
DESPATCHED 26th } April 1897.
RECEIVED

No. 279

ENCLOSURES. 9

SUBJECT.

Submitting Explanation for the points asked in connection with the dismissal of lascar Moideen Khan of Trichinopoly Division

---

Public Works (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. 10546

Order No. 4493 G of 28th Nov 1896

Referred to the Superintending Engineer VI circle for favour of remarks

(Sd) D McN Campbell, Colonel R.E.
Chief Engineer

30/11/96

From Moidin Khan, late lascar Ex. Engineer's Office Trichinopoly

No.

Dated 18.11.96.
Received 20.11.96.
Enclosures 4
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Appeal: Moidin Khan Submitting an — against the order of the S. E. VI circle dismissing him from the post of lascar attached to the Executive Engineer's staff at Trichinopoly

P. W. 24.
1,000—4-9-96.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No. 36 of 5th Jany 1897
P.W.D.

Memo.

Letter from the Supdg E
VI Circle No 2026C of
Dec 1896, reporting on
the petition of lascar
Mohideen Khan.

The undersigned
directed to state that
the case against the las
is not clear, & to requ
replies to the following
questions. –

(1) On what evidence
was the lascar convicted
of being "pimps to the
Camp"?

(2) On what evidence was
he convicted of withholding
payment for supplies?

2. The Supdg Engr is
requested to forward a
copy of the Magistrates'
judgment in the charge

6/1

against the lascar of stealing the Ex. Engr's property, & to report whether it was the Ex. Engr. who caused the lascar to be arrested, & if so, on what grounds.

28/12/96

Cn

PRIVATE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNOR · MADRAS ·

GOVERNMENT HOUSE
Guindy

8rd April 1897

My dear Campbell,

Please see the places marked with a cross in the lascars petition flagged X. Will you kindly get Allen to answer the defence statements which the lascar there makes. Allen probably can do so easily enough; but it should be done, because in these ~~things~~ cases of appeal to His Excellency, it is not sufficient to simply re-assert

---

S. E. VI Circle. Nº 5/1/97

Nº 2133 C of 13-4-97

P. W. D.

Memo

Letter from the S. E. VI Circle No 2026 I.C. with encls.

Copy of Ch. Engr's memo No 36 C of 5.1.97

Letter from the S. E. VI Circle No 161 I.C. of 27.1.97. with encls.

Dispatched

Note carefully
Rm 8/4/97

Note for HE – Docket sheets containing remarks should be removed before sending the papers.

The papers above quoted are forwarded to Mr. P. R. Allen, with the request that he will answer the defence statements marked with a cross in blue at pages 2 & 3 of lascar Moidin Khan's letter of 20th Augt. 1896.

8/4/97

To P. R. Allen Esqr.
Ex. Engr. with the papers quoted (to be returned)
(through S. E. VI Circle)

D 24/4/97

21/4/97

INDEXED

L. O. No: 290 of 97

Public Works (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 3806

Order

Await receipt of reply to Lr. No. 2133 C of 13.4.97.

30.4.97

From Moideen Khan
Late lascar of the
Ex: Engineers office
No. Trichinopoly

Dated

Received 14. 4. 97

Enclosures 1 half-anna stamp

Spare copies -

SUBJECT.

Praying that he may be restored to his former appoin
-ment.

P. W. 29.
2,000—16-11-95.

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No	Date.

Half anna postage stamp received with this petition
Recd 10/4/97.

To,

The Secretary to Government,
Public work Department,
Madras.

The humble reminder Memorial Submitted by Moideenkhan, late lascar of the Executive Engineer's office at Trichinopoly, Son of Ameer Khan, formerly a lascar, and residing in Shamisperan Street, Trichinopoly Town.

Most respectfully Showeth. That:—

1. The memorialist Submitted to Government on the 18th November 1896, an appeal against the order of the Superintending Engineer unjustly dismissing him from his post. As no orders have yet been passed thereon, he respectfully approaches Your Excellency with an humble request that early orders may be passed.

2. We have been born human creatures and if we appeal to God, He, who created all the worlds, will certainly grant us relief. Accordingly Your Excellency, who governs the Country & created by Almighty and is charitably disposed to do justice to those who have unjustly suffered, has not been, to the great misfortune of the memorialist, ~~been~~ pleased to pass any orders on his appeal. He is poor and a man of a large family & has been living hand-to-mouth since the date of his dismissal. He therefore repeats his former request and begs to Solicit that Your Excellency will be graciously pleased to restore him to his post.

8. 4. 97.

For which act of charity, Appellant as in duty bound, shall ever pray, &c &c