Public Works (1896) Department.

G.O., No. 11110

Dated 30-3-96

[Despatch Abstract.]

Royal Engineer Officers: Govt: of India letter on the subject of the conditions on which exchanges between continuous and non continuous service — are permitted, Comm'd to Royal Engineer Officers of the P.W.D.

[W. 28. 1,000—19-10-96.]

P.W.Department, Railway Branch.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of {1896} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated March, 30th.

Read. *Encl.*

G.O. No. 1111 W., 30th Mar. 1896.

MARCH.

Royal Engineer officers

Government of India letter on the subject of the conditions on which exchanges between continuous and non-continuous service — are permitted, communicated to Royal Engineer officers of the Public Works Department.

P.W. Department, Railway Branch.

No. 1111 W., 30TH MARCH 1896.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

READ—the following paper:—

From G. H. D. WALKER, Esq., Under Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department (General), to the Joint Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Works Department, dated Calcutta, the 16th March 1896, No. 677 G.

The following is forwarded to the Local Governments, Administrations and officers named on the margin, for communication to the Royal Engineer officers serving under them.

The Governments of Madras and Bombay, Public Works Department, General and Railway Branches.
The Governments of Bengal, the North-Western Provinces and Oudh and the Punjab.
The Chief Commissioners of the Central Provinces, Burma, Assam and Coorg.
The Resident at Hyderabad.
The Agents to the Governor-General for Rajputana, Central India and Baluchistan.
The Accountant-General, Public Works Department.
The Director-General of Railways.
The Consulting Engineers to the Government of India for Railways, Calcutta, Lucknow and Assam.

ENCLOSURE.

From the Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department, to the Assistant Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department, dated 6th March 1896, No. 572 G.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, dated 5th June last, asking for certain information regarding the conditions on which exchanges between continuous and non-continuous service Royal Engineer officers are permitted.

2. The questions raised by you are —

(i) whether a non-continuous service officer who has completed a tour of service, but who has continued to serve in India under the terms of section I of the Royal Warrant, dated 19th April 1890 (Royal Engineer Corps Memorandum No. 587, dated Horse Guards, War Office, 1st June 1890), can be treated as on the British establishment for purposes of exchange with an officer who has accepted permanent service;
(ii) whether, if so allowed to exchange, he forfeits any privilege leave earned before the date of exchange;
(iii) whether, while actually serving in India on exchange, he may still elect for continuous service in India, or whether such election would break the exchange; and
(iv) whether, if so permitted to elect, service on exchange from the date of election would count for furlough.

3. The reply to your first question is in the affirmative.

4. With reference to the second question, I am to say that privilege leave is not forfeited under the circumstances stated.

5. As to the third point, an officer cannot elect for continuous service during his exchange service. By his exchange he accepts for five years a certain position for which a non-continuous service officer only is eligible, and he must therefore remain in the position of a non-continuous service officer during the period of exchange. If the period during which the officer is eligible to elect for continuous service should expire during his exchange service, he may, however, declare for election within the period, the election to take effect on completion of the exchange service.

6. This being the case, the fourth question does not arise.

P.W. Department, Railway Branch.

ORDER—No. 1111 W., dated 30th March 1896.

Communicated to Royal Engineer Officers of the Public Works Department.

(True Extract.)

Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" the Consulting Engineer for Railways.
" Superintending Engineers.
" the Consulting Architect to Government.
" the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
" the Examiner, Railway Accounts.

Exd. Shaik Karim.
Spare Copies

3026

1-9-96 No 2560

No 1111 W dy 30 March 96.

2969 &
3026

Communicated

Royal Engr Officers of

the Dept

27/3/96

2/97

21 APR 96

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" the Consulting Engineer for Railways.
" Superintending Engineers.
" the Consulting Architect to Government.
" the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
" the Examiner, Railway Accounts.

P.W.Department, Railway Branch.

No. 677 G.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

GENERAL.

Calcutta, the 16th March 1896.

Conditions on which exchanges between continuous and non-continuous service Royal Engineer officers are permitted.

The following is forwarded to the Local Governments, Administrations and officers named on the margin, for communication to the Royal Engineer officers serving under them.

The Governments of Madras and Bombay, Public Works Department, General and Railway Branches.
The Governments of Bengal, the North-Western Provinces and Oudh, and the Punjab,
The Chief Commissioners of the Central Provinces, Burma, Assam, and Coorg.
The Resident at Hyderabad.
The Agents to the Governor General for Rajputana, Central India, and Baluchistan.
The Accountant General, Public Works Department.
The Director General of Railways.
The Consulting Engineers to the Government of India for Railways, Calcutta, Lucknow, and Assam.

By order,

Under Secy. to the Govt. of India.

No. 572 G., dated 6th March 1896.

From—The Government of India, P. W. Dept.,

To—Captain BONHAM-CARTER, R.E., Asst. Secy. to the Govt. of India, P. W. Dept.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, dated 5th June last, asking for certain information regarding the conditions on which exchanges between continuous and non-continuous service Royal Engineer officers are permitted.

2. The questions raised by you are :—

(i) whether a non-continuous service officer who has completed a tour of service, but who has continued to serve in India under the terms of section I of the Royal Warrant, dated 19th April 1890 (Royal Engineer Corps Memorandum No. 587, dated Horse Guards, War Office, 1st June 1890), can be treated as on the British establishment for purposes of exchange with an officer who has accepted permanent service ;

(ii) whether, if so allowed to exchange, he forfeits any privilege leave earned before the date of exchange ;

(iii) whether, while actually serving in India on exchange, he may still elect for continuous service in India, or whether such election would break the exchange ; and

(iv) whether, if so permitted to elect, service on exchange from the date of election would count for furlough.

3. The reply to your first question is in the affirmative.

4. With reference to the second question, I am to say that privilege leave is not forfeited under the circumstances stated.

5. As to the third point, an officer cannot elect for continuous service during his exchange service. By his exchange he accepts for five years a certain position for which a non-continuous service officer only is eligible, and he must therefore remain in the position of a non-continuous service officer during the period of exchange. If the period during which the officer is eligible to elect for continuous service should expire during his exchange service, he may, however, declare for election within the period, the election to take effect on completion of the exchange service.

6. This being the case, the fourth question does not arise.

G. I. C. P. O.—No. 1954 P. W. D.—17-3 96.—522—L. N.

To
The Secy to the Govt of Madras
P.W. Department

No. 677 G.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

GENERAL.

Calcutta, the 16th March 1896.

Conditions on which exchanges between continuous and non-continuous service Royal Engineer officers are permitted.

The following is forwarded to the Local Governments, Administrations and officers named on the margin, for communication to the Royal Engineer officers serving under them.

The Governments of Madras and Bombay, Public Works Department, General and Railway Branches.
The Governments of Bengal, the North-Western Provinces and Oudh, and the Punjab,
The Chief Commissioners of the Central Provinces, Burma, Assam, and Coorg.
The Resident at Hyderabad.
The Agents to the Governor General for Rajputana, Central India, and Baluchistan.
The Accountant General, Public Works Department.
The Director General of Railways.
The Consulting Engineers to the Government of India for Railways, Calcutta, Lucknow, and Assam.

By order,

Under Secy. to the Govt. of India.

No. 572 G., dated 6th March 1896.

From—The Government of India, P. W. Dept.,

To—Captain BONHAM-CARTER, R.E., Asst. Secy. to the Govt. of India, P. W. Dept.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, dated 5th June last, asking for certain information regarding the conditions on which exchanges between continuous and non-continuous service Royal Engineer officers are permitted.

2. The questions raised by you are :—

(i) whether a non-continuous service officer who has completed a tour of service, but who has continued to serve in India under the terms of section I of the Royal Warrant, dated 19th April 1890 (Royal Engineer Corps Memorandum No. 587, dated Horse Guards, War Office, 1st June 1890), can be treated as on the British establishment for purposes of exchange with an officer who has accepted permanent service ;

(ii) whether, if so allowed to exchange, he forfeits any privilege leave earned before the date of exchange ;

(iii) whether, while actually serving in India on exchange, he may still elect for continuous service in India, or whether such election would break the exchange ; and

(iv) whether, if so permitted to elect, service on exchange from the date of election would count for furlough.

3. The reply to your first question is in the affirmative.

4. With reference to the second question, I am to say that privilege leave is not forfeited under the circumstances stated.

5. As to the third point, an officer cannot elect for continuous service during his exchange service. By his exchange he accepts for five years a certain position for which a non-continuous service officer only is eligible, and he must therefore remain in the position of a non-continuous service officer during the period of exchange. If the period during which the officer is eligible to elect for continuous service should expire during his exchange service, he may, however, declare for election within the period, the election to take effect on completion of the exchange service.

6. This being the case, the fourth question does not arise.

G. I. C. P. O.—No. 1954 P. W. D.—17-3 96.—522—L. N.

To
The Joint Secy to the Govt of Madras
P.W. Department, Railway Branch

...H. CURRENT No. 2969

Ord: 4.3.96

Vide (90 2969
3026

1111