

Public Works (1897)) Department.

G.O., No. 1243 W

DATED 13 May 1897

[Despatch Abstract.]

Reuf, ^{with remarks} directing that the sum of Rs. 70 due by Mr. Zaverio Dapes as — for the chambers in the High Court occupied by him be written off the accounts as irrecoverable, and that the facts of the case be brought to the notice of the High Court with the suggestion that he should ~~not~~ be debarred from ever occupying chambers in future.

=

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	505	C	26.1.97				
		File					

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

B
Hon. Secy.

N^o 1243 W 2/13-5-97.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the

READ the following

Letter from the Cons. Architect to Govt., N^o 4787 dated 9th Jan'y. 1897.

Do ——— do, N^o 5217 of 22nd Feby 1897.

Letter from the Govt. Solicitor, N^o 177 of 23rd March 1897.

Do N^o 227 dated 5th April 1897.

The facts of the case will be brought to the notice of the Hon'ble the Judges of the High Court, and it will be suggested that Mr. Travers Drapes should be debarred from ever occupying chambers in future.

4/5/97

The sum of Rs 70 due to Govt. will be written off the accounts as irrecoverable, but the Govt. Solicitor will take action against Mr. Travers Drapes should he at any time return to Madras before the claim lapses by the law of limitation.

2. Mr. Travers Drapes should not be allowed chambers in the High Court at any future time.

The Hon'ble the Chief Secy.
for favour of counter-initials.
(vide Office Note No 695)
9.3.5.97

To
The Cons. Architect to Govt.
" Examiner, P.W. Accounts
" Govt. Solicitor
" Judicial Dept.

15/5/97

Draft altered by
as suggested by
the Chief Secy.
to issues

22/4/97

W.
W.

Pu...

Rent due by Mr. Travers

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Order for Chambers
in the High Court

Read the following:—

From the C.A. to Govt. No 4787 of 5.1.97.

" " " " 5217 of 22.2.97.

" " Govt. Solicitor. 177 of 23.3.97.

FOR ORDERS.

Mr. H. F. Travers Esq.
Barrister-at-law, who
is practising at London,
occupied chambers in the
High Court at a monthly
rent of Rs 35. at the
end of October last
he vacated the chambers
leaving rent for Sept
& October unpaid. He
has ignored repeated
demands for the money,
& the Comptroller
has warned him that
legal proceedings will
be taken for its recovery.

The Govt. Solicitor

advises that it would be
giving little use to sue
Mr. Travers Draper, as he
is at Hyderabad, where
execution cannot touch
him, for he has no
property at Madras which
can be attached, also
that he is very much
involved. Mr. Barclay
mentions that his firm
has received judgment
for a claim against Mr.
Travers Draper for Rs. 2000,
but that no recovery
has been made.

Apparently the sum
of Rs. 20 due to Govt. must
be written off as irrecoverable.
The Govt. Solicitor might
be told to take action
against Mr. Travers Draper
should he at any time
return to Madras before
the claim lapses by

FOR

Pu

the law of limitation -
& orders might be
given that he is not
to be allowed chambers
in the High Court at
any future time.

10/4/97
W

cr

The course here proposed may be adopted.

A.S.H.
21.4.97

4

advised that it
from the
my Trav
is at

Public Works (189) Department.

CURRENT No.

Order

From *The Chief Secretary*
Judicial Dept.

No. 695

Dated 3rd May 1897

Received 3rd Do

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Mr Travers Drapers. Returns
the draft order, with remarks,
on the subject of the action
etc taken for the recovery
of rent due by — for chambers
occupied by him in the
High Court buildings.

o.s.i.

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

[$\frac{G}{73}$]

2,000-25-11-96

Industrial . . . DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE NOTE No. 695
Dated 3 May 1897

From

THE CHIEF SECRETARY,

To

THE SECRETARY Abbie Works DEPARTMENT.

The actual allotment of the rooms
chambers in the H. C. building is
left to the H. C. itself (qos $\frac{909.T}{16.S.92}$
 $\frac{1154.T}{22692}$ & also $\frac{1240.T}{3.8.76}$), it is
accordingly suggested that para 2. of the
accompanying draft sent for counters
initials might preferably run somewhat
as follows :-

2. The facts of the case will be brought to the notice of the Hon. the Judges of the H.C. It will be suggested that Mr. Pravers Draper should be debarred from ever occupying chambers in future. "

the day which

Chief Secretary

1387C
Public Works (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 325

3522/97

Order

~~The Govt. Solicitor is authorized to take legal steps for the recovery of the arrears of rent due by Mr. Travens. Droper.~~

N^o 505 of 26th Jan'y 1897

From

The Consulting Architect to the Government of Madras

No. 4787

Dated 9th January 1897

Received 11.1.97

Enclosures

Spare copies

C/ The Comm. Ant. is requested to warn Mr. Travens. Droper, by registered letter, that if the money due is not paid by the 10th Feb'y, legal proceedings will be taken against him. If no reply is received to this within reasonable time, the matter should again be reported for the orders of Govt.

CA to Govt. 1 Feb 11/97

Govt. Solicitor

Pls send

22/1/97

SUBJECT.

Requesting orders of Govt. as to whether the Govt. Solicitor may be asked to take steps for the recovery of rent due by Mr. Travens Droper for occupying Vakils Chambers, Law Court, Madras

INDEXED.
26/1

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
------	-----	-------------	-------

25794 P.W.D. 4.9.96

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
------	-----	-------------	-------

A. 500-20-3-96.

No. 5217

Office of the Consulting Architect to Govt.,
Cheppank, Madras,
22 February 1897.

From

G. S. J. Harris Esqre.
Consulting Architect
to the Government of Madras,

To

The Chief Engineer
Public Works Department,

Sir,

Enclosures.

As instructed in your No 505 C of the
26th January 1897, I have the honour to
report that a registered letter in terms of
your memorandum was sent to Mr.
Inamrao Dapao on the 29th January 1897
and that no reply has been received
from him up to date.

2 Orders of Government as to the
action that should be taken in the
matter are therefore awaited

I have the honour to be

Sir

Your Most Obedient Servant
G. S. J. Harris

Consulting Architect to Govt.

Public Works (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. ^{5.4} ~~2037~~

35th Dec 1897

CA's letter no 4787-
Copy of W's order no 505C
CA's letter no 5217.

✓ No. 1587 of 19th March 1897
Order Grant

Referred to
The Government Solicitor
for favour of opinion
whether legal steps can
be taken against Mr.
James Draper for the
recovery of the rent
due by him.

From
The Consulting Architect
to the Government
of Madras

No. 5217

Dated 22 February 1897

Received 24.2.97

Enclosures

Spare copies

Thos. Campbell
Colonel R.
Secretary to Govt.
P.W.D.

By

It is apparently he is
beyond the jurisdiction
of the Madras Courts.

Ans. 23.3.97

SUBJECT.

Requesting orders of
Govt. as to the action
that should be taken in
the matter of recovering
the rent of Sakis chand.
occupied by Mr. James
Draper for September &
October 1896

OW 83
35th Dec 1897

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No. 227

Madras, 5th April 1897.

From,

Ernest Barclay,

Government Solicitor,

M a d r a s.

To,

The Secretary to Government

Public Works Department

M a d r a s.

Sir,

As requested in your letter of the 3rd instant No 1925 C

I return herewith the papers which accompanied your endorsement No
1587 C dated 19th March 1897.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your Obedient Servant,

Ernest Hancock

Government Solicitor.

Public Works (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 3524

46

~~For Orders within the sum of~~
~~Rs. 70/- due by Mr~~
~~Travers Draper of the~~
~~written off as irrecoverable.~~

From

The Govt Solicitor
Madras.

No. 227

Dated 5.4.97

Received 6.4.97

Enclosures 4

Spare copies -

SUBJECT.

M^r G. F. Travers Draper,
Barriester at Law:

Returning certain papers
forwarded with Memo: No.
1587 of 19.3.97 regarding
rent due by —

No. 4789

Office of the Consulting Architect to Govt.,
Chepauk, Madras,
9th January 1897.

From

G. S. T. Harris, Esq.,

Consulting Architect

to the Government of Madras,

To

The Chief Engineer
Public Works Department

Sir,

The Registrar of the High Court of Judicature, Madras, has brought to my notice that a sum of Rs 70/- being the rent of the Chambers occupied by Mr. C. J. Travers Draper Barrister at Law for September October 1896 is still due from him & that he vacated the chambers on 1st November 1896 without having paid his arrears of rent in spite of repeated demands, also that he has been directed ^{to} request me to take such steps as I may consider necessary against Mr. Travers Draper.

2. I have therefore the honour to request orders of Government as to whether the Government Solicitor may be asked

to take legal steps for the recovery of rent due

I have the honour to be
Sir

Your most obedient servant

W. Davis

Consulting Architect
to Government.

PLS-1
3524/97

Public Works (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 3057.

Order

From The Govt. Solicitor,
Madras.

No. 177

Dated 23.3.97

Received 24.3.97

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Mr. Travers Draper :-

Stating that it would be of very little use taking legal proceedings against for recovery of the rent, accrued here, of the Chambers in the High Court, as he is in Hyderabad where execution cannot touch him.

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.
1587 C	19-3-97
	and file

No.	Date.

No 177

Madras, 23rd March 1897.

From,

Ernest Barclay,

Government Solicitor,

M a d r a s.

To,

The Secretary to Government

Public Works Department

M a d r a s.

Sir,

1. With reference to your endorsement dated 19th instant No 1587 C on a letter dated 22nd ultimo No 5217 from the Consulting Architect to Government, I have to advise that, as the debt due by Mr. Travers Drapes for rent accrued here, he can be sued here. I am afraid however that it would be of very little use taking proceedings against him for recovery of the rent as he is in Hyderabad, where execution cannot touch him, has no property here that can be attached and is very much involved. I see that the Consulting Architect has written Mr. Travers Drapes, demanding payment of the rent, without effect so, a letter of demand from me is not likely to have any.

2. I may mention that my firm has recovered Judgment for a client against Mr. Travers Drapes for a sum of Rs 2000 but, so far, no recovery has been made.

3. Awaiting the Orders of Government

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your obedient servant,

Ernest Barclay

Government Solicitor.