Public Works (1896) Department.

G.O., No. 1425W

DATED 5th May 1896.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Report: Copy of a report by Mr. C. J. Rodgers, M.R.A.S., on the Sangla Tibba in the Gujranwala Dt., in connection with the question of quarrying that hill for the purpose of supplying ballast for certain railway received from the Punjab Govt., forwarded through the Public Dept. to the Supdt., Archaeological Survey for perusal and return.

[P. W.-185.
1,000—5-6-95.]

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

3805

Enclo 1

Recd: 22.4.56

B/K No 1425 W d/5th May 1856

The report will be forwarded, through the Public Dept, to the Supt. Archaeological Survey for perusal & return.

28/4/56

Copy 25.4.56.

DESPAT
6 MAY 96

201/5

Cy

Public Dept with 1 enclos.

CIRCULAR No. $\frac{2}{1911}$.

Government of the Punjab,
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

FROM

COLONEL G. F. L. MARSHALL, R. E.,
Secretary to Government, Punjab,
Public Works Department,

TO

The Secretary
Secretariat Library,
Fort St. George.

Dated LAHORE, *25th March* 1896.

SIR,

I HAVE the honor to forward for information 1 printed copy of a report by Mr. C. J. Rodgers, M. R. A. S., Hony. Numismatist to the Government of India, on the Sangla Tibba in the Gujranwala District, in connection with the question of quarrying that hill for the purpose of supplying ballast for the Wazirabad-Lyallpur Railway.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,
G. F. L. MARSHALL, COLONEL, R. E.,
Secretary to Government, Punjab,
Public Works Department.

Note.—Acknowledgments to be sent to
THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, PUNJAB,
Public Works Department, Lahore.

Lahore: The "News Press."

Heading. No. 1689/76 PW

R[illegible] PORT OFFICE,

Madras, 30th April 1896.

To

The Chief Engineer to Government
Public Works Department,
Chepauk

78

Sir,

I have the honor to enclose copy of a letter dated 20th April 1896, No. 443, from the Collector of South Canara and to enquire what arrangements it is now proposed to make with regard to providing a house for the Port Officer at Mangalore.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your obdt. Servant,

H. A. Street
Commander, R.I.M.
Presidency Port Officer

No. 4140

M 142 ~~W~~ of 5-5-96
58 W of 17-2-96
1305 W of 23-4-96
28 M of 22-1-96

Encl 1

Recd: 2.5.96

file complete 9-5-96

From

THE PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICER,

Madras,

To

The Chief Engineer to Government P.W.D.

Dated 30 } April 1896.
Received

No. 1689 / 76 P.W.

Enquiring what arrangements are proposed for providing a house for the Port Officer at Mangalore

0-L.S. 79 Recd 13/5/96.

No. 443 / Eng / 96

South Canara Collector's,
Mangalore 20th April 1896.

From

W. H. Welch Esqre,
Collector of South Canara;

To

The Presidency Port Officer,
Madras.

Sir,

The purchase of the Bungalow for the Port Officer at Mangalore sanctioned in G. O. No. 28 Marine, dated 22nd January 1896, has been stopped by a telegram from the Executive Engineer, West Coast Division, dated 31st ultimo, owing apparently to discovery of defects in the building. I have now the honor to recommend that if it is proposed to build or buy a residence for the Port officer this may be done soon, as the house now occupied by him will probably not be available after the present Port officer's departure on leave; it is likely that it will be occupied by another tenant and no other suitable house be obtainable. Such a difficulty may recur

4140

No. 448 of 1896
Dept.

South Canara Collector's Office,
Mangalore, 29th April 1896.

Thomas & Co

(Sd) F. Calderla

From

For Collector.

The Collector of South Canara;

To

(True copy)

The Presidency Port Officer.

W.B. Fernandez

Manager
Presidency Port Office

Sir,

The purchase of the Bungalow for the Port officer at Mangalore sanctioned in G.O. No. 28 Marine, dated 22nd January 1896, has been stopped by a telegram from the Executive Engineer, West Coast Division, dated 31st ultimo, owing apparently to discovery of defects in the building. I have now the honour to recommend that if it is proposed to build or buy a residence for the Port Officer this may be done soon, as the house now occupied by him will probably not be available after the present Port Officer's departure on leave; it is likely that it will be occupied by another tenant and no other suitable house be obtainable. Such a difficulty may recur

P.W.C.1
4140/96

No. 1540 W., 18TH MAY 1896.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

READ—again the following paper :—

G.O. No. 1426 W., dated 5th May 1896.

Read—the following letter from W. H. WELSH, Esq., Collector of South Canara, to the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, dated Mangalore, 30th March 1896, Ref. No. 670/Eng. :—

With reference to the correspondence *in re* residence for the Port officer at Mangalore, I have the honour to inform you that the Sub-divisional officer now recommends that acquisition be deferred, pending further inspection by the Executive Engineer on account of cracks in the walls of the house and in the northern revetment by which the basement is supported.

2. These cracks appeared four days before the 24th instant, on which day they were pointed out to Captain Simpson.

3. It has also been found that walls supposed by Mr. Vas to be laterite are only of mud. They are dividing walls, but they probably bear part of the weight of the roof. The Sub-divisional officer requested the Local Fund Engineer to accompany him when he inspected the building to-day.

No. 173 W.

Copy communicated to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, with reference to this office No. 393 W., dated 15th October 1895. Further question of purchase may be deferred till after the monsoon.

21st April 1896.

(Signed) J. C. LARMINIE,
Supg. Engineer, IV Circle.

Order—No. 1426 W., dated 5th May 1896.

Communicated to the Marine Department, with reference to G.O. No. 28, Marine, dated 22nd January 1896.

2. The suggestion in the Superintending Engineer's endorsement to defer purchase of the building is approved.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. McNEIL CAMPBELL, Colonel, R.E.,
Joint Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

1896

READ—also the following paper :—

From Commander H. A. STREET, R.I.M., Presidency Port Officer, Madras, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated 30th April 1896, No. 1689/76 P.W.

I have the honour to enclose copy of a letter, dated 20th April 1896, No. 443, from the Collector of South Canara and to enquire what arrangements it is now proposed to make with regard to providing a house for the Port officer at Mangalore.

ENCLOSURE.

From W. H. WELSH, Esq., Collector of South Canara, to the Presidency Port Officer, dated Mangalore, 20th April 1896, No. 443/Eng.

The purchase of the bungalow for the Port officer at Mangalore sanctioned in G.O. No. 28, Marine, dated 22nd January 1896, has been stopped by a telegram from the Executive Engineer, West Coast Division, dated 31st ultimo, owing apparently to discovery of defects in the building. I have now the honour to recommend that if it is proposed to build or buy a residence for the Port officer this may be done soon, as the house now occupied by him will probably not be available after the present Port officer's departure on leave; it is likely that it will be occupied by another tenant and no other suitable house be obtainable. Such a difficulty may recur.

ORDER—No. 1540 W., dated 18th May 1896.

Under the circumstances explained by the Collector of South Canara in the letter recorded above, plans and estimates for a residence for the Port officer, Mangalore, will be prepared as soon as possible, and be submitted for sanction through the Presidency Port Officer.

(True Extract.)

Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle.
" Marine Department.

Exd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.
Spare Copies

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of {1896} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated May, 18th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O. No. 1540 W., 18th May 1896.

MAY.

Residence for the Port officer, Mangalore

Directing that plans and estimates for a — be prepared as soon as possible and submitted for sanction through the Presidency Port Officer.

Ref: No. $\frac{670}{Eng}$ of 1896.

South Canara Collec
Mangalore, 30th Mar

From,
W. H. Welsh, Esq.,
Collector of South Canara,

To,
The Superintending Engineer,
4th Circle.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence in re residence for the Port officer at Mangalore, I have the honor to inform you that the Sub Divisional officer now recommen that acquisition be deferred pending furthe inspection by the Executive Engineer on accoun of cracks in the walls of the house and in the northern revetment by which the basement is supported.

2. These cracks appeared four days before the 24th instant on which day they were pointed out to Captain Simpson.

3. It has also been found that walls supposed by Mr Das to be laterite are only of mud. They are dividing walls but the probably bear part of the weight of th roof. The Sub Divisional officer reques

(40—4,000—2-11-95.)

Fund Engineer to accompany him inspected the building today.

I have &ca.,
(Sd) W. H. Welsh,
Collector.

No 173/W dated 21st April 1896.

Copy - Communicated to the Chief Engineer, P. W. D. with reference to this office No 393/W dated 15th October 1895. Further question of purchase may be deferred till after the monsoon.

J C
Supg. Engineer, 4th Circle
21/4

DEPARTMENT.

ENT.

ing

125- 0-4
(40-4,000-2-11-95.)

pay

The Supg. Engineer,
4th Circle.

Accd: 24.4.96

Dated 21st &
Despd 22nd April 1896.

No. 173/W.

B/ No. 1426 W d/5th May 1896

Communicated to the Marine Dept: with reference to G.O. No: 28 Marine D/22d Jany 1896.

The suggestion in the Suptg: Engrs: endt to defer purchase of the building is approved.

1/5/96

Residence for the Port Officer at Mangalore.

Communicating South Canara Collector's letter with remarks that the further question of purchase of the — may be deferred till after the monsoon.

O. 584 W d/17-2-96

Cws
1.5.96

DESPATCHED
6 MAY 96

Cy
Marine Dept:
S.E. IV circle.

WB

O.L.S.42.R 2/5

B/ No. 1428 W d/5th May 1896. PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

Letter from the Consulting Architect to Govt., No. 3948 d/15th Jan. 1896

Office Note from the Examiner, P.W. Accts., No. 257 D/A, dated 31st Jan. 1896

The Government of India will be addressed.

Consg. Architect to Govt.
Exar. P.W. Accts.

D[illegible]D
[illegible]Y 96

A.54
28.4.96

DESPATCHED
6 MAY 96

H.E. No. 1429 W., d/ 5th May '96

To

The Secretary to the
Government of India, P.W.D.

Sir,

I am directed to report that in carrying out general repairs to St. Matthias' Church, ~~Vepery~~ Madras, which is a Government Church in charge of the P.W. Department, the Consulting Architect's division executed the marginally noted items of work which ~~xx~~ were subsequently reported by the Examiner to be objectionable under Rule XXVII, section IV, Appendix K, Vol. I, P.W. Code. This Government thereupon declined to admit the charge into the public accounts, but the Chaplain has since reported his inability to re-

Repair & renewal of rattan floor mats.	Rs. 71-12-4
Petty repairs to punkahs	36- 4-0
Supplying cotton ropes for punkahs	10- 0-0
Repairing bamboo blinds.	7- 0-0
	125- 0-4

(40-4,000-5-11-95.)

pay

pay the amount, having no funds at his disposal for the purpose. The Church is not one primarily intended for the accommodation of troops, and the Examiner's objection is therefore correct under the rule above quoted, but no pew rents are charged, and it has been represented that the congregation is mainly a poor one, and that their contributions are barely sufficient to meet the current expenses of the Church. I am therefore to request the authority of the Government of India to pass the expenditure as a special case.

2. The Consulting Architect reports that the sub-divisional officer overlooked the rule when he included the items in the general repair estimate, and that the same oversight occurred when checking the estimate in the Consulting Architect's office, but as attention has now been drawn to the order, it is hoped that similar irregular expenditure will not be repeated.

I have &c.,

11/4/96

W.

DESPATCHED
6 MAY 96

C.W.S
10-4-96

Cr

B/ 25.1.96 No 472 C
6.12.95 - 3141 C
6.11.95 - 3338 C
31.10.95 - 2748 W

Objection under outlay in repairs to St Matthias' church, Vepery

---

Nos 1428 & 1429 W d/ 5th May 1896

B/

O.S. 1d.

P. W. CURRENT No. ~~10093~~

Government of Madras.
1895.

29 JAN 1896
W. A

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

~~CURRENT No.~~

From The Consulting Architect to Govt.

~~ENCLOS.~~

No. 3635
Dated 13.12.95
~~Received~~

SUBJECT.

St Mathia's church at Vepery:

Regarding Expenditure incurred in repairing—.

No 3338 C d/ 6-11-95
2748 W d/ 31-10-95

29-2,000-23-9-95.

DISPOSAL.

Recd: 16.12.95

No. dated

No. 46 C d/ 7 January 1896

C

In view ~~to this~~ of the matter being submitted for the orders of Govt. the Consg. Architect is requested to furnish a full explanation of the circumstances in which the work was carried out by the P.W. Dept from public funds in contravention of the rule in the P.W. Code

C.W.S. 13/12/95

DESPATCHED
8 JAN. 96

Cr
C.A. to Govt.
9/1/96

From the Offg. Chaplain
St. Matthias' Vepery

To The Consulting Architect to Government

CONSULTING ARCHITECT TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
R. No. 2745
12 DEC. 9

Enclosure

In returning herewith Enclosed Proceedings of Government, order No. 3141 W. of 6 Dec. 1895, undersigned reports that it is not in his power to comply with the request of the Consulting Architect in his Memo of 9th Inst.

The work referred to in Proceedings of Government was passed during the incumbency of the Rev. J. Black, and he if anyone would appear to be responsible for the expenditure.

C. Philip [illegible]
Chaplain

St. Matthias', Vepery
11 Dec. 1895

No. 3948.

Office of the Consulting Architect to Govt.
Chepauk, Madras
15th January 1896

JAN 1896

From
Henry Irwin, Esq., C.I.E.,
Consulting Architect
to the Government of Madras,

To
The Chief Engineer
Public Works Department

Sir,

Enclosures.

In reply to your Memo: No. 46 C dated the 7th Instant, I have the honor to state that the Sub Divisional Officer framed Estimate for general repairs to the Church communication with the Chaplain, was checked in my office and through inadvertancy it was not noticed that of the items were irregular & inadmiss After it was approved by the Archdeacon was sent to the Sub Divisional Off for execution and the work was carried The Sub Divisional Officer must have sight of the Code rule and my office as the objectionable items should have

Crong

brought to my notice before I signed the
estimate.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
Henry Irwin
Consulting Architect
to Government.

No 3635
Forwarded in original to the Ch: Engr. P.W.D. with reference to G.O. No 3141 W of 6th Dec. 95.

H. Irwin
Consulting Architect
to Govt.
Madras dated 13th Dec. 95.

29 JAN 1896

14/1/96

---

29 JAN 1896

P. W. CORRESP. No. 383

Rec: 16.1.96

From
THE CONSULTING ARCHITECT
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To
THE Chief Engineer
P.W.D.

No. 3948

Dated 15th
Despatched
Received
January 1896.

No. OF ENCLOSURES.

Subject.
Furnishing Explanation of the circumstances in which certain work was carried out by the P.W.D. from public funds at St: Mathias Church Vepery

Cws 100938 35

No. 472 Cd/28th January 1896

To
C.

For favour of opinion whether the expenditure may be accepted by Govt. incurred as a special case.

22/1/9

CWS
22/1/96

With Memo
46 C of 7.1.96
- the returned.

P. W. CURRENT No. 1119

No. 257 D/A d/ 31 January 96

To W.

The expenditure cannot be accepted by Government without reference to the Government of India - Appendix K, rule XXVII permits that Government bear a share of cost of renewals and repairs when, in churches not primarily intended for the accommodation of troops, a portion of the seats are actually reserved by Government for troops or other Government servants entitled to free seats. This is not the case at St. Matthias Church ,Vepery.

Encls 3

Accs: 4.2.96

C.

No. 1430 W d/ 5th May 1896

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

From the Consulting Architect No. 343 d/ 25th April 1896

In the circumstances explained, the Examiner is authorised to admit the outlay of Rs 3178 incurred in connection with "Minor additions &c to the General Hospital and connected buildings at Madras."

1/5/96

1.5.96

DESPATCHED 6 MAY 96

To

The C. A. to Govt.

" Exar P. W. Accts

(40—4,000—2-11-95.)

No. 1430 W d/ 5th May 1896.

B/

2045

CURRENT 3962

C. A./7. 200—6-5-95.

Recd: 27. 4. 96

From

THE CONSULTING ARCHITECT
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE Chief Engineer
P. W. D

No. 343

Dated 25th
Despatched } April 1896.
Received

NO. OF ENCLOSURES.

Subject.

Requesting to obtain the sanction of Govt: authorising the Examiner to pass the Excess over the minor works grant allotted for certain work at the General Hospital during 95-96.

No. 1954 W d/ 7-8-95

O.L.S. 142
R 4/5

the Sanction of Government authorising the Examiner to pass the above outlay.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

C. G. Lawson
23/4/96 Lieut Col. R.E.
in charge. Consulting Architect Dy.
Dy 24/4.

204

1. 500-15-12-94.

No. 343

Office of the Consulting Architect to Govt.,
Chepauk, Madras,
25 April 1896.

From
~~Henry Irwin, Esq., C.I.E.,~~
The Consulting Architect
to the Government of Madras,

To
The Chief Engineer
Public Works Department
Madras

Sir,

Enclosures.

With reference to G.O. No. 1954 W. dated 7th August 1895, I have the honor to bring to notice that the outlay during 1895-96 on 22 Estimates sanctioned by me in connection with "Minor "Additions &c to the General Hospital "and Connected Buildings" amounts in the aggregate to Rs 3178 which has been met from the Minor Works grant allotted to this Division, but as the outlay exceeds Rs 2500 it now becomes a major work and the grant should be provided by Government. The official year having expired and it is too late now to make any re-appropriation from "Minor" to "Major". I request you will obtain the

---

Ord

Disallowance on account of certain repair work done for St. Mathias' Church Vepery.

File submitted.

The later papers on the subject were sent to C on 28th Inst. with this office No. 472 C.

The disallowance is as follows.

In the estimate for annual repairs to the Church, the C.A. division included.

Repairs to rattan & mats for floors, & supply of new mat — Rs 71-12-4
Petty repairs to furniture — 36-4-–
Supplying cotton ropes — 10-–-–
Repairing bamboo tatties 7-–-–
Total Rs 125-4-4

Rule XXVII, Sec IV Appendix K, Vol I P.W.Code (Page 499) declares that the renewal & repair of church furniture must be paid for from pew rents or by the congregation, & the Sec. the expenditure

---

OS 100

H: 18

S.A.W.

This may be enclosed in the file which should now go forward.

7.4.96.

accordingly – In G.O. No. 3141W of 6.12.95. the Govt. disallowance was upheld.

The C.A. then called on the Chaplain to refund the amount, but he stated his inability to do so, as the Church funds at his disposal were ~~of~~ insufficient to meet ordinary demands. This paper was sent to the Govt. for opinion as to whether the Govt. could pass the expenditure as a special case, & his reply is awaited.

Ch
30/1/96

C
Will you kindly send an answer soon!

XXVII CA/83 30/1/96

Yes [illegible] 31.1.96

Hermitte CA/83 31.1.96

DW
[illegible]

(L 28036)

for orders whether the case may be referred to the Eccles. Dept., or whether you will write D.O. to the Archdeacon.

Ch
4/4/96.

A D.O. to the Archdeacon herewith. The matter may l.o. a day or two longer pending receipt of his reply.

CA/83
4.4.96

+ D.o. despatched
Rw 4/4/96.

L.O.
4/4/96

DW

Draft submitted.

The Archdeacon appears to be under a misapprehension.

Punkahs, mats & blinds are included under "furniture" in Rule XXIV of the Appendix (page 493) & the rule relating to the repair of furniture is XXVII (page 499).

Ch
10/4/96

(L.O. No: 35)

S.A.D.

The question is are punkahs & matting classed as articles of service or furniture? If so please quote rule

C.W.S.H

7.2.96

Dw

Please see Rule XXIV Section IV, appendix K, page 493, in which punkahs & mats are included in the list of furniture —

C.

7.2.96

Yes. L.O. till the Archdeacon writes again.

M.S.H

8.2.96

Dw

Nothing further has been heard from the Archdeacon

still

To have been placed with the papers

Filed

Ordered

W. [illegible]

Archdeacon's Office,
NUNGUMBAUKUM,
Madras, 8 April 1896.

No. 2657

My dear Mr. Butler,

Thanks for your letter. The two things that I understand the Examiner of Public Works objects to are the punkahs & matting being repaired for Vepery Church. Now so far as punkahs are concerned the Govt. of India has not sent to the Ecclesiastical Department any orders that punkahs are not to be repaired. Rule (new) XXI

[P. W. 25.
1,000—6-8-96.]

Archdeacon's Office,
NUNGUMBAUKUM,
Madras, 29 Jan 1896.

D.O.

My dear Barlow,

Mr. Dorlie tells me that the papers concerning a sum of money expended on St Matthias Vepery Church & which Govt. on an objection raised by the Examiner of Public Works accts, refuses to pass, are before you.

2. I believe it is supposed that Mr. Dorlie is to blame for including the items objected

---

does not touch punkahs which have never been looked upon as furniture, not practically although not theoretically as part of the fabric. & so far as any orders of the Govt. of India communicated to the Ecclesiastical Department are concerned civil Churches are still entitled to the repair of punkahs. With reference to matting it was never given for the comfort of the people, as it is expressly laid down it was only to be used for cemented floors i.e. for the is in the estimate; but if any body is to blame it is the countersigning officers who passed the estimates & the Consulting Architect & myself.

3. The question really is - Did the Govt. of India mean by the new order to exclude punkahs & matting for spread floors from being provided in Civil Churches by Govt.? Personally I do not think the Govt. of India did mean this.

4. I am looking into the matter & as it affects all Civil Churches in India - so far at least as punkahs are concerned it is very possible that I shall ask it may be referred for the decision of the Govt. of India.

5. ~~Ct.~~ Unless the Govt. are pressing - will you let the papers lie over till next week & I will then tell you what I propose to do.

Yrs truly
J. Weston Elves

protection of those floors which are part of the fabric of the Church. Even if punkahs & mattings are repaired by Govt. new rule XXI. falls more heavily on Churches & Parishes like Vepery. There are about 2 people attending Vepery who can afford to give much & how the Congregation is to keep up the repair & renewal of furniture I don't see –

For the Church & Cemetery the Chaplain receive only 14 rupees per mth – from Govt. – & the Parish has suffered much from late decisions of Govt. concerning

P. W. 25.
1,000-6-8-05.

the Cemetery – The Chaplains have as required by the rules paid in to Govt. All monies received from Cemetery fees &c. & in addition have paid to the municipality heavy taxes from the offertories of the poor people – I have obtained a reduction of the heavy municipal tax & asked Govt. to reimburse the Chaplains a portion of the money paid to the municipality out of the offertories of their poor Congregation – & Govt. has refused to reimburse them. Of course the money must have