

The Inspector General's proposals
his two letters read above are approved
and the Public Works Department will
be requested to have plans and estimates
for the work prepared in consultation with
the Superintendent of Police, Madras, and
to forward them to Government for
sanction.

/True Extract/

W. Francis

Ag. Under Secretary
for Chief Secretary

Public Works (1896) Department.

G.O., No. 842 W

DATED 7-3-96

[Despatch Abstract.]

Account of the Godavari Western Dy.

The Examiner's remarks on explanation
from S.E. I circle on certain points
noticed in the inspection report of the
—, approved and Countd. to S.E.
I circle.

To
The Inspector General of Police.
" Public Works Dept.

With reference to your No. 2183 G.D. of 20-12-95

I have the honor to state that para 7 of the Examiner's Office Note No 2365 C of 30-6-93 printed with G.O. No 1889 W of 21st July 1893 shows that for works costing over Rs 500 separate allocation sheets should be submitted with muster rolls in those cases where the Subheads are so numerous as not to allow of the allocation being recorded on the Muster roll itself -

2- This is the basis for the procedure followed in this Dⁿ, but I think that for masonry works above Rs 2,500 and for other works specially selected by the Executive Engineer labour should be carefully allocated in allocation sheets, the Sub-head on which a labourer is actually employed being shown thereon -

3. The remarks of the inspecting Accounts Officer appear to me sound and if Government approve of the suggestion allocation sheets will be introduced at once in the case of all masonry works costing over Rs 2,500 carried out by Departmental Agency as it is highly desirable to ascertain the actual cost of each description of work, so that it may be useful for the preparation of schedule of rates for the Division.

4. Your para 2. I have not hitherto claimed compensation for the loss of the cow which was my property but I now put in a claim for Rs 24 in the enclosed hand Receipt. Please let me know

the head to which it is chargeable -

(True Extract)

Mandwork
S. M.

Esq.

For Es Engineer in ch: 1st Circle -

No. 2482 G.D.



FROM

A. H. Garrett Esq.
Executive
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER in ch.

TO

FIRST CIRCLE,

THE CHIEF ENGINEER

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Waltair,
~~DOWLAISHAH~~, 1st February 1896.

SIR,

With reference to para 2 of G.O. No 2436 of dated the 3rd October 1895, I have the honor to report as follows:-

- 2 - (92) The Es Engineer states that the case referred to by Mr Howley was due to the fault of Sub Divisional clerks of the late Central Sub Division who were subsequently removed from the Sub Division & that the measurement books in the Division are as a rule well kept up and more attention is now being paid to the maintenance of the Registers.
3. (102) An extract from the Executive Engineer's letter No 5095 dated the 17th January 1896 is herewith enclosed with an expression of concurrence in the views expressed by the Es Engineer.
- 4 (112) The fencing was placed before the orders of Government prohibiting the use of barbed wire. The top strand only of the fence round the Officer's quarters compound & office compound is of barbed wire & the Es Engineer says that it will be removed. The cow-killed is the property

FROM *A. H. Garrett Esq*
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

FIRST CIRCLE,

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

DATED *1st*
DESPATCHED *6th* } February 1896.
RECEIVED

No. 2482 G.D.

ENCLOSURES *2*

SUBJECT.

Accounts of the Godavari
Western Division - Replying
to the remarks on the inspection
report of the Examiner on the -

3.10.95 N-24362

P. W. CURRENT No. *1336*

S. E. I. 300-4495
6

Op

Encls 2

Recd: 28-2-96

No. 816 C of 12-February 1896

To

C

for favour of

remuneration.

CHD

10.2.96

22.

1336
Recd: 20.2.96
N-842. W of 7 March/96

The Examiner's remarks
which are approved by
me, will be comm.
to the S. E. I. Encls.

DESPATCHED
7-MAR-96

2/3/96

CHD
2.3.96
S. E. I. Encls
296