Public Works (1897) Department.

G.O., No. 1254 W

DATED 14 May 1897

1875/97

[Despatch Abstract.]

Sub Registry office at Tindivanam;

Revised Estimate of Rs. 3,800 for the — sanctioned with remarks.

100/6

[P.W. 26. / 1,500—[illegible]-11-96]

15/5/97

Indexed. 17/5

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Government (1897) of Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Recd. } 1897. *Enclosures*
Regd. } *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 1254 W., 14th May 1897.

MAY.

Sub-Registry Office at Tindivanam

Revised estimate of Rs. 3,800 for the —, sanctioned with remarks.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

Read—the following papers :—

From Lieut.-Col. C. C. RAWSON, R.E., Superintending Engineer, V Circle, to the Inspector-General of Registration, dated Chepâk, 25th November 1896, No. 291 M.

With reference to your No. 5328, dated 21st August 1896, I have the honour to forward copy of letter, No. 814 S.E., dated 28th October 1896, from the Executive Engineer, South Arcot division, explaining the necessity for the revision of the estimate for the Sub-Registrar's office at Tindivanam, and to request that you will be so good as to resubmit the revised estimate to Government for favourable consideration and sanction.

Enclosure.

From J. S. WILSON, Esq., Executive Engineer, South Arcot Division, to the Superintending Engineer, V Circle, dated Cuddalore, 28th October 1896, No. 814 S.E.

With reference to your No. 343 S.A., dated 1st September 1896, on G.O., No. 5328, Registration Department, dated 21st August 1896, I have the honour to forward, herewith, a letter from the Sub-Divisional officer, Tindivanam, and to request that Government may be moved to reconsider the order mentioned above.

2. As you are aware, the building is nearly complete, and even if construction had not been begun, there would have been no means of restricting expenditure, especially on brickwork, the high rate being due to the impossibility of procuring bricks fit for the building locally.

3. As regards the tiling of the floor, the alteration was approved of by you, and will in the end prove an economy, owing to the small amount of repair required to floors of pressed tiles; the extra cost involved was only Rs. 25.

4. Taking the other items of work—roofing, woodwork, plastering and foundations—they were all carried out with due regard to economy, and no reduction would have been possible. The percentage of excess over the sanctioned estimate is 10·7, and the reference might have been avoided, if due consideration had been given in the first instance to the possibility of procuring good bricks in Tindivanam. This was assumed to be possible, and the original estimate, therefore, contained an insufficient provision for brickwork. I therefore forward the case for your disposal.

No. 7737 G.

Submitted for the orders of Government.

2. In the circumstances stated, the revised estimate may be sanctioned. The building is nearly complete.

Madras,
5th December 1896.

(Signed) P. RAJARATNA MUDALIYAR,
Inspector-General of Registration.

No. 360, Judicial.

Transferred to the Public Works Department for the necessary action.

(Signed) W. FRANCIS,
Ag. Under Secretary.

11th February 1897.

Memo. No. 1384 C.

With reference to letter from the Superintending Engineer, V Circle, No. 291 M., dated 25th November 1896, the undersigned has the honour to request that an explanation may be submitted showing—

(1) Why the impracticability of obtaining suitable building materials locally could not have been foreseen in the first instance.

(2) The impossibility of making bricks good enough for such a building in Tindivanam. If such is the case, how did they manage to build there before the railway was constructed?

(3) Why bricks good enough for the arches are not good enough for the walls, for it is observed that the former bricks are made locally, while those for the walls, which need not be so good, are imported.

(Signed) D. McNEIL CAMPBELL, Colonel, R.E.,
Chief Engineer.

11th March 1897.

From A. H. GARRETT, Esq., Executive Engineer, South Arcot Division, to the Superintending Engineer, V Circle, dated 21st April 1897, No. 327 S.E.

I have the honour to submit the following remarks in reply to the questions raised in the memorandum from the Chief Engineer forwarded with your No. 39 S.A./C. of 18th March 1897.

2. The remarks, which follow, show that the impracticability of obtaining suitable building materials locally should have been foreseen in the first instance.

3. The only Government buildings of any importance, which were in existence before the railway, are the Sub-Collector's residence, office and court. These were built of locally-made bricks about seventy years ago, and in carrying out repairs to the first two buildings it was evident that the bricks used were not good. No buildings have been constructed in Tindivanam with table-moulded bricks made locally. Table-moulded bricks for the new Taluk office were got from Panruti, and for the Sub-Magistrate's Court from Villupuram, whilst the bricks used on the Railway buildings came from Chingleput.

4. I find on enquiry that no bricks of local manufacture were used on the building. Villupuram bricks were used throughout.

No. 133 W.

Submitted to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, with reference to his No. 1384 C., dated 11th March 1897.

(Signed) C. C. RAWSON, Lt.-Col., R.E.,
Superintending Engineer, V Circle.

24th April 1897.

Order—No. 1254 W., dated 14th May 1897.

The revised estimate, amounting to Rs. 3,800, for the Sub-Registry office at Tindivanam, is sanctioned in supersession of the estimate for Rs. 3,340 sanctioned in G.O., No. 266 W., dated 4th February 1895.

2. The impracticability of obtaining suitable building materials at Tindivanam should have been foreseen by the officer who prepared the original estimate.

3. This sanction has been registered as Provincial No. 4 W. of 1897–98, and classified as Civil Buildings—Original Works.

(True Extract.)

Under Secretary to Government.

To the Superintending Engineer, V Circle, with three enclosures.
„ Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
„ Judicial Department.

Exd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.

---

(1) H.E. the Governor
(2) ~~The Hon'ble Mr Bliss C.S.I.~~

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No. 1254 W D/ 14-5-97.

A

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

B&C Civil Works

READ the following

Endorsement of the Judl. Dept. No. 360
D/ 11th Febry 1897.

Memo to Suptg. Engr., V Circle, No. 1384 C
D/ 11th March 1897.

Print

Endt. of ~~Letter~~ ~~from~~ the Suptg. Engr., V Circle, No. 133 W
D/ 27th April 1897

G.O.

2.44
10.5.97

The revised estimate amounting to Rs 3800 for the Sub Registry Office at Tindivanum is sanctioned in supercession of the estimate for Rs 3,340 sanctioned in G.O. No. 266 W dated 4th February 1895.

2. The impracticability of obtaining suitable building materials at Tindivanum should have been foreseen by the officer who prepared the original estimate.

3. This sanction has been registered as Provincial No. 4 W of 1897-98, and classified as Civil Buildings, Original works.

7/5/97

T/
B. P. K. 15/5/97

To The Suptg. Engr. V Circle with 3 Enclos.
" Examiner, P. W. Accounts
" Judl. Dept.

(40–4,000—2-11-95.)

D 7/5/97

Rw.

H.E. 7-5-97 R 10-5-97

7.5.97

W.

(1) H.E. the Governor

~~(2) The Hon'ble Mr. Bliss C.I.S.~~

P. W. D.

Note

× Flag B

With reference to the Hon'ble Mr. Bliss'× rescript dated 6th Jany 97, it is admitted that the impracticability of obtaining suitable building materials at Tindivanum should have been foreseen by the officer who prepared the original estimate.

Flag C

2. It will be observed from the letter# of the Executive Engineer, S. Arcot Division, No. 327 S.2. dated 21st April 1897, that there is evidence that before the railway was made buildings had to be constructed of inferior materials.

7/5/97

W.

C.A.M.
7.5.97.

C. No. 1578

P. W. Secretariat.

Note by Budget Section.

Work Sub Registrars office at Tindivanam, South Arc

Fund		Provincial
Service Head		Civil Buildings
Departmental Head		Original Works
Amount of Estimate	Rs.	3.800 – Revised estimate 3.340 – Original estimate
Expenditure in previous year	”	3.344
Allotment in current year	”	-
Balance required to complete	”	456 if the revised estimate be sanctioned
Total Rs.		3,800

Note of available Funds.

Note by Budget Section.

Public Works (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 4145

Order

From The Superintending Engineer
5th Circle

No. 133 W.

Dated 24th April 1897.

Received 27.4.97

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Sub Registrars Office
at Tindivanam.

Submitting explanation of the Executive Engineer South Arcot regarding the impracticability of obtaining suitable building material at Tindivanam for

Dm/
Dm orders.
CS
29-4-97

O L 537

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	1384C	P.W.	11-3-97
	670C	-	3-2-97
	2683C	-	5-9-95
	402C	-	23-1-96
	1430C	-	4-4-95
	266W	-	4-2-95
	4140C	-	19-12-94
	2460W	-	3-10-94
	1206W	-	17-5-94

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Copy of a letter from A. H. Garrett Esquire,
Executive Engineer, South Arcot Division,
to the Superintending Engineer 5th Circle,
No. 327 S.E. dated 21st April 1897.

I have the honour to submit the following remarks in reply to the questions raised in the Memorandum from the Chief Engineer forwarded with your No. $\frac{39 Sa}{c}$ of 18 March 1897.

2. The remarks, which follow, show that the impracticability of obtaining suitable building materials locally should have been foreseen in the first instance.

3. The only Government buildings of any importance, which were in existence before the railway, are the Sub. Collector's residence, office and Court. These were built of locally made bricks about 70 years ago, and in carrying out repairs to the first two buildings it was evident that the bricks used were not good. No buildings have been constructed in Tindivanam with table moulded bricks made locally. Table moulded bricks for the new Taluq Office were

were got from Panruti, and for the Sub-Magistrate's Court from Villupuram, whilst the bricks used on the Railway buildings came from Chingleput.

4. I find on enquiry that no bricks of local manufacture were used on the building. Villupuram bricks were used throughout.

No. 133 W

Submitted to the Chief Engineer P.W. Department with reference to his No. 1384 C. dated 11 March 1897.

C. A. Lawson
24/4/97
Lt Coll R.E
Superintending Engineer
5 Circle

24th April 1897

23.4.97

Public Works Department.

Current No. 1575
Letter No. 360
Dated 11th Feby. 1897
From the Judicial Department.

No. 1384C of 11-3-97

meant

S.E. V circle

With reference to letter from the S.E. V circle No. 291 of 27th ~~Agreement~~ 1896, the undersigned has the honour to request that an explanation may be submitted showing:—

(1) Why the impracticability of obtaining suitable building materials locally could not have been foreseen in the first instance.

2 The impossibility of making bricks good enough for such a building in Tindivanam. If such is the case how did they manage to build there before the railway was constructed?

3. Why bricks good enough for the arches are not good enough for the ~~[illegible]~~ walls, for it is observed that the former bricks are made locally.

PTO

Note by Technical Section.

Name of work Sub Registrar's Office at Tindivanam, South Arcot District.

Amount of Estimate Rs 3800.

The accompanying revised estimate, amounting to Rs 3800 has been submitted for sanction in lieu of the original estimate amounting to Rs 3340 sanctioned in G.O. No. 266 W dated 4th Feby. 1895.

The excess of Rs 460 or 13.77% on the original sanction is due entirely to increase in rate for table moulded bricks obtained from Villupuram owing it is reported to the impossibility of procuring brick fit for building locally and to the substitution of 6" sq. paving tiles for flooring obtained from Madras Brickfields in lieu of local manufactured tiles.

The excess is as under.

Brick walling	Rs 397
Flooring with paving tiles	26
Contingencies & petty supervn.	39
Total excess	Rs 460.

R 11/3/97

12/3/97

P. W. 60
200—2-9-96.

Data lists for both the items have been submitted.

The rate for brickwork has been increased from Rs 19.8.. to Rs 28.9..

It will be observed that the archwork over voids is proposed to be built of bricks of local manufacture at Rs 19.8.. per 100 cft. whereas the walling from bricks imported from Villupuram at a cost of Rs 28.9.. If local bricks will answer for archwork then the walling might be built as well without importing bricks.

The revised estimate has been countersigned by the Inspector General of Registration & transferred by the Judicial Dept with original notes* by the Hon. Mr. Bliss & H.E. the Governor regarding the negligence of not obtaining sufficient information regarding good bricks &c. at the time of framing the estimate.

2.3.97

* Orders on these notes will be required

2-3-97

while those for the walls, which need not be so good, are imported.

C.W.H.
6.3.97.

6/3/97

D.W.

To the S.E. V circle

T.A.
B.P.W.S. 11/3/97

Public Works (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 1578

266 W 4.2.95

Order

From The Judicial Deptt.

No. 360

Dated 11.2.97

Received 12.2.97

Enclosures 7

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Sub Registrar's Office, Tindivanam:—

Transferring papers for the issue of necessary orders in connection with the construction of a ——

T/ for scrutiny

C.W.H.
D.W.
24.2.97

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
670 C	3-2-97
1430 C	4th April 95
~~4/2~~	
266 W	4-2-95
4140 C	19-12-94
2460 W	3-10-94
1206 W	17-5-94

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

Judicial (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. 5342

Please see inside for draft from orders.

CHIEF SECRETARY
7-DEC 1896
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

From
The Inspector-General of Registration, Madras.

G No. 7737

Dated 5th December 1896.
Received 7 } 12-96
Registered 8 }
Enclosures Four.
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting, for the orders of Government, letter from the Superintending Engineer, I Circle, submitting a revised estimate for the construction of a Sub-registrar's Office at Tindivanam, South Arcot District.

For **Orders**.

Please see Hon's note in G.O. No. 1287 S.D. / 12 Aug. 96.

Submitted that in the circs stated the revised estimate may be approved. The building is reported to be nearly complete.

W 12-12-96

W 16.12.96

ALA
17.12.96

The excess must, I suppose, be sanctioned, but the circs seem to me discreditable. Why wasn't "due consideration" given beforehand, I should like to know, and is it possible that bricks good enough for such a building can't be made in Tindivanam? It seems incredible. How did they build there before the railway was made which has enabled them to import bricks and flooring tiles? It is just the usual P.W.D. heedlessness & extravagance. — Show H.E.

A.L.

H.S. 6/1/97

I agree with the Hon. W. Bliss 9.1.97

e6/1
e7/1
R9/1/7

1287 / 12.8.96

Where are the papers called for re the orig estimate. There is only a revised one — Pl put up G.O. on papers 3195/96

R Recd. Re 12/12

Cs.

Order.

As the building is reported to be nearly complete the revised estimate will be ~~approved~~ sanctioned.

2. The Govt. however considers that it is not creditable to the officers concerned that the ~~att~~ impossibility of obtaining in Tindivanam bricks of the required quality which is now alleged was not considered at the time the estimate was drawn up.

(from orders)

11/1/97

15/1/97

To I.G. of Regn. (A+O)

" D.P.W. with revised est. (A+O)

Sec. to Govt. D.P.W. for favour of

Countersignatures.

P.W. E.6 / 1578/4/97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	1287	Judl	15.8.96
R	196	—	20.2.95
	3209	—	26.12.94

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Judicial (1897) Department.

Cs

CURRENT No. 467

G.Os. 5342 of 96 + 467 of 97.

From The Secretary P.W. Dept.

~~Order~~ Endorsement. D.C. 3168 / 11th February 1897.

4 P

No. 670 C

Transferred to the Public Works Dept. (with notes in original) for the necessary action.

Ukanns (?)

10/2/97

Ag. Under Secretary.

6-2-97.

Omit in printing.

Dated 3rd February 1897.

Received 4th February 1897

Registered 5th February 1897

Enclosures 4

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Pointing out that the order for the Construction of a Sub-Registrar's office at Tindivanam, South Arcot District should issue in the P.W. Department and suggesting that the papers be transferred to the Department for the necessary action

To

The Public Works Dept. with G.Os. + notes in orig. 3

11/2/97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	1287	Judl	12.8.96
R	196	-	20-2-95
	3209	-	26.12.94.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No	Department.	Date.

CURRENT No. 467 Judl.

No. 670 C

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

CHIEF SECRETARY
4-FEB. 1897
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Madras, 3rd Feby 1897

5 P

OFFICE NOTE.

From

The Secretary P. W. Dept

To

The Chief Secretary

In returning Judl. Current No 5342, and file, the undersigned has the honour to point out that the proposed order should issue in the P. W. Dept., and to suggest that the papers be transferred to this Dept. for the necessary action -

2 - The proposal to sanction in the Judicial Dept., an estimate for a work under construction

(48—1,000—17-12-95.)

by the P. W. Dept., is opposed to para 15, Ch. III, of the P. W. Secretariat Manual.

D. McW. Campbell Colonel R.E.
Secretary to Govt.

C.M.

C.M.M.
26/1/97

P.W. E.7
15/8/97

CURRENT No. 5342

12544
5-97

No. 291. M.

Superintending Engineer's Office,
V Circle, Madras,
Chepauk, 25th November 1896.

From
Lt Col. C. C. Rawson, R.E.,
Superintending Engineer,
V Circle,

To
The Inspector-General of Registration,
Madras.

Sir,

Enclosures - 4
1 Camp statement
2 Data lists
1 Copy of E.E.'s letter
4

With reference to your No. 5328, dated 21-8-96, I have the honour to forward copy of letter No. 814. S.E. dated 28-10-96, from the Executive Engineer, South Arcot Division, explaining the necessity for the revision of the estimate for the Subregistrar's office at Tindivanam and to request that you will be so good as to re-submit the revised estimate to Government for favourable consideration and sanction.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
your most obedient Servant
(Sd) C. C. Rawson,
Lt Col. R.E.
Suptg Engineer, V Circle.

G. No.

G. No. 7737, dated 5th December 1896.
Madras.

3 P

Submitted for the orders of Government.

2. In the circumstances stated, the revised estimate may be sanctioned. The building is nearly complete.

Inspr. Genl. of Regn.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Fort St George.

Pub. E. S / 1578/97

South Arcot Dl. Office.
Camp, Cuddalore, dated
28th October 1896.

No. 814. S.E.

print
2 S P

From

J. S. Wilson Esquire,
Executive Engineer,
South Arcot Division,

To

The Superintending Engineer,
V Circle,

Encls:—2
1. Camp: 8th
2. S.D.O: No. 511
17-10-96

Sir,

With reference to your No. 343 S.A. dated 1st September 1896 on G.O., No. 5328, Regn. Dept. d/ 21st August 1896, I have the honour to forward herewith a letter from the Sub Dl. officer, Tindivanam, and to request that Government may be moved to re-consider the order mentioned above.

[illegible] Govt

2. As you are aware, the building is nearly complete, and even if construction had not been begun, there would have been no means of restricting expenditure, especially on brickwork, the high rate being due to the impossibility of procuring bricks fit for the building locally.

3. As regards the tiling of the floor, the alteration was approved of by you, and will in the end prove an economy, owing to the small amount of repair required to floors of pressed tiles; the extra cost involved was only Rs 25.

4. Taking the other items of work, roofing, woodwork, plastering and foundations, they were all carried out with due regard to economy, and no reduction would have been possible. The percentage of excess over the sanctioned estimate is 10.7, and the reference might have been avoided, if due consideration had been given in the first instance to the possibility of procuring good bricks in Tindivanam. This was assumed to be possible, and the original estimate therefore contained an insufficient provision for brickwork. I therefore forward the case for your disposal.

I have etc
(Signed) J. S. Wilson,
Executive Engineer.

(True copy)
(Sd) C. C. Lawson,
Lt. Col., R.E.
Supt. Engineer, V Circle

(True copy)

Inspr Genl of Regn.

Rd. E.4 / 1578/97

1896
Judl Dept.
Encls. 1
To
5342

Has the revised estimate been sanctioned if so, nothing further need be done, if not the HM's remarks may be answered in the light of Mr Garrett's letter

5/5/97

Dw

Draft submitted.

It is probable that H.E. will wish to know the name of the officer who framed the original estimate. The papers in the file do not give the information.

RWL
6/5/97.

In that case a reference can be made. The submission of this note need not be delayed on that account.

CH.R.B.
7.5.97.

Cw 4145

W.

From the Executive Engineer's letter of 21/4 97 it may be admitted that the impracticability of obtaining suitable building materials locally should have been foreseen by the officer who prepared the original estimate. But with reference to the rescript of the Hon'ble Mr Bliss it is submitted that there is evidence to shew that, before the railway was made, buildings had to be constructed of inferior materials.

CWHS
4-5-97.