

Public Works (1896) Department.

G.O., No. 1448 W

DATED 7th May 1896

[Despatch Abstract.]

No 3 Veteran Barracks in the Chingleput fort.
The statement showing a monthly rental of
Rs 6 for —, approved.

THE

Chief Engineer
P. W. Department

8.

189 6

ENCLOSURES. /

No. 109 W.

No. 3 Veteran Barracks
in the Shingleport Fort.

Giving certain Explanations on the points noticed in paras 3 & 4 of the Ecclesiaries Note regarding the rent of

N. 2004 CA/21-4-96

1344 см/10-3-96

1099C 2/26-2-96

1937 Wd/15-7-95

3115 waf 14-11-92

P. W. CURRENT No. 384

End 3

Revs: ~~94~~ 28. 4. 56

No. 2004 ca 21 April 1896

To

2

For favour of
report whether the
statement is now in
order.

10/4/26

Curtis

10.4.56

No. 1172 $\frac{D}{A}$ dy 24. 4. 86

J. W.

The Statement is now in order.

DESPATCHED

22

22/4/50

St. Petersburg, no 1448 W d 7th May 1896

The statement

Use Statement


Showing a monthly rent of \$10.00
Showing a monthly rent of \$10.00

5-96. 6 per maximum is ap.
proved

88 T Circle

435 Exp. Div. acct. R 4/5 2.00
11/5

No. 109 W.



SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,
V CIRCLE, MADRAS,
Chepak, 8th April 1896.

From
Lieut. Colonel C. C. Rawdon R. E.
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

To
THE Chief Engineer P. W. Department
V CIRCLE,

SIR,

I have the honour to return corrected, with reference to paras 3 and 4 of the Examiner's note, the statement received with your No 1344 C of 10th March 1896.

Enclosures.

1 Chief Engineer
P. W. Department
1344 C of 10th March
1896 enclosing
Office Memo No.
620 of 74-3-96
with its enclosure.

2. The cost of the site has now been included.
3. The amount of Rs 40 was reported to be the cost of the annual repairs - but the Executive Engineer has since reported that it is the estimated cost of repairs.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedt. Servt:

C. C. Rawdon
17/4/96
Colonel R. E.
Suptg Engineer V Circle

Statement giving data for calculation of rent of buildings, V Circle, Chingleput District P. W. Department.

No	Name of Building	Official designation and salary of occupant	Whether permanent or temporary	Date of completion or purchase	Capital Cost			Actual average cost of repairing			Normal rate of rent on basis of repairing data	Rent to be charged	Remarks
					Cost of construction or purchase	Cost of site	Total	Cost of repairs	10% to estimate	Cost of repairs			
1	No 3 Veteran Barracks	Mrs. J. Mahony Sub Engineer 2 nd grade Salary Rs 300.		Before 7-9-88	800	50	850	40	4	44	10 + 13 or 10 + 14	18 19	20
							850	34		40	12 of 15	17	
							880	35		44	12 of 15	18	
							880	35		44	12 of 15	19	
							880	35		44	12 of 15	20	

C. C. Rawdon
21.2.96
Colonel R. E.
Suptg Engineer V Circle



238
93/100
100 + 370



No 65 W

Supg: Engineers Office, V Circle

Chepauk 21 February 1896
22

From

Lieut: Col. C. C. Rawson R.E.

Supg: Engineer V Circle

To

The Chief Engineer P.W. Department

Sir,

Enclosure

1 Statement

Under para 31 Chapter X Volume I of the Code, I have the honour to request that sanction may be accorded to Mr. Sub Engineer J. Mahony occupying No. 3 Veteran Barracks in the Chingleput Fort at a monthly rent of Rs 7, vide statement of data for calculating rents of buildings enclosed.

I have the honour to be

Sir

Your most obedt. Servt.

Ch. C. Rawson

21/2/96

Lt Col. R.E.

Supg Engineer V Circle

21.2.96

From
THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
V CIRCLE,

To
THE Chief Engineer
P.W. Department

Dated 21
Recd. 22 } February 1896.

ENCLOSURES. /

No. 65th

No. 3 Veteran Barracks,
in the Chingleput Fort—
Requests to accord sanction
to Mr. Mahony occupy-
ing — at a monthly
rent of Rs. 7—

P. W. CURRENT No. 1841

E. 42

22/2/96
3577
3578

Ends!

Ans: 24.2.96

No. 1099 C.d/26-February
1896

To
C
Govt. favour

of remarks.

Cum
SW.

24.2.96

M
M
27/2

KEEP-WITH

CURRENT No.

dated

189 .

GOVT.
OF MADRAS. }

1896.

E. No. 27.

1,000—9—12—95.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS,

Madras, 4 March . . 1896.

OFFICE NOTE No. 620 $\frac{8}{A}$

To

W.

Your O.N. No. 1099 C. dated 26th. Fe-
bruary 1896.

The data statement is herewith
returned with the following remarks:-

2. The building having been in ex-
istence prior to 7th. September 1888 (G. O
No. 3115 W. dated 14th. November 1892) no
addition to the capital cost should
have been made in column 7 as the re-
sult is not a reduction of rent previ-
ously paid for the occupation of the
building which in this case is Rs. 1-8-0

3. In reply to an enquiry made
by this office with reference to G. O.
No. 1737 W. dated 15th. July 1895, the Ex-
ecutive Engineer Chingleput Division
stated that there were no houses in his
division to which the rule embodied in
G. O. No. 42 dated 17th. May 1895 were ap-
plicable. It is not clear therefore why
the cost of site viz Rs. 59 vide G. O.
already quoted in the present case was
omitted in the calculation.

4. The Executive Engineer might be asked to explain how the actual average cost of repairs as entered in column I3 has been arrived at. If it only represents estimated cost it should have been entered in column I4.

gcg

C.

En. 1.

Ship

P. W. CURRENT NO. 2260

25/4/96
3978

Encls 2

Recd: 5.3.96



No 1344 C of 10th March 1896

Referred to the
Suptg. Engineer
Circle for explanation
on the points noticed
in paras 3 & 4 of the
Examiner's note.

J. H. R. Campbell Col. R.E.
Chief Engineer C.P.D.

Received
ED

8/3

11.3.96

Comm

6.3.96