

Public Works (1896) Department.

G.O., No. 3675W.

DATED 19. December

25/12/96

[Despatch Abstract.]

Taluk Office at Proddatur. Revised
estimate of Rs. 4,090 for constructing
a first class — in Cuddapah Dist.
Sanctioned with remarks.

100/6

TC
19/12

BACK PAPERS.

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Government { 1896 } of Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Recd.

Regd.

1896.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O., No. 3675 W., 19th December 1896.

DECEMBER.

Taluk Office at Proddatur

Revised estimate of Rs. 41,090 for constructing a first-class —, in Cuddapah district, sanctioned with remarks.

No. 3675 W., 19TH DECEMBER 1896.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

READ—the following paper:—

From W. B. DEWINTON, Esq., Executive Engineer in charge III Circle, to the Collector of Cuddapah, dated Bellary, 30th June 1896, No. 992.

I have the honour to forward, for your countersignature and transmission to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, a revised estimate amounting to Rs. 39,600, for constructing a Taluk cutcherry at Proddatur, Cuddapah district.

2. The original estimate sanctioned in G.O., No. 1180 W., dated 28th April 1893, amounted to Rs. 30,000, so that the excess now requiring sanction amounts to Rs. 9,600.

3. The report and comparative statement attached to the estimate fully explain the causes of this excess, which may be briefly summarised as follows:—

- (1) Revision of design as per G.O., No. 308 W., dated 1st December 1894.
- (2) Insufficient rates.
- (3) Addition of wire fencing and well.

The rates in the division hitherto in force are altogether inaccurate and inadequate, and those now entered in the revised estimate have been arrived at after prolonged discussion between my predecessors and the Executive Engineer, Cuddapah division. I hope that a trustworthy schedule of rates will be framed during the current year.

Endorsement from the Collector of Cuddapah, dated 21st July 1896, Dis. No. 3350/Rev.

Copy with copy of further letter No. 1158, dated 17th July 1896, and the estimate submitted to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, Madras.

ORDER—No. 3675 W., dated 19th December 1896.

The revised estimate, amounting to Rs. 41,090, for constructing a first-class Taluk office at Proddatur, in the Cuddapah district, is sanctioned in supersession of the estimate of Rs. 30,000 sanctioned in G.O., No. 1180 W., dated 28th April 1893.

2. The expenditure on the work to end of 1895-96 was Rs. 27,330, and the current year's grant is Rs. 3,340, which will, if necessary, be augmented on application from the Superintending Engineer, III Circle.

3. This sanction has been registered as Provincial No. 135 W. of 1896-97, and classified as Civil Buildings—Original Works.

4. The Government observes that the original estimate was carelessly prepared.

(True Extract.)

Under Secretary to Government.

To the Superintending Engineer, III Circle, with twelve enclosures.
 „ Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
 „ Revenue Department.

Exd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.

No. 3675 W. of 19-12-96.

2P

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

From the Collector of Cuddapah No. 3350 d/23rd July 1896.

The revised estimate amounting to Rs. 41,090, for constructing a first class Taluk office at Proddatur in the Cuddapah District is sanctioned in supersession of the estimate of Rs. 30,000 sanctioned in G.O. No. 1180 W. dated 28th April 1893.

The excess is heavy — Rs. 11,090, or over 36 per cent. The greater part of this excess is due to inadequacy of original rates. The original estimate was indeed carelessly prepared. Please name the Officer who prepared it. As I have had occasion to observe before the fact is badly served in this matter of Estimates. This alone must be the Revenue Secretary for the purpose of the estimate.

They can Rs. 41,000 is too much to pay for a Taluk office, and if the fact had been that it would have cost so much, and alterations in design and for building have been ordered.

Not necessary to construct these stone buildings in such a slow and costly way.

[P. W. 40, 2,000-6-6-96]

2. The expenditure on the work to end of 1895-96 was Rs. 27,330, and the current year's grant is Rs. 3,340 which will, if necessary, be augmented on application from the Suptg. Engineer III Circle. This sanction has been registered.

registered as Provincial No. 1354
of 1896-97 and classified as
Civil Buildings Original Works.

Li. In Govt. orders
that the original estimate
was carelessly prepared.

15/12/96

4/12/96

W. G. S. C.

T. H.
B. G. M.

28/1/97
The R. III circle with 12 inches.
" Exar. P. W. Accts
" Revenue Dept.

R. S. 4/12

Revised estimate for
tanks again at Proddutur

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Read the following:—

Note for His Excellency the Governor

FOR ORDERS.

1. The estimate is now sanctioned.
2. Perhaps the ^{Consulting Architect} Chief Engineer might be asked, as a specialist in building on the points raised at A in this note. The episode of the faulty servants' quarters would seem to indicate excessive solidity in building.

A. S. H. 17.12.96

With reference to His Excellency's Note dated 12.12.96 Mr. A. B. Todd prepared the original estimate he was in charge of the Cuddapah Dist from 14.3.92 to 6.9.92. & has since retired. This original estimate was revised by Mr. C. J. H. Watson who was in charge of the Dist. from 7.9.92 to 31.10.92. This officer is now serving in Burma. The estimate was then forwarded to this Office by Col Fraser R.E. Super. Engineer for sanction. Col Fraser has retired.

A revised form of schedule for rates is now in the press. This form separates the ordinary rate for doing work from the cost of carriage of materials. In future data for each description of work will be made out showing the ordinary rates plus carriage of materials to site. Orders will issue that data for rates use to accompany each estimate in future.

A. The buildings probably could be constructed in a less solid & costly manner, but the bill for annual repairs would probably be very great if they were. The interest in the extra capital expended in building as now is probably much less than the annual repair bill if the buildings were built in a cheaper style.

15.12.96
14.12.96

14/12/96

6717

FOR ORDERS.

du

For orders with reference to this note -

The report on the original estimate was signed by Mr. A. P. Todd under date 21.7.92 - He was in charge of the Cuddalore division from 14.3.92 to 6.9.92. He has since retired -

The specifications & detailed & abstract estimates were signed by Mr. C. F. H. Walton, who also countersigned the report under date 12.11.92 - He held charge of the division from 7.9.92 to 31.10.92, & is now serving in Burma.

Apparently Mr. Todd prepared the original estimate for in G.O. No. 1190 W D 28.4.93. The S.S. note that the first estimate was received in August - 1892 & was returned for certain alterations - Mr. Walton apparently carried out these alterations & resubmitted the estimate with his signature in Nov. 1892. Col. Foster was

Public Works Department.

Current No. 6717
Letter No. 3350
Dated 21. July 1896
From the Collector of Cuddalore.

NOTE BY TECHNICAL SECTION.

Name of work Constructing a Taluk Office at Proddatur in the Cuddalore District.
Amount of estimate Rs 4,090.

The SE III Arch. submits for the sanction of Govt. a revised estimate amounting to Rs 4,090 for constructing a Taluk Office at Proddatur in the Cuddalore District, in lieu of the estimate, amounting to Rs 3,000 sanctioned in G.O. No. 1180 W dated 28. April 1893. The excess of Rs 1,090 or 36.3% over the sanctioned estimate is due to the following causes:

- To deviation in foundations, viz. six inches of additional depth & from 2 feet concrete & 4 feet rubble masonry as per sanctioned estimate, to 4 1/2 feet concrete & 2 feet of rubble masonry, also to increase in rates - Rs 1,944.
- To revision in type design since original sanction & to increased rates. The revision is due to the substitution of terraced roof over the Sub Jail wards, police & arms rooms in lieu of tiled roof & to slight alterations

B. f. Rs 7576.
 To increase in rates entirely 678
 To items not provided for in original estimate, such as
 channelling walls, leading
 iron doors, metalting arms
 the building & levelling etc.
 found 594
 To Contingencies & petty Supp.
 on revised figures 635
 Rs 9483
 Deduct savings to derivation
 in materials of construction,
 such as, painting interior of
 walls instead of plastering
 flooring second room with 18" sq
 slabs over 6" of concrete in lieu
 of 3/4" asphaltic etc. also to inc.
 increase in rates

Trial excess on main building Rs 9130.
 Excess in work here due to increase
 in rates & to the foundations
 being made of entire concrete 189
 Fencing with plain wire, as the length
 originally estimated being found
 insufficient 1070

Excess to items not provided for
 such as, concrete wall for 10 ft
 on each side of gate, a wooden
 gate 14 feet wide, constructing
 a slab along entrance with vent
 2 feet square & for sinking a
 well 6 feet dia. & 30 feet deep. 980

Deduct savings in derivation of
 public latrine from the design
 of 1889 in the revised design of
 1894 279

Trial rates Proposed 11090

Having sanctioned rates
 recorded.
 Rs. against Rs. 3-7-6

Hence that there
 is an expenditure
 Rs 330 on this
 work already. If it
 is a contract work
 why should we in-

crease the rates
 already agreed to?
 If not known whether
 the work is contract or
 departmental, work
 deferred to J.E. -

Is Provision Taking
 office work contract
 or departmental &
 Contract why increase
 rates already agreed
 to by Contract?

Filling basement Rs 3.8.. against Rs 1.12.
 Concrete 13.14.. - do - 9.11.
 Rubble masonry 2nd 16.4.. - do - 11.6.
 - do - 1st 21.14.. - do - 16..
 Archwork 12.3.. - do - 20..
 Curbstone work. 2.5.. - do - 6.
 Cuddalore slabs 4.4.. - do - 4.3.
 Trackwork 4.2.6 - do - 4..
 Mangalore coping 49.7.2 - do - 30..
 Pan tile coping 24.8.. - do - 15.8.
 Flooring 18" slabs 20.10.. - do - 13.6.
 Painting with mortar 1.8.. - do - 1.2.
 Painting 2 coats 3.12.. - do - 3.4.
 Lead sheeting 4.6.. - do - 4.4.

The difference in rates is
 stated to be due to the location
 from where the materials have
 been obtained were not care-
 fully gone into in fixing the
 original rates. Data lists
 have been submitted for the
 high rates entered.

The revised estimate has
 been countersigned by the Collector
 of the District.

20. VIII-56
 The
 24-8

Government of Madras.
1896.

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT No.

DISPOSAL.

Encls 12 (1/12/10)
Ans: 26.7.96

No. dated

No. 1180 W of 28-4-93 ✓
" 1573 C. 6-4-93 ✓
" 1139 D - 25-8-96 ✓

From

The collector of.
Cuddalore.

ENCLOS.

No. 3350

Dated 21.7.96

Received

SUBJECT.

First class Talug Cutcherry at

Proddatur; forwarding revised

estimate for constructing a —

No 1573 C, dt 6-4-93

" 1180 W, dt 28-4-93

8.1.5347

Submitted herewith to the Secretary
 Department of Public Works
 Bellary 30th June 1896
 W. B. de Winter Esqr
 Executive Engineer
 in charge III Circle

To The Collector of
 Bellary District

Enclosure
 Report
 Estimate
 Data list
 General Abstract
 Comparative State
 ment
 Original Sanctions
 General Abstract
 Tracing of Sheds
 Culvert

Sir I have the honor to forward for your
 consideration and transmission to the
 Chief Engineer Public Works Department a
 revised estimate amounting to Rs 39600
 for constructing a State Catchment at
 Bellary District.
 The original estimate sanctioned
 in G.O. No. 1180 W. dated 28th April 1893
 amounted to Rs 30,000 so that the Exce
 ss now requiring sanction amounts to Rs 9600.
 The report and Comparative St
 ment attached to the estimate fully
 explain the causes of this excess, which
 may be briefly summarized as follows
 (1) Revision of design as per G.O. No. 35
 1-1-94
 (2) Insufficient rates.
 (3) Addition of wire fencing and coe
 The rates in the Division hitherto in force
 are altogether inaccurate and in adeq

Public Works (189) Department.

CURRENT No.

Telegram

Order

From

S. E III

No.

Dated Aug 27 1896.
Received " 27 1896.
Enclosures
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

"Proddatur atcherry
being done by
piece work - no agree-
ments were made
at original rate"

1139 20/25/8

And those now entered in the revised estimate
have been arrived at after prolonged
decision between my predecessors and
the Executive Engineer Cuddalore Division.
I hope that a satisfactory schedule of
rates will be framed during the current
year.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

(Signed) W. B. de Wint
Executive Engineer
in Charge Cuddalore

Disposal No. 177 of 1896
dated 21st July 96
Copy with copy of further letter No. 4158
the estimate submitted to the Chief Engineer,
Public Works Department, Madras.

For Collector
23 7 96

- (1) Review of design as per No. 100
- (2) Review of design as per No. 100
- (3) Review of design as per No. 100



Monthly
Number.

Unit
Class.

10

(Office)

Words.

1204.

Hour.

Minute.

Official

Instructions

To

(Ретр.)

From

(Person,

32 Word Form.

Recd. from

LN.

Sent to

J.N.

44

Lira.

И т. д.

—A—

Mrs.

Mts. by

Telephone Master

U S P-No 490 T-18 396-1600000

~~OOTACAMUND 27-8-96~~