Public Works (1896) Department.

G.O., No. 640

Dated 9-1-96

[Despatch Abstract.]

Objectionable outlay: Govt of India letter requesting, with remarks, that steps be taken to regularize the —— in certain Provincial and Local Fund Works in Madras, Comm^d to Supt^g Engineers Concerned with remarks.

[P.W. 96 1,900 23-10-96]

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of {1896} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated January, 9th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O. No. 64 W., 9th Jan. 1896.

JANUARY.

Objectionable Outlay

Government of India letter requesting with remarks that steps be taken to regularise the — on certain Provincial and Local Funds works in Madras, communicated to Superintending Engineers concerned with remarks.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Account.

READ—the following papers :—

From N. F. MACKENZIE, Esq., Under Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department (Civil Works—Miscellaneous), to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Works Department, dated Calcutta, the 2nd December 1895, No. 488 M.

The Accountant-General, Public Works Department, having brought to the notice of the Government of India that at the end of June 1895 expenditure to the extent mentioned in the statement attached had been incurred without and in excess of estimates on certain Provincial, Incorporated Local and Excluded Local Funds works in Madras, I am directed to request that steps may be taken to regularise the outlay in question at an early date.

2. I am to observe that items 2, 3, 5 and 6 have been outstanding for a long period and that the Government of India consider it unsatisfactory that so large a sum as Rs. 1,03,439 should have been expended without estimate on a pier at Tuticorin, especially as the work had at the end of June 1895 been in hand for some sixteen months.

ENCLOSURE.

Civil Works in Madras.

STATEMENT showing the more serious irregularities outstanding at end of June 1895.

—	Month in which objectionable expenditure first appeared.	Expenditure without estimate.	Expenditure in excess of estimate.	
			Amount of estimate.	Excess expenditure.
Provincial Funds.		RS.	RS.	RS.
1. Manufacture of stone at Vadlanudi	January 1894 ..	4,352	..	..
2. Constructing Taluk cutcherry at Seethanapally ..	August 1891 ..	..	21,880	2,871
3. Constructing Collector's office at Calicut	July 1893 ..	..	1,94,615	12,133
4. Constructing a new residence for the Collector at Chatrapur	March 1894 ..	..	24,900	2,573
5. Constructing a road from Potinghi to Koraput ..	,, 1892 ..	..	2,80,205	28,051
Incorporated Local Funds.				
6. Gurumkondah-Galivedu road	September 1892 ..	1,198	..	..
Excluded Local Funds.				
7. Pier at Tuticorin	March 1894 ..	1,03,439	estimate under consideration.	

SIMLA,
30th October 1895.

(Signed) R. G. MACDONALD,
Accountant-General.

No. 64 W., 9TH JANUARY 1896.

Office-note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated 20th December 1895, No. 4504 D./A.

Estimate for item 6 has since been sanctioned by the Superintending Engineer. The other items still remain objectionable.

ORDER—No. 64 W., dated 9th January 1896.

The foregoing letter from the Government of India will be communicated to the Superintending Engineers concerned for report as to what steps have been taken to regularise the outlay on items 1 to 5.

2. No further delay should be allowed in remedying the irregularities in question.

(True Extract.)

Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To Superintending Engineers, I, II and IV Circles.
„ the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. Shaik Karim.
Spare Copies

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No 64 W/9 January 1896

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

Letter from the Govt. of India P.W.D. No 488 M dated 2 Dec 95.
Office Note from the Exam. P.W. Accts. No 4504 D/A dated 20 Dec 95.

The foregoing letter from the Government of India will be communicated to the Superintending Engineers concerned for report as to what steps have been taken to regularise the outlay on items 1 to 5.

2. No further delay should be allowed in remedying the irregularities in question.

CADS. 7.96

8/1/96

DESPATCHED 20 JAN 96

Suptg: Engrs: I, II & IV Circles.
The Exar: P.W. Accounts.

(40—4,000—2-11-95.)

Chs

Khaj

EXAMINER
No. 17 DEC 1895
A.G. ACCOUNTS

CIVIL WORKS IN MADRAS.

Statement showing the more serious irregularities outstanding at end of June 1895.

	Month in which objectionable expenditure first appeared.	Expenditure without estimate.	Expenditure in excess of estimate	
			Amount of Estimate	Excess expenditure.
Provincial Funds.		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1. Manufacture of stone at Vadlanudi----------	January 1894.	4,352.	—	—
2. Constructing Talug cutcherry at Seethanapally----------	August 1891.	"	21,880	2,871
3. Constructing Collector's office at Calicut-----	July 1893.	"	1,94,615	12,133
4. Constructing a new residence for the Collector at Chatrapur-	March 1894.	"	24,900	2,573
5. Constructing a road from Potinghi to Koraput.---	March 1892	"	2,80,205	28,051
Incorporated Local Funds.				
6. Gurumkondah Galivedu Road	September 1892	1,198	"	"
Excluded Local Funds.				
7. Pier at Tuticorin --------	March 1894	1,03,439	Estimate under consideration	

Simla,
The 30th October 1895.

(Sd) R.G.Macdonald.
Accountant General.

PWE 1
~~4990/95~~
16313

All communications should be addressed to the Secretary.

EXAMINER
17 DEC 1895
A.W. ACCOUNTS

No. 488 M.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

CIVIL WORKS.

Miscellaneous.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Public Works Departmrnt.

Calcutta, the 2nd December 1895.

Sir,

The Accountant General, Public Works Department, having brought to the notice of the Government of India that at the end of June 1895 expenditure to the extent mentioned in the statement attached had been incurred without and in excess of estimates on certain Provincial, Incorporated Local and Excluded Local Funds Works in Madras, I am directed to request that steps may be taken to regularise the outlay in question at an early date.

2. I am to observe that items 2,3,5 and 6 have been outstanding for a long period and that the Government of India consider it unsatisfactory that so large a sum as Rs 1,03,439/- should have been expended without estimate on a pier at Tuticoin, especially as the work had at the end of June 1895 been in hand for some 16 months.

Document accoppanying.

Statement referred to.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

N. F. Mackenzie

Under Secretary.

P. W. CURRENT No. ~~9790~~

10313

Endst

Ans: ~~9.12.95~~
21.12.95

No. 4043 Cd/16 - December 1895

To C

For favour of information whether any of the irregularities have since been remedied.

CAPS
13/12/95 DW

17/12

CAPS

No. 4504 D/A dy 20-12-95 -

To W.

Estimate for item 6 has since been sanctioned by the Supg. Engineer. The other items still remain objectionable.

DS

C.,

Govt. of {1895}

9931

Govt. of {1895} Madras.

ECCLESIASTICAL.

Issued

Recd. Encl.

G.O., No. 88, 23rd November 1895.

NOVEMBER.

Kurnool Cemetery.

Expressing the displeasure of Government with those concerned in the selection of a new site for the —, and directing the Collector of Kurnool to select another site.

No 65 W 99 dated January 18

Communicated to the Superintending Engineer 7th circle to whom the plans and estimates will be returned pending further ~~Consideration~~ orders as to the construction of a new Cemetery.

2 Mr. J. C. Johnston, the Executive Engineer, referred to in para 5 will refer to the latter portion of that paragraph.

(Signed) H.

Superintending Engineer 7th circle with 8 enclosures

J. C. Johnston Esqr.
Through the Superintending Engineer & circle

Educati

Govt. of {1895} Madras.

ECCLESIASTICAL.

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., No. 88, 23rd November 1895.

NOVEMBER.

Kurnool Cemetery.

Expressing the displeasure of Government with those concerned in the selection of a new site for the —, and directing the Collector of Kurnool to select another site.

9931

24. 6. 95 - No 264 C
27. 4. 94 - 1074 C
14. 1. 93 - 63 C

H.S.C

Encls: 8/2 plan

Recd: 12. 12. 95

No 65 Wy 9th January 96

Communicated to
the Secy Engr. III circle
to whom the plans and
estimates will be re-
turned pending further
orders as to the Cir-
cumstances of a new
Cemetery for J.C. Johnston
The Secy Engr. referred
to in para 5 will
reply to the latter portion
of that paragraph

Wks
17/12/95

Sd. III Circle
Sd. III with 3 enclosures
J.C. Johnston Esqr.
J.C. through the Sd. I Circle

INDEXED

W/12

No. 264-C.

Forwarded to the Ecclesiastical Department for the approval of Government with reference to G.O., No. 92, Ecclesiastical, dated 17th December 1892.

(Signed) J. PENNYCUICK, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government, P.W.D.

24*th January* 1895.

No. 165.

Forwarded to the Venerable the Archdeacon for countersignature of plans and estimates if approved.

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

30*th January* 1895.

From the Venerable W. WESTON ELWES, M.A., Archdeacon of Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 11th February 1895, No. 2025.

I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of your endorsement, No. 165, dated 30th January 1895, on current, Ecclesiastical Department, No. 25, and to return enclosed and countersigned plans and estimates, amounting to Rs. 3,392, for converting "the old burial-ground into a new cemetery and erecting a mortuary shed within its compound at Kurnool."

No. 312.

Referred to the Collector of Kurnool for remarks and for report whether the expenditure of so large a sum is absolutely necessary. The number of interments during each of the past three years should be stated.

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary

15*th February* 1895.

From A. C. TATE, Esq., Acting Collector of Kurnool, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Taggali, 10th May 1895, Dis. No. 838-Eng.

I have the honour to return your endorsement, dated 15th February 1895, No. 312, and its enclosures, on the subject of the cemetery at Kurnool and to state that I cannot recommend the expenditure of any more money on the present grave-yard.

2. The place appears to be quite unsuitable for use as a cemetery as it is a bed of hard slate covered by black-cotton soil to the depth of only two or three feet. I enclose for reference a plan showing numerous trial pits dug under the direction of the Executive Engineer. The greatest depth of soil is 3′ 9″ and the shal[illegible] Out of fourteen trial pits dug, only four show a depth of 3′.

3. Other objections to the site are mentioned in the District Judge's letter, dated 31st March 1895, of which I enclose a copy.

4. It is to be regretted that so much money has been already expended on this unsuitable site. A grave-yard is a necessity however, and I request that I may be permitted to select another site for one in a suitable place.

5. Regarding the estimate now returned, the Executive Engineer reports that it may be very largely reduced by substituting a small plain building costing Rs. 300 for the proposed mortuary chapel which he considers a great extravagance.

6. The number of interments of European and Eurasian Christians during the last three years, so far as I have been able to ascertain, are four in 1892, seven in 1893, and one in 1894.

Enclosure.

From the District Judge, Kurnool, to the Collector of Kurnool, dated 31st March 1895.

I have the honour to return herewith the papers connected with the new cemetery on the Nidzur road.

I think that the place chosen is obviously unfit for several reasons, and that no more money ought to be spent until it is clear that no better site can be found.

(*a*) The soil is less than a yard deep and rests on hard slate.

(*b*) It is below the level of the canal and surrounded by wet fields. It will therefore be miry for a great part of the year. The soil is black clay.

(*c*) It is out of the way and sure to be neglected Nobody goes along that road except ryots carting grain or dung. There is often an intolerable stench from the adjoining fields which are manured with town refuse. The cemetery ought to be at the side of a road frequently traversed by Europeans and Police constables.

No. 1106.

The site referred to by the Collector is apparently that sanctioned in G.O., No. 92, dated 17th December 1892; but it was understood from the Collector's No. 588 of the 7th March 1894, that that site had been abandoned and the acquisition of another site at a cost of Rs. 220 was sanctioned in G.O., No. 27, dated 4th April 1894. The Collector is requested to report exactly how matters stand and what expenditure has been incurred up to date. Nothing further should be done pending orders.

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

22*nd May* 1895.

To the Collector of Kurnool.

From A. C. TATE, Esq., Acting Collector of Kurnool, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated 15th June 1895, No. 1075.

With reference to memorandum, No. 1106, dated 22nd May 1895, I have the honour to state that the site referred to by me was the one referred to in G.O., No. 27, dated 4th April 1894, and not the one sanctioned in G.O., No. 92, dated 17th December 1892, as supposed by Government.

2. To a certain extent the two sites coincide, as both include the old graves in survey No. 212 covering an area of 19 cents. The inclusion of these graves in the new cemetery was considered desirable. The site first selected extended towards the north and east from the site of the old graves and lay in fields Nos. 211 and 212—*vide* paragraph 2 of Mr. Kough's letter, No. 5943, dated 19th August 1892, printed in G.O., No. 92, Ecclesiastical, dated 17th December 1892. The present site extends towards the south and east from the site of the old graves and lies wholly in field survey No. 212. The old graves are situated close to the boundary line between the Nos. 211 and 212.

3. A substantial stone wall has been built round the site, and a plain iron gateway has been provided. The Executive Engineer reports that the expenditure incurred up to date is Rs. 1,712–9–3 and has been informed that nothing further should be done pending orders.

No. 1559.

The information afforded by the Collector is insufficient to enable any one unacquainted with the locality to tell exactly how matters stand. The Collector is requested to submit full particulars regarding the various sites which have been referred to in the correspondence, accompanied by a plan showing their positions,

nature of limits, trial pits, whether walled in or not, and position of the proposed roads, mortuary chamber, &c. The different "fields" referred to by the Collector should be marked as also the wall and gateway recently constructed at a cost of Rs. 1,713.

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

6*th July* 1895.

To the Collector of Kurnool, with a sketch.

From C. M. MULLALY, Esq., Collector of Kurnool, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated 10th October 1895, Dis. No. 1881-Eng.

With reference to Government memorandum, No. 1559, dated 6th July 1895, regarding the new cemetery at Kurnool, I have the honour to submit herewith a plan showing clearly—

(1) the old grave-yard;

(2) the site first recommended by Mr. Kough and referred to in G.O., No. 92, Ecclesiastical, dated 17th December 1892; and

(3) the site subsequently chosen by the Executive Engineer in supersession of the first site and referred to in G.O., No. 27, Ecclesiastical, dated 4th April 1894.

2. No. 2 or the first site comprised the old grave-yard with an extension of 1·31 acres to the north and east of it, made up of 1·16 acres of field No. 212 and 15 cents of field No. 211. No. 3, which superseded No. 2, comprises the old grave-yard with an equal extension of 1·31 acres to the east and south, following entirely within field No. 212.

3. When the Revenue Inspector went to hand over site No. 2 to the Public Works Department in December 1893, it was found that the latter had already occupied No. 3, dug foundations and commenced to build walls. I then asked the Executive Engineer as to why he had already occupied without formal authority a site other than that sanctioned by Government, and he replied that the site generally was chosen by Mr. Kough, the details having been left to the Public Works Department sub-divisional officer and that the site chosen by the latter, *i.e.*, the very site sanctioned by Government in December 1892 or No. 2 having been unfit for a cemetery, he chose site No. 3 whose soil was described to be "at one end at least 4 feet deep." As there was some confusion as to the site, I inspected the spot in company with the Executive Engineer in the beginning of March 1894, but by that time the walls had been raised and the work almost completed. I accordingly approved of the Executive Engineer's action and recommended the formal acquisition of site No. 3 in my letter disposed of in G.O., No. 27, dated 4th April 1894. A copy of Mr. Johnston's letter on the subject, No. 814 M.,* dated 15th February 1894, is enclosed for information.

* Printed at page 1.

4. No. 2 is indicated on the plan by red lines, and No. 3 by firm. Seven trial pits is No. 3 on which Rs. 1,712–9–3 have been expended in [illegible] and which has been condemned shown in the accompanying sketch have now been [illegible] by Mr. Thorburn and Mr. Tate [illegible] letter, Dis. No. 838-Eng., of 1895, dated 10th May 1895, read in Government memorandum, No. 1106, dated 22nd May 1895. Its extent is just the same as that of No. 2, but an additional piece of 14 cents of land has been taken up for constructing a road leading to the cemetery from the nearest adjoining road, the Kurnool-Nidzur famine road.

Order—No. 88, Ecclesiastical, dated 23rd November 1895.

The Government is constrained to remark that the way in which this matter has been dealt with has been very discreditable to those concerned.

2. It appears from the correspondence that Mr. Kough, the then Collector of Kurnool, chose a site for a cemetery in 1890 which was approved by Government, and that the Executive Engineer thought that, although Mr. Kough's general proposals as to the position of the cemetery had been sanctioned, it was left to him to carry out the details, and finding that the portion of land indicated by Mr. Kough was unsuitable, he selected another site and proceeded to enclose it by a wall without further reference to higher authority.

3. It seems clear that the Executive Engineer was aware that a certain depth of soil was necessary, for he remarked that at least 4 feet was obtainable at one end of the new site. It seems further evident that he did not at the time test the depth in other parts, and apparently he completely overlooked this *sine quâ non* afterwards, for the trial pits which have since been sunk show depths varying from $3\frac{3}{4}$ to $1\frac{1}{4}$ feet over a great portion of the site.

4. It is well known that in the Kurnool district rock closely underlies the surface soil in many places, and it is inconceivable, in the absence of any explanation, how the want of depth which existed escaped notice at the time when the foundations for the wall were being excavated. Besides this cardinal defect the site was unsuitable in other respects as pointed out by Mr. Thorburn. Nevertheless the Executive Engineer has already spent Rs. 1,700 on the site and has submitted an estimate for a further expenditure of Rs. 1,692, which, it is observed, has been approved and countersigned by the Venerable the Archdeacon. Finally, after completion of the wall, Mr. Mullaly, the present Collector, "approved" of the cemetery without, it is evident, investigating its suitability.

5. This negligence on Mr. Mullaly's part is viewed by Government with dissatisfaction. He will select a suitable site for a cemetery without delay. The Executive Engineer will be called upon, in the Public Works Department, to show cause why he should not make good the public funds which have been unnecessarily expended owing to his want of due care.

(True Extract.)

W Francis
Jr Chief Secretary.

To the Collector of Kurnool.
„ the Revenue Department.
„ the Venerable the Archdeacon.
„ the Public Works Department, with plans and estimate.
„ C. M. Mullaly, Esq., I.C.S.

Exd. S. J. Pereira.

Govt. of {1895} Madras.

ECCLESIASTICAL.

Issued

Recd. Encl.

G.O., No. 88, 23rd November 1895.

NOVEMBER.

Kurnool Cemetery.

Expressing the displeasure of Government with those concerned in the selection of a new site for the —, and directing the Collector of Kurnool to select another site.

9931

24. a E5. Nº 264 C.
27.4.94 - 1074 S
14.1.93 - 63 S

Recd: 12.12.95

Nº 65 Wg 9th January 96

Communicated to
the Educl Dept. III circle
to whom the plans and
estimates will be re-
turned pending further
orders as to the con-
struction of a new
cemetery.
Sd J.C. Johnston
2. The Ex Engr. referred
to in para 5 will
reply to the latter portion
of that paragraph

Ch W
14/12/95

Sd. II circle

Sd. III
with 8 enclosures +

J.C. Johnston Esqr.
P.C.
through the Sd. I circle

INDEXED

W 11/1

Govt. of {1895} Madras.

17.12.95 Nº 6061 C

Educational Depa

the old military graves within the new cemetery, but his choice of land ran north and east and included a swamp with no greater depth of soil than a foot or so. On my inspection, still including the old graves, I chose land lying south and east with soil at one end at least four feet deep.

2. The land, as now built on, was handed over to this department by a Revenue Inspector as reported by the sub-divisional officer in his No. 187, dated 6th December 1893.

Order—No. 27, Ecclesiastical, dated 4th April 1894.

The notification, as amended by Government, will be published in the *Fort St. George Gazette*.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Collector of Kurnool.
" Superintendent, Government Press.
" Venerable the Archdeacon.
" Public Works Department.

Read—also the following papers:—

From Lieutenant-Colonel C. C. RAWSON, R.E., Superintending Engineer, III Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Bellary, 15th December 1894, No. 2241.

I have the honour to forward a supplemental estimate for Rs. 3,392 for converting the old burial ground to the west of Kurnool into a cemetery with mortuary shed, &c.

2. The original estimate, which was sanctioned in G.O., No. 63 W., dated 14th January 1893, amounted to Rs. 1,700; this estimate merely provided for a wall round the cemetery with a gateway; this work has been completed at a cost of Rs. 1,578.

3. The supplemental estimate, in addition to the work already executed, provides for—

(*a*) Mortuary shed.
(*b*) Roadway from the Nidzur road to the cemetery gate.
(*c*) Pathways in the cemetery itself.

4. All these works are, I consider, absolutely necessary. I inspected the cemetery when I was in Kurnool a short time ago in company with the Rev. A. Vickers, the clergyman who usually conducts the service there, and the Executive Engineer.

5. On the day of our inspection it was almost impossible to walk from the road to the cemetery gate, the way being through cultivation flooded with water from an adjacent irrigation channel. A road to the gate must be made.

6. The whole of the cemetery is in low swampy ground and must be laid out with paths. If this be not done, funerals in the wet weather must be conducted with the utmost discomfort to those concerned and with a complete want of proper decorum.

7. The cemetery is situated at a considerable distance from Kurnool and a mortuary chamber of some sort is required especially during the rains.

8. I believe that there is no space whatever available in the old Kurnool cemetery, and this being the case, I request that sanction may be given as soon as possible to the estimate sent herewith. Should a funeral have to take place in the new cemetery as it is at present, it could only be conducted with great difficulty and in a way which must cause needless pain to those attending it.

No. 88, Ecclesiastical, 23rd November 1895.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

ECCLESIASTICAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—again the following papers :—

G.O., No. 92, Ecclesiastical, dated 17th December 1892.

Abstract.—Sanctioning, with remarks, the proposals of the Collector of Kurnool in connection with the conversion of the old burial-ground to the west of Kurnool into a new cemetery.

G.O., No. 27, Ecclesiastical, dated 4th April 1894.

Read—the following paper :—

From C. M. MULLALY, Esq., Acting Collector of Kurnool, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Kurnool, 7th March 1894, Cur. No. 588-Eng.

In G.O., No. 92, Ecclesiastical, dated 17th December 1892, Government sanctioned Mr. Kough's proposals for extending the old burial-ground to the west of Kurnool and converting it into a new cemetery.

2. The Executive Engineer reports in his letter, No. 814 M., dated 15th February 1894 (copy enclosed) that, on personal inspection, he found that the extension in the direction proposed by Mr. Kough in his letter, No. 5943, dated 19th August 1892, embodied in the Government order quoted above was quite inadvisable on account of the shallow nature of the soil and therefore he selected land better suited for the purpose in a different direction but still adjoining the old burial-ground. I inspected the spot myself and fully approve of what he has done.

3. The cemetery, as now extended, comprises the same extent of $1\frac{1}{2}$ acres originally proposed, but an additional piece of 14 acres of land had to be taken up for the construction of a branch road to lead to the cemetery.

4. I enclose a draft declaration for the acquisition under the Act of the 1·45 acres of land (excluding the site of the old burial-ground measuring 19 cents) for approval and notification in the *Fort St. George Gazette*.

5. This extent of 1·45 acres belongs to two persons both of whom agree to part with their land for money compensation, but refuse to accept land in exchange out of field No. 814, Kallur village, referred to in paragraph 3 of Mr. Kough's letter quoted above. The owners demand compensation at the rate of Rs. 250 an acre, but the Tahsildar reports that Rs. 220 is a fair compensation for the whole extent taken up.

When the compensation is settled, the amount will be reported to Government for sanction.

Enclosure.

From J. C. JOHNSTON, Esq., Executive Engineer, Kurnool Division, to the Collector of Kurnool, dated 15th February 1894, No. 814-M.

In reply to your No. 36-Eng., dated 1st February 1894, I have the honour to report that the site generally for the new burial-ground in Kurnool was first chosen by your predecessor Mr. Kough. The details were left to the sub-divisional officer, who applied for a piece of ground which I discovered afterwards was quite unfit for a cemetery. The sub-divisional officer included

P. W. CURRENT No. 5

Govt. of {1895} Madras.

17.12.95 № 6061 C
5.12.95 : 3856 C

Educational Department.

Recd: 2.1.96

To

The Public Works Dept

№ 67 Wks 9th January 1896.

Communicated to the Supdg Engr. II circle with reference to his letter No. 1942 dt. 10 Dec. 1895

Proceedings, dated 21st December 1895.
No. 2755

Copy
3/1/96

Sanctioning the exemption from the Examination Rules of V. Subbia Rao from the 12th November 1895, to the 12th February 1896.

DESPATCHED
9 JAN. 96

Cir
S.E. II circle
S.E. II circle D.W.a
Supdg Engr

INDEXED

[E / 4]

500—25-1-95.

Educational Department.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT, MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following paper:—

From the *Public Works Department, dated 17th December 1895, No. 4061 C.*

ORDER dated the *21st December* 1895 R No. *295.*

The exemption from the Examination Rules of *V. Subba Rao Temporary Clerk, Public Works Department* from the *12th November* 1895 to the *12th February* 1896 is sanctioned.

(True Extract.)

W. D. Sulivan

Ag. Under Secretary
for *Chief Secretary.*

To

The *Public Works Department.*

AWR

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

ECCLESIASTICAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following papers:—

G.O., No. 92, Ecclesiastical, dated 17th December 1892.

ABSTRACT.—Sanctioning, with remarks, the proposals of the Collector of Kurnool in connection with the conversion of the old burial-ground to the west of Kurnool into a new cemetery.

G.O., No. 27, Ecclesiastical, dated 4th April 1894.

Read—the following paper:—

From C. M. MULLALY, Esq., Acting Collector of Kurnool, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Kurnool, 7th March 1894, Cur. No. 588-Eng.

In G.O., No. 92, Ecclesiastical, dated 17th December 1892, Government sanctioned Mr. Kough's proposals for extending the old burial-ground to the west of Kurnool and converting it into a new cemetery.

2. The Executive Engineer reports in his letter, No. 814 M., dated 15th February 1894 (copy enclosed) that, on personal inspection, he found that the extension in the direction proposed by Mr. Kough in his letter, No. 5943, dated 19th August 1892, embodied in the Government order quoted above was quite inadvisable on account of the shallow nature of the soil and therefore he selected land better suited for the purpose in a different direction but still adjoining the old burial-ground. I inspected the spot myself and fully approve of what he has done.

3. The cemetery, as now extended, comprises the same extent of $1\frac{1}{2}$ acres originally proposed, but an additional piece of 14 acres of land had to be taken up for the construction of a branch road to lead to the cemetery.

4. I enclose a draft declaration for the acquisition under the Act of the 1·45 acres of land (excluding the site of the old burial-ground measuring 19 cents) for approval and notification in the *Fort St. George Gazette*.

5. This extent of 1·45 acres belongs to two persons both of whom agree to part with their land for money compensation, but refuse to accept land in exchange out of field No. 814, Kallur village, referred to in paragraph 3 of Mr. Kough's letter quoted above. The owners demand compensation at the rate of Rs. 250 an acre, but the Tahsildar reports that Rs. 220 is a fair compensation for the whole extent taken up.

When the compensation is settled, the amount will be reported to Government for sanction.

ENCLOSURE.

From J. C. JOHNSTON, Esq., Executive Engineer, Kurnool Division, to the Collector of Kurnool, dated 15th February 1894, No. 814-M.

In reply to your No. 36-Eng., dated 1st February 1894, I have the honour to report that the site generally for the new burial-ground in Kurnool was first chosen by your predecessor Mr. Kough. The details were left to the sub-divisional officer, who applied for a piece of ground which I discovered afterwards was quite unfit for a cemetery. The sub-divisional officer included

the old military graves within the new cemetery, but his choice of land ran north and east and included a swamp with no greater depth of soil than a foot or so. On my inspection, still including the old graves, I chose land lying south and east with soil at one end at least four feet deep.

2. The land, as now built on, was handed over to this department by a Revenue Inspector as reported by the sub-divisional officer in his No. 187, dated 6th December 1893.

Order—No. 27, Ecclesiastical, dated 4th April 1894.

The notification, as amended by Government, will be published in the *Fort St. George Gazette.*

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Collector of Kurnool.
" Superintendent, Government Press.
" Venerable the Archdeacon.
" Public Works Department.

Read—also the following papers:—

From Lieutenant-Colonel C. C. RAWSON, R.E., Superintending Engineer, III Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Bellary, 15th December 1894, No. 2241.

I have the honour to forward a supplemental estimate for Rs. 3,392 for converting the old burial ground to the west of Kurnool into a cemetery with mortuary shed, &c.

2. The original estimate, which was sanctioned in G.O., No. 63 W., dated 14th January 1893, amounted to Rs. 1,700; this estimate merely provided for a wall round the cemetery with a gateway; this work has been completed at a cost of Rs. 1,578.

3. The supplemental estimate, in addition to the work already executed, provides for—

(*a*) Mortuary shed.
(*b*) Roadway from the Nidzur road to the cemetery gate.
(*c*) Pathways in the cemetery itself.

4. All these works are, I consider, absolutely necessary. I inspected the cemetery when I was in Kurnool a short time ago in company with the Rev. A. Vickers, the clergyman who usually conducts the service there, and the Executive Engineer.

5. On the day of our inspection it was almost impossible to walk from the road to the cemetery gate, the way being through cultivation flooded with water from an adjacent irrigation channel. A road to the gate must be made.

6. The whole of the cemetery is in low swampy ground and must be laid out with paths. If this be not done, funerals in the wet weather must be conducted with the utmost discomfort to those concerned and with a complete want of proper decorum.

7. The cemetery is situated at a considerable distance from Kurnool and a mortuary chamber of some sort is required especially during the rains.

8. I believe that there is no space whatever available in the old Kurnool cemetery, and this being the case, I request that sanction may be given as soon as possible to the estimate sent herewith. Should a funeral have to take place in the new cemetery as it is at present, it could only be conducted with great difficulty and in a way which must cause needless pain to those attending it.

No. 264-C.

Forwarded to the Ecclesiastical Department for the approval of Government with reference to G.O., No. 92, Ecclesiastical, dated 17th December 1892.

(Signed) J. PENNYCUICK, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government, P.W.D.
24*th January* 1895.

No. 165.

Forwarded to the Venerable the Archdeacon for countersignature of plans and estimates if approved.

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.
30*th January* 1895.

From the Venerable W. WESTON ELWES, M.A., Archdeacon of Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 11th February 1895, No. 2025.

I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of your endorsement, No. 165, dated 30th January 1895, on current, Ecclesiastical Department, No. 25, and to return enclosed and countersigned plans and estimates, amounting to Rs. 3,392, for converting "the old burial-ground into a new cemetery and erecting a mortuary shed within its compound at Kurnool."

No. 312.

Referred to the Collector of Kurnool for remarks and for report whether the expenditure of so large a sum is absolutely necessary. The number of interments during each of the past three years should be stated.

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary
15*th February* 1895.

From A. C. TATE, Esq., Acting Collector of Kurnool, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Taggali, 10th May 1895, Dis. No. 838-Eng.

I have the honour to return your endorsement, dated 15th February 1895, No. 312, and its enclosures, on the subject of the cemetery at Kurnool and to state that I cannot recommend the expenditure of any more money on the present grave-yard.

2. The place appears to be quite unsuitable for use as a cemetery as it is a bed of hard slate covered by black-cotton soil to the depth of only two or three feet. I enclose for reference a plan showing numerous trial pits dug under the direction of the Executive Engineer. The greatest depth of soil is 3′ 9″ and the shallowest 1′ 3″. Out of fourteen trial pits dug, only four show a depth of 3′.

3. Other objections to the site are mentioned in the District Judge's letter, dated 31st March 1895, of which I enclose a copy.

4. It is to be regretted that so much money has been already expended on this unsuitable site. A grave-yard is a necessity however, and I request that I may be permitted to select another site for one in a suitable place.

5. Regarding the estimate now returned, the Executive Engineer reports that it may be very largely reduced by substituting a small plain building costing Rs. 300 for the proposed mortuary chapel which he considers a great extravagance.

6. The number of interments of European and Eurasian Christians during the last three years, so far as I have been able to ascertain, are four in 1892, seven in 1893, and one in 1894.

Enclosure.

From the District Judge, Kurnool, to the Collector of Kurnool, dated 31st March 1895.

I have the honour to return herewith the papers connected with the new cemetery on the Nidzur road.

I think that the place chosen is obviously unfit for several reasons, and that no more money ought to be spent until it is clear that no better site can be found.

(*a*) The soil is less than a yard deep and rests on hard slate.

(*b*) It is below the level of the canal and surrounded by wet fields. It will therefore be miry for a great part of the year. The soil is black clay.

(*c*) It is out of the way and sure to be neglected Nobody goes along that road except ryots carting grain or dung. There is often an intolerable stench from the adjoining fields which are manured with town refuse. The cemetery ought to be at the side of a road frequently traversed by Europeans and Police constables.

No. 1106.

The site referred to by the Collector is apparently that sanctioned in G.O., No. 92, dated 17th December 1892; but it was understood from the Collector's No. 588 of the 7th March 1894, that that site had been abandoned and the acquisition of another site at a cost of Rs. 220 was sanctioned in G.O., No. 27, dated 4th April 1894. The Collector is requested to report exactly how matters stand and what expenditure has been incurred up to date. Nothing further should be done pending orders.

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

22*nd May* 1895.

To the Collector of Kurnool.

From A. C. TATE, Esq., Acting Collector of Kurnool, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated 15th June 1895, No. 1075.

With reference to memorandum, No. 1106, dated 22nd May 1895, I have the honour to state that the site referred to by me was the one referred to in G.O., No. 27, dated 4th April 1894, and not the one sanctioned in G.O., No. 92, dated 17th December 1892, as supposed by Government.

2. To a certain extent the two sites coincide, as both include the old graves in survey No. 212 covering an area of 19 cents. The inclusion of these graves in the new cemetery was considered desirable. The site first selected extended towards the north and east from the site of the old graves and lay in fields Nos. 211 and 212—*vide* paragraph 2 of Mr. Kough's letter, No. 5943, dated 19th August 1892, printed in G.O., No. 92, Ecclesiastical, dated 17th December 1892. The present site extends towards the south and east from the site of the old graves and lies wholly in field survey No. 212. The old graves are situated close to the boundary line between the two fields Nos. 211 and 212.

3. A substantial stone wall has been built round the site, and a plain iron gateway has been provided. The Executive Engineer reports that the expenditure incurred up to date is Rs. 1,712–9–3 and has been informed that nothing further should be done pending orders.

No. 1559.

The information afforded by the Collector is insufficient to enable any one unacquainted with the locality to tell exactly how matters stand. The Collector is requested to submit full particulars regarding the various sites which have been referred to in the correspondence, accompanied by a plan showing their positions,

nature of limits, trial pits, whether walled in or not, and position of the proposed roads, mortuary chamber, &c. The different "fields" referred to by the Collector should be marked as also the wall and gateway recently constructed at a cost of Rs. 1,713.

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

6*th July* 1895.

To the Collector of Kurnool, with a sketch.

From C. M. MULLALY, Esq., Collector of Kurnool, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated 10th October 1895, Dis. No. 1881-Eng.

With reference to Government memorandum, No. 1559, dated 6th July 1895, regarding the new cemetery at Kurnool, I have the honour to submit herewith a plan showing clearly—

(1) the old grave-yard;

(2) the site first recommended by Mr. Kough and referred to in G.O., No. 92, Ecclesiastical, dated 17th December 1892; and

(3) the site subsequently chosen by the Executive Engineer in supersession of the first site and referred to in G.O., No. 27, Ecclesiastical, dated 4th April 1894.

2. No. 2 or the first site comprised the old grave-yard with an extension of 1·31 acres to the north and east of it, made up of 1·16 acres of field No. 212 and 15 cents of field No. 211. No. 3, which superseded No. 2, comprises the old grave-yard with an equal extension of 1·31 acres to the east and south, following entirely within field No. 212.

3. When the Revenue Inspector went to hand over site No. 2 to the Public Works Department in December 1893, it was found that the latter had already occupied No. 3, dug foundations and commenced to build walls. I then asked the Executive Engineer as to why he had already occupied without formal authority a site other than that sanctioned by Government, and he replied that the site generally was chosen by Mr. Kough, the details having been left to the Public Works Department sub-divisional officer and that the site chosen by the latter, *i.e.*, the very site sanctioned by Government in December 1892 or No. 2 having been unfit for a cemetery, he chose site No. 3 whose soil was described to be "at one end at least 4 feet deep." As there was some confusion as to the site, I inspected the spot in company with the Executive Engineer in the beginning of March 1894, but by that time the walls had been raised and the work almost completed. I accordingly approved of the Executive Engineer's action and recommended the formal acquisition of site No. 3 in my letter disposed of in G.O., No. 27, dated 4th April 1894. A copy of Mr. Johnston's letter on the subject, No. 814 M.,* dated 15th February 1894, is enclosed for information.

* Printed at page 1.

4. No. 2 is indicated on the plan by red lines, and No. 3 by firm blue lines. It is No. 3 on which Rs. 1,712–9–3 have been expended, in which the fourteen trial pits shown in the accompanying sketch have now been dug and which has been condemned by Mr. Thorburn and Mr. Tate—*vide* latter's letter, Dis. No. 838-Eng., of 1895, dated 10th May 1895, read in Government memorandum, No. 1106, dated 22nd May 1895. Its extent is just the same as that of No. 2, but an additional piece of 14 cents of land has been taken up for constructing a road leading to the cemetery from the nearest adjoining road, the Kurnool-Nidzur famine road.

Order—No. 88, Ecclesiastical, dated 23rd November 1895.

The Government is constrained to remark that the way in which this matter has been dealt with has been very discreditable to those concerned.

2. It appears from the correspondence that Mr. Kough, the then Collector of Kurnool, chose a site for a cemetery in 1890 which was approved by Government, and that the Executive Engineer thought that, although Mr. Kough's general proposals as to the position of the cemetery had been sanctioned, it was left to him to carry out the details, and finding that the portion of land indicated by Mr. Kough was unsuitable, he selected another site and proceeded to enclose it by a wall without further reference to higher authority.

3. It seems clear that the Executive Engineer was aware that a certain depth of soil was necessary, for he remarked that at least 4 feet was obtainable at one end of the new site. It seems further evident that he did not at the time test the depth in other parts, and apparently he completely overlooked this *sine quâ non* afterwards, for the trial pits which have since been sunk show depths varying from $3\frac{3}{4}$ to $1\frac{1}{4}$ feet over a great portion of the site.

4. It is well known that in the Kurnool district rock closely underlies the surface soil in many places, and it is inconceivable, in the absence of any explanation, how the want of depth which existed escaped notice at the time when the foundations for the wall were being excavated. Besides this cardinal defect the site was unsuitable in other respects as pointed out by Mr. Thorburn. Nevertheless the Executive Engineer has already spent Rs. 1,700 on the site and has submitted an estimate for a further expenditure of Rs. 1,692, which, it is observed, has been approved and countersigned by the Venerable the Archdeacon. Finally, after completion of the wall, Mr. Mullaly, the present Collector, "approved" of the cemetery without, it is evident, investigating its suitability.

5. This negligence on Mr. Mullaly's part is viewed by Government with dissatisfaction. He will select a suitable site for a cemetery without delay. The Executive Engineer will be called upon, in the Public Works Department, to show cause why he should not make good the public funds which have been unnecessarily expended owing to his want of due care.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Collector of Kurnool.
„ the Revenue Department.
„ the Venerable the Archdeacon.
„ the Public Works Department, with plans and estimate.
„ C. M. Mullaly, Esq., I.C.S.

Exd. S. J. Pereira.

Govt. of {1895} Madras.

ECCLESIASTICAL.

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., No. 88, 23rd November 1895.

NOVEMBER.

Kurnool Cemetery.

Expressing the displeasure of Government with those concerned in the selection of a new site for the —, and directing the Collector of Kurnool to select another site.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

ECCLESIASTICAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following papers :—

G.O., No. 92, Ecclesiastical, dated 17th December 1892.

ABSTRACT.—Sanctioning, with remarks, the proposals of the Collector of Kurnool in connection with the conversion of the old burial-ground to the west of Kurnool into a new cemetery.

G.O., No. 27, Ecclesiastical, dated 4th April 1894.

Read—the following paper :—

From C. M. MULLALY, Esq., Acting Collector of Kurnool, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Kurnool, 7th March 1894, Cur. No. 588-Eng.

In G.O., No. 92, Ecclesiastical, dated 17th December 1892, Government sanctioned Mr. Kough's proposals for extending the old burial-ground to the west of Kurnool and converting it into a new cemetery.

2. The Executive Engineer reports in his letter, No. 814 M., dated 15th February 1894 (copy enclosed) that, on personal inspection, he found that the extension in the direction proposed by Mr. Kough in his letter, No. 5943, dated 19th August 1892, embodied in the Government order quoted above was quite inadvisable on account of the shallow nature of the soil and therefore he selected land better suited for the purpose in a different direction but still adjoining the old burial-ground. I inspected the spot myself and fully approve of what he has done.

3. The cemetery, as now extended, comprises the same extent of $1\frac{1}{2}$ acres originally proposed, but an additional piece of 14 acres of land had to be taken up for the construction of a branch road to lead to the cemetery.

4. I enclose a draft declaration for the acquisition under the Act of the 1·45 acres of land (excluding the site of the old burial-ground measuring 19 cents) for approval and notification in the *Fort St. George Gazette*.

5. This extent of 1·45 acres belongs to two persons both of whom agree to part with their land for money compensation, but refuse to accept land in exchange out of field No. 814, Kallur village, referred to in paragraph 3 of Mr. Kough's letter quoted above. The owners demand compensation at the rate of Rs. 250 an acre, but the Tahsildar reports that Rs. 220 is a fair compensation for the whole extent taken up.

When the compensation is settled, the amount will be reported to Government for sanction.

ENCLOSURE.

From J. C. JOHNSTON, Esq., Executive Engineer, Kurnool Division, to the Collector of Kurnool, dated 15th February 1894, No. 814-M.

In reply to your No. 36-Eng., dated 1st February 1894, I have the honour to report that the site generally for the new burial-ground in Kurnool was first chosen by your predecessor Mr. Kough. The details were left to the sub-divisional officer, who applied for a piece of ground which I discovered afterwards was quite unfit for a cemetery. The sub-divisional officer included

the old military graves within the new cemetery, but his choice of land ran north and east and included a swamp with no greater depth of soil than a foot or so. On my inspection, still including the old graves, I chose land lying south and east with soil at one end at least four feet deep.

2. The land, as now built on, was handed over to this department by a Revenue Inspector as reported by the sub-divisional officer in his No. 187, dated 6th December 1893.

Order—No. 27, Ecclesiastical, dated 4th April 1894.

The notification, as amended by Government, will be published in the *Fort St. George Gazette.*

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Collector of Kurnool.
" Superintendent, Government Press.
" Venerable the Archdeacon.
" Public Works Department.

Read—also the following papers:—

From Lieutenant-Colonel C. C. RAWSON, R.E., Superintending Engineer, III Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Bellary, 15th December 1894, No. 2241.

I have the honour to forward a supplemental estimate for Rs. 3,392 for converting the old burial ground to the west of Kurnool into a cemetery with mortuary shed, &c.

2. The original estimate, which was sanctioned in G.O., No. 63 W., dated 14th January 1893, amounted to Rs. 1,700; this estimate merely provided for a wall round the cemetery with a gateway; this work has been completed at a cost of Rs. 1,578.

3. The supplemental estimate, in addition to the work already executed, provides for—

(*a*) Mortuary shed.
(*b*) Roadway from the Nidzur road to the cemetery gate.
(*c*) Pathways in the cemetery itself.

4. All these works are, I consider, absolutely necessary. I inspected the cemetery when I was in Kurnool a short time ago in company with the Rev. A. Vickers, the clergyman who usually conducts the service there, and the Executive Engineer.

5. On the day of our inspection it was almost impossible to walk from the road to the cemetery gate, the way being through cultivation flooded with water from an adjacent irrigation channel. A road to the gate must be made.

6. The whole of the cemetery is in low swampy ground and must be laid out with paths. If this be not done, funerals in the wet weather must be conducted with the utmost discomfort to those concerned and with a complete want of proper decorum.

7. The cemetery is situated at a considerable distance from Kurnool and a mortuary chamber of some sort is required especially during the rains.

8. I believe that there is no space whatever available in the old Kurnool cemetery, and this being the case, I request that sanction may be given as soon as possible to the estimate sent herewith. Should a funeral have to take place in the new cemetery as it is at present, it could only be conducted with great difficulty and in a way which must cause needless pain to those attending it.

No. 264-C.

Forwarded to the Ecclesiastical Department for the approval of Government with reference to G.O., No. 92, Ecclesiastical, dated 17th December 1892.

(Signed) J. PENNYCUICK, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government, P.W.D.

24*th January* 1895.

No. 165.

Forwarded to the Venerable the Archdeacon for countersignature of plans and estimates if approved.

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

30*th January* 1895.

From the Venerable W. WESTON ELWES, M.A., Archdeacon of Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 11th February 1895, No. 2025.

I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of your endorsement, No. 165, dated 30th January 1895, on current, Ecclesiastical Department, No. 25, and to return enclosed and countersigned plans and estimates, amounting to Rs. 3,392, for converting "the old burial-ground into a new cemetery and erecting a mortuary shed within its compound at Kurnool."

No. 312.

Referred to the Collector of Kurnool for remarks and for report whether the expenditure of so large a sum is absolutely necessary. The number of interments during each of the past three years should be stated.

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary

15*th February* 1895.

From A. C. TATE, Esq., Acting Collector of Kurnool, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Taggali, 10th May 1895, Dis. No. 838-Eng.

I have the honour to return your endorsement, dated 15th February 1895, No. 312, and its enclosures, on the subject of the cemetery at Kurnool and to state that I cannot recommend the expenditure of any more money on the present grave-yard.

2. The place appears to be quite unsuitable for use as a cemetery as it is a bed of hard slate covered by black-cotton soil to the depth of only two or three feet. I enclose for reference a plan showing numerous trial pits dug under the direction of the Executive Engineer. The greatest depth of soil is 3′ 9″ and the shallowest 1′ 3″. Out of fourteen trial pits dug, only four show a depth of 3′.

3. Other objections to the site are mentioned in the District Judge's letter, dated 31st March 1895, of which I enclose a copy.

4. It is to be regretted that so much money has been already expended on this unsuitable site. A grave-yard is a necessity however, and I request that I may be permitted to select another site for one in a suitable place.

5. Regarding the estimate now returned, the Executive Engineer reports that it may be very largely reduced by substituting a small plain building costing Rs. 300 for the proposed mortuary chapel which he considers a great extravagance.

6. The number of interments of European and Eurasian Christians during the last three years, so far as I have been able to ascertain, are four in 1892, seven in 1893, and one in 1894.

Enclosure.

From the District Judge, Kurnool, to the Collector of Kurnool, dated 31st March 1895.

I have the honour to return herewith the papers connected with the new cemetery on the Nidzur road.

I think that the place chosen is obviously unfit for several reasons, and that no more money ought to be spent until it is clear that no better site can be found.

(*a*) The soil is less than a yard deep and rests on hard slate.

(*b*) It is below the level of the canal and surrounded by wet fields. It will therefore be miry for a great part of the year. The soil is black clay.

(*c*) It is out of the way and sure to be neglected Nobody goes along that road except ryots carting grain or dung. There is often an intolerable stench from the adjoining fields which are manured with town refuse. The cemetery ought to be at the side of a road frequently traversed by Europeans and Police constables.

No. 1106.

The site referred to by the Collector is apparently that sanctioned in G.O., No. 92, dated 17th December 1892 ; but it was understood from the Collector's No. 588 of the 7th March 1894, that that site had been abandoned and the acquisition of another site at a cost of Rs. 220 was sanctioned in G.O., No. 27, dated 4th April 1894. The Collector is requested to report exactly how matters stand and what expenditure has been incurred up to date. Nothing further should be done pending orders.

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

22*nd May* 1895.

To the Collector of Kurnool.

From A. C. TATE, Esq., Acting Collector of Kurnool, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated 15th June 1895, No. 1075.

With reference to memorandum, No. 1106, dated 22nd May 1895, I have the honour to state that the site referred to by me was the one referred to in G.O., No. 27, dated 4th April 1894, and not the one sanctioned in G.O., No. 92, dated 17th December 1892, as supposed by Government.

2. To a certain extent the two sites coincide, as both include the old graves in survey No. 212 covering an area of 19 cents. The inclusion of these graves in the new cemetery was considered desirable. The site first selected extended towards the north and east from the site of the old graves and lay in fields Nos. 211 and 212—*vide* paragraph 2 of Mr. Kough's letter, No. 5943, dated 19th August 1892, printed in G.O., No. 92, Ecclesiastical, dated 17th December 1892. The present site extends towards the south and east from the site of the old graves and lies wholly in field survey No. 212. The old graves are situated close to the boundary line between the two fields Nos. 211 and 212.

3. A substantial stone wall has been built round the site, and a plain iron gateway has been provided. The Executive Engineer reports that the expenditure incurred up to date is Rs. 1,712–9–3 and has been informed that nothing further should be done pending orders.

No. 1559.

The information afforded by the Collector is insufficient to enable any one unacquainted with the locality to tell exactly how matters stand. The Collector is requested to submit full particulars regarding the various sites which have been referred to in the correspondence, accompanied by a plan showing their positions,

nature of limits, trial pits, whether walled in or not, and position of the proposed roads, mortuary chamber, &c. The different "fields" referred to by the Collector should be marked as also the wall and gateway recently constructed at a cost of Rs. 1,713.

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

6*th July* 1895.

To the Collector of Kurnool, with a sketch.

From C. M. MULLALY, Esq., Collector of Kurnool, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated 10th October 1895, Dis. No. 1881-Eng.

With reference to Government memorandum, No. 1559, dated 6th July 1895, regarding the new cemetery at Kurnool, I have the honour to submit herewith a plan showing clearly—

(1) the old grave-yard ;
(2) the site first recommended by Mr. Kough and referred to in G.O., No. 92, Ecclesiastical, dated 17th December 1892 ; and
(3) the site subsequently chosen by the Executive Engineer in supersession of the first site and referred to in G.O., No. 27, Ecclesiastical, dated 4th April 1894.

2. No. 2 or the first site comprised the old grave-yard with an extension of 1·31 acres to the north and east of it, made up of 1·16 acres of field No. 212 and 15 cents of field No. 211. No. 3, which superseded No. 2, comprises the old grave-yard with an equal extension of 1·31 acres to the east and south, following entirely within field No. 212.

3. When the Revenue Inspector went to hand over site No. 2 to the Public Works Department in December 1893, it was found that the latter had already occupied No. 3, dug foundations and commenced to build walls. I then asked the Executive Engineer as to why he had already occupied without formal authority a site other than that sanctioned by Government, and he replied that the site generally was chosen by Mr. Kough, the details having been left to the Public Works Department sub-divisional officer and that the site chosen by the latter, *i.e.*, the very site sanctioned by Government in December 1892 or No. 2 having been unfit for a cemetery, he chose site No. 3 whose soil was described to be "at one end at least 4 feet deep." As there was some confusion as to the site, I inspected the spot in company with the Executive Engineer in the beginning of March 1894, but by that time the walls had been raised and the work almost completed. I accordingly approved of the Executive Engineer's action and recommended the formal acquisition of site No. 3 in my letter disposed of in G.O., No. 27, dated 4th April 1894. A copy of Mr. Johnston's letter on the subject, No. 814 M.,* dated 15th February 1894, is enclosed for information.

* Printed at page 1.

4. No. 2 is indicated on the plan by red lines, and No. 3 by firm blue lines. It is No. 3 on which Rs. 1,712–9–3 have been expended, in which the fourteen trial pits shown in the accompanying sketch have now been dug and which has been condemned by Mr. Thorburn and Mr. Tate—*vide* latter's letter, Dis. No. 838-Eng., of 1895, dated 10th May 1895, read in Government memorandum, No. 1106, dated 22nd May 1895. Its extent is just the same as that of No. 2, but an additional piece of 14 cents of land has been taken up for constructing a road leading to the cemetery from the nearest adjoining road, the Kurnool-Nidzur famine road.

Order—No. 88, Ecclesiastical, dated 23rd November 1895.

The Government is constrained to remark that the way in which this matter has been dealt with has been very discreditable to those concerned.

2. It appears from the correspondence that Mr. Kough, the then Collector of Kurnool, chose a site for a cemetery in 1890 which was approved by Government, and that the Executive Engineer thought that, although Mr. Kough's general proposals as to the position of the cemetery had been sanctioned, it was left to him to carry out the details, and finding that the portion of land indicated by Mr. Kough was unsuitable, he selected another site and proceeded to enclose it by a wall without further reference to higher authority.

3. It seems clear that the Executive Engineer was aware that a certain depth of soil was necessary, for he remarked that at least 4 feet was obtainable at one end of the new site. It seems further evident that he did not at the time test the depth in other parts, and apparently he completely overlooked this *sine quâ non* afterwards, for the trial pits which have since been sunk show depths varying from $3\frac{3}{4}$ to $1\frac{1}{4}$ feet over a great portion of the site.

4. It is well known that in the Kurnool district rock closely underlies the surface soil in many places, and it is inconceivable, in the absence of any explanation, how the want of depth which existed escaped notice at the time when the foundations for the wall were being excavated. Besides this cardinal defect the site was unsuitable in other respects as pointed out by Mr. Thorburn. Nevertheless the Executive Engineer has already spent Rs. 1,700 on the site and has submitted an estimate for a further expenditure of Rs. 1,692, which, it is observed, has been approved and countersigned by the Venerable the Archdeacon. Finally, after completion of the wall, Mr. Mullaly, the present Collector, "approved" of the cemetery without, it is evident, investigating its suitability.

5. This negligence on Mr. Mullaly's part is viewed by Government with dissatisfaction. He will select a suitable site for a cemetery without delay. The Executive Engineer will be called upon, in the Public Works Department, to show cause why he should not make good the public funds which have been unnecessarily expended owing to his want of due care.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Collector of Kurnool.
„ the Revenue Department.
„ the Venerable the Archdeacon.
„ the Public Works Department, with plans and estimate.
„ C. M. Mullaly, Esq., I.C.S.

Exd. S. J. Pereira.

Govt. of {1895} Madras.

ECCLESIASTICAL.

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., No. 88, 23rd November 1895.

NOVEMBER.

Kurnool Cemetery.

Expressing the displeasure of Government with those concerned in the selection of a new site for the —, and directing the Collector of Kurnool to select another site.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

ECCLESIASTICAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—again the following papers :—

G.O., No. 92, Ecclesiastical, dated 17th December 1892.

Abstract.—Sanctioning, with remarks, the proposals of the Collector of Kurnool in connection with the conversion of the old burial-ground to the west of Kurnool into a new cemetery.

G.O., No. 27, Ecclesiastical, dated 4th April 1894.

Read—the following paper :—

From C. M. MULLALY, Esq., Acting Collector of Kurnool, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Kurnool, 7th March 1894, Cur. No. 588-Eng.

In G.O., No. 92, Ecclesiastical, dated 17th December 1892, Government sanctioned Mr. Kough's proposals for extending the old burial-ground to the west of Kurnool and converting it into a new cemetery.

2. The Executive Engineer reports in his letter, No. 814 M., dated 15th February 1894 (copy enclosed) that, on personal inspection, he found that the extension in the direction proposed by Mr. Kough in his letter, No. 5943, dated 19th August 1892, embodied in the Government order quoted above was quite inadvisable on account of the shallow nature of the soil and therefore he selected land better suited for the purpose in a different direction but still adjoining the old burial-ground. I inspected the spot myself and fully approve of what he has done.

3. The cemetery, as now extended, comprises the same extent of $1\frac{1}{2}$ acres originally proposed, but an additional piece of 14 acres of land had to be taken up for the construction of a branch road to lead to the cemetery.

4. I enclose a draft declaration for the acquisition under the Act of the 1·45 acres of land (excluding the site of the old burial-ground measuring 19 cents) for approval and notification in the *Fort St. George Gazette*.

5. This extent of 1·45 acres belongs to two persons both of whom agree to part with their land for money compensation, but refuse to accept land in exchange out of field No. 814, Kallur village, referred to in paragraph 3 of Mr. Kough's letter quoted above. The owners demand compensation at the rate of Rs. 250 an acre, but the Tahsildar reports that Rs. 220 is a fair compensation for the whole extent taken up.

When the compensation is settled, the amount will be reported to Government for sanction.

Enclosure.

From J. C. JOHNSTON, Esq., Executive Engineer, Kurnool Division, to the Collector of Kurnool, dated 15th February 1894, No. 814-M.

In reply to your No. 36-Eng., dated 1st February 1894, I have the honour to report that the site generally for the new burial-ground in Kurnool was first chosen by your predecessor Mr. Kough. The details were left to the sub-divisional officer, who applied for a piece of ground which I discovered afterwards was quite unfit for a cemetery. The sub-divisional officer included

the old military graves within the new cemetery, but his choice of land ran north and east and included a swamp with no greater depth of soil than a foot or so. On my inspection, still including the old graves, I chose land lying south and east with soil at one end at least four feet deep.

2. The land, as now built on, was handed over to this department by a Revenue Inspector as reported by the sub-divisional officer in his No. 187, dated 6th December 1893.

Order—No. 27, Ecclesiastical, dated 4th April 1894.

The notification, as amended by Government, will be published in the *Fort St. George Gazette*.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Collector of Kurnool.
" Superintendent, Government Press.
" Venerable the Archdeacon.
" Public Works Department.

Read—also the following papers:—

From Lieutenant-Colonel C. C. RAWSON, R.E., Superintending Engineer, III Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Bellary, 15th December 1894, No. 2241.

I have the honour to forward a supplemental estimate for Rs. 3,392 for converting the old burial ground to the west of Kurnool into a cemetery with mortuary shed, &c.

2. The original estimate, which was sanctioned in G.O., No. 63 W., dated 14th January 1893, amounted to Rs. 1,700; this estimate merely provided for a wall round the cemetery with a gateway; this work has been completed at a cost of Rs. 1,578.

3. The supplemental estimate, in addition to the work already executed, provides for—

(*a*) Mortuary shed.
(*b*) Roadway from the Nidzur road to the cemetery gate.
(*c*) Pathways in the cemetery itself.

4. All these works are, I consider, absolutely necessary. I inspected the cemetery when I was in Kurnool a short time ago in company with the Rev. A. Vickers, the clergyman who usually conducts the service there, and the Executive Engineer.

5. On the day of our inspection it was almost impossible to walk from the road to the cemetery gate, the way being through cultivation flooded with water from an adjacent irrigation channel. A road to the gate must be made.

6. The whole of the cemetery is in low swampy ground and must be laid out with paths. If this be not done, funerals in the wet weather must be conducted with the utmost discomfort to those concerned and with a complete want of proper decorum.

7. The cemetery is situated at a considerable distance from Kurnool and a mortuary chamber of some sort is required especially during the rains.

8. I believe that there is no space whatever available in the old Kurnool cemetery, and this being the case, I request that sanction may be given as soon as possible to the estimate sent herewith. Should a funeral have to take place in the new cemetery as it is at present, it could only be conducted with great difficulty and in a way which must cause needless pain to those attending it.

No. 264-C.

Forwarded to the Ecclesiastical Department for the approval of Government with reference to G.O., No. 92, Ecclesiastical, dated 17th December 1892.

(Signed) J. PENNYCUICK, Colonel, R.E.,
24th January 1895. *Secretary to Government, P.W.D.*

No. 165.

Forwarded to the Venerable the Archdeacon for countersignature of plans and estimates if approved.

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
30th January 1895. *Chief Secretary.*

From the Venerable W. WESTON ELWES, M.A., Archdeacon of Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 11th February 1895, No. 2025.

I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of your endorsement, No. 165, dated 30th January 1895, on current, Ecclesiastical Department, No. 25, and to return enclosed and countersigned plans and estimates, amounting to Rs. 3,392, for converting "the old burial-ground into a new cemetery and erecting a mortuary shed within its compound at Kurnool."

No. 312.

Referred to the Collector of Kurnool for remarks and for report whether the expenditure of so large a sum is absolutely necessary. The number of interments during each of the past three years should be stated.

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
15th February 1895. *Chief Secretary*

From A. C. TATE, Esq., Acting Collector of Kurnool, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Taggali, 10th May 1895, Dis. No. 838-Eng.

I have the honour to return your endorsement, dated 15th February 1895, No. 312, and its enclosures, on the subject of the cemetery at Kurnool and to state that I cannot recommend the expenditure of any more money on the present grave-yard.

2. The place appears to be quite unsuitable for use as a cemetery as it is a bed of hard slate covered by black-cotton soil to the depth of only two or three feet. I enclose for reference a plan showing numerous trial pits dug under the direction of the Executive Engineer. The greatest depth of soil is 3′ 9″ and the shallowest 1′ 3″. Out of fourteen trial pits dug, only four show a depth of 3′.

3. Other objections to the site are mentioned in the District Judge's letter, dated 31st March 1895, of which I enclose a copy.

4. It is to be regretted that so much money has been already expended on this unsuitable site. A grave-yard is a necessity however, and I request that I may be permitted to select another site for one in a suitable place.

5. Regarding the estimate now returned, the Executive Engineer reports that it may be very largely reduced by substituting a small plain building costing Rs. 300 for the proposed mortuary chapel which he considers a great extravagance.

6. The number of interments of European and Eurasian Christians during the last three years, so far as I have been able to ascertain, are four in 1892, seven in 1893, and one in 1894.

Enclosure.

From the District Judge, Kurnool, to the Collector of Kurnool, dated 31st March 1895.

I have the honour to return herewith the papers connected with the new cemetery on the Nidzur road.

I think that the place chosen is obviously unfit for several reasons, and that no more money ought to be spent until it is clear that no better site can be found.

(*a*) The soil is less than a yard deep and rests on hard slate.

(*b*) It is below the level of the canal and surrounded by wet fields. It will therefore be miry for a great part of the year. The soil is black clay.

(*c*) It is out of the way and sure to be neglected. Nobody goes along that road except ryots carting grain or dung. There is often an intolerable stench from the adjoining fields which are manured with town refuse. The cemetery ought to be at the side of a road frequently traversed by Europeans and Police constables.

No. 1106.

The site referred to by the Collector is apparently that sanctioned in G.O., No. 92, dated 17th December 1892; but it was understood from the Collector's No. 588 of the 7th March 1894, that that site had been abandoned and the acquisition of another site at a cost of Rs. 220 was sanctioned in G.O., No. 27, dated 4th April 1894. The Collector is requested to report exactly how matters stand and what expenditure has been incurred up to date. Nothing further should be done pending orders.

22*nd May* 1895. (Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Collector of Kurnool.

From A. C. TATE, Esq., Acting Collector of Kurnool, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated 15th June 1895, No. 1075.

With reference to memorandum, No. 1106, dated 22nd May 1895, I have the honour to state that the site referred to by me was the one referred to in G.O., No. 27, dated 4th April 1894, and not the one sanctioned in G.O., No. 92, dated 17th December 1892, as supposed by Government.

2. To a certain extent the two sites coincide, as both include the old graves in survey No. 212 covering an area of 19 cents. The inclusion of these graves in the new cemetery was considered desirable. The site first selected extended towards the north and east from the site of the old graves and lay in fields Nos. 211 and 212—*vide* paragraph 2 of Mr. Kough's letter, No. 5943, dated 19th August 1892, printed in G.O., No. 92, Ecclesiastical, dated 17th December 1892. The present site extends towards the south and east from the site of the old graves and lies wholly in field survey No. 212. The old graves are situated close to the boundary line between the two fields Nos. 211 and 212.

3. A substantial stone wall has been built round the site, and a plain iron gateway has been provided. The Executive Engineer reports that the expenditure incurred up to date is Rs. 1,712-9-3 and has been informed that nothing further should be done pending orders.

No. 1559.

The information afforded by the Collector is insufficient to enable any one unacquainted with the locality to tell exactly how matters stand. The Collector is requested to submit full particulars regarding the various sites which have been referred to in the correspondence, accompanied by a plan showing their positions,

nature of limits, trial pits, whether walled in or not, and position of the proposed roads, mortuary chamber, &c. The different "fields" referred to by the Collector should be marked as also the wall and gateway recently constructed at a cost of Rs. 1,713.

6*th July* 1895. (Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Collector of Kurnool, with a sketch.

From C. M. MULLALY, Esq., Collector of Kurnool, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated 10th October 1895, Dis. No. 1881-Eng.

With reference to Government memorandum, No. 1559, dated 6th July 1895, regarding the new cemetery at Kurnool, I have the honour to submit herewith a plan showing clearly—

(1) the old grave-yard;
(2) the site first recommended by Mr. Kough and referred to in G.O., No. 92, Ecclesiastical, dated 17th December 1892; and
(3) the site subsequently chosen by the Executive Engineer in supersession of the first site and referred to in G.O., No. 27, Ecclesiastical, dated 4th April 1894.

2. No. 2 or the first site comprised the old grave-yard with an extension of 1·31 acres to the north and east of it, made up of 1·16 acres of field No. 212 and 15 cents of field No. 211. No. 3, which superseded No. 2, comprises the old grave-yard with an equal extension of 1·31 acres to the east and south, following entirely within field No. 212.

3. When the Revenue Inspector went to hand over site No. 2 to the Public Works Department in December 1893, it was found that the latter had already occupied No. 3, dug foundations and commenced to build walls. I then asked the Executive Engineer as to why he had already occupied without formal authority a site other than that sanctioned by Government, and he replied that the site generally was chosen by Mr. Kough, the details having been left to the Public Works Department sub-divisional officer and that the site chosen by the latter, *i.e.*, the very site sanctioned by Government in December 1892 or No. 2 having been unfit for a cemetery, he chose site No. 3 whose soil was described to be "at one end at least 4 feet deep." As there was some confusion as to the site, I inspected the spot in company with the Executive Engineer in the beginning of March 1894, but by that time the walls had been raised and the work almost completed. I accordingly approved of the Executive Engineer's action and recommended the formal acquisition of site No. 3 in my letter disposed of in G.O., No. 27, dated 4th April 1894. A copy of Mr. Johnston's letter on the subject, No. 814 M.,* dated 15th February 1894, is enclosed for information.

* Printed at page 1.

4. No. 2 is indicated on the plan by red lines, and No. 3 by firm blue lines. It is No. 3 on which Rs. 1,712-9-3 have been expended, in which the fourteen trial pits shown in the accompanying sketch have now been dug and which has been condemned by Mr. Thorburn and Mr. Tate—*vide* latter's letter, Dis. No. 838-Eng., of 1895, dated 10th May 1895, read in Government memorandum, No. 1106, dated 22nd May 1895. Its extent is just the same as that of No. 2, but an additional piece of 14 cents of land has been taken up for constructing a road leading to the cemetery from the nearest adjoining road, the Kurnool-Nidzur famine road.

Order—No. 88, Ecclesiastical, dated 23rd November 1895.

The Government is constrained to remark that the way in which this matter has been dealt with has been very discreditable to those concerned.

2. It appears from the correspondence that Mr. Kough, the then Collector of Kurnool, chose a site for a cemetery in 1890 which was approved by Government, and that the Executive Engineer thought that, although Mr. Kough's general proposals as to the position of the cemetery had been sanctioned, it was left to him to carry out the details, and finding that the portion of land indicated by Mr. Kough was unsuitable, he selected another site and proceeded to enclose it by a wall without further reference to higher authority.

3. It seems clear that the Executive Engineer was aware that a certain depth of soil was necessary, for he remarked that at least 4 feet was obtainable at one end of the new site. It seems further evident that he did not at the time test the depth in other parts, and apparently he completely overlooked this *sine quâ non* afterwards, for the trial pits which have since been sunk show depths varying from $3\frac{3}{4}$ to $1\frac{1}{4}$ feet over a great portion of the site.

4. It is well known that in the Kurnool district rock closely underlies the surface soil in many places, and it is inconceivable, in the absence of any explanation, how the want of depth which existed escaped notice at the time when the foundations for the wall were being excavated. Besides this cardinal defect the site was unsuitable in other respects as pointed out by Mr. Thorburn. Nevertheless the Executive Engineer has already spent Rs. 1,700 on the site and has submitted an estimate for a further expenditure of Rs. 1,692, which, it is observed, has been approved and countersigned by the Venerable the Archdeacon. Finally, after completion of the wall, Mr. Mullaly, the present Collector, "approved" of the cemetery without, it is evident, investigating its suitability.

5. This negligence on Mr. Mullaly's part is viewed by Government with dissatisfaction. He will select a suitable site for a cemetery without delay. The Executive Engineer will be called upon, in the Public Works Department, to show cause why he should not make good the public funds which have been unnecessarily expended owing to his want of due care.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Collector of Kurnool.
„ the Revenue Department.
„ the Venerable the Archdeacon.
„ the Public Works Department, with plans and estimate.
„ C. M. Mullaly, Esq., I.C.S.

Exd. S. J. Pereira.

Govt. of {1895} Madras.

ECCLESIASTICAL.

Issued

Read. *Encl.*

G.O., No. 88, 23rd November 1895.

NOVEMBER.

Kurnool Cemetery.

Expressing the displeasure of Government with those concerned in the selection of a new site for the —, and directing the Collector of Kurnool to select another site.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

ECCLESIASTICAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—again the following papers :—

G.O., No. 92, Ecclesiastical, dated 17th December 1892.

Abstract.—Sanctioning, with remarks, the proposals of the Collector of Kurnool in connection with the conversion of the old burial-ground to the west of Kurnool into a new cemetery.

G.O., No. 27, Ecclesiastical, dated 4th April 1894.

Read—the following paper :—

From C. M. MULLALY, Esq., Acting Collector of Kurnool, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Kurnool, 7th March 1894, Cur. No. 588-Eng.

In G.O., No. 92, Ecclesiastical, dated 17th December 1892, Government sanctioned Mr. Kough's proposals for extending the old burial-ground to the west of Kurnool and converting it into a new cemetery.

2. The Executive Engineer reports in his letter, No. 814 M., dated 15th February 1894 (copy enclosed) that, on personal inspection, he found that the extension in the direction proposed by Mr. Kough in his letter, No. 5943, dated 19th August 1892, embodied in the Government order quoted above was quite inadvisable on account of the shallow nature of the soil and therefore he selected land better suited for the purpose in a different direction but still adjoining the old burial-ground. I inspected the spot myself and fully approve of what he has done.

3. The cemetery, as now extended, comprises the same extent of $1\frac{1}{2}$ acres originally proposed, but an additional piece of 14 acres of land had to be taken up for the construction of a branch road to lead to the cemetery.

4. I enclose a draft declaration for the acquisition under the Act of the 1·45 acres of land (excluding the [illegible] of the old burial-ground measuring 19 cents) for approval and notification in the *Fort St. George Gazette*.

5. This extent of 1·45 acres belongs to two persons both of whom agree to part with their land for money compensation, but refuse to accept land in exchange out of field No. 814, Kallur village, referred to in paragraph 3 of Mr. Kough's letter quoted above. The owners demand compensation at the rate of Rs. 250 an acre, but the Tahsildar reports that Rs. 220 is a fair compensation for the whole extent taken up.

When the compensation is settled, the amount will be reported to Government for sanction.

Enclosure.

From J. C. JOHNSTON, Esq., Executive Engineer, Kurnool Division, to the Collector of Kurnool, dated 15th February 1894, No. 814-M.

In reply to your No. 36-Eng., dated 1st February 1894, I have the honour to report that the site generally for the new burial-ground in Kurnool was first chosen by your predecessor Mr. Kough. The details were left to the sub-divisional officer, who applied for a piece of ground which I discovered afterwards was quite unfit for a cemetery. The sub-divisional officer included

the old military graves within the new cemetery, but his choice of land ran north and east and included a swamp with no greater depth of soil than a foot or so. On my inspection, still including the old graves, I chose land lying south and east with soil at one end at least four feet deep.

2. The land, as now built on, was handed over to this department by a Revenue Inspector as reported by the sub-divisional officer in his No. 187, dated 6th December 1893.

Order—No. 27, Ecclesiastical, dated 4th April 1894.

The notification, as amended by Government, will be published in the *Fort St. George Gazette.*

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Collector of Kurnool.
" Superintendent, Government Press.
" Venerable the Archdeacon.
" Public Works Department.

Read—also the following papers:—

From Lieutenant-Colonel C. C. RAWSON, R.E., Superintending Engineer, III Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Bellary, 15th December 1894, No. 2241.

I have the honour to forward a supplemental estimate for Rs. 3,392 for converting the old burial ground to the west of Kurnool into a cemetery with mortuary shed, &c.

2. The original estimate, which was sanctioned in G.O., No. 63 W., dated 14th January 1893, amounted to Rs. 1,700; this estimate merely provided for a wall round the cemetery with a gateway; this work has been completed at a cost of Rs. 1,578.

3. The supplemental estimate, in addition to the work already executed, provides for—

(*a*) Mortuary shed.
(*b*) Roadway from the Nidzur road to the cemetery gate.
(*c*) Pathways in the cemetery itself.

4. All these works are, I consider, absolutely necessary. I inspected the cemetery when I was in Kurnool a short time ago in company with the Rev. A. Vickers, the clergyman who usually conducts the service there, and the Executive Engineer.

5. On the day of our inspection it was almost impossible to walk from the road to the cemetery gate, the way being through cultivation flooded with water from an adjacent irrigation channel. A road to the gate must be made.

6. The whole of the cemetery is in low swampy ground and must be laid out with paths. If this be not done, funerals in the wet weather must be conducted with the utmost discomfort to those concerned and with a complete want of proper decorum.

7. The cemetery is situated at a considerable distance from Kurnool and a mortuary chamber of some sort is required especially during the rains.

8. I believe that there is no space whatever available in the old Kurnool cemetery, and this being the case, I request that sanction may be given as soon as possible to the estimate sent herewith. Should a funeral have to take place in the new cemetery as it is at present, it could only be conducted with great difficulty and in a way which must cause needless pain to those attending it.

No. 264-C.

Forwarded to the Ecclesiastical Department for the approval of Government with reference to G.O., No. 92, Ecclesiastical, dated 17th December 1892.

24*th January* 1895. (Signed) J. PENNYCUICK, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government, P.W.D.

No. 165.

Forwarded to the Venerable the Archdeacon for countersignature of plans and estimates if approved.

30*th January* 1895. (Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

From the Venerable W. WESTON ELWES, M.A., Archdeacon of Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 11th February 1895, No. 2025.

I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of your endorsement, No. 165, dated 30th January 1895, on current, Ecclesiastical Department, No. 25, and to return enclosed and countersigned plans and estimates, amounting to Rs. 3,392, for converting "the old burial-ground into a new cemetery and erecting a mortuary shed within its compound at Kurnool."

No. 312.

Referred to the Collector of Kurnool for remarks and for report whether the expenditure of so large a sum is absolutely necessary. The number of interments during each of the past three years should be stated.

15*th February* 1895. (Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary

From A. C. TATE, Esq., Acting Collector of Kurnool, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Taggali, 10th May 1895, Dis. No. 838-Eng.

I have the honour to return your endorsement, dated 15th February 1895, No. 312, and its enclosures, on the subject of the cemetery at Kurnool and to state that I cannot recommend the expenditure of any more money on the present grave-yard.

2. The place appears to be quite unsuitable for use as a cemetery as it is a bed of hard slate covered by black-cotton soil to the depth of only two or three feet. I enclose for reference a plan showing numerous trial pits dug under the direction of the Executive Engineer. The greatest depth of soil is 3′ 9″ and the shallowest 1′ 3″. Out of fourteen trial pits dug, only four show a depth of 3′.

3. Other objections to the site are mentioned in the District Judge's letter, dated 31st March 1895, of which I enclose a copy.

4. It is to be regretted that so much money has been already expended on this unsuitable site. A grave-yard is a necessity however, and I request that I may be permitted to select another site for one in a suitable place.

5. Regarding the estimate now returned, the Executive Engineer reports that it may be very largely reduced by substituting a small plain building costing Rs. 300 for the proposed mortuary chapel which he considers a great extravagance.

6. The number of interments of European and Eurasian Christians during the last three years, so far as I have been able to ascertain, are four in 1892, seven in 1893, and one in 1894.