

N^o 945. W of 16 March 96. PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

From the Secretary to Govt., Punjab P.W.D. No 5898 d/31 December 1895
Endorsement in the Judicial Deptt. No 256 d/3rd February 1896
From the Secretary to Govt., Punjab P.W.D. No 5898 d/5th February 1896
Telegram to — do — No 11390 d/27th February 1896
Telegram from — do — d/29th February 1896
From the Suptg. Engineer, V Circle, No 76 a d/5th March 1896
Telegram to the Secretary to Govt., Punjab P.W.D. No 1324 c d/7th March 1896
Do from — do — No 70 d/9th March 1896.

The entertainment for a period of two months of a draftsman on Rs 30 per mensem in the South Arcot Division for copying the plans of the jail buildings at Suddalore, is sanctioned. The work must be completed within the least possible delay.

2. The charge will be debited to the Punjab Govt.



To the S.E. V Circle
Excar P.W. Accts
Secretary to Govt., Punjab P.W.D.

Cur
May

14/3/96
Cur
10.3.96.

Read: 10.3.96

7.3.96 R. 1324C
 3.3.96 .. 483C
 27.2.96 .. 439C
 4.2.96 .. 871C
 4.2.96 .. 285C
 20.4.95 .. 38D
 3.4.95 .. 420C
 26.3.95 .. 1281C
 25.3.95 .. 1259C

N^o 945. W of 16-3-96.

B. 612

212/3

GOVERNMENT OF THE PUNJAB.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPT.,
GENERAL BRANCH.

SERVICE MESSAGE.

FROM Lahore TO Madras
 FROM Punjab Works TO Works

Dated 9th March 1896.

To J. your telegram of seventh.
 Accept charge of Draftsman's pay
 two months Rupees sixty x

No. 1508

Dated Lahore, 9th March 1896.

Copy forwarded by post for information to the Secretary to
Government, Madras, P. W. Department.

V. Narayan

Asst. Secretary to Govt., Punjab,
P. W. D.

W. CURRENT NO. 2577

Dupl
Ow 2424/96

Dec 14. 3. 96

~~Handwritten~~

94 SW
16-3-96

8021

Government of Madras.
1895.

DISPOSAL.

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

No. 2237 dated 9 July 1895

CURRENT No. 5021.

From The L. & M. Department

Referred to the
Supty. Engineer II Circle
for remarks.

(Sd) J. P.
W.

ENCLOS 1

No. 885

Dated 28-6-95

Received 1-7-95

DESPATCHED
JUL 95

SUBJECT.

Transferring endorsement
ment of the Collector of
Kistna submitting copy
of letter from the Chairman
Municipal Council Masulipatam
regarding the
drainage of the town.

Letter from the Executive Engineer,
His High Eastern Division, to the
Superintending Engineer, Circle, No.
3944, dated 13th December, 1895.

With reference to your No. 894 Kt.,
dated 10th July, 1895, I have the honour
to state that I cannot reply to
this reference until I have inspec-
-ed the locality, which I have up
to date had no opportunity of doing.
2. I hope to do this in January and
will then reply to the reference.

No.

Forwarded to the Chief
Engineer, Public Works Department
with reference to Memo No. 2237 C,
dated 9th July, 1895.

W. B. Badley Major R.E.

Superintending Engineer
Circle

No. 2237 C of 9-7-95

1. Reminded 2. 9.95

2. do 4.12.95

Issued 20-12-95
W. B. Badley

11/7

W. CURRENT No.

From

The Supt Engineer

Quo Cielo

N. 2237 cal 9-7-95

To

The Chief Engineer

D. W. D.

Madrid

N. 2036 K. A.

Dated 20 Decr: 1895

Drainage of Masali:

patam - Forward

letter from the En Engineer

K. E. D. stating that

he would inspect the

locality reg. this - in

January 1896 and report

Account receipt

of fountain report

C. 1895

1/2 95

No. 936 cal 17 - February 1896

Memo.

With reference to endr.

from the Supt Engineer II

Cirle N. 2036 K. A. dated 20

December 1895, the undersigned

has the honor to inquire

whether anything further

has been done in regard

to the required report on

the drainage of Masalepatam.

15/2/96

C. 1895
14296

DESPATCHED

10 FEB 96

S. E. L. C. L.

Cu

No. 93 bca/17 Febury.

1896

19/2

No 384 P. W.


NOTES.

Reference to P. W. D. Code.

Chapter I, Para. 30.

1. All proposals for changes in the scales of permanent establishments must be accompanied by a statement in Part I of this form when the changes relate to the engineer or upper subordinate establishments and in other cases by a statement in Part II of this form.

2. The column headed "present scale" should invariably show the existing sanctioned scale (not the strength of the establishment as it actually stands at the time), and should be verified by the Examiner before the statements are forwarded to the sanctioning authority.



Recd
24/5/96

the Executive Engineer,
to the Supt Engineer,
9th February 1896 No. 5038.

No 894 K.S. & in Continuation
10.7.95
Office No 3994 & 11.12.95

the honor to state that
actual inspection of
question in January,
not able to complete
my having to return
meet you and the
Commissioner

it therefore, make a
port on the subject with
section and also some
levels and for the same
most reply to your No.
1095. All my establish
occupied and I have
like to take these levels
We are obliged, therefore,
obtain sanction for a
3 months for this purpose.

- a proposition statement
in form.

as I can see at present,
the method
the drainage will be
cut about 4 miles
the Dayyapona Kalva
into

NOTES.

Reference to P. W. D. Code.

Chapter I, Para. 30.

P. W. D. Form No. 250.

500-12-7-96.

MADRAS PRESIDENCY.

Part II.

Tabular Statement to accompany proposals
for changes in establishments.

1. All proposals for changes in the scales of permanent establishments must be accompanied by a statement in Part I of this form when the changes relate to the engineer or upper subordinate establishments and in other cases by a statement in Part II of this form.

2. The column headed "present scale" should invariably show the existing sanctioned scale (not the strength of the establishment as it actually stands at the time), and should be verified by the Examiner before the statements are forwarded to the sanctioning authority.



Dec. 1
24556/96

Letter from the Executive Engineer,
Sista Eastern D., to the Supt Engineer,
2nd Circle, 29.7.96. File No. 1096 N.O. 5038.

Ref. Ymct. No. 894 K.S. & Continuation
18.7.95
of this Office No. 3994 & 11.12.95.

I have the honor to state that
I made a partial inspection of
the area in question in January,
but I was not able to complete
it owing to my having to return
to Ellore to meet you and the
Sanitary Commissioner.

2. I cannot, therefore, make a
complete report on the subject without
further inspection and also some
preliminary levels and for the same
reason I cannot reply to your No.
894 of 18th July 1895. All my establish-
ment is fully occupied and I have
no one to depute to take these levels
and I shall be obliged, therefore,
if you will obtain sanction for a
Surveyor for 3 months for this purpose.

3. I enclose a proposition statement
in the usual form.

4. As far as I can see at present,
the only practicable method
of improving the drainage will be
to make a cut about 4 miles
long to divert the Dayyapond Kalua
into

into the Puligadda branch of the Kistna.

5. This would, however, involve the construction of a costly regulator to prevent Kistna flood water from backing up the drain when the river is high and of course at such times the land drainage would necessarily have to take its present course. As, however, the river floods do not usually come at times of heavy local rainfall this contingency would rarely happen.

6. Another objection to the diversion of the Dayyapondkalana into the Kistna would be the possible danger of deterioration of the Bmdar Bais which, ~~as~~ common with all other Indian bars, is I believe, largely dependent on the drainage water in heavy floods for keeping it open.

7. It is possible that some improvement can be effected by enlarging the existing drains but I doubt this, though I cannot give a definite opinion without some levels.

8. No complete report can be made as to the irrigation of the waste

waste lands in the neighbourhood of Bmdar until the drainage question is settled but as far as I can see, every thing points to the conclusion that it will pay Govt. to carry out the East bank Canal in order to irrigate from 20,000 to 30,000 ^{acres} of available land in the Mapally Lemindary and Bmdar Taluk without crossing the Puligadda Branch at all.

9. I am having further particulars collected on this subject and will then make a further report.

N^o 2594 KS
8.3.96

Encl 1
Proposition
Statement.

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer, P.W. Department for information in reference to his N^o 936 C d 14th Febry 1896.
2. The services of a Surveyor are required to enable the Civil Engineer to prepare a more complete report and a proposition Statement is sent herewith.

3. In the opinion of the undersigned it would be most unwise to divert any inland drainage from the Bmdar

Pondau Creek; the result would
certainly be to lower the depth on the
~~bar~~ which is now insufficient at
times.

H. Enlarging the existing drains
would not get over the difficulty
that owing to the position of the town
it is impossible for any drain to
act fully during high tides, and
the only remedy for floods in the
town is pumping, but the cost would
be prohibitive.

Wm Baddley

Major R.E.

Supergr: II Cui

Proposed

1/10/00

5/3

NT.

sch
896.

75

P.
1000

Bombay Creek; the result would

P. W. CURRENT No. 245

From

The Suptg. Engr
II Circle.

Encl 1
Dated: 10.3.96

To
The Chief Engr
O.W.D.
Madras.

No. 2594 K.S.
8.3.96

Encl 1

Drainage Scheme of
Masulipatam
town - I enclose
with remarks report
from K. E. D. Office
the — and request
attention to certain
particulars in its
execution.

No 946. W. of 16 March 96. PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

From the Suptg. Engineer, II Circle, No. 2594 K.S. of 8 March 1896.

Sanction is accorded
to the entertainment of the
undermentioned Survey
establishment in connection
with the drainage scheme
of the Masulipatam town:

- 1 Surveyor on Rs. 60 per mensem
for 3 months.
- 6 Lascars on Rs. 6 each per
mensem for 3 months.
2. The cost of flags, pegs
etc should be charged
to "Works" an estimate for
the same being sanc-
tioned by the Superintend-
ing Engineer.
3. The further report
promised