THE MOUNT,
SHORTLANDS,
KENT.

Feb. 14. 96

My dear Campbell

I have written
friends regarding the
visit of the Prince of Wales;
the L.C. seems rather as if
Fort expected me to do it per
nothing else if I come out
of the matter; the two other
I have mentioned as I think
understand me, and I shall

I told Mr Mitchell some time ago I intended asking.

It is true that Mr Walch asked much less for the Godaveri & Kistna works, but I think we were wrong in doing so, for many reasons.

The I.C.E could see to give them a person on the project, and [illegible] would do so.

Can you let me have a statement of the actual expenditure up to the latest possible date showing Works, Establishment, and Tools and Plant separately, and if possible, the expenditure on the land Works and the Tubular works, and on the principal set Works of the Power, separately.

The Running Power could get it out without any trouble and with pleasure. Have it

(approximately at all events) if up to the end of February - The sooner you can let me have this the better, as the R.S. [illegible] in by the beginning of April - if it reaches me by the 6th of that month it will do -

I hope everything is going well with you -

Yours sincerely

[illegible]

E. I. U. S. Club
16 St James's Square
London S.W.
Feb 13 '96

Sir

With reference to G.O. No 2062 W. of 18th Jan. 1896 I beg to express my thanks for the order to send me a complete set of the photographs of the Periyar Project - I would suggest that the set should embrace some of the principal distribution works of which I believe few or no photographs have yet been taken -

With regard to the history of the Works I shall be glad to undertake it, but I should like to know what terms the Madras Govt would consider suitable.

I calculate that it will take me at least six months of fairly steady work, and for [illegible]

P. W. CURR[illegible] 2395

and think £200 would be [illegible]
large a remuneration; I should [illegible]
suggest to accept this amount,
the Journal to commence with all
expenses of publication and printing.

I have the honour to be
Sir
your most obedient servt

[signature]

Col. Ward
(Secretary)

The Joint Secretary Co-operative
A. W. S. Joint Bank

Augusta 9.3.56

1/95

Has no answer
been yet received
from Col. Ward to
Lieut. Col. Playfair.
I have to write to the
Secretary of Joint Stock

[signature]

16/3/96

W/

No reply has been received
yet

yet from C to Memo No. 1418C of 13.3.96 in which he was asked to quote the rule under which he considered a reference to India to be necessary with regard to the proposed payment of £200.

Rule 1673

C. A. W

237

This is most unsatisfactory + I consider how the matter can be dropped. Col. D's letter requires an answer. Will it be right for me to write a letter for orders to follow. For orders.

If it may decide that a history of the Darya Khan shall be written & it will be to your profession interest that Col. Ouseley's letter and I some answer be sent.

I suggest that he be informed that steps are being taken to have the history written & that I hope £100 will be the sum required for the history including cost of printing & publishing. That some letter to that effect be written.

W

77/ W/

W

She note will be quite in order. An answer of some

think much be cut. The only difficulty seems to be as to the amount of the fee. If the Govt. considers the sum named by Colonel Sevenyenick to be too high, they should say what they are prepared to give. It is for them to push with Colonel Sevenyenick either to accept or decline.

L.S.

27 March 96

Since note I have seen that I should first meet at the H.E. & Ulisi at the hotel.

27/3/96

I think that a telegram to the Govt. Agent is necessary. H.H.E. Note the sum to be named.

27/3/96

CR-2687

W

It seems impossible to make anything of C's answer. He seems disposed to force a reference to the Govt of India, and when asked to quote the rule on which his objection is based he actually refers to rules which have nothing whatever to do with the matter - Articles 88 to 91 referred to by him deal with additions to Salary of officers in the Service - while he is aware that Colonel Pennycuick has retired from the Service. The quotation is therefore quite irrelevant.

Circular No 3 d/22 Feb 96 x is equally inapplicable. It refers to "expenditure which under strict rules requires the sanction of the Govt of India" - As C quotes no rule under which such sanction is necessary in the present case, the Circular cannot be held to apply.

R. S.
17 Mar 96

x vide G.O. 886 W d/11 Mar 96

I think it very desirable that there should be a history of the Periyar Project works. Col Pennycuick having offered to write this history his offer was accepted with thanks as he was doubtless the best officer for the work as he had been in intimate connection with the project works anywhere at the commencement. He made little of difficulties in writing the history as he was acquainted with every detail.

The compilation of a report to a large extent of this nature should be a history of all engineering details giving all the difficulties met with and the

arrangements made for
rendering them, together
with all information
of professional interest
in the report in Persian
of the officer in charge of
the work [illegible] matter
of Party

This is a very delicate
matter for me to give
an opinion on. but I
cannot conscientiously say
that I consider the
history worth £200

I believe than any
officer who had the necessary
[illegible] at his disposal
could write the history
in less than 3 months

and it would of course be
better if some officer
acquainted with the
subject were called on to
do this duty and I feel

and all I can say is that
if written by Col. Pennycuick
the history may be worth
£200.

and is acquainted with the subject

x and if it be decided that
Col. Pennycuick's offer should
be accepted

In addition to his
ordinary duties.

sure that Mr de Wanton
is [illegible] to do the
work for Rs 1000.

I do not think that
we are called upon to [illegible]
I.C.S. Col. Pennycuick
[illegible]
officers [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] to the [illegible]
[illegible] the [illegible]
of the [illegible] any member of the department
[illegible]
[illegible]
in which [illegible]

[signature]
9/3/86

P. W. Department.

No. 1327 W of 27 April '96

Read the following:—

Letter from Colonel J. Pennycuick. R.E. C.S.I.
of London, 13 February 196:—

FOR ORDERS.

I suppose, if practical, this would be a Provincial Charge?
If so, can we sanction it without reference to higher authority?
It occurs to me that Col. P. is going to give the P.C.E. a lecture on the subject; which will virtually be a history of the whole project - and a copy of that lecture will really enable us to obtain all the information we require.
What does the C.E. say as to this - and as to the benefits wh. would accrue if we had the more largely detailed history Col. P. proposes to write - to the country at large - & to the profession! In short does he think the history will be worth £200?

Please return again with H.E.'s question answered 7/3/96 W

43—500—5-8-11. 6 Mar 7.

Submitted with reference to the question of Colonel Pennycuick's remuneration which he fixes at £200.

2. The information which he asks for will be obtained & supplied to him.

4/3/96
W

Chron
5.3.96

Cr. 2395.

FOR ORDERS.

It seems to me that £200 is a large amount to pay for this history, - all the details of which are, I presume, in our Public Works Office. It would be difficult to open Col. P. a banc account. Perhaps we might let the matter drop. Please see the Hon'ble Bliss and the Secretary.

F.S.I. 22.3.96

Sagenwith H.L.
WS
25/3/96

Col. Pennycuick's remuneration for writing the history of the Periyar Project

circ 5/2 R 9/6.

No. 1327 Ltr of 27 April 1896

Public Works Department.

Note.

With reference to His Excellency's note on the subject of Col. Pennycuick's remuneration for writing the History of the Periyar Project, I think it very desirable that there should be a History of the Periyar Project Works. Col. Pennycuick having offered to write that History his offer was accepted with thanks as he was doubtless the best Officer for the work, ~~as he had~~ having been intimately connected with the Project since its very commencement, and he would have little difficulty in writing the History as he was acquainted with every detail.

2. This is a very delicate matter for me to give an opinion on and all I can say is that if written by Col. Pennycuick the History might be worth £200. I believe that any Officer who had the records placed at his disposal and is acquainted with the works could write the History in less than 3 months, and if it be decided that Col. Pennycuick's offer should be refused, I feel sure that Mr. de Winton will

will be glad to do the work for Rs. 1,000 in addition to his ordinary duties.

3. I do not think that in one lecture before the I.C.E. Col. Pennycuick will be able to go into sufficient detail to make it of much use to the profession here. The general outlines of the Project are already known to nearly every member of the Department and that is probably all he will touch upon.

4. As regards the power of the Local Government to sanction the disbursement of the proposed fee, the question was referred to the Examiner, P.W. Accounts who thinks that "it would be advisable to send a copy of the Proceedings to the Government of India if not obtaining its concurrence in anticipation". I was doubtful as to the necessity of the proposed reference to the Government of India, and accordingly asked the Examiner to quote the rule under which it should be made. In reply the Examiner calls attention to Articles 88 to 91 of the C.S.R., and to P.W.D. Circular No.3 dated 22nd February 1896. None of these rules seem to me to apply to the case. The rules of the C.S.R. deal with additions to salary of officers

in

G.O.1009 I., dated 14th December 1894

Office Note No.757 D/E. dated 16th March 1896.

in the service, while the Circular refers to "expenditure which under strict rule requires the sanction of the Government of India".

5. In a similar case the Local Government sanctioned the payment to Mr. Walch of a sum of £50 plus Rs.300 for photograph plus Rs.12 a day travelling expenses for writing the History of the Godavari Irrigation Works. The only difference is that the payment now proposed is larger than the one previously made. The charge would fall against "Provincial Establishment, Contingencies", and there is nothing in the rules so far as I can see, which places a limit on such payments. Neither, as shown above, has the Examiner been able to quote any rule applicable to the case.

18/3/96

W.

Colonel Pennycuick
remarks for writing re
history of the Periyar Project

Sec Sanction Public C I E

P. W. Department.

No. 1327L. of 27th April '96.

Read the following:—

Letter from Colonel J. Pennycuick, R.E., C.S.I., dated London 13th Feb. 1896

FOR ORDERS.

I think this offer might be made.

ASH
15.4.96

I know of no rule requiring the
sanction of the Govt. of India for
this expenditure. I do not think
therefore that reference need be made.

A.S.H
15.4.96

20/4/96

It is very desirable that a -- history of the Periyar Works should be written as it will be of great professional interest. Colonel Pennycuick's letter requires an -- answer and I would suggest that he be informed with reference to para 2 of that letter that Government is willing to pay him £100 for the -- history excluding cost of printing and publishing. That sum seems to me a fair remuneration.

23/4/96

W.

43—500-6-3-94.

H.E. 20/3 R24/3
Sec 26/3 R23/3

~~To the Governor~~
~~The Secretary to Govt. P.W.D.~~

FOR ORDERS.

Colonel Pennycuick's remuneration for writing a history of the Periyar works.

No. 1327 W. of 27 April 1896

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

Letter from Colonel J. Pennycuick, R.E. C.S.I. dated 13th February 1896.

His Excellency the Governor in Council resolves to offer Colonel Pennycuick R.E. the sum of £100 for writing the history of the Periyar Project, the payment to be exclusive of the cost of printing and publishing. Colonel Pennycuick will be requested to state whether he accepts this offer.

To.
Col. J. Pennycuick R.E. C.S.I.
Ex-S.W. Circle
Chief Engr. for Periyar

3014
J 24/4
J 24/4

(40—4,000—2-11-95.)

22/4/96

Cross 22.4.96.

6.1.86 - 2064
9.12.85 - 3167
4.3.85 - 1129
7.3.85 - 526
-03.86 - 1338

Lo. 1327 w. of 27 April '76.