

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Madras, 11th Februry. 1896.

OFFICE NOTE.

From W

To C

Your O. N. No. 194 of 23.1.

In your capacity of independent Auditor I do not think you are entitled to call for copies of communications addressed by this Government to higher authority. If you think otherwise, please state the grounds and I shall lay the matter before Government for orders.

2. If, however, there is any further information which you think I can

of the Proceedings of this Government No. 114 Pension Dept., dated 10th February 1896, granting a retiring pension of Rs. 5000 per annum to Mr. J. W. Martin, Supt. Engineer 1st class, with effect from the 7th April 1896, I am directed to recommend that under article 714 (b) C.S. Regulations, the Government of India will be pleased to grant him, from the same date, an additional pension of Rs. 1000 per annum for three years approved service as Supt. Engineer.

2. A certificate by the Examiner P. W. Accounts showing Mr. Martin's title to the additional pension is enclosed.

I have &c.

DESPATCHED
21 FEB 96

17/2/96
W

INDEXED
2205h

Mr J. W. Martin's pension

1077W

No 634-35 W of 20th February 1896

ER
saw

C. 1080
15.2.96
H.E. 17/2. R.D. 19/2

afford you, please let
me know, and I shall
be glad to meet you
whenever so far as I am
able.

10/2/96

W

Curry
8256

N^o. 119 A of 13 July 1896

To W.

The communication in question
was asked for in order to complete the
records in my office, and to enable me
to reply to your enquiry whether further
reference to the Government of India was
necessary.

2. From the information I have at
present I consider a further reference
is necessary. The previous sanction by
the Government of India was accorded as
a special case and was for Rs. 275 only,
although Government had information that
Rs. 2270 was the estimated amount that
would be asked for. There is nothing

The records of a
Subordinate office
can be completed
without the sanction
of the Government
from the Secretary to
higher authority.

in the letter from the Government of
India to indicate that the prospective
expenditure for subsequent years was
approved, nor is there anything to show
what "maintenance" includes. It is not
unlikely that some of the trees may
fail. Are fresh ones to be planted in
place of failures as a proper charge
against "maintenance"?

3. As I have no information regarding
the condition under which sanction was
accorded, I thought it advisable to ob-
tain that information.

JCS

C. P. Singh

Which was called for was with
information, but a copy of a
letter addressed to the Secretary
by the Secretary

49 11 11 - 111111

49 11 11 - 111111

49 11 11 - 111111

49 11 11 - 111111

49 11 11 - 111111

49 11 11 - 111111

49 11 11 - 111111

49 11 11 - 111111

49 11 11 - 111111

49 11 11 - 111111

49 11 11 - 111111

49 11 11 - 111111

49 11 11 - 111111

49 11 11 - 111111

49 11 11 - 111111

49 11 11 - 111111

49 11 11 - 111111

49 11 11 - 111111

49 11 11 - 111111

49 11 11 - 111111

49 11 11 - 111111

49 11 11 - 111111

49 11 11 - 111111

49 11 11 - 111111

49 11 11 - 111111

49 11 11 - 111111

49 11 11 - 111111

49 11 11 - 111111

49 11 11 - 111111

No 637 W of 21 Feb 1896

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

Office Note from the Ex. P. W. Accts. (H.E. No. 14 A of 9 Jan 1896)

To — from the — do — (" " 194 A of 23 " ")

To — from the — do — (" " 119 A of 13 Feb. 1896)

No. 2923 W of 30-10-91

" 840 W. 28-3-93

" 1328 W. 17-5-93

" 1137 W. 8-5-94

" 1848 W. 24-7-94

" 1508 - 26-11-94 Pension

" 2966 W. 17-11-94

" 3176 W. 11-12-94

" 4089 C. 15-12-94

" 4209 C. 22-12-94

" 1789 W. 23-1-95

" 543 W. 8-3-95

" 2130 W. 26-8-95

" 3252 W. 19-12-95

" 279 C. 17-1-96

" 799 C. 11-2-96

DESPATCHED

21 FEB. 96

DESPATCHED

21 FEB. 96

Ordered that the Cont
of India be addressed.

(H.E. No. 638. W of 21 Feb 1896)

To The Secretary to the
Government of India,
Public Works Dept.

W
18 Feb

W Sir,
I am directed to invite attention to the correspondence quoted in the margin on the subject of expenditure in connection with planting and maintaining fruit trees in the compounds of certain public buildings occupied by Government officers in the Kistna District. The sanction of the Government of India

Letter to Cont of India No. 179 W of 23 Jan 1895.
" from — do — 63 B of 26 Feb.

was communicated to a sum
of Rs 275 on this account. This
included the cost of the trees
and ^{maintenance} ~~maintenance~~ for one year
only, as explained in Colonel
Pungnick's letter No 1796 dated
23 January 1895 in which it
was added that the expenditure
in future years will be confined
to maintenance charges only.
The Examiner Public Works Accounts
now objects to pass any expendi-
ture on maintenance in excess
of Rs 275 mentioned in your
letter No 63 B dated 26 July
1895 notwithstanding that it
has been pointed out to him
that the Government of India
were duly apprised of the
fact that it would be necessary
to maintain the trees after
they had been planted.


2. I am to inquire whether
each year's estimate for main-
tenance is to be submitted
for the separate sanction of the
Government of India, and if
not I am to request that
the Examiner be authorised

to admit such charges, ^{on this account} as
His Excellency the Governor in
Council may consider necessary.
3. The Examiner raises the
further question as to what
"maintenance" includes, and
adds: "It is not unlikely
that some of the trees might
fail. Are fresh ones to be
planted in place of failures,
as a proper charge against
maintenance?" His Excellency
the Governor in Council does
not consider it necessary
to enter into a discussion
on these points, unless the
Government of India wish
it.

I have &c,

[Signature]
17/2/96
[Signature]

CCS
15.2.96



~~48~~

+
Planting of Fruit trees
in certain compounds

971 w ✓

no 637-8 w ✓ 21 Feb 1881

B ✓

20/2

45 12/2 120: 19/2