

~~P~~ublic Works (1897) Department.

G.O., No. 574
DATED 23 June 1897

25/6/97

[Despatch Abstract.]

Excess Expenditure: Authorizing the Examiner
of P.W. amounts to pass the — incurred on
certain completed works in the Kistna
Western D.

249

22.6.97

22.9.97

100/11

Authorizing the Examiner of Public Works Accounts to pass the — incurred on certain completed works in the Kistna Western division.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Irrigation.
Account.

READ—the following papers:—

From W. C. LEWIS, Esq., Executive Engineer, Kistna Western Division, to the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, dated 4th May 1897, No. 45 S.

With reference to your Nos. 3627 K.W., dated 16th March 1897 and 143 K.W., dated 12th April 1897, I have the honour to forward herewith a statement of completed works which have exceeded the estimated amounts under 49. Capital—Irrigation, with explanation for excess noted thereon, and to request that you will be so good as to obtain the sanction of Government for the excesses.

ENCLOSURE.

STATEMENT of completed works which have exceeded the estimated amounts, under 49. Capital Expenditure on Irrigation works which require the sanction of Government, in Kistna Western Division.

Name of work.	Estimate.	Expenditure.	Excess.	Remarks and Explanations.
Improving and extending No. 1 branch of Imam channel at 11 miles 7½ furlongs of main canal.	RS. 400	RS. 580	— 180	The excess is due to the following:— (1) The length of excavation allowed in the detailed estimate is 2 miles 1 furlong and 280 ft. and the quantity of earthwork 164 units, whereas the length of channel actually excavated, in accordance with paragraph 617 of G.O., No. 292 I., dated 30th March 1894, is 2 miles 4 furlongs and 330 ft. and exceeded the provision made by 3 furlongs and 50 feet, and the earthwork in consequence exceeded the provision made by 62 units. (2) The estimate provided for neither cutting nor banking from 0 mile 5 furlongs, &c. up to aqueduct over Dantalur channel, but actually heavy banking was necessary.
Excavating the diversion of Razu channel at 573 ft. from head of proposed surplus channel at 45 miles 6 furlongs, Bank canal.	1,620	1,737	— 117	The height of the banks as per sanctioned plan and estimate from 0/7 to 1¼ miles is 3-60 ft in the average, and, as excavated, it is 4-30 ft. The increase in the sectional area of each bank is 10 square feet and excess quantity of work that had to be done is 66 units, costing Rs. 177-6-0. There are also slight differences in the portion from 0/0 to 0/7 miles which are covered up by the provision under contingencies, and the chief excess is only in the portion from 0/7 to 1/7 miles.

Statement of completed works which have exceeded the estimated amounts, &c.—cont.

Name of work.	Estimate.	Expenditure.	Excess.	Remarks and Explanations.
Acquiring land near the Nizampatam rest-house.	RS. 1,100	RS. 1,236	— 136	The excess is due to estimate prepared by Revenue Department for which sanction obtained was only rough. Extract of correspondence between the Sub-Collector and the Executive Engineer is herewith appended. EXTRACT from Executive Engineer's letter No. 1190 M., dated 27th November 1896, to Sub-Collector. "In returning award statement No. 40, dated 12th October 1896, received with your No. 289/Comp. of 12th October 1896, for rest-house at Nizampatam, I have the honour to inform you that on the strength of the valuation statement received with your No. 257/Comp., dated 23rd February 1895, I obtained the sanction of Government to an estimate for Rs. 1,100 in G.O., No. 465 I., dated 1st June 1895, but the amount of the award is for Rs. 1,236-5-6 or in excess of the sanctioned amount by Rs. 136-5-6. This excess, when taken on into accounts, will have to be explained and I therefore request that you will be good enough to state reasons which have led to the excess to enable me to explain the same if I am called upon to do so." EXTRACT from Sub-Collector's reply No. 46/Comp., dated 24th January 1897. "In returning the enclosures to your No. 1190, dated 27th November 1896, I have the honour to say that the revised estimate which exceeds the sanctioned amount was made by the Tahsildar after he had personally inspected the huts, sites and trees proposed to be acquired. The original valuation was but a rough estimate prepared by the Revenue Inspector."
Compensation for land required for excavating Tungabhadra-Bodapad channel.	550	682	— 32	The excess is due to heavy compensation being paid by the Revenue Department according to present value which is not invariable.

No. 682 K.W.

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, through the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, for favour of obtaining the sanction of Government.

2. The excesses may be met from the balance of the provision as per item No. 710 of the statement printed with G.O., No. 857 I., dated 11th October 1893.

(Signed) W. L. C. BADDELEY, Major, R.E.,
Superintending Engineer, II Circle.

1st June 1897.

From the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated 5th June 1897, No. 1811 D./A.
Forwarded duly verified. The works in question are being reported in the Provincial Objectionable Items statement under 49. Irrigation—Excess over estimate.

No. 126 I.

Submitted to Government.

2. In the circumstances explained, the excesses may be passed.

(Signed) W. HUGHES,
Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

12th June 1897.

No. 574 I., 23RD JUNE 1897.

ORDER—No. 574 I., dated 23rd June 1897.

The Examiner of Public Works Accounts is authorized to pass the excesses incurred on the works detailed in the statement of completed works submitted by the Superintending Engineer, the net excess of Rs. 465 being met from the provision made for item 710 of the statement printed in Proceedings of Madras Government, No. 857 I., dated 11th October 1893.

2. This sanction is registered as No. 44 I. of 1897-98.

(True Extract.)

Under Secretary to Government.

To the Superintending Engineer, II Circle.
 „ Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
 „ Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.

Public Works (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 6005

Order

From

The Chief Engineer
for Irrigation

No. 126-S.

Dated 12. 6. 97

Received 19. 6. 97

Enclosures 2 3

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Excess over certain estimates
 in K.W. & C. & C. from
 the S.E. recommending for
 sanction the submitted

32.9
 24/6

a
b
c
d
e

000000

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
IRRIGATION BRANCH.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the

READ the following

From the Inspy. Engineer, Second Circle. (H.E. No. 682-KW. dated 1.6.97).

From the Examiners, P. V. Accts. (H^g N^o 1811 $\frac{5}{A}$ dated 5-6-97)
 11-12-1897

Nov 26 - J. d. 12. 697

Submitted to Government.

2. In the circumstances explained, the excesses may be passed.

Order thereon No. 574/23699

The Examiner of Public Works Accounts is authorized to pass the excesses incurred on the works detailed in the statement of completed works submitted by the Superf. Engineer, the net excess of Rupees 465 being met from the provision made for item 710 of the statement printed in P.M.G. No. 857-I, dated 11 October 1893.

2. This sanction is registered
as No. 44 I. of 1897-98.

To The Supp. Insp., Second Circle.

Chief Eng. for Inspector

Examiner, P. H. Acet.

10/10/1897

12/16/99

WA
12.697.9

Public Works (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 192/KS

EXAMINER,
P. W. ACCOUNTS.
3-JUN. 1897

From

Major W.C.B. Addeley R.E.
Supg/Ingrs. 2nd Divn

No. 682 Kw

Order

No 1811 P/A dated 5th June 1897

To E.I.

Forwarded duly verified.

The works in question are being re-
ported in the Provincial O.I. State-
ment under 49 Irrigation, Excess over
Estimate.

Dated 1 June 1897

Received 5-6-97

Registered

Enclosures 1

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Excesses over Certain
Estimates in R.W.D.
Recommendation Sanction
to this —.

C/S. 6.97
C.
P.S. 4/6/97

759

102


5/6/97

Recd 9/6/97

10/6/97

Public Works (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 19248



From

Majr W. L. C. Baddley R.E.
Supg Engrs. 2nd Divn

Order

No 1811 P/A dated 5th June 1897

No. 682 K.W.

To E.I.

Forwarded duly verified.

The works in question are being reported in the Provincial O.I. Statement under 49 Irrigation, Excess over Estimate.

Dated 1 June 1897

Received 5-6-97

Registered

Enclosures 1

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Excesses over certain
Estimates in R.W.D.
Recommendation
to this —

Cd 5.6.97
C.
Rd 4/6/97

759

102

5/6/97

Rd 9/6/97

10/6/97

FURTHER PAPERS

EXAMINER
P. W. ACCOUNTS.
3-JUN-1897
NO. _____

With reference to your no 3627
NW. of 16.3.97 and 143 K.W of 12.4.97,
I have the honour to forward
herewith a statement of completed
works which have exceeded the
estimated amounts under 49. Capital
Irrigation, with explanation for
excess noted thereon, and to request
that you will be so good as to
obtain the sanction of Government
for the excesses.

No. 682 Kw

1. 6. 97

No. 682 NW
1. 6. 97 Forwarded to the Chief
Engineer for Irrigation through the
Examiner P. W. Accounts, for favour
of obtaining the sanction of Govt.
2. The excesses may be met from
as per


2. The excesses may be met from the balance of the provision as per item no 710 of the Statement printed with R.O. no 857 D of 11.10.93.

kurzbaude 7

Majors

Subgrays & Ind. line

beginning land near the Chigouptan
Red-Lance



Account for land of Ryman
for 4 cantons in 1870-71

1100

1236

136

550

582

32

The excess is due to estimate prepared by Revenue Department for each
Sanction obtained was only rough. Extract of correspondence between the Sub
Collector & the Executive Engineer is herewith attached.

Extract from Executive Engineer's letter no 1190 on 27-11-96 to Sub Collector.

"On returning round statement no 10 of 12-10-96 received with your no ²⁸⁷ of

12-10-95 for Red lance at Chigouptan, I have the honor to inform you

that on the 8th of the valuation statement received with your no ²⁸⁷ of

23-2-95 I obtained the sanction of Government to an estimate for Rs 1100

in G. Nos 465 of 1-6-95, but the ^{the} amount amount of ^{the} amount in Rs 1236-5-6

on in excess of the sanctioned amount by Rs 136-5-6. This excess, when taken

on into account, will have to be explained & I therefore request that you

will be good enough to state reasons which have led to the excess to enable me

to explain the same to the Government to do so."

Extract from Sub Collector's reply no ⁴⁶ of 24-1-97

"In replying the enclosure to your no 1190 of 27-11-96, I have the honor to say

that the period estimate which exceeds the sanctioned amount was made

by the Sanctioner after he had personally inspected the lands, & also a tree

proposed to be acquired. The original valuation was but a rough estimate

prepared by the Revenue Inspector."

The excess of Rs 136-5-6 has been paid by the Revenue Dept

as per the statement in the enclosure which is herewith submitted.

Yours faithfully,
W. H. H. H.

24/5/97

Executive Engineer

Statement of completed work which have exceeded the estimated amounts under 49 Capital Expenditure on Navigation
 and work which require the sanction of Government in River Location Division

Name of work	Estimate	Expenditure	Remarks & Explanations
Improving and extending no. 1 branch of Main Canal at 11 m 7 1/2 fur of Main Canal	400	580	The excess is due to the following. 1. The length of excavation allowed in the detailed estimate is 29m 1 fur & 250' and the quantity of earth-work 164 units, whereas the length of canal actually excavated, in accordance with para 617 of E.O. dated 30th March 1914 is 292.9, is 29m 4 fur & 330' and exceeded the provision made by 3 fur 50 ft & the earth-work in consequence exceeded the provision made by 62 units. 2. The Estimate provided for neither cutting nor banking from 09m. 5 fur to up to aqueduct over boulder channel, but actually heavy banking was necessary. The height of the banks as per sanctioned plan & Estimate from 2 1/2 to 3 1/4 m. 3.60 in the average, and, as provided, it is 4.30. The increase in the bottom area of each bank is 10 sq. ft. and excess quantity of earth that had to be done is 66 units costing Rs 177-6-0. There are also slight differences in the portion from 2 1/2 to 2 3/4 miles which are caused up by the provision under contingencies and the heavy excess is only in the portion from 2 1/2 to 3 1/4 miles.
Increasing the diversion of Roga canal at 57.5 from head of (proposed) Roga No Canal at 45m 6 fur. Bank Canal.	1620	1737	117

EXAMINER,
 P. W. ACCOUNTS.
 3-JUN. 1897

5/2000
 3337

Statement of completed work which have exceeded the estimated amounts under 49 Capital Expenditure on Irrigation
 and which will require the sanction of Government, in Krishna Local Division.

Place of work	Estimated	Expenditure
Deepening and extending No. 1 branch of Sani Canal at 11 1/2 per cent main canal	400	580
		-180

Remarks & Explanations

The excess is due to the following.

(1) The length of excavation allowed in the detailed estimate is 2 1/2 m. 1/2 per cent 250 and the quantity of earth-work 16 1/2 units, whereas the length of canal actually excavated, in accordance with Form 617 of E.O. dated 30th March 1914, is 292.8, is 2 1/2 m. 4 per cent 330 and exceeded the provision made by 3 per cent 350 ft. & the earth-work in consequence exceeded the provision made by 62 units.

(2) The estimate provided for neither cutting nor banking from 0 m. 5 per cent up to agreement over boundary canal, but actually heavy banking was necessary.

The height of the banks as per sanctioned plan & estimate from 2 1/2 to 1 1/2 m. 3.60 in the average, and as provided, it is 4.30. The increase in the bottom area of each bank is 10 sq. ft. and excess quantity of earth work is done is 60 units costing Rs 177-6-0. There are also slight differences in the portion from 2 1/2 to 1 1/2 m. which are covered up by the provision under contingencies and the heavy excess is only in the portion from 2 1/2 to 1 1/2 m.

Exceeding the provision of Rs 9200 at 57 1/2 per cent of the cost of the Sani Canal at 15 m. 6 per cent bank canal.

6/10/1914
 11/10/1914
 11/10/1914

EXAMINER,
 P. W. ACCOUNTS.
 3 - JUN. 1897