1805

Govt. of 1896 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Tcw. 6967/04

27/2

Dated

Recd. Encl.

G.O. 26/2/1896 No. 700 W.

Travelling allowance

Financial Dept. referring
emarks the claim to
preferred on behalf
Sub Conductor Rule, Super-
isor on his transfer in June
ast from Coimbatore to North
rcot Dn., Comtd to S. E. IV
ircle & to other Supdg.
Engineers for the information
non gazetted Officers of the Deptt.

A 220/14

1-4

22/2

No. 700 W., 26TH FEBRUARY 1896.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

READ—the following paper :—

G.O. No. 107, Financial, dated 21st February 1896.

Read—Endorsement of the Public Works Department, dated 16th January 1896, No. 242 C.

ABSTRACT.—Forwarding an application from Sub-Conductor W. Rule, a supervisor in the Public Works Department, for travelling expenses, amounting to Rs. 39-6-0, incurred in June last, on transfer from Coimbatore to North Arcot division, with recommendation that the Government of India be moved to relax the rule in note 1 of article 1205 of the Civil Service Regulations.

Order—No. 107, Financial, dated 21st February 1896.

With reference paragraph 2 of the endorsement in the Public Works Department, it is observed that in paragraph 2 of Government of India, Finance and Commerce Department, letter No. 3160 P., dated 22nd June 1894, the opinion was expressed, in a case similar to that of Sub-Conductor Rule's, that it was not a case in which the Accountant-General should take any action in regard to the relaxation of the rule in note 1 to article 1205 of the Civil Service Regulations. Since then the Local Government has been expressly authorized by Government of India, Finance and Commerce Department, Resolution No. 5102 Ex., dated 25th October 1895, to grant exemptions in cases such as arise under the article in question. His Excellency the Governor in Council is, however, not disposed to admit ordinarily the plea of ignorance of the rules as a ground for exemption. In the present instance the Government is unable to do so. The claim made on behalf of Sub-Conductor Rule must therefore be rejected.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. W. PHILLIPS,
for Chief Secretary.

ORDER—No. 700 W., dated 26th February 1896.

Communicated to the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, with reference to his endorsement No. 407 W., dated 23rd October 1895; also to other Superintending Engineers for the information of all non-gazetted officers of the department.

(True Extract.)

Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle.
,, other Superintending Engineers.
,, the Consulting Architect to Government.
,, the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
Chief Engineer for Irrigation I. N. S.
Exd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of {1896} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated February, 26th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O. No. 700 W., 26th Feb. 1896.

FEBRUARY.

Travelling Allowance

Proceedings, Financial Department, rejecting, with remarks, the claim to certain — preferred on behalf of Sub-Conductor Rule, Supervisor, on his transfer in June last from Coimbatore to North Arcot division, communicated to Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, and to other Superintending Engineers for the information of non-gazetted officers of the department.

North Arcot DIVISION.

List of Disallowances from the Tra: allowance Bill for the month of June 1895.

No. of items.	Name and designation of Officer or subordinate.	Particular of items disallowed	Amount disallowed.			Reason for disallowance.	Explanation of Officers.	Remarks and final orders of Examiner.
1	2	3	4			5	6	7
			Rs.	A.	P.			
1	Sub Consr. W. Rule Supervisor	As claimed 13th June 1895 By rail Coimbatore to Arcot 4 second class fare Rs 30-8-– 3' third class -- 9-6– 12' June /95 Pollachi to Coimbatore 25 miles actual Ex-pense . . . . 12-– 13' Arcot to Ranipet 4 miles . . 2-– Cost of Camp Equi-page . . . . . 8– Rs 61-14– As passed double 2 class fare Coimbatore to Arcot 15-4-– mileage for 25 miles at 4 as: from Pollachi to Coimbatore . . 6-4– –1-0– for 4 miles Arcot to Ranipet at 4 a. – 1-– 22-8– Difference 39-6–	39	6		Disallowed the detailed statement required under C.S.R. article 1205 not having been submitted to, and approved by, the Exer Engineer Coimbatore Division before the journey was commenced vide also G.O. No. 1209 W. of 20th May 1895.		

No 1781 Sd
21-9-95

Copy. Forwarded to Sub Consr. W. Rule, Supervisor with a request to report the date on which he applied to the Executive Engineer, Coimbatore Division, for sanction.

(To be returned

By order
Superintendent Acct
for Exec Engineer

Examiner, P. W. Accounts,
Madras.

Executive Engineer,
N. A. Division.

Examiner, P. W. Accounts,
Madras.

3000—20-1-95.

NOTES.

Reference to P. W. D. Code.

Chapter XIV, Section (ii), paras. 32 and 34.

1. Disallowances from salary, travelling allowance and contingent bills of a division or office, will be neatly entered in this form by the Auditor and sent to the Deputy Examiner or Examiner for approval.

2. The reason for disallowance should be stated concisely and to the point, and should always give the ruling of the Public Works Code or Civil Service Regulations or Government orders under which the amounts claimed are objected to. All items provisionally passed, or items, the audit of which is deferred for the want of information, should be so mentioned, and each item of disallowance should be marked by a distinguishing number.

3. On the disallowance list being duly passed by the Examiner or Deputy, alterations made in the bills will be noted in red ink over the original entries in the register of salaries, travelling allowances, or contingencies, as the case may be, the number of the item in the list being quoted opposite to the item in the register in the column provided for the purpose in the different parts of the forms concerned.

4. After it has been entered briefly in the register the disallowance list will be forwarded to the office or division concerned for explanation.

5. All replies to disallowances must be written on this statement in the column provided for the purpose, and forwarded to the Examiner, who will return the statement to the drawer of the bill with his decision recorded on it. Further references, if necessary, and the Examiner's final decision, should also be entered briefly, under each item objected to, in the register.

SUPG. ENGINEER'S OFFICE, P.W.D.
No. 629 N.A
21 OCT. 95
Enclo: 1
FOURTH CIRCLE.

6
Dept.
Enclos. No. 1
To
115

P. W. D. Form No. 65

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT, MADRAS.

Division North Arcot

Month June 1895.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
Regd. No 1/2031 S.D
12 OCT 95
P.W.D.
NORTH ARCOT DIVISION

List of Disallowances from

Travelling Allowance Bill

P.W. Ext 2 ~~82 36/95~~
1805/5

No.

Dated the 3 Sept 1895.

Forwarded to the Executive Engr North Arcot Division

for necessary explanation and return.

No.

Dated the 189

Returned to the Examiner, P. W. Accounts, Madras, with necessary explanation.

No.

Dated the 189

Forwarded to the

for information.

No. A

From,
Sub Conductor W. Rule
Supervisor D.C.W.

To The Ex: Engineer
North Arcot Division.
Thro: The S. Dl. officer Ranipet
Dd. 1st October 1895.

Sir,

With reference to your No. 1781 S.D / 21.9.95 forwarding Extract from Disallowance List from the travelling allowance bill of the Division for the month of June 1895, (herewith returned), I beg to report for your information that I applied to the Ex. Engineer Coimbatore Division, for permission to incur the expenses authorized in para 1205 C. S. Regns: on transfer from the Coimbatore to the North Arcot Division, on the 19th June last.

I very much regret that I was ignorant of the order that it was absolutely imperative that sanction x should be obtained before leaving the Division; and thought I could only claim actual expenses after such expenses had been actually incurred. Rs 39-6-0 is, I respectfully beg to submit, a large amount to have to pay for such ignorance, especially when it is taken into consideration that I had two unsolicited transfers within one month, by the first of which — from Madura to Coimbatore — I am out of pocket to the amount of Rs ~~29-12-0~~ 52-8-0 [illegible]; and if I have to refund the amount under reference — Rs 39-6-0 it means a total loss of Rs ~~69-2-0~~ 91-14-0 [illegible] which in my circumstances I am quite unable to afford.

x It is not necessary it should be sanctioned before leaving. It is necessary that it should be applied for which was done

I therefore respectfully request you will kindly do your utmost to have this amount passed to me as a special case, and for this I shall be extremely thankful.

I have never seen G.O 1209 W of 20 May 1895 referred to and on enquiry in the S. Dl. office at Ranipet I was —

Has not been communicated to this office informed that this G.O has never been communicated to the subdivision.

In this connection I beg to be informed for future guidance how the days which may have to be spent awaiting sanction to incur charges authorized in para 1205 C.S. Regulations should be accounted for; as it may happen that a period of from 12 to 15 days will elapse from date of relief to when sanction is received.

I beg to remain
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
W. McRule
Sub Cond^r.
Supervisor D.C.W.

No 413 S.E.
19-10-95

Forwarded to Supg. Engr for favourable consideration of Sub. Condr Rule's claim. Unfortunately he does not appear in this case to have applied for sanction till after he started and the Exmr is technically right in disallowing the claim.

This fact does not however affect Mr. Rule's moral right to the allowance and I should be very glad to see him get it if Government have the power to grant it. The G.O. quoted by the Examiner has not been communicated to this office.

H. L. Clerk
Exe Executive Engr
19/10/95

Enclo 1

SUPG. ENGINEER'S OFFICE, P.W.D.
No. 629 N.A.
21 OCT. 95
Enclo: 1
FOURTH CIRCLE.

On the back

Ex no 1466 S
4/10/95

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
REG'D No. 2031 S.D.
12 OCT. 95
P.W.D.
NORTH ARCOT DIVISION.

No 3245

Forwarded
René de Chazal
4/10/95
Assistant Engineer

Enclo
1 dis list for June 95

OWS. 1
1805/56

700W

No. 407/W d/23-10-95

Submitted to the Chief Engineer P.W.D. with a request that he will be good enough to obtain orders of Government on the Subject.

W. Hammill
Supdg Engineer
4th Circle.

Enclo: 1
Disallowance list.

Financial Department.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following paper-

Print with enclosures
//P

Endorsement of the Public Works Department dated 16th January 1896 No 242 C, forwarding an application from Sub-Conductor W. Rule, a Supervisor in the Public Works Department for travelling expenses amounting to Rs.39-6-0 incurred in June last on transfer from Coimbatore to North Arcot Division with recommendation that the Government of India be moved to relax the rule in note 1 of article 1205 of the Civil Service Regulations.

Order No 107 dated 21st February 1896.

With reference paragraph 2 of the endorsement in the Public Works Department, it is observed that in paragraph 2 of Government of India, Finance and Commerce Department ~~G.O. No 504 Finl. /8-7-95~~} letter No 3160 P dated 22nd June 1894, the opinion was expressed, in a case similar to that of Sub-Conductor Rule's, that it was not a case in which the Accountant General should take any action in regard to the relaxation of the rule in note 1 to article 1205 of the Civil Service Regulations. Since then the local Government has been expressly authorised by Govern- ~~G.O. No 911 Finl. 4/21-11-95~~} -ment of India Finance and Commerce Department Resolution No 5102 Ex. dated 25th October 1895 to grant exemptions in cases such as arise under the article in question. His Excellency the Governor in Council is however not disposed to admit ordinarily the plea of ignorance of the rules as a ground for exemption. In the present instance the Government is unable to do so. The claim made on behalf of Sub-Conductor Rule

Rule must therefore be rejected.

(True Extract)

W W Phillips

Ag. Assistant Secretary,
for Chief Secretary.

To

The Public Works Department.
.. Accountant ~~Gen~~ General.

Cwd

[G./15]

Govt. of {1896} Madras.

To

The Public Works Dept

Proceedings, dated 21st February 1896
No. 107.

Subject.

Rejecting with remarks the claim to travelling allowance made on behalf of Sub Conductor Rule as Supervisor in the Public Works Dept on his transfer in June last from Coimbatore to North Arcot Division.

1,000—20-2-93.

P. W. CURRENT No. 1805

6.1.95 No 242 C
4.95 .. 2410 W
8.95 .. 667 D
26.7.95 .. 1555 W
20.5.95 .. 1209 W
9.12.90 .. 3358 W
Recd: 22.2.96

No 700 W of 26 Feby 1896

A/3 P

Communicated to the Supt Engr IV Circle with reference to his endorsement No 407 W of 23 Octr 1895; also to other Supt Engrs for the information of all non-gazetted officers of the Department

25/2/96

Ch 25-2-96

DESPATCHED
6 - MAR. 96

B
C.K.

Ch

S.E. IV circle
Other Supt Engrs
C.A to Govt
Exam P.W.a
Chief Engineer for Irrigation D.R.S

Communicated to the Supt Engr IV Circle with reference to his endorsement No 407 W of 23 Oct 1895; also to other Supt Engrs for the information of all non-gazetted officers of the Department

S.E. IV circle
Other Supt Engrs
C.A to Govt
Exam P.W.

1805

Govt. of (1896) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

7 C W No. 6967/ou

Dated 27/2

Recd. Encl.

G.O., 26/2/ 1896 No. 700 W.

Travelling allowance

Financial Dept. repeating
remarks the claim to
preferred on behalf
of Sub Conductor Rule, Super-
visor on his transfer in June
last from Coimbatore to North
Arcot Dn., Comm to S.E. IV
Circle & to other Sup. Eg.
Engineers for the information
of Non Gazetted Officers of the Dept.

X 220/14

2c 27/2