Superintending Engineer's Office,
3RD CIRCLE.

Bellary 3rd February 1896.

Despatched 5-2-96

Esquire,
GINEER,
IIIRD CIRCLE,

Engineer,
P. W. D.
Madras.

0486W
Cos 1212

Submitted with reference to the foregoing remarks.

Now G.O. having been cancelled, & while has now been passed by this Govt. will issue.

Cu
20/2/96.

GW
20/2/96

the honour to request ring the Residence for Collector at Hospet, the construction of which d in G. O. No: 2,846 W. ember/94 at a cost of Rs: 9,100 lowing circumstances. ine Engineer, Bellary Div. April last that he had the work, but found that would be exceeded by on account of the low rates

ointed out to him that the n the building had been duced by the Chief Engineer is to a different amount

Superintending Engineer's Office,
3RD CIRCLE.

Cno 1212

Bellary 3rd February 1896.
Despatched 5-2-96

To
The Weston Esquire,
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
IIIRD CIRCLE,

Dear Sir,

Chief Engineer,
P. W. D.
Madras.

Apparently there is nothing for it but to allow the work to proceed, & to ask the S.E. to submit the revised estimate referred to in his Memo? as quickly as possible.

I have the honour to request
concerning the Residence for
ish Collector at Hospet,
rich the construction of which
ioned in G. O. No: 2846 W.
ember/94 at a cost of Rs: 9,100
allowing circumstances.
tive Engineer, Bellary Dt. in
April last that he had
d the work, but found that
would be exceeded by
upon account of the low rates

The revised estimate will probably require the sanction of the Govt: of India, but as the work is apparently well advanced, less would be involved in stopping it.

The question of increasing the width of the verandah in the Standard plan might stand over

pointed out to him that the
on the building had been
educed by the Chief Engineer
it
be of the same
amount

until the G. of I. replies to the general question of revising the rules regarding residences - If India accepts the proposals of this Govt. new standard plans will be prepared for houses for different classes of officers —

Cr
10/2/96

Cr 1585
10.2.96

10/2/96

No. 3389

Superintending Engineer's Office,
3RD CIRCLE.
Bellary 3rd February 1896.
Despatched 5/2/96

From W. B. de Winton Esquire,
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
IIIRD CIRCLE,

To
THE Chief Engineer,
P. W. D.
Madras.

Sir,

Enclosures.
1 Detailed revised estimate
1 Comparative Statement
1 Report
1 Data sheet
1 Section of proposed roof
1 Original site plan
1 Copy of letter No. 4229 dt 16-12-95

I have the honour to request instructions concerning the Residence for the Head Assk. Collector at Hospet, Bellary District, the construction of which was sanctioned in G. O. No: 2,846 W. dated 8th November/94 at a cost of Rs: 9,100 under the following circumstances.

2. The Executive Engineer, Bellary Div. reported in April last that he had commenced the work, but found that the Estimate would be exceeded by some Rs: 500 on account of the low rates provided.

3. It was pointed out to him that the Estimate for the building had been purposely reduced by the Chief Engineer in view to the Rs: thousand

powers of the Local Government, and he was, therefore, directed to submit proposals for effecting savings, and finally to submit a revised Estimate for sanction, and, after considerable discussion as to the nature and position of the materials to be used, a revised Estimate for Rs: 9,100 (i.e., the same amount as that originally sanctioned) was forwarded to the Collector of Bellary on 9th Dec/95 for countersignature and transmission to Government for sanction, the construction of the building being in the meantime suspended.

4. This revised Estimate provided for the following alterations.

(a) The substitution of a roof composed of 6" brick concrete plastered on corrugated iron sheets supported by iron Railway rails, for the Standard Terraced roof, the former having been found to answer well in the S. M. Railway, and no bricks being obtainable for the latter.

(b) The omission of the new cook rooms &

repairable.

(c) The substitution of an aloe hedge for iron fencing.

This revised Estimate is submitted herewith for information.

5. On December 19th, the Collector returned the Estimate, with a letter from the Head Asst. Collector objecting to the form of roof proposed, and saying that it would be "unsightly" and "hot" and making also other proposals, which received the Collector's approval. Copy of this letter is attached.

6. My own opinion is that the proposed roof would not be "unsightly", but it certainly would be "hot", the roof being thin and composed to a great extent of iron and the walls being only 14' high, and this opinion is confirmed by a letter I received 2 days ago from the Resident Engineer, S. M. R. Guntakal in which he says that the roof is a hot one. I think, therefore, that the adoption of the roof would make the building a

uncomfortable residence during the hot season at any rate, and that it would be a great mistake to build it for the sake of a few hundred Rupees. I also think that the old cook house is much too far away from the new building and that the new cook house and godowns had better be adhered to.

7. I have, therefore, ordered the Executive Engineer to submit a revised Estimate for completing the building on the original lines with the exception of the fencing and trust that this course will meet with your approval.

8. The iron rails have been procured already, but can be used on an adjacent building now under construction.

9. With regard to the alteration of the verandah proposed by the Head Asst. Collector, the building has been constructed according to the Standard design, and is too far advanced now for any alteration to be made, but I quite agree that the verandah is too narrow and [illegible]

suggest that the Standard design be altered, for future buildings.

10. If the action I have taken is not approved, I beg that early orders may issue as the Executive Engineer is anxious to get on with the work.

I have the honourable
Sir,
Your most obdt. Servt.
H Beckwith
Superintending Engineer,
H.
31-1-76.
III Circle.

P. W. CURRENT No. 1212

From W. D'Le Norton Esqre
The Superintending Engineer,
IIIrd Circle,
Bellary.

To
The Chief Engineer
P.W. Deptt.
Madras

Encls 7 (2 Plans)
Desc: 6-2-96

Dated 3rd February 1896.
Received

Enclosures. 7

No. 3389

Subject.

No. 602 W dy 3-3-91 ×
" 2367 W " 13-9-93 ×
" 1266 C " 19-3-94 ×
" 2217 C " 16-6-94 ×
" 28465 " 8-11-94 ×

Residence for the Head Assistant Collector at Hospett.

Requesting instructions concerning the

P. W. Department

No. 646 W dy 21st February 1896.

Note for His Excellency the Governor

Head Asstt. Collector's Residence at Hospet.

With reference to His Excellency's note on the draft order, it is submitted that the cheap roof of corrugated iron & rails proposed by the Executive Engineer is not to be provided, but that a revised estimate based on the plan already sanctioned, is to be prepared. This estimate will be in excess of the limit allowed by the Code, & will have to be submitted to the Government of India for sanction.

Very well
W

Yes

The

2. The Suptg: Engineer reports that the work is too far advanced to admit of any alteration being made in the Verandah. With regard to altering the type design, it is suggested that the question be allowed to stand over until receipt of a reply from the Govt: of India to the general question of modifying the rules relating to the construction of residences. If the proposals of this Government are accepted, new type designs for the various classes of officers will be prepared.

17/2/96
W

Head Asstt Collector's Residence at Hospet.

Yes. I suppose nothing can be done until we hear from India.
W

and I hope it will be found possible to give our officers more comfortable buildings.
W
18 Feb

Cr

Copies 15.2.96

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

RO

No 646 W dy 21 February 1896

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

Letter from the Suptg Engineer III Circle No.3389 Dated 3rd Feb. 1896.

It is very absurd giving this official a bad house because the estimate must be skimped. If we cannot give him a decent house for the money which is within our power to sanction let him go up and get it. Give him a good verandah and a good roof - they are absolutely necessary in this climate. In this case they have gone too far to alter this I am afraid - but the C.E. should look up the standard plan - with reference to future houses - & submit proposals for revising it - if necessary in future cases
W

The action reported in para 7 of the Suptg Engineer's letter is approved. The revised estimate referred to should be submitted with the least possible delay.

W
13 Feb

12/2/96

S.E. III Circle-with 7 Enclos.

Copies 11.2.96

DESPATCHED
21 FEB. 96
(40—4,000—2-11-95.)

Cr

A.G.

Road and Cemetery
reserve at Horsfall -

No 646 Wg 21st Feby 1896.
Issued 50 on

INDEXED.

H.E. 12/2 R 17/2.