Public Works (1897) Department.

G.O., No. 135 I.

DATED 10. February 1897

Note [illegible]

11/2/97

[Despatch Abstract.]

Periyar Project: Authorizing the Examiner of
P.W. Accts: with remarks to admit the outlay
shown in the completion statement for July 1896 to
have been incurred without estimates in main-
taining the main and branch channels of the
— during 1895-96.

100/5

T.C.
10/2/97.

[P.W. 36. 1,000—30-11-96.]

Indexed.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

IRRIGATION.
ACCOUNT.

Government (1897) of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Recd. } 1897. *Enclosures*
Regd. *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 135 I., 10th February 1897.

FEBRUARY.

Periyar Project

Authorizing the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, with remarks, to admit the outlay shown in the completion statement for July 1896 to have been incurred without estimates in maintaining the main and branch channels of the — during 1895–96.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Irrigation.
Account.

Read—the following papers:—

From W. HUGHES, Esq., Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation (through the Examiner of Public Works Accounts), dated Trichinopoly, 23rd August 1896, No. 1054 M.D.

In forwarding herewith the completion statement for outlay incurred in Madura division during 1895–96 without estimates in maintaining the main and branch channels of the Periyár Project, I have the honour to inform you that the Executive Engineer has failed to submit estimates in time, and explains the causes of this in a footnote on the statement. I have asked him to report the names of the sub-division officers referred to and to obtain an explanation from any of them who are still in the division.

2. As the accounts of the works were closed at the end of the official year, I request that the sanction of Government may be obtained to pass the expenditure.

Enclosure.

Completion statement for the month of July 1896 (Madura Division—VI Circle).

Name of Work.	Amount of estimate.	Outlay (to nearest rupee).	Difference.
1	2	3	4
49. Irrigation Capital.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Periyár Project.			
Estimates of 1895–96.			
Maintenance to main channel, 1st reach	..	66	66
Do. do. 2nd reach	..	174	174
Do. do. 3rd ,, ...	..	430	430
Do. do. 4th ,, ...	..	248	248
Do. do. 5th ,, ...	..	32	32
Do. do. 6th ,, ...	..	57	57
Do. do. 7th ,, ...	..	203	203
Do. do. 8th ,, ...	..	49	49
Do. do. 9th ,, ...	..	63	63
Do. do. 10th ,, ...	..	477	477
Do. do. 11th ,, ...	..	1,606	1,606
	Arrears to be paid Rs. 65–13–7.		
Maintenance, 1st to 8th branch channels ..	..	147	147
Maintenance and repairs to Periyár branch channels ..	..	607	607
Maintenance to 4th branch channel ..	..	11	11
Do. to 8th do.	..	112	112
Do. to 9th do.	..	2,569	2,569
Do. to 10th do.	..	1,424	1,424
	Arrears to be paid Rs. 43–7–10.		

The non-submission of the estimates for sanction before the close of the official year 1895–96 is due to the following circumstances:—

The estimates were not prepared in proper form and with sufficient information, in consequence of which they had to be returned more than twice to the Sub-divisional officers. There was also great delay on the part of the Sub-divisional officers in submitting them.

No. 282 S.E.

Forwarded to the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.

14th August 1896.

(Signed) A. JOYCE,
Executive Engineer, Madura Dn.

No. 2545 D./A.

Forwarded. Outlay shown in the statement duly checked.

28th August 1896.

(Signed) D. C. GORDON,
Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Memorandum to the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, dated 3rd September 1896, No. VI/38 P.R.

With reference to letter, No. 1054 M.D., dated 23rd ultimo, from the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, the undersigned has the honour to request that he will be so good as to report, at an early date, from what provision of the sanctioned estimate for the Periyár project the outlay aggregating Rs. 8,275 incurred on the maintenance of the main and branch channels during 1895–96, should be met.

2. The names of the Sub-division officers referred to should also be reported and their explanation submitted for the information and orders of Government.

(Signed) F. J. WILSON,
for Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

From A. JOYCE, Esq., Executive Engineer, Madura Division, to the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, dated 20th September 1896, No. 342 S.E.

With reference to your No. 1120 M.D., dated 9th September 1896, I have the honour to state that the outlay of Rs. 8,275 incurred on the maintenance of main and branch channels during 1895–96 may be met from the provision of Rs. 37,736 against item No. 630 under "maintenance" in the revised estimate for Periyár project sanctioned in G.O., No. 97 I., dated 3rd February 1894.

2. The explanation of the sub-divisional officers called for will be forwarded as soon as it is received.

No. 1196 M.D.

Copy submitted to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation for information, with reference to his memo. No. VI/38 P.R., dated 3rd September 1896.

Trichinopoly,
24th September 1896.

(Signed) W. HUGHES,
Supg. Engineer, VI Circle.

From Lieutenant-Colonel O. V. BODDY, R.E., Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, dated Trichinopoly, 12th November 1896, No. 1411 M.D.

With reference to your memo. No. VI/38 P.R., dated 3rd September 1896, and in continuation of this office No. 1196 M.D., dated 24th September 1896, I have the honour to forward, herewith, copies of reports from the Sub-divisional officers, Madura and Periyár (Mr. Ramalinga Aiyar and Sub-Conductor Slaney), for outlay incurred during 1895–96 without estimates in maintaining the main and branch channels of the Periyár project.

Enclosures.

From M.R Ry. A. V. RAMALINGA AIYAR Avergal, Assistant Engineer, Madura Sub-division, to the Executive Engineer, Madura Division, dated 7th October 1896, No. 2120.

Referring to your No. 1498 S., dated 10th September 1896, forwarding copy of Superintending Engineer's memo. No. 1055 M.D., dated 23rd August 1896, I have the honour to report as follows:—

In this office No. 190, dated 8th May 1895, the then Sub-divisional officer S Panchanada Aiyar asked the Executive Engineer for sanctioning all the maintenance estimates for Periyár channels submitted from this office as the "banks have been much cut up during the late rain" on which your predecessor has passed the following order:—

"The estimates will be sanctioned, but this need not delay the work being done."

I regret I do not find anything from the records of this office to show that the maintenance estimates reported to have been submitted for sanction were returned by your predecessor, and hence I am at a loss to account for the delay in this office.

When I took over charge of this sub-division, Mr. Medlicott asked me in person to prepare the maintenance estimates for all the Periyar channels in the beginning of December 1895. The estimates were accordingly submitted for sanction in this office No. 1941, dated 17th December 1895, which were returned by your predecessor with his endorsement No. 334 E., dated 21st December 1895, to the effect that the estimates should provide only for works already done. The Sub-division officer, Periyár, was addressed to give the required informations regarding 9th and 10th Branch channels in this office No. 2093, dated 12th January 1896, and No. 2248, dated 28th January 1896, as the informations were available only from him, the works being carried out in his time. On receipt of reply from him in his No. 1290, dated 24th February 1896, the estimates were prepared and submitted for sanction with this office No. 2658, dated 8th March 1896.

Superintending Engineer's No. 459 M.D., dated 1st May 1896, was forwarded with your endorsement No. 80 E., dated 10th May 1896, and was received in this office on 13th May 1896. A statement was prepared by me showing the several payments made for the maintenance works since March 1895 and the subordinates were requested in this office No. 592, dated 3rd June 1896, to note against each payment the abstract of work actually done. As the above informations have to be obtained from several measurement books, there was some delay on the part of the subordinates in submitting the required informations. In this connection I have to bring to your notice the delay made by Overseer A. Narayanaswami Naidu (who was lately transferred to Tinnevelly division), who gave the required informations in his No. 132, dated 22nd July 1896, after several reminders. As soon as the informations were all received from the subordinates, the estimates were prepared and resubmitted for sanction with this office No. 1215, dated 29th July 1896.

It will be seen from the above that there is no delay on my part in submitting the estimates for sanction.

From Sub-Conductor T. H. SLANEY, in charge of Periyár Sub-division, to the Executive Engineer, Madura division, dated 20th September 1896, No. 1001.

	RS.
Reach main channel	210
Do.	1,720
Branch channel	1,350

With reference to your No. 1498 S., dated 10th September 1896, I beg to state that maintenance estimates for the repair of Periyár main and branch channels for 1895–96 were submitted as per margin with this office No. 883, dated 13th March 1895. The estimates were returned with Executive Engineer's No. 124 E., dated 25th July 1895, with a request that separate estimates might be sent in for each work requiring repairs which admitted of measurement and of being given out on piecework. The maintenance estimates were again called for in Executive Engineer's No. 1126 of 1st August 1895, as expenditure had been incurred for maintenance work which was noticed in the Divisional objectionable items statement and were submitted with this office No. 555, dated 18th September 1895. The maintenance works were also put in hand as the work was emergent and the matter verbally reported to the Executive Engineer. The report which accompanied this estimate with the Executive Engineer's corrections is herewith submitted. The estimates were revised according to the Executive Engineer's instructions and submitted in October 1895 with this office No. 763, dated 26th October 1895, but were returned as not being required.

Subsequently, the estimates were again called for in your No. 2761 S., dated 24th January 1896, and resubmitted with this office No. 86, dated 15th April 1896. These were returned with your No. 62 E., dated 29th April 1896, on 11th May 1896, requesting that an estimate for actual expenditure incurred should be submitted. This was attended to and the estimates called for submitted with this office No. 328, dated 1st June 1896.

To the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, dated 29th November 1896, No. VI/48 P.R.

From A. JOYCE, Esq., Executive Engineer, Madura Division, to the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, dated 13th January 1897, No. 512 S.E.

With reference to your No. 1539 M.D., dated 9th December 1896, I have the honour to state that I joined the division only in December 1895 by which time there was an outlay of over Rs. 4,000 on the maintenance of channels. From the correspondence, it is observed that steps were taken by the Divisional office from the beginning of the year to call for the maintenance estimates. Some of the estimates were received from the sub-divisional officers during the year and they had to be returned for revision, and by the time all the maintenance estimates could be submitted in a complete form for sanction, the official year was closed and orders were given by you in your No. 998 M.D., dated 12th August 1896, to include the outlay in the completion statement for the month.

No. 61 M.D.

Copy submitted to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, with reference to his memo. No. VI/48 P.R., dated 29th November 1896.

2. The undersigned considers that the explanations received from Mr. Ramalinga Aiyar and Sub-Conductor Slaney satisfactory, as estimates appear to have been submitted from the Sub-division offices early in 1895–96, but some delay occurred in the Division office in disposing of them.

(Signed) O. V. BODDY, Lieut.-Col., R.E.,
Supg. Engineer, VI Circle.

19th January 1897.

No. 21 I.

Submitted to Government with a recommendation that the Examiner of Public Works Accounts be authorized to pass the expenditure incurred during 1895–96 in maintaining the main and branch channels of the Periyár project.

2. The explanation furnished for the non-submission of estimates for sanction before the close of the past year is far from satisfactory.

(Signed) W. HUGHES,
Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

30th January 1897.

Order—No. 135 I., dated 10th February 1897.

The Examiner of Public Works Accounts is authorized to admit the outlay shown in the completion statement for July 1896 to have been incurred without estimates in maintaining the main and branch channels of the Periyár project during 1895–96, the expenditure of Rs. 8,275 being met from the provision made for item 630 of the statement printed in Proceedings of Madras Government, No. 97 I., dated 3rd February 1894.

2. This sanction is registered as No. 173 I. of 1896–97.

3. A recurrence of a similar irregularity should be avoided in future as much as possible.

(True Extract.)

Under Secretary to Government,
Irrigation Branch.

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
„ Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.
„ Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.

Public Works (1897) Department

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,

IRRIGATION BRANCH.

A. +

H.E.

No. 135 I d/ 10.2.97

Current No: 1438

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Recd: 9.2.97

Enclos: 9

UNDER date the

Irrigation Accts

READ the following

Print with [illegible]

From Supg Eng, VI Circle, No. 1054 M.D. d/ 23. 8. 96.
To .. do .... 38 P.R. d/ 3. 9. 96
From .. do .... 1196 M.D. d/ 24. 9. 96
From .. do .... 1411 M.D. d/ 12. 11. 96
Audit To ... do .... 48 II PR dated 28-11-96 12
Print ~~From~~ exe Eng's letter .. do .... No 61 Img. dated 19-1-97.

No 21-I d/ 30-1-97

15 P.

Submitted to Govt with a recommendation that the Examiner of P.Wa be authorized to pass the expenditure incurred during 1895-96 in maintaining the main & branch channels of the Periyar Project.

2. The explanation furnished for the non-submission of estimate for sanction before the close of the past year is far from satisfactory.

Order thereon.

The Exa

Pieces 14

Issue

WH

I 8/2/97.

A. J. 6/2

BPC 6.2.97

I concur.

This is I understand the fault of Mr. Joyce.

ASH 5.2.97

P. 15

P. W. A is authorized to admit the outlay shown in the completion statement ~~report~~ for July /96 to have been incurred without estimates in maintaining the main & branch channels of the Periyar project during 1895-96, the expenditure of Rs 8,275 being met from the provision made for item 630 of the statement printed in P. W. G. No. 97. I, d/ 3d Feb /94.

2. This sanction is registered as No. 173 I of 1896-97.

3. A recurrence of a similar irregularity should be avoided in future as much as possible.

W. H.

A. S. H
5.2.97

7. E. d 10/2.

ICB
11/2/97

Regd 11/2/97

To
The Chief Engr for I.
Supdg Engr VI
Ex. P. W. A

No. 1054 md,

18

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINE
6TH CIRCLE,

Trichinopoly, 23d August 1896.
24/-

From
W. Hughes Esquire
~~The~~ Sperintending Engineer,
6th Circle.

To
The Chief Engineer, for Irrigation
Thro' the Examiner of P. W. A.

SIR,

ENCLOSURES. 1

In forwarding herewith the completion statement for outlay incurred in Madura Division during 1895-96 without estimates in maintaining the main & branch channels of the Periyar Project, I have the honor to inform you that the Executive Engineer has failed to submit estimates in time, and explains the causes of this in a foot note on the statement. I have asked him to report the names of the Subdivision Officers referred to and to obtain an explanation from any of them who are still in the Division.

2. As the accounts of the works were closed at the end of the official year, I request that the sanction of Government may be obtained to pass the expenditure.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servt

W. Hughes.
Supdg Engineer VI Circle

No. 2545 D/A d 28th August 1896

Forwarded. Outlay shewn in the statement duly checked

D. C. Cardozo
Exam. P. W. accounts

250—20.4.96
27/8/96

W. D. 6th CIRCLE,

EXAMINER
No.
25 AUG 1896
P. W. 1896

CHIEF ENGINEER
FOR IRRIGATION,
25 AUG. 96
No. 77 P.R

FROM

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
6TH CIRCLE.

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER,
for Irrigation.
Thro, the Examiner P.W. Acct.

NO. 1054 md

Dated, 23d
Received, 24 } August 1896.

Enclosure, 1

Main & branch channels of the Periyar Project:—
Submits for sanction completion statement for outlay incurred in Madura Dn during 1895-96 without estimates in maintaining the —

P.W.S. E.2 1438/97

EXAMINER
No.
25 AUG 1896

SUPG. ENGI
No. 978
17 AUG. 18
P.W.D.
SIXTH C

(D. No. 1.)

NOTES.

REFERENCE—

G.O., No. 3053 *W., of* 14*th November* 1891.

Examiner's Circular No. 16 *of* 10*th December* 1891.

1. As soon as possible after the despatch of the monthly accounts to the examiner the executive engineer will furnish a completion statement in this form (i) for all special and ordinary repairs, whatever their amount, and (ii) for all original works of this department, costing less than Rs 5,000 each, the accounts of which have been finally closed in the accounts of the month that have just been rendered.

2. For annual repairs the statement should be submitted annually as soon as possible after the close of the official year or after the close of the period for which annual repair estimates remain in force.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
MADRAS.

CIRCLE— VI

DIVISION— Madura

Completion Statement for the month of July 1896

Enclosure 2

NOTE—This form should be used—

1. Monthly, for all special and extraordinary repairs, and for all original works costing less than Rs. 5,000 each.
2. Annually, for all annual repair estimates.

ESTIMATE. No.	ESTIMATE. Date.	Name of Work.	Amount of Estimate.	Outlay (to nearest rupee).	Difference.
1	2	3	4	5	6
			RS.	RS.	RS.
		49 Irrigation Capital Periyar Project Estimates of 95-96			
-25 / 95-6	- -	Maintenance to Main channel I Reach.	-	66	66
13 / 95-6	- -	Maintenance to Main chl. 2nd Reach		174	174
-21 / 95-6	- -	Maintenance to Main channel 3 Reach.	-	430	430
71-24 / 95-6	- -	Maintenance to Main channel 4 Reach.	-	248	248
72-15 / 95-6	- -	Maintenance to Main channel 5 Reach.	-	32	32
73-16 / 95-6	- -	Maintenance to Main chl. 6 Reach -	-	57	57
76.14 / 95-6	- -	Maintenance to Main chl. 7 Reach -	-	203	203
75.14 / 95-6	- -	Maintenance to Main chl. 8 Reach	-	49	49
76-16 / 95-6	- -	Maintenance to Main chl. 9 Reach	-	63	63

2 / Enclos A 3

ESTIMATE. No.	ESTIMATE. Date.	Name of Work.	Amount of Estimate.	Outlay (to nearest rupee).	Difference.
1	2	3	4	5	6
			RS.	RS.	RS.
72-12 / 95-6	- -	Maintenance to Main chl. 10 Reach.		477	477
79-22 / 95-6	- -	Maintenance to Main chl. XI Reach -		1606	1606
					Arrears to be paid Rs 65-13-7
81-19 / 95-6	- -	Maintenance 1st to 8th Branch Channels -		147	147
166-3 / 96-7	- -	Maintenance & repairs to Periyar Branch channels		607	607
83-21 / 95-6	- -	Maintenance to 4 Branch chl.		11	11
88-4 / 95-6	- -	Maintenance to 8 Branch chl.		112	112
154-6 / 96-7	- -	Maintenance to 9 Branch chl.		2569	2569
96-21 / 95-6	- -	Maintenance to 10 Branch chl.		1424	1424
					Arrears to be paid Rs 43-7-10

Included in E. O. No 135 d/8 10-2-97
(Registered No 1730 of 18-2-97)

The non submission of the estimates for sanction before the close of the official year 95-96 is due to the following circumstances—
The Estimates were not prepared in proper form and with sufficient information, in consequence of which they had to be returned more than twice to the Sub. Dl. officers. There was also great delay on the part of the Sub-Divisional

4

Estimate No.	Estimate Date	Name of Work.	Amount of Estimate.	Outlay (to nearest rupee).	Difference.
1	2	3	4	5	6
			RS.	RS.	RS.
		Sub Divisional officers in submitting them			

CHIEF ENGINEER
FOR IRRIGATION.
26 AUG. 96
No. 77 P R

No. 282, dated 14 8 1896.
D 15

Forwarded to ~~the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, Madras and Coorg, for verification and transmission to~~ the Superintending Engineer, Sixth Circle.

A Joyce
Executive Engineer,
Madura Division.

Ent

Recd E.I. 1438/97

No. , dated 189 .

Verified and forwarded to the Superintending Engineer, Circle.

Examiner, Public Works Accounts,
Madras and Coorg.

1,500—25-10 95

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

Public Works (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. R77 PR

Order (1)

From the Supdg Engineer 6 Circle
thro. the Examiner of P.W. accounts

No. 1054 M.D.

Dated 23d August 1896
Received
Enclosures
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Main and branch Channels of the Periyar Project - Submits for sanction statement for outlay incurred in Madura during 1895-96 without estimate in maintaining the —

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

3

Public Works (1896) Department.

CURRENT No.

Order

From The Chief Engineer for Irrign

To The Supdg Engineer 6 Circle

No. 38 P.R.

Dated 3rd September 1896

Received

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Main and Branch Channels of Periyar Project. Request to report from what provision of the sanctioned estimate for the project the outlay aggregating Rs 8275 incurred on the maintenance of the — during 1895-96 should be met and to report the names of officers referred to and to submit this explanation for orders of Govt

---

4

4

No 38 PR dt 3-9-96

Pm 5

Memo

With reference to letter No 1054 M.O., dt 23d ult, from the Supdg Engr, VI Circle, the undersigned has the honour to request that he will be so good as to report, at an early date, from what provision of the sanctioned estimate for the Periyar Project the outlay aggregating Rs 8275 incurred on the maintenance of the main & branch channels during 1895-96, should be met.

2. The names of the subdivl. officers concerned should also be reported & their explanation submitted for the information & orders of Govt.

3/9

To the S.E. VI

CCls 3/9

BR.

Public Works (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. 6

R 85 PR

Order (6)

From

The Supdt. Engineer VI Circle.

No. 1196 W.S.

Dated 24 September 1896

Received ~~24~~ 25-9-96

Enclosures –

Spare copies –

SUBJECT.

Maintenance of main & branch channels:—

submits for information copy of letter from the Exe Engr Nadma, pointing out the source from which the expenditure of Rs 1275 incurred, can be met for the — during 1895-96.

BR 25-9-96

25/9

BACK PAPERS.

Recpt ~~G.O. Issue~~	No.	Department.	Date.
I	38	PR	3-9-96
R	77	PR	23.8.96
R	95	P.R.	12.11.96

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
R	11	M.D.	19-1-97

---

6 P [illegible] 9

Letter from Mr. G. Joce, Executive Engineer, Madura Division, to the Superintending Engineer, 6' Circle, No 3425 S.E. dated 20' Septr/96.

With reference to your No. 1120 M.D. dated 9' September 1896, I have the honor to state that the outlay of Rs 8275 incurred on the maintenance of main and branch channels during 1895-96 may be met from the provision of Rs 37,736 against item No 630 under "Maintenance" in the revised estimate for Periyar Project sanctioned in G.O. No. 97 I dated 3rd February 1894.

2. The explanation of the Sub Divisional Officer called for will be forwarded as soon as it is received.

No 1196 M.D.

Copy, submitted to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation for information with reference to his memorandum No $\frac{VI}{38 \text{ P.R.}}$ dated 3rd September 1896.

Supdt. Engr's office 6th Circle
Trichinopoly.
24' September 1896

W. Hughes
Supg Engineer
6 Circle

CHIEF ENGINEER
FOR IRRIGATION
2 SEP 96
No. 85 PR

P.W. E.4
1438/97

mb 18/11

Public Works (1896) Department.

CURRENT No.

R95-PR

Order

(7) to 11

From

The Supdt. Engineer
Works.

No. 1411 m?

Dated 12 November 1896

Received 14-11-96

Enclosures 2

Spare copies

Papers are put up with Pro. No 9934/95 [illegible]
[illegible]

mb
18/11

[illegible]

BR
15-11-96

H.E.I

BR
23-11-96

SUBJECT.

Main & Branch channels of the Periyar Project:—
Submits reports from Sub-Dn. officers for outlay incurred during 95-96 without estimates in maintaining the ——

BR
14-11-96

14/11

BACK PAPERS.

~~G.O.~~ Issue	No.	Department.	Date.
I	38	P.R	3-9-96
R	85	P.R	24-9-96

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Issue	48	P.R	29 11 96
R	11	M.D	19-1-97

No. 1411 M.D

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
6TH CIRCLE,

Trichinopoly, 12 November 1896.

From
Lt Col. O. V. Boddy R.E.
~~The~~ Sperintending Engineer,
6th Circle.

To
The Chief Engineer,
for Irrigation.

SIR,

2 Enclosures.

With reference to your Memorandum No: $\frac{VI}{38\ P.R}$ dated 3rd September /96, and in continuation of this office No: 1196 M.D. dated 24 September /96, I have the honor to forward herewith copies of reports from the Sub Divisional Officers Madura and Periyar (Mr. Ramalinga Aiyar & Subconductor Slaney) for outlay incurred during 95–96 without estimates in maintaining the Main & Branch Channels of the Periyar Project.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

O. V. Boddy Lt Col. R.E.
Supdg Engineer, VI Circle

250—20.4.96

12/4

P. W. D. 6th CIRCLE.

FROM
THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
6TH CIRCLE.

TO
THE CHIEF ENGINEER,

NO.

Dated. }
Received. } 189 .

Enclosure.

CHIEF ENGINEER
FOR IRRIGATION.
17 NOV. 96
No. 95 M

1.

P.W. E.7 / 1438/97

---

9 S.P.

No: 2120. SP8

From
The Assistant Engineer
Madura Sub Dn.
To
The Executive Engineer
Madura Dn

Dt. 7 October 1896.

Sir,

Referring to your No: $\frac{1498^{S}}{10-9-96}$ forwarding copy of Supdg Engineer's Memo. No: $\frac{105\ S.\ MD.}{23-8-96}$, I have the honor to report as follows:—

In this office No: $\frac{190}{8-5-95}$ the then Sub Divisional officer S. Panchanada Aiyar asked the Executive Engineer for sanctioning all the Maintenance Estimates for Periyar Channels submitted from this office as the "banks have been much cut up during the late rain" on which your predecessor has passed the following order:—

"The estimates will be sanctioned but this need not delay the work being done".

I regret I do not find anything from the records of this office to show that the maintenance estimates reported to have been submitted for sanction were returned by your predecessor & hence I am at a loss to account for the delay in this office.

When I took over charge of this Sub Dn Mr: Medlicott asked me in person to prepare the maintenance estimates for all the Periyar Channels in the beginning of December 1895. The estimates were accordingly submitted for sanction in this office No: $\frac{1941}{17-12-95}$ which were returned by your predecessor with his endorsement No: $\frac{334 F.}{21-12-95}$ to the effect that the estimates should provide only for works already done. The Sub Dn officer, Periyar, was addressed to give the required informations regarding 9th & 10th Branch Channels in this office No: $\frac{2093}{12-1-96}$ & $\frac{2248}{28-1-96}$ as the informations were

available only from him the works being carried out in his time. On receipt of reply from him in his No: $\frac{1290}{24-2-96}$ the estimates were prepared and submitted for sanction with this office No: $\frac{2658}{8-3-96}$.

Supg Engineer's No: $\frac{459 Md.}{1-5-96}$ was forwarded with your endorsement No: $\frac{80 F.}{10-5-96}$ and was received in this office on 13-5-96. A statement was prepared by me showing the several payments made for the maintenance works since March 1895 & the subordinates were requested in this office No: $\frac{592}{3-6-96}$ to note against each payment the abstract of work actually done. As the above informations have to be obtained from several measurement books there was some delay on the part of the subordinates in submitting the required informations. In this Connection I have to bring to your notice the delay made by Overseer A. Narayanaswami Naidu (who was lately transferred to

Tinnevelly Dn.) who gave the required informations in his No: 132/22.7.96 after several reminders. As soon as the informations were all received from the subordinates the estimates were prepared and resubmitted for sanction with this office No: 1215/29-7-96.

It will be seen from the above that there is no delay on my part in submitting the estimates for sanction.

I have &ca

Signed A. V. Ramalingam
Asst. Engineer
Madura Sub Dn.

| True copy |

Rec. E.5 1438/97

O. K. Bradley
12-11-96.
Lt. Col. R.E.
Supg Engineer, IV Circle

C.E.
12/4

CHIEF ENGINEER
FOR IRRIGATION.
14 NOV. 96
No. 95-PK

Exp. 477
" 1606
1626 – but these are for other
General account for the Branch
Chl.

× Reach Main Chl. Rs 210
×' —— Do —— " 1720
× Branch Channel " 1350

S.P.
From

S.P. 10

The Officer in Charge of
Periar Sub Division

To

The Executive Engineer
Madura Dn.

No: 1001.

Dt. 20 September 1896.

Sir,

With reference to your No: 1498^S d/10-9-96, I beg to state that maintenance estimates for the repairs of Periar Main & Branch Channels for 1895–96 were submitted as per margin with this office No: 883 d/13-3-95. The estimates were returned with Executive Engineer's No: 124^E d/25 July/95 with a request that separate estimates might be sent in for each work requiring repairs which admitted of measurement and of being given out on piece work. The maintenance estimates were again called for in Executive Engineer's No: 1126 of 1-8-95 as expenditure had been incurred for maintenance work which was noticed in the Dral objectionable items statement & were submitted with this office No: 555 d/18-9-95. The maintenance works were also put in hand as the work was emergent and the matter verbally reported to the Executive Engineer. The report which accompanied this estimate with the Executive Engineer's corrections is herewith submitted. The estimates were revised according to the Executive Engineer's instructions and submitted in October 1895 with this office No: 763 dated 26-10-95 but were returned as not been required.

Subsequently the estimates were again called for in your No: 2761 S. d/24-1-96 and resubmitted with this office No: 86 d/15-4-96. These were returned with your No: 62 E. d/29-4-96 on 11 May/96 requesting that an estimate for actual expenditure

12 S.P.

S.P. 11

incurred should be submitted. This was attended to and the estimates called for submitted with this office No: 328 d/1-6-96.

Sd/. T. H. Slaney Sub Condr.
Sub Divl officer.

True copy

O. V. Bosley
12.11.96. Lt. Col. R.E.
Supg Engineer, VI Circle

12/11

CHIEF ENGINEER
FOR IRRIGATION.
1st Nov. 96
No. 957 PK/2

Pro. E.6 1438/97

Public Works (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 11. M. D

13

Order

- E.I.

The Ex. Engr's letter & S.E.'s orders: can be added to the file & the draft sent up —

26/1/97

WH

From The Supg Engineer VI Circle

No. 61 Ind.

Dated 19 January 1897.

Received 21 - 1 - 97

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Periyar Main & Branch Chl

— Submitting letter from the Ex. Engr reporting the circumstances under which outlay has been incurred during 95-96 in maintaining the ——— without estimates and reporting that the explanations of the Sub-Dn: officers are considered satisfactory

E.I. BR 21-1-97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
I	48. PR		29.11.96

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

14

Letter from A Joyce Esqre, Executive Engineer, Madura Division, to the Superintending Engineer, 6th Circle, Trichinopoly, dated 13th January 1897, No. 512 S.E.:—

With reference to your No. 1539 M.D. dated 9th December 1896, I have the honor to state that I joined the Division only in December 1895 by which time there was an outlay of over Rs 4,000 on the maintenance of channels. From the correspondence, it is observed that steps were taken by the divisional Office from the beginning of the year to call for the maintenance Estimates. Some of the Estimates were received from the Sub-divisional Officers during the year and they had to be returned for revision and by the time all the maintenance

Estimates

Estimates could be submitted in a complete form for sanction, the official year was closed and orders were given by you in your No. 998 M.D. dated 12th August 1896 to include the outlay in the completion statement for the month.

CHIEF ENGINEER
FOR IRRIGATION.
21 JAN 97
No. 11 Irrn

—

No. 61 Ind dated 19 January 1897
D/20/-

Copy submitted to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation with reference to his memorandum No. $\frac{VI}{48\ PR}$ dated 29th November 1896.

2- The undersigned considers that the explanations received from Mr. Ramalinga aiyar and Sub Conductor Slaney satisfactory as estimates appear to have been submitted from the sub-division offices early in 1895-96 but some delay occurred in the Division office in disposing of them.

P.W. E.G. / 1438/97

O. C. Wodelly Lieut. Col. R.E.
Sup. Engr. 6th circle

16