9332

Public Works (1896) Department.

G.O., No. 3671.W.

DATED 19. December

23/12/96

[Despatch Abstract.]

Customs Godown at Negapatam:

Sanctioning with remarks the revised estimate of Rs. 5,700 for constructing a — in the Tanjore Dt.

130/6

T.C. 19/12

[P.W. 26.
1,000—23-10-96.]

23/12

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Government (1896) of Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Recd. } 1896. *Enclosures*
Regd. *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 3671 W., 19th December 1896.

DECEMBER.

Customs Godown at Negapatam

Sanctioning, with remarks, the revised estimate of Rs. 5,700 for constructing a — in the Tanjore district.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

Read—the following papers:—

G.O., Mis. No. 2877, Revenue, dated 20th July 1896.

Read—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (S.R.), Mis. No 2751, dated 2nd July 1896:—

Read—again Board's Proceedings, Mis. No. 2280 (S.R.), dated 2nd June 1896.

Abstract.—Requesting the Collector of Tanjore to report without delay what progress has been made with the construction of the customs godowns at Negapatam and to submit a more detailed report on the falling off of the trade of the port.

Read—also letter from the Collector of Tanjore, Reference on G. and B. No. 657, dated 20th June 1896.

Abstract.—Reporting on the progress made with the construction of the customs godowns at Negapatam and making certain observations on the falling off of the trade of the port.

Resolution—Mis. No. 2751, dated 2nd July 1896.

The Board in its Proceedings, Mis. No. 4479 (S.R.), dated 22nd October 1895, submitted for the sanction of Government a revised plan and estimate for the construction of a customs godown at Negapatam. In Government Order, No 3131 W., dated 5th December 1895, communicated in Government Order, Mis. No. 5255, Revenue, dated 30th idem, an estimate for Rs. 13,100 was sanctioned for the construction of the godown which is to be 230 feet long. A site has also been selected.

2. The Collector of Tanjore now reports that a godown, 230 feet long, is not required for the port of Negapatam as its trade is steadily decreasing, merchants finding it cheaper to ship their rice from Karikal. The Collector inspected the godowns behind the customs house and found them empty, whilst in the rented godown there were not more than 50 bags. He remarks:—

> "I believe that it will be waste of money to erect a large customs godown at Negapatam, unless steps are taken at the same time to deepen the river and improve the port. Karikal is competing successfully with Negapatam and when the Peralam-Karikal Railway is completed the trade of the district will pass from the hands of the British into the hands of the French, and Karikal will become the port of the district, unless a railway is made from Máyavaram to Tranquebar and unless immediate steps are taken to improve Negapatam port. Imperial interests are at stake, and there will be the anomaly of the trade of a British country being in the hands of French merchants."

3. In view of these facts the Collector recommends that the customs godown to be erected at Negapatam should be half the size of the one sanctioned. It is reported that the work has not yet been commenced, though some materials have been collected. The Board therefore begs leave to suggest that the recommendation of the Collector may be accepted. The building can be added to later on if necessary.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) EDMUND MILLETT,
Assistant Secretary.

Order—Mis. No. 2877, Revenue, dated 20th July 1896.

Ordered that the following telegram be despatched to the Board of Revenue:—

"Board's Proceedings, 2nd instant, No. 2751. Wire can construction of the building be stopped altogether."

(True Extract.)

(Signed) A. T. ARUNDEL,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

G.O., Mis. No. 3078, Revenue, dated 1st August 1896.

Read—again G.O., Mis. No. 2877, Revenue, dated 20th July 1896.

Read telegram from the Board of Revenue, Separate Revenue, dated 29th July 1896.

Read—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Separate Revenue), Mis. No. 3162, dated 29th July 1896:—

Read—again Board's Proceedings, Mis. No. 3063 (S.R.), dated 22nd July 1896.

Abstract.—Telegraphing to the Collector of Tanjore to report if the construction of the customs godown at Negapatam may be stopped altogether.

Read—also letter from the Collector of Tanjore, Reference on current No. 2317/Ver., dated 27th July 1896.

Abstract.—Submitting report on the construction of a godown at Negapatam.

Resolution—Mis. No. 3162, dated 29th July 1896.

The following reply will be sent to Government to its telegram of the 21st instant:—

"Your telegram, 21st. Collector reports that customs godown at least one-third of size originally proposed is necessary. Board concurs."

2. The delay in replying to the telegram from Government was due to the Collector's, who was asked by wire on the 21st, having only submitted his reply read above to-day, after personal inspection.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) EDMUND MILLETT,
Assistant Secretary.

Order—Mis. No. 3078, Revenue, dated 1st August 1896.

In the circumstances stated, the proposal to construct the customs godown at Negapatam at one-third of the size of the building already sanctioned is approved and transferred to the Public Works Department.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) LIONEL DAVIDSON,
Ag. Under Secretary to Government.

No. 3074 C.

Forwarded to the Superintending Engineer, V Circle, in view to a revised estimate being submitted providing for a godown, one-third the size of the one already sanctioned.

(Signed) D. McNEIL CAMPBELL, Colonel, R.E.,
Joint Secretary to Government.
13th August 1896.

From Lieutenant-Colonel C. C. RAWSON, R.E., Superintending Engineer, V Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Chepâk, 28th September 1896, No. 301 W.

In returning the papers received with your No. 3074 C., dated 13th August 1896, I have the honour to submit for sanction the enclosed plan and estimate of Rs. 5,700 for constructing customs godown at Negapatam, one-third the size of the building originally sanctioned in G.O., No. 3131 W., dated 5th December 1895.

2. The expenditure incurred on the sanctioned estimate of Rs. 13,100 up to date is as follows:—

	RS.	A.	P.
(1) Value of work done by the Public Works Workshops for iron works	6,135	12	2
(2) Portland cement purchased and remaining in hand ...	723	7	0
(3) 550 cubic feet of stone jelly supplied by piece worker ...	137	8	0
(4) Petty supervision	20	0	0
Total, Expenditure ...	7,016	11	2

The sanctioned plan and estimate are forwarded herewith for reference.

From Lieutenant-Colonel C. C. RAWSON, R.E., Superintending Engineer, V Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Chepâk, 12th October 1896, No. 324 W.

In continuation of this office No. 301 W., dated 28th September 1896, paragraph 2, class 1, I have the honour to inform you that the General Superintendent of Public Works Workshops has since stated that the total cost up to date of materials obtained and work done for the customs godown, Negapatam, is about Rs. 8,540, against the amount Rs. 6,135-12-2 given in my letter quoted above.

Memorandum in the Public Works Department, Railway Branch, dated Ootacamund, 28th September 1896, No. 1431 D.

[Letter from the Agent, South Indian Railway, dated 24th September 1896, No. 879.]

Referring to the commencing portion of my letter No. 776, dated the 26th August 1896, regarding the Negapatam-Nagore Railway, I have the honour to inform you that, when our Chief Engineer was at Negapatam recently investigating the route for the extension, he was informed by the port officer that a customs shed is about to be erected near the lighthouse, the site of which as at present selected would probably be on the alignment of the extension, and I would suggest the advisability of the Marine Department being asked to defer the erection of the shed until the location of the extension, which is about to be surveyed, is finally decided upon.

Copy forwarded to the Marine Department for favour of necessary action.

(Signed) F. D. FOWLER,
Offg. Joint Secy. to Govt.,
Railway Branch.

No. 497 E.

Transferred to the Revenue Department.

(Signed) D. McNEIL CAMPBELL, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government.
Ootacamund,
30th Sept. 1896.

Mis. No. 4057, Revenue.

Transferred to the Public Works Department for the necessary action.

(Signed) LIONEL DAVIDSON,
Ag. Under Secretary to Government.
Ootacamund,
10th October 1896.

Order—No. 3671 W., dated 19th December 1896.

The revised estimate amounting to Rs. 5,700 for constructing a customs godown at Negapatam, in the Tanjore district, is sanctioned in supersession of the estimate of Rs. 13,100 sanctioned in G.O., No. 3131 W., dated 5th December 1895.

2. The expenditure on the work up to date is reported to be Rs. 9,435-15-0 as shown below:—

	RS.	A.	P.
(*a*) Value of iron work done by the Public Works Workshops.	8,540	0	0
(*b*) Portland cement purchased	723	7	0
(*c*) 550 cubic feet of stone jelly supplied by piece worker ..	137	8	0
(*d*) Petty supervision	35	0	0
Total ...	9,435	15	0

3. It is stated that the Portland cement found surplus after completing the work will be transferred to other works, and that the debit of Rs. 35 against "petty supervision" is erroneous and will be transferred to the work concerned.

4. The Superintending Engineer has not reported how it is proposed to utilise the large amount of iron work supplied in excess of requirements. This should now be done.

5. The Superintending Engineer will surrender at an early date such portion of the current year's grant of Rs. 8,826 for the work, as may be in excess of the amount required for completing it, after deducting the value of surplus materials to be transferred to other works.

6. The execution of the work may be deferred till 15th January 1897, so as to give the South Indian Railway Company time to decide on the location of the extension of the Nagore-Negapatam line.

7. The sanction accorded in paragraph 1 has been registered as Provincial No. 134 W. of 1896-97 and classified as Civil Buildings—Original Works.

(True Extract.)

Under Secretary to Government.

To the Superintending Engineer, V Circle, with ten enclosures.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
" Revenue Department, with Revenue current No. 8798, returned.
" Consulting Engineer for Railways.

Exd. P. Seetharam.

14/11

Current Rev. 11833 [illegible]

DEPARTMENT.

No. 3671 W of 19.12.96

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

12

READ the following

Proceedings in the Revenue Dept. No 2877 Mis dated 20th July 1896.

—— Do —— Do —— No 3078 Mis dated 1st August 1896.

Letter from the Supg: Engineer, Fifth Circle No 301 W d/ 28th Septr 1896.

—— Do —— Do —— No 324 W dated 12th Octr 1896.

Memorandum in the P.W. Dept. Ry: Branch No 1431 D d/ 28th Sept 1896.

Endorsement in the Marine Dept. No 497 E d/ 30th Septr 1896.

—— Do —— in the Revenue Dept No 4057 Mis d/ 10th Octr 96.

12

The Collector of Tanjore (Mr. Moberly, I think) is stated to have said that the trade is leaving Negapatam for Karikal. Mr. Stokes might be asked to say whether he thinks this is a correct opinion.

Is not this a work ~~which~~ might be postponed? I understand that it has not been begun. The materials seem to have been, in part, collected, but that is all.

A.G.H.
18.11.96

[illegible]

The revised estimate amounting to Rs 5,700 for constructing a customs godown at Negapatam in the Tanjore District, is sanctioned in supersession of the estimate of Rs 13,100 sanctioned in G.O. No 3131 W dated 5th December 1895.

2. The expenditure on the work up to date is reported to be Rs 9435-15-0 as shown below.

(a) Value of iron work done by the P.W. Workshops Rs. 8540

(b) Portland cement purchased 723-7

Issue 19.12.96

P. W. 40.
50—2-9-96.

(c) 550 Cft of stone Jelly supplied by Piece worker ——— Rs 137-8-"

(d) Petty supervision — 35-"-"

9435-15-"

3. It is stated that the Portland Cement found surplus after completing the work will be transferred to other works, and that the debit of Rs 35 against "petty supervision" is erroneous and will be transferred to the work Concerned.

4. The Supg: Engineer has not reported how it is proposed to utilise the large amount of iron work supplied in excess of requirements. This should now be done. A.54

5. The Supg: Engineer will surrender at an early date such portion of the current year's grant of Rs 8826 for the work, as ~~now~~ may be in excess of the amount required for Completing it, after deducting the value of surplus materials to be transferred to other works. A.511

6. The execution of the work may be deferred till ~~1st Decr. 1896~~ 15th January 1897. so as to give the South Indian Railway Company time to decide on the location of the extension of the Nagore-Negapatam line.

7. The sanction accorded in para 1 has been registered as Provincial No 134 w of 1896-97 and classified as Civil Buildings, original Works.

9/11/96

14/11/96.

Copy 7.11.96.

T / B

To

The Supg: Engineer, V Cir. with 10 Enclosures.

" Examiner P.W. Accts

" Revenue Dept: with Rev. Cir 8798 volume

" Consg. ~~Engr~~ for Rys.

Cm 11/1/97

To
The Secy to Govt. Rev: Dept
for favour of [illegible]

8/12

Public works (1896) department

Note.

~~Current~~ Number: 11333

Encls (Rev current No. 8798 & file)

In his note dated 18th. November '96 His Excellency wishes to know whether in my opinion Mr. Moberly was right in saying that trade is leaving Negapatam for Karikal.

With current No. ~~8796~~ 8798 herewith submitted (to be returned) is Mr. Moberly's assertion and his further report in answer to the Board's call. I do not think the figures bear out his assertion~~s~~. It was made to bolster up his advocacy of the -- Mayavaram-Tranquebar Railway and the only basis for it is that the figures which -- vary much, naturally, seem to show that the trade of Negapatam is falling. For this of course there may be many reasons. Take for example exports - the main fall is in piece goods, and paddy and rice. I think the ~~grain~~ former ha~~ve~~s probably gone to Tuticorin and the latter to Muthupet. I think the fall is due to the deterioration of the port itself which is naturally bad & is getting worse. The only way as I have said in -- another place to enable Negapatam to hold its own is to diminish charges & increase facilities. It is with the latter view I said I thought this customs Godown i needed. They have had very heavy rain at Negapatam during the last two months when cargo landed there must have suffered --- much for want of the shed.

9/12/96

Recd: 14.12.96

~~H6~~

The enclosures to be returned to Rev. Dept.

Submitted with reference to His Excy's note of 18.11.96. on the draft order flagged A.

The grant for the work cannot well be withdrawn as liabilities to the extent of Rs 9436 have already been incurred.

15/12/96

W

The revised estimate of Rs. 5700 is sanctioned.

A.44 17.12.96

R.S. 12/11 } R 19/11
H.E. 16/11

H.E. 15/12 R 18/12

No. 4341 C

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Madras, 23d November 1896.

OFFICE NOTE.

From the Secy to Govt. P.W.D.

To the Secy to Govt. Rev. Dept.

Please note with reference to His Excy's remarks on the accompanying draft order.

The work cannot well be postponed without loss, as liabilities to the extent of Rs 9435-15 have already been incurred.

D. McN. Campbell Colonel R.E.
Secy to Govt.

Office.
There was a letter by Mr. [illegible]
and another by me lately discussed
[illegible] about this subject.
Please put them up.

25/11/96

Please see papers
with C. No. 8798/96.
tied with red tape
4 Dec 96.

(48—1,000—17-12-95.)

Public Works Department.

Current No. 878K

Letter No. 301 W

Dated 28th September 1896

From the Supg. Engineer V Circle.

NOTE BY TECHNICAL SECTION.

Name of work Constructing Customs Go-
-down at Negapatam.

Amount of Estimate Rs 5700/

With reference to this Office Memo No. 3074 C dated 13th August 1896 the SE V Circle submits revised plan & estimate, amounting to Rs 5.700 for constructing Customs Godown at Negapatam in lieu of the estimate, amounting to Rs 13,100, sanctioned in G.O. No. 3131 W dated 5th Decr. 1895

The revised estimate provides for a godown 1/3 the storing accommodation of the one sanctioned in the G.O. under reference. The details of iron work & the section of the godown are the same as those originally sanctioned.

The expenditure incurred on the original sanctioned estimate is reported to be as follows:-

Value of work done by the P. W. workshops	Rs 6135.12.2
Value of Portland cement purchased & remaining in hand	723.7.0
C.O.	6859.0

The estimate may be sanctioned: but the Superintending Engineer should report how he proposes to utilise the large amount of iron-work supplied in excess of requirements.

Execution of the work might be deferred till, say, 1st December 1896 so as to give the S. I. Ry. Company time to decide on the location of the extension of the Nagore-Negapatam line.

Say 15th [illegible] Since this has taken to say to export.

Conway 27.10.96

CMM 7.11.96

P. W. 60
200—2-9-96.

B. f. Rs 6859.3.2.

550 Cft. of Lime jelly supplied by piece worker	137.8.0
Petty supervision	35....
Rs	7031.11.2
Deduct amount due to piece worker	15....
Total expenditure	7016.11.2

Of the above, it is reported that the item of cement found surplus after completing the work will be transferred to other works & the necessary credit given to this work, also that the debit of Rs 35 against petty supervision is erroneous & will be transferred to the work concerned.

The SE since the submission of the above now reports that the value of materials already collected & work done by the Supdt. P. W. Workshops is not Rs 6,135-12-3 but about Rs 8,540, or Rs 2,414.3.10 in excess vide Current No. 9225 ~~linked~~ [illegible]

The sections proposed for the iron roof are correct vide Moles-worths pocket book.

The rates are fair.

The revised plan & estimate have not been countersigned by the Presidency Port Officer nor approved in the Marine Dept.

Wo
26.4-96

DW

In orders. Please see linked file (Current No. 9332) in which the Agent S. I. Ry asks that the work may be postponed until the alignment of the Negapatam - Nagore Railway has been decided.

A large expenditure has already been incurred in materials.

Ch
26.10-96

E.E. might be asked to report as soon as the line has been located, & in the meanwhile the estimate might stand over.

Ch

Public Works (1896) Departm

CURRENT No. 93

11

Order

From
The Revenu

No. 4

Dated 10. 10. 96
Received 16. 10. 96
Enclosures 2
Spare copies -

SUBJECT.

Customs Shed at Nega

Tran ing communication from
requesting to defer
S. I. R customs shed at Nega-
ocation of the extension
ere tam line is finally de-

~~Ord~~

End

Dep

Oota
10th

Re

To the

Note
cu

ACK PAPERS.

No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. 7000

From

The Marine Department.

~~Order~~ 118

Endorsement No.4057 Mis.

Transferred to the Public Works Department. for the necessary action.

Lionel Davidson 12/10

Ag.Under Secy.to Govt.

Ootacamund,

10th October 1896.

Rec. 13

To the Public Works Dept.

Note circulated to the Hon: Mr Bliss in 12/10

No. 497 E.

Dated 30th September 1896.

Received 1st October 1896.

Registered "

Enclosures 1

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Transferring communication from the Agent, S.I.Ry.requesting to defer the erection of the customs shed at Negapatam until the location of the extension of Nagore-Negapatam line is finally decided upon.

05477

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No. 497 E.

Government of Madras.

Marine ~~PUBLIC WORKS~~ DEPARTMENT.

Endorsement ~~MEMORANDUM.~~

1 OCT 96
REV. DEPT.

Dated ~~Madras,~~ Ootacamund 30 September 1896.

× sent to AR
1-10-96

Endorsement from the P. W. Department, Railway Branch, No. 1431 D dated 28th September 1896, forwarding copy of letter from the Agent South Indian Railway, requesting to defer the erection of the Customs shed at Negapatam until the location of the intension of Nagore-Negapatam line is finally decided upon.

10 P

P.R.W. (10)

Print

Transferred to the Revenue Department

D. McW. Campbell Col. R.E.,
Secretary

The Revenue Department.

[P. W. 41 / 2,000—6-3-96.]

E 9/96

PWE.2
5232/56

Urgent

R. Form No. 11

No. 1431 D

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,

RAILWAY BRANCH.

MEMORANDUM.

Dated ~~Madras~~, Ootacamund 28th September 1896.

Letter from the Agent, South Indian Railway No: 879 dated 24th September 1896.

Referring to the commencing portion of my letter No: 776 dated the 26th August 1896, regarding the Negapatam-Nagore Railway, I have the honour to inform you that when our Chief Engineer was at Negapatam recently investigating the route for the extension, he was informed by the Port Officer that a Customs shed is about to be erected near the Light House, the site of which as at present selected would probably be on the alignment of the extension, and I would suggest the advisability of the Marine

Department

1896
REVENUE DEPAR
Enclosure No/
7000

Department being asked to defer the erection of the shed until the location of the extension, which is about to be, surveyed, is finally decided upon.

Copy forwarded to the Marine Department for favour of necessary action.

J. Foster

Offg: Jt. Secretary

To,

The Marine Department.

OWS. 1
9332/96

Public Works (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. 9225

8 1/2

Order

From The Superintending Engineer
5th Circle.

No. 324 W.

Dated 12th October 1896.

Received 13.10.96

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Customs Godown Negapatam. —

Informing that the General Superintendent of P.W. Workshops has since stated that the total cost up to date of materials obtained & work done for them is about Rs 8540 against the amount Rs 6136-12-2 ~~given in number~~

7

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,
V CIRCLE, MADRAS,
Chepák, 12th October 1896

No. 324 W.

From
Lieut. Colonel C. C. Rawson R.E.,
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
V CIRCLE,

To
THE Chief Engineer,
P.W. Department.

Print

SIR,

× CN. 8784
Sent to Gov 3/10

Enclosures.

In continuation of this Office No. 301 W of 28th September 1896, para 2, class 1, I have the honour to inform you that the General Superintendent of P.W. Workshops has since stated that the total Cost up to date of materials obtained and work done for the Customs Godown, Negapatam, is about Rs. 8,540, against the amount Rs. 6135-12-2 given in my letter quoted above.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt. servant,
C C Rawson
12/10/96 Lt. Col. R.E.,
Supg. Engineer, V Circle.

From

The Superintending Engineer,
V Circle,

To

The

Dated }
Recd. } 189 .

Enclosures.

No.

Public Works (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. 8784

~~8~~ 7½

Order

From The Supdg: Engineer
5th Circle

No. 301W.

Dated 28th September 1896.

Received 1.10.96

Enclosures 14 (4 plans)

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Customs Godown at Negapatam.

Submits for Sanction the plan & Estimate of Rs 5700 for construct-

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
memo.	497E	marine	30-9-96 ✓
G.O.	790R	P.W.	16-9-96 ✓
memo	3074C	"	13-8-96 ✓
G.O.	561W	"	14-2-96 ✓
"	3131W	"	5-12-95 ✓
"	2786C	"	20-9-95 ✓
"	4142C	"	19-12-94 ✓
"	1009W	"	17-12-94 ✓

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,
V CIRCLE, MADRAS,

No. 301 W.

Chepâk, 28 Septr: 1896.

From Lieut: Colonel C. C. Rawson R. E.
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, V CIRCLE,

To
THE Chief Engineer P. W. Department

SIR,

In returning the papers received with your No: 3074 C d/ 13th August 1896, I have the honour to submit for sanction the Enclosed plan and Estimate of Rs: 5,700 for constructing Customs Godown at Negapatam, one-third the size of the building originally sanctioned in G.O. No 3131 W d/ 5th December 1895.

2. The expenditure incurred on the sanctioned Estimate of Rs: 13,100 up to date is as follows:—

Enclosures. 14

1 Revd: plan.
1 Site plan
1 do. Report
1 do. Detail Este:
1 do. abstract do.
1 orgl: plan
1 " site plan
1 " Report
1 " Detail Este:
1 " abstract do.
1 P. of Bd: of Rev: No 2751 d/ 2-7-96
1 Do. No: 3162 d/ 29.7.96
1 Telegram
1 G.O. No: 3078 Mis d/ 1.8.96 with Chief Engr's: Endorsement No: 3074 C d/ 13.8.96

14

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Memo.	497E	Marine	30-9-96 ✓
G.O.	790R	P.W.	16-9-96 ✓
Memo	3074C	"	13-8-96 ✓
G.O.	561W	"	14-2-96 ✓
"	3131W	"	5-12-95 ✓
"	2786C	"	20-9-95 ✓
"	4142C	"	19-12-94 ✓
"	1009W	"	17-12-94 ✓

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,
V CIRCLE, MADRAS,
Chepâk, 28 Septr: 1896.

No. 301 W.

From Lieut: Colonel C. C. Rawson R. E.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, V CIRCLE,

To

THE Chief Engineer P. W. Department

Enclosures. 14

1 Revd: plan.
1 do. Site plan
1 do. Report
1 do. Detail Este:
1 do. abstract do.
1 orgl: plan
1 " site plan
1 " Report
1 " Detail Este:
1 " abstract do.
1 P. of Bd: of Rev: No 2751 d/ 2-7-96
1 Do. No 3162 d/ 29.7.96
1 Telegram
1 G.O. No 3078 Mis d/ 1.8.96 with Chief Engr's: Endorsement No 3074 C d/ 13.8.96
14

SIR,

In returning the papers received with your No 3074 C d/ 13th August 1896, I have the honour to submit for sanction the Enclosed plan and Estimate of Rs: 5,700 for constructing Customs Godown at Negapatam, one-third the size of the building originally sanctioned in G.O. No 3131 W d/ 5th December 1895.

2. The expenditure incurred on the sanctioned Estimate of Rs: 13,100 up to date is as follows:—

(1)	Value of work done by the P. W. workshops for Iron works	Rs: 6135.12.2
(2)	Portland cement purchased and remaining in hand - - -	" 723. 7. "
(3)	550 cft: of Stone Jelly supplied by piece worker - - -	" 137 - 8 . -
(4)	Petty Supervision - - - - -	" 20. . . . .
	Total Expenditure	Rs: 7,016 - 11 - 2

The sanctioned plan and Estimate are forwarded herewith for reference.

I have the honour to be

Sir

Your most obedt: Servt:

28/9/96

Lt Col. R. E.

Supt. Engineer V Circle

25.9.96

Dated }
Recd. } 189 .

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Code Class. INDIAN TELEGRAPHS Monthly Number. 1382

To (Office)

From (Office) Madras Tr[illegible]

Words.	Day.	Hour.	Minute.	Official Instructions.
[illegible]	29	17	42	S/A

To (Person) Madras Revenue

From (Person) Separate Revenue

Dont print

SECY GOVT MADRAS
30 JUL 95
REV. DEPT.

[illegible] 21st Collector reports

[illegible] Customs godown at least

one third of size originally

[illegible] be necessary before

[illegible]

Word Form.

F. C. I.

I.N.	Sent to	I.N.	
			W. [illegible]

Telegraph Master.

OOTACAMUND 30-7-96

From

The Superintending Engineer,

V Circle,

To

The

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS Monthly Number. 1382

Code Class.

To (Office)

From (Office) Madras Tripl[illegible]

Wor	Day.	Hour.	Minute.	Official Instructions.
19	29	17	42	Dfd

To (Person) Madras Revenue

From (Person) Separate Revenue

Dept. [illegible]

SECY. GOVT MADRAS 30 JUL 96 REV. DEPT.

telegram 21st Collector reports
customs godown at least
-third of size originally
proposed is necessary before
[illegible]

Word Form.

F. Cl.

LN. Sent to LN.

W. [illegible]

Telegraph Master.

OOTACAMUND 30-7-96

Revenue Department.

Pro 50

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

-----:::-----

2Copy attached. Read again G.O.No.2877 Mis., dated 20th July 1896.

* " Read telegram from the Board of Revenue, ~~xxxxx~~ Separate Revenue, dated 29th July 1896.

* " Read also Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, Separate Revenue, No.3162 Mis., dated 29th July 1896.

Order thereon: No.3078 Mis., dated 1st August 1896.

In the circumstances stated, the proposal to construct the customs godown at Negapatam at one-third of the size of the building already sanctioned is approved and transferred to the Public Works Department.

(True Extract)

[illegible]

Ag. Under Secretary to Govt.

To

The Board of Revenue, Sep.Rev.

The Public Works Dept.

circulated to the Hon. Mr. Bliss, C.I.E. in R.D.

F/21 2,000—18-2-96.

Gobt. of {1896} Madras.

REVENUE.

To

The Public Works Dept.

Dated
Recd.

Encl.

Mis. R. G.O., 1st August 1896, No. 3078

SUBJECT.

Approving and transferring to the P.W.D. the proposal to construct the customs godown at Negapatam at one-third of the size of the building already sanctioned.

No 561 W d/ 14-2-96
3131 W d/ 5-12-95
2786 C d/ 20-9-95
4142 C d/ 19-12-94
1009 W d/ 17-4-94

P. W. CURRENT No. ~~7066~~ 54

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE, V. CIRCLE, P.W.D., MADRAS.
No. 610.W.
15 AUG. 1896

8784/96

Enclo 3
Recd: 5.8.96

Precd 6

6 P

No. 3074 C d/ 13th August 1896

~~Forwarded~~ to the Supty: Engineer V Circle, in view to a revised estimate being submitted providing for a godown one-third the size of the one already sanctioned.

D. McD. Campbell Col. RE
Jt: Secy: to Govt.

15.8.96 Soon
E.D

OS300B
0
7/8/96

4

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE, MADRAS.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE, V. CIRCLE, P.W.D., MADRAS.
No. 3/610.W
15 AUG. 1896

Precd 4

4 P

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Separate Revenue.)

Dated 29th July 1896.

Mis No. 3162

Read again Boards Proceedings — Mis No 3063 (S.R), dated 22nd July 1896 telegraphing to the Collector of Tanjore to report if the construction of the Customs godown at Negapatam may be stopped altogether.

Read also letter from the Collector of Tanjore Ref on cur No 2317/Ver of '96 dated 27th July 1896 submitting report on the construction of a godown at Negapatam.

Resolution: — dated 29 July 1896, Mis No 3162

The following reply will be sent to Government

to

to its telegram of the 21st instant.

"Your telegram 21st × Collector reports that Customs Godown at least one third of size originally proposed is necessary × Board concurs."

2. The delay in replying to the telegram from Government was due to the Collector's, who was asked by wire on the 21st, having only submitted his reply read above today, after personal inspection.

(True extract)

Assistant Secretary

OWE 2
7066/96
8784

To,

The Secretary to Government,

Revenue Department

2/388
30

Ptd

Pt. 1

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE
15 AUG.
V. CIRCLE P.W.D. MADRAS.

10

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Separate Revenue.)

Dated 2nd July, 1896.

Mis No. 2751

Read again Boards Proceedings Mis No 2280 (dated 2nd June 1896 requesting the Collector of Tanjore to report without delay what progress has been made with the Construction of the Customs Godowns at Negapatam and to submit a more detailed report on the falling off of the trade of the Port.

Read also letter from the Collector of Tanjore Ref. on G & B No 657 of 1896 dated 20th June 1896 reporting on the progress made with the construction of the Customs godowns at Negapatam and making certain observations on the falling off of the trade of the Port.

Resolution:- [illegible] 2nd July 1896, Mis No 2751.

The Board in its Proceedings Mis No 4479 (S. R), dated 22nd October 1895, submitted for

G.O. 4693
20-11-95

5255
30-12-95

0/18 10,000—25-3-95

(1896.)

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Cu nt Number. 4879

om

The Board of Revenue,
Separate Revenue.

Dated 2nd July 1896.

Received 9th July 1896.

Enclosures

No. 2751 Mis.

Owc.91
~~7066~~/96
8284

SUBJECT.

Suggesting to Govt. that the customs godown to be erected at Negapatam be reduced to one-half of the proposed length for the present.

P.T.O.

38

ABSTRACT.

Plen 3 Pr/

Order:No.2877 Mis.d/20th July 1896.

Ordered that the following telegram be despatched to the Board of Revenue:-

" Board's Proceedings, 2nd instant, No.2751. Wire Can construction of the building be stopped altogether."

(True Extract)

/Sd/A.T.Arundel,
Ag.Secy.to Govt.

(True Copy)

Lionel Davidson
3/8
Ag.Under Secretary to Govt.

Km
3/8

14
111

O/18 10,000—25-3-93

30

ABSTRACT.

Public Works Department

Government (1896) of Madras.

PUBLIC.

Recd. } 1896. Enclosures

Regd. Spare copies

G.O., No. 1251, 25th November 1896.

Order thereon No 3610 W of 15.12.96.
Communicated to officers
of the Public Works Department.
(True extract)
(Sd) D C / W

NOVEMBER.

To
The Chief Engineer for Irrigation
" Consulting Engineer for Railways
" Superintending Engineers
" Consulting Architect to Government

Lieut Col: Smart R.E.
The Executive Engineer, Light House Division
" Examiner P. W. Accounts
" Examiner Railway Accounts.

Rules relating to the conduct of Government Servants.

Notifying that the ruling and prohibition contained in the Government of India Resolution of 16th January 1890, No. 2-R.—87-103, in regard to money being lent by Covenanted and Statutory Civil Servants and officers of the Provincial and subordinate Executive and Judicial services, shall be held applicable to loans to Europeans or Eurasians equally with natives, irrespective of the fact that the lender merely acts as a trustee under a marriage settlement or that money was lent on the security of a house in a hill station or other town.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper :—

From the Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department (Public), dated Simla, the 28th October 1896, No. 1708.

Order—No. 1251, Public, dated 25th November 1896.

By its Resolution, No. 2–R—87–103, dated 16th January 1890, the Government of India extended to all uncovenanted officers in the subordinate Executive and Judicial services the ruling, under which Covenanted Civil Servants and members of the Statutory Civil Service are prohibited from lending money, directly or indirectly, to any proprietor or farmer of land, or dependent taluqdar or under-farmer, or raiyat, or their sureties; and prohibited such officers from lending money at interest, whether directly or through relatives or other agents, to landholders, with or without security, within the province in which they are employed.

2. The Government of India has now directed that the foregoing ruling and prohibition shall be held applicable to loans to Europeans or Eurasians equally with natives and considers that the fact that the officer lending the money is acting as a trustee under a marriage settlement, without profit or advantage to himself, in no way affects the prohibition.

3. The question having been raised as to whether the owner of house property in a hill station or other town is included in the terms " proprietor of land " or " land holder ", the Government of India, in view of the doubt as to how the Courts might interpret the law (contained in section 2 of Bengal Regulation XXXVIII of 1793 which was reproduced in section 2 of Madras Regulation XIX of 1802) if the case of an Indian Civil Servant lending money on the security of a house came before them, is of opinion that it would not be safe for an Indian Civilian to lend money on such security. This view must, in accordance with the Resolution of 16th January 1890, be held to apply equally to such loans by officers of the Provincial and subordinate Executive and Judicial services.

(True Extract.)

A. T. ARUNDEL,
Ag. Chief Secretary.

To all Members of the Indian Civil Service (Madras.)
" Departments of the Secretariat.
" Heads of Departments under the Chief Secretary.
Gazette.

Exd. A. Raitt.

Government (1896) of Madras.

PUBLIC.

Recd. } 1896.
Regd. }

Enclosures

Spare copies 40

P.W. 11059/96

G.O., No. 1251, 25th November 1896.

Nº 3610 W 15-12-96.

NOVEMBER.

Rules relating to the conduct of Government Servants.

Notifying that the ruling and prohibition contained in the Government of India Resolution of 16th January 1890, No. 2–R.—87–103, in regard to money being lent by Covenanted and Statutory Civil Servants and officers of the Provincial and subordinate Executive and Judicial services, shall be held applicable to loans to Europeans or Eurasians equally with natives, irrespective of the fact that the lender merely acts as a trustee under a marriage settlement or that money was lent on the security of a house in a hill station or other town.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper :—

From the Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department (Public), dated Simla, the 28th October 1896, No. 1708.

Order—No. 1251, Public, dated 25th November 1896.

By its Resolution, No. 2–R—87–103, dated 16th January 1890, the Government of India extended to all uncovenanted officers in the subordinate Executive and Judicial services the ruling, under which Covenanted Civil Servants and members of the Statutory Civil Service are prohibited from lending money, directly or indirectly, to any proprietor or farmer of land, or dependent taluqdar or under-farmer, or raiyat, or their sureties; and prohibited such officers from lending money at interest, whether directly or through relatives or other agents, to landholders, with or without security, within the province in which they are employed.

2. The Government of India has now directed that the foregoing ruling and prohibition shall be held applicable to loans to Europeans or Eurasians equally with natives and considers that the fact that the officer lending the money is acting as a trustee under a marriage settlement, without profit or advantage to himself, in no way affects the prohibition.

3. The question having been raised as to whether the owner of house property in a hill station or other town is included in the terms "proprietor of land" or "land holder", the Government of India, in view of the doubt as to how the Courts might interpret the law (contained in section 2 of Bengal Regulation XXXVIII of 1793 which was reproduced in section 2 of Madras Regulation XIX of 1802) if the case of an Indian Civil Servant lending money on the security of a house came before them, is of opinion that it would not be safe for an Indian Civilian to lend money on such security. This view must, in accordance with the Resolution of 16th January 1890, be held to apply equally to such loans by officers of the Provincial and subordinate Executive and Judicial services.

(True Extract.)

A. T. ARUNDEL,
Ag. Chief Secretary.

To all Members of the Indian Civil Service (Madras.)
„ Departments of the Secretariat.
„ Heads of Departments under the Chief Secretary.
Gazette.

Exd. A. Raitt.

Government (1896) of Madras.

PUBLIC.

Recd. } 1896. *Enclosures*
Regd. *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 1251, 25th November 1896.

NOVEMBER.

Rules relating to the conduct of Government Servants.

Notifying that the ruling and prohibition contained in the Government of India Resolution of 16th January 1890, No. 2–R.—87–103, in regard to money being lent by Covenanted and Statutory Civil Servants and officers of the Provincial and subordinate Executive and Judicial services, shall be held applicable to loans to Europeans or Eurasians equally with natives, irrespective of the fact that the lender merely acts as a trustee under a marriage settlement or that money was lent on the security of a house in a hill station or other town.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper :—

From the Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department (Public), dated Simla, the 28th October 1896, No. 1708.

Order—No. 1251, Public, dated 25th November 1896.

By its Resolution, No. 2–R—87–103, dated 16th January 1890, the Government of India extended to all uncovenanted officers in the subordinate Executive and Judicial services the ruling, under which Covenanted Civil Servants and members of the Statutory Civil Service are prohibited from lending money, directly or indirectly, to any proprietor or farmer of land, or dependent taluqdar or under-farmer, or raiyat, or their sureties; and prohibited such officers from lending money at interest, whether directly or through relatives or other agents, to landholders, with or without security, within the province in which they are employed.

2. The Government of India has now directed that the foregoing ruling and prohibition shall be held applicable to loans to Europeans or Eurasians equally with natives and considers that the fact that the officer lending the money is acting as a trustee under a marriage settlement, without profit or advantage to himself, in no way affects the prohibition.

3. The question having been raised as to whether the owner of house property in a hill station or other town is included in the terms "proprietor of land" or "land holder", the Government of India, in view of the doubt as to how the Courts might interpret the law (contained in section 2 of Bengal Regulation XXXVIII of 1793 which was reproduced in section 2 of Madras Regulation XIX of 1802) if the case of an Indian Civil Servant lending money on the security of a house came before them, is of opinion that it would not be safe for an Indian Civilian to lend money on such security. This view must, in accordance with the Resolution of 16th January 1890, be held to apply equally to such loans by officers of the Provincial and subordinate Executive and Judicial services.

(True Extract.)

A. T. ARUNDEL,
Ag. Chief Secretary.

To all Members of the Indian Civil Service (Madras.)
,, Departments of the Secretariat.
,, Heads of Departments under the Chief Secretary.
Gazette.

Exd. A. Raitt.

Government (1896) of Madras.

PUBLIC.

Recd. } 1896.
Regd.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O., No. 1251, 25th November 1896.

NOVEMBER.

Rules relating to the conduct of Government Servants.

Notifying that the ruling and prohibition contained in the Government of India Resolution of 16th January 1890, No. 2–R.—87–103, in regard to money being lent by Covenanted and Statutory Civil Servants and officers of the Provincial and subordinate Executive and Judicial services, shall be held applicable to loans to Europeans or Eurasians equally with natives, irrespective of the fact that the lender merely acts as a trustee under a marriage settlement or that money was lent on the security of a house in a hill station or other town.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper :—

From the Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department (Public), dated Simla, the 28th October 1896, No. 1708.

Order—No. 1251, Public, dated 25th November 1896.

By its Resolution, No. 2–R—87–103, dated 16th January 1890, the Government of India extended to all uncovenanted officers in the subordinate Executive and Judicial services the ruling, under which Covenanted Civil Servants and members of the Statutory Civil Service are prohibited from lending money, directly or indirectly, to any proprietor or farmer of land, or dependent taluqdar or under-farmer, or raiyat, or their sureties; and prohibited such officers from lending money at interest, whether directly or through relatives or other agents, to landholders, with or without security, within the province in which they are employed.

2. The Government of India has now directed that the foregoing ruling and prohibition shall be held applicable to loans to Europeans or Eurasians equally with natives and considers that the fact that the officer lending the money is acting as a trustee under a marriage settlement, without profit or advantage to himself, in no way affects the prohibition.

3. The question having been raised as to whether the owner of house property in a hill station or other town is included in the terms "proprietor of land" or "land holder", the Government of India, in view of the doubt as to how the Courts might interpret the law (contained in section 2 of Bengal Regulation XXXVIII of 1793 which was reproduced in section 2 of Madras Regulation XIX of 1802) if the case of an Indian Civil Servant lending money on the security of a house came before them, is of opinion that it would not be safe for an Indian Civilian to lend money on such security. This view must, in accordance with the Resolution of 16th January 1890, be held to apply equally to such loans by officers of the Provincial and subordinate Executive and Judicial services.

(True Extract.)

A. T. ARUNDEL,
Ag. Chief Secretary.

To all Members of the Indian Civil Service (Madras.)
„ Departments of the Secretariat.
„ Heads of Departments under the Chief Secretary.

Gazette.

Exd. A. Raitt.

Government {1896} of Madras.

PUBLIC.

Recd. } 1896. *Enclosures*
Regd. } *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 1251, 25th November 1896.

NOVEMBER.

Rules relating to the conduct of Government Servants.

Notifying that the ruling and prohibition contained in the Government of India Resolution of 16th January 1890, No. 2–R.—87–103, in regard to money being lent by Covenanted and Statutory Civil Servants and officers of the Provincial and subordinate Executive and Judicial services, shall be held applicable to loans to Europeans or Eurasians equally with natives, irrespective of the fact that the lender merely acts as a trustee under a marriage settlement or that money was lent on the security of a house in a hill station or other town.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper :—

From the Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department (Public), dated Simla, the 28th October 1896, No. 1708.

ORDER—No. 1251, Public, dated 25th November 1896.

By its Resolution, No. 2–R—87–103, dated 16th January 1890, the Government of India extended to all uncovenanted officers in the subordinate Executive and Judicial services the ruling, under which Covenanted Civil Servants and members of the Statutory Civil Service are prohibited from lending money, directly or indirectly, to any proprietor or farmer of land, or dependent taluqdar or under-farmer, or raiyat, or their sureties; and prohibited such officers from lending money at interest, whether directly or through relatives or other agents, to landholders, with or without security, within the province in which they are employed.

2. The Government of India has now directed that the foregoing ruling and prohibition shall be held applicable to loans to Europeans or Eurasians equally with natives and considers that the fact that the officer lending the money is acting as a trustee under a marriage settlement, without profit or advantage to himself, in no way affects the prohibition.

3. The question having been raised as to whether the owner of house property in a hill station or other town is included in the terms "proprietor of land" or "land holder", the Government of India, in view of the doubt as to how the Courts might interpret the law (contained in section 2 of Bengal Regulation XXXVIII of 1793 which was reproduced in section 2 of Madras Regulation XIX of 1802) if the case of an Indian Civil Servant lending money on the security of a house came before them, is of opinion that it would not be safe for an Indian Civilian to lend money on such security. This view must, in accordance with the Resolution of 16th January 1890, be held to apply equally to such loans by officers of the Provincial and subordinate Executive and Judicial services.

(True Extract.)

A. T. ARUNDEL,
Ag. Chief Secretary.

To all Members of the Indian Civil Service (Madras.)
,, Departments of the Secretariat.
,, Heads of Departments under the Chief Secretary.
Gazette.

Exd. A. Raitt.

Government {1896} of Madras.

PUBLIC.

Recd. } 1896. *Enclosures*
Regd. *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 1251, 25th November 1896.

NOVEMBER.

Rules relating to the conduct of Government Servants.

Notifying that the ruling and prohibition contained in the Government of India Resolution of 16th January 1890, No. 2–R.—87–103, in regard to money being lent by Covenanted and Statutory Civil Servants and officers of the Provincial and subordinate Executive and Judicial services, shall be held applicable to loans to Europeans or Eurasians equally with natives, irrespective of the fact that the lender merely acts as a trustee under a marriage settlement or that money was lent on the security of a house in a hill station or other town.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper :—

From the Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department (Public), dated Simla, the 28th October 1896, No. 1708.

Order—No. 1251, Public, dated 25th November 1896.

By its Resolution, No. 2–R—87–103, dated 16th January 1890, the Government of India extended to all uncovenanted officers in the subordinate Executive and Judicial services the ruling, under which Covenanted Civil Servants and members of the Statutory Civil Service are prohibited from lending money, directly or indirectly, to any proprietor or farmer of land, or dependent taluqdar or under-farmer, or raiyat, or their sureties; and prohibited such officers from lending money at interest, whether directly or through relatives or other agents, to landholders, with or without security, within the province in which they are employed.

2. The Government of India has now directed that the foregoing ruling and prohibition shall be held applicable to loans to Europeans or Eurasians equally with natives and considers that the fact that the officer lending the money is acting as a trustee under a marriage settlement, without profit or advantage to himself, in no way affects the prohibition.

3. The question having been raised as to whether the owner of house property in a hill station or other town is included in the terms "proprietor of land" or "land holder", the Government of India, in view of the doubt as to how the Courts might interpret the law (contained in section 2 of Bengal Regulation XXXVIII of 1793 which was reproduced in section 2 of Madras Regulation XIX of 1802) if the case of an Indian Civil Servant lending money on the security of a house came before them, is of opinion that it would not be safe for an Indian Civilian to lend money on such security. This view must, in accordance with the Resolution of 16th January 1890, be held to apply equally to such loans by officers of the Provincial and subordinate Executive and Judicial services.

(True Extract.)

A. T. ARUNDEL,
Ag. Chief Secretary.

To all Members of the Indian Civil Service (Madras.)
" Departments of the Secretariat.
" Heads of Departments under the Chief Secretary.

Gazette.

Exd. A. Raitt.

Government {1896} of Madras.

PUBLIC.

Recd. } 1896. *Enclosures*
Regd. } *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 1251, 25th November 1896.

NOVEMBER.

Rules relating to the conduct of Government Servants.

Notifying that the ruling and prohibition contained in the Government of India Resolution of 16th January 1890, No. 2–R.—87–103, in regard to money being lent by Covenanted and Statutory Civil Servants and officers of the Provincial and subordinate Executive and Judicial services, shall be held applicable to loans to Europeans or Eurasians equally with natives, irrespective of the fact that the lender merely acts as a trustee under a marriage settlement or that money was lent on the security of a house in a hill station or other town.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper :—

From the Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department (Public), dated Simla, the 28th October 1896, No. 1708.

ORDER—No. 1251, Public, dated 25th November 1896.

By its Resolution, No. 2–R—87–103, dated 16th January 1890, the Government of India extended to all uncovenanted officers in the subordinate Executive and Judicial services the ruling, under which Covenanted Civil Servants and members of the Statutory Civil Service are prohibited from lending money, directly or indirectly, to any proprietor or farmer of land, or dependent taluqdar or under-farmer, or raiyat, or their sureties; and prohibited such officers from lending money at interest, whether directly or through relatives or other agents, to landholders, with or without security, within the province in which they are employed.

2. The Government of India has now directed that the foregoing ruling and prohibition shall be held applicable to loans to Europeans or Eurasians equally with natives and considers that the fact that the officer lending the money is acting as a trustee under a marriage settlement, without profit or advantage to himself, in no way affects the prohibition.

3. The question having been raised as to whether the owner of house property in a hill station or other town is included in the terms "proprietor of land" or "land holder", the Government of India, in view of the doubt as to how the Courts might interpret the law (contained in section 2 of Bengal Regulation XXXVIII of 1793 which was reproduced in section 2 of Madras Regulation XIX of 1802) if the case of an Indian Civil Servant lending money on the security of a house came before them, is of opinion that it would not be safe for an Indian Civilian to lend money on such security. This view must, in accordance with the Resolution of 16th January 1890, be held to apply equally to such loans by officers of the Provincial and subordinate Executive and Judicial services.

(True Extract.)

A. T. ARUNDEL,
Ag. Chief Secretary.

To all Members of the Indian Civil Service (Madras.)
" Departments of the Secretariat.
" Heads of Departments under the Chief Secretary.

Gazette.

Exd. A. Raitt.

Government (1896) of Madras.

PUBLIC.

Recd. } 1896. *Enclosures*
Regd. } *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 1251, 25th November 1896.

NOVEMBER.

Rules relating to the conduct of Government Servants.

Notifying that the ruling and prohibition contained in the Government of India Resolution of 16th January 1890, No. 2–R.—87–103, in regard to money being lent by Covenanted and Statutory Civil Servants and officers of the Provincial and subordinate Executive and Judicial services, shall be held applicable to loans to Europeans or Eurasians equally with natives, irrespective of the fact that the lender merely acts as a trustee under a marriage settlement or that money was lent on the security of a house in a hill station or other town.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper :—

From the Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department (Public), dated Simla, the 28th October 1896, No. 1708.

Order—No. 1251, Public, dated 25th November 1896.

By its Resolution, No. 2–R—87–103, dated 16th January 1890, the Government of India extended to all uncovenanted officers in the subordinate Executive and Judicial services the ruling, under which Covenanted Civil Servants and members of the Statutory Civil Service are prohibited from lending money, directly or indirectly, to any proprietor or farmer of land, or dependent taluqdar or under-farmer, or raiyat, or their sureties; and prohibited such officers from lending money at interest, whether directly or through relatives or other agents, to landholders, with or without security, within the province in which they are employed.

2. The Government of India has now directed that the foregoing ruling and prohibition shall be held applicable to loans to Europeans or Eurasians equally with natives and considers that the fact that the officer lending the money is acting as a trustee under a marriage settlement, without profit or advantage to himself, in no way affects the prohibition.

3. The question having been raised as to whether the owner of house property in a hill station or other town is included in the terms "proprietor of land" or "land holder", the Government of India, in view of the doubt as to how the Courts might interpret the law (contained in section 2 of Bengal Regulation XXXVIII of 1793 which was reproduced in section 2 of Madras Regulation XIX of 1802) if the case of an Indian Civil Servant lending money on the security of a house came before them, is of opinion that it would not be safe for an Indian Civilian to lend money on such security. This view must, in accordance with the Resolution of 16th January 1890, be held to apply equally to such loans by officers of the Provincial and subordinate Executive and Judicial services.

(True Extract.)

A. T. ARUNDEL,
Ag. Chief Secretary.

To all Members of the Indian Civil Service (Madras.)
„ Departments of the Secretariat.
„ Heads of Departments under the Chief Secretary.

Gazette.

Exd. A. Raitt.

Government (1896) of Madras.

PUBLIC.

Recd. } 1896. *Enclosures*
Regd. *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 1251, 25th November 1896.

NOVEMBER.

Rules relating to the conduct of Government Servants.

Notifying that the ruling and prohibition contained in the Government of India Resolution of 16th January 1890, No. 2–R.—87–103, in regard to money being lent by Covenanted and Statutory Civil Servants and officers of the Provincial and subordinate Executive and Judicial services, shall be held applicable to loans to Europeans or Eurasians equally with natives, irrespective of the fact that the lender merely acts as a trustee under a marriage settlement or that money was lent on the security of a house in a hill station or other town.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper :—

From the Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department (Public), dated Simla, the 28th October 1896, No. 1708.

Order—No. 1251, Public, dated 25th November 1896.

By its Resolution, No. 2–R—87–103, dated 16th January 1890, the Government of India extended to all uncovenanted officers in the subordinate Executive and Judicial services the ruling, under which Covenanted Civil Servants and members of the Statutory Civil Service are prohibited from lending money, directly or indirectly, to any proprietor or farmer of land, or dependent taluqdar or under-farmer, or raiyat, or their sureties; and prohibited such officers from lending money at interest, whether directly or through relatives or other agents, to landholders, with or without security, within the province in which they are employed.

2. The Government of India has now directed that the foregoing ruling and prohibition shall be held applicable to loans to Europeans or Eurasians equally with natives and considers that the fact that the officer lending the money is acting as a trustee under a marriage settlement, without profit or advantage to himself, in no way affects the prohibition.

3. The question having been raised as to whether the owner of house property in a hill station or other town is included in the terms "proprietor of land" or "land holder", the Government of India, in view of the doubt as to how the Courts might interpret the law (contained in section 2 of Bengal Regulation XXXVIII of 1793 which was reproduced in section 2 of Madras Regulation XIX of 1802) if the case of an Indian Civil Servant lending money on the security of a house came before them, is of opinion that it would not be safe for an Indian Civilian to lend money on such security. This view must, in accordance with the Resolution of 16th January 1890, be held to apply equally to such loans by officers of the Provincial and subordinate Executive and Judicial services.

(True Extract.)

A. T. ARUNDEL,
Ag. Chief Secretary.

To all Members of the Indian Civil Service (Madras.)
„ Departments of the Secretariat.
„ Heads of Departments under the Chief Secretary.
Gazette.

Exd. A. Raitt.

Government (1896) of Madras.

PUBLIC.

Recd. } 1896. *Enclosures*
Regd. } *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 1251, 25th November 1896.

NOVEMBER.

Rules relating to the conduct of Government Servants.

Notifying that the ruling and prohibition contained in the Government of India Resolution of 16th January 1890, No. 2–R.—87–103, in regard to money being lent by Covenanted and Statutory Civil Servants and officers of the Provincial and subordinate Executive and Judicial services, shall be held applicable to loans to Europeans or Eurasians equally with natives, irrespective of the fact that the lender merely acts as a trustee under a marriage settlement or that money was lent on the security of a house in a hill station or other town.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper:—

From the Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department (Public), dated Simla, the 28th October 1896, No. 1708.

Order—No. 1251, Public, dated 25th November 1896.

By its Resolution, No. 2–R.—87–103, dated 16th January 1890, the Government of India extended to all uncovenanted officers in the subordinate Executive and Judicial services the ruling, under which Covenanted Civil Servants and members of the Statutory Civil Service are prohibited from lending money, directly or indirectly, to any proprietor or farmer of land, or dependent taluqdar or under-farmer, or raiyat, or their sureties; and prohibited such officers from lending money at interest, whether directly or through relatives or other agents, to landholders, with or without security, within the province in which they are employed.

2. The Government of India has now directed that the foregoing ruling and prohibition shall be held applicable to loans to Europeans or Eurasians equally with natives and considers that the fact that the officer lending the money is acting as a trustee under a marriage settlement, without profit or advantage to himself, in no way affects the prohibition.

3. The question having been raised as to whether the owner of house property in a hill station or other town is included in the terms "proprietor of land" or "land holder", the Government of India, in view of the doubt as to how the Courts might interpret the law (contained in section 2 of Bengal Regulation XXXVIII of 1793 which was reproduced in section 2 of Madras Regulation XIX of 1802) if the case of an Indian Civil Servant lending money on the security of a house came before them, is of opinion that it would not be safe for an Indian Civilian to lend money on such security. This view must, in accordance with the Resolution of 16th January 1890, be held to apply equally to such loans by officers of the Provincial and subordinate Executive and Judicial services.

(True Extract.)

A. T. ARUNDEL,
Ag. Chief Secretary.

To all Members of the Indian Civil Service (Madras.)
„ Departments of the Secretariat.
„ Heads of Departments under the Chief Secretary.
Gazette.

Exd. A. Raitt.

Government (1896) of Madras.

PUBLIC.

Recd.	1896.	*Enclosures*
Regd.		*Spare copies*

G.O., No. 1251, 25th November 1896.

NOVEMBER.

Rules relating to the conduct of Government Servants.

Notifying that the ruling and prohibition contained in the Government of India Resolution of 16th January 1890, No. 2–R.—87–103, in regard to money being lent by Covenanted and Statutory Civil Servants and officers of the Provincial and subordinate Executive and Judicial services, shall be held applicable to loans to Europeans or Eurasians equally with natives, irrespective of the fact that the lender merely acts as a trustee under a marriage settlement or that money was lent on the security of a house in a hill station or other town.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper :—

From the Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department (Public), dated Simla, the 28th October 1896, No. 1708.

Order—No. 1251, Public, dated 25th November 1896.

By its Resolution, No. 2–R—87–103, dated 16th January 1890, the Government of India extended to all uncovenanted officers in the subordinate Executive and Judicial services the ruling, under which Covenanted Civil Servants and members of the Statutory Civil Service are prohibited from lending money, directly or indirectly, to any proprietor or farmer of land, or dependent taluqdar or under-farmer, or raiyat, or their sureties; and prohibited such officers from lending money at interest, whether directly or through relatives or other agents, to landholders, with or without security, within the province in which they are employed.

2. The Government of India has now directed that the foregoing ruling and prohibition shall be held applicable to loans to Europeans or Eurasians equally with natives and considers that the fact that the officer lending the money is acting as a trustee under a marriage settlement, without profit or advantage to himself, in no way affects the prohibition.

3. The question having been raised as to whether the owner of house property in a hill station or other town is included in the terms "proprietor of land" or "land holder", the Government of India, in view of the doubt as to how the Courts might interpret the law (contained in section 2 of Bengal Regulation XXXVIII of 1793 which was reproduced in section 2 of Madras Regulation XIX of 1802) if the case of an Indian Civil Servant lending money on the security of a house came before them, is of opinion that it would not be safe for an Indian Civilian to lend money on such security. This view must, in accordance with the Resolution of 16th January 1890, be held to apply equally to such loans by officers of the Provincial and subordinate Executive and Judicial services.

(True Extract.)

A. T. ARUNDEL,
Ag. Chief Secretary.

To all Members of the Indian Civil Service (Madras.)
„ Departments of the Secretariat.
„ Heads of Departments under the Chief Secretary.

Gazette.

Exd. A. Raitt.

Government {1896} of Madras.

PUBLIC.

Recd. } 1896. *Enclosures*
Regd. } *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 1251, 25th November 1896.

NOVEMBER.

Rules relating to the conduct of Government Servants.

Notifying that the ruling and prohibition contained in the Government of India Resolution of 16th January 1890, No. 2–R.—87–103, in regard to money being lent by Covenanted and Statutory Civil Servants and officers of the Provincial and subordinate Executive and Judicial services, shall be held applicable to loans to Europeans or Eurasians equally with natives, irrespective of the fact that the lender merely acts as a trustee under a marriage settlement or that money was lent on the security of a house in a hill station or other town.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper :—

From the Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department (Public), dated Simla, the 28th October 1896, No. 1708.

Order—No. 1251, Public, dated 25th November 1896.

By its Resolution, No. 2–R—87–103, dated 16th January 1890, the Government of India extended to all uncovenanted officers in the subordinate Executive and Judicial services the ruling, under which Covenanted Civil Servants and members of the Statutory Civil Service are prohibited from lending money, directly or indirectly, to any proprietor or farmer of land, or dependent taluqdar or under-farmer, or raiyat, or their sureties; and prohibited such officers from lending money at interest, whether directly or through relatives or other agents, to landholders, with or without security, within the province in which they are employed.

2. The Government of India has now directed that the foregoing ruling and prohibition shall be held applicable to loans to Europeans or Eurasians equally with natives and considers that the fact that the officer lending the money is acting as a trustee under a marriage settlement, without profit or advantage to himself, in no way affects the prohibition.

3. The question having been raised as to whether the owner of house property in a hill station or other town is included in the terms "proprietor of land" or "land holder", the Government of India, in view of the doubt as to how the Courts might interpret the law (contained in section 2 of Bengal Regulation XXXVIII of 1793 which was reproduced in section 2 of Madras Regulation XIX of 1802) if the case of an Indian Civil Servant lending money on the security of a house came before them, is of opinion that it would not be safe for an Indian Civilian to lend money on such security. This view must, in accordance with the Resolution of 16th January 1890, be held to apply equally to such loans by officers of the Provincial and subordinate Executive and Judicial services.

(True Extract.)

A. T. ARUNDEL,
Ag. Chief Secretary.

To all Members of the Indian Civil Service (Madras.)
" Departments of the Secretariat.
" Heads of Departments under the Chief Secretary.
Gazette.

Exd. A. Raitt.

Government {1896} of Madras.

PUBLIC.

Recd. } 1896.
Regd.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O., No. 1251, 25th November 1896.

NOVEMBER.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper :—

From the Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department (Public), dated Simla, the 28th October 1896, No. 1708.

Order—No. 1251, Public, dated 25th November 1896.

By its Resolution, No. 2-R—87-103, dated 16th January 1890, the Government of India extended to all uncovenanted officers in the subordinate Executive and Judicial services the ruling, under which Covenanted Civil Servants and members of the Statutory Civil Service are prohibited from lending money, directly or indirectly, to any proprietor or farmer of land, or dependent taluqdar or under-farmer, or raiyat, or their sureties; and prohibited such officers from lending money at interest, whether directly or through relatives or other agents, to landholders, with or without security, within the province in which they are employed.

2. The Government of India has now directed that the foregoing ruling and prohibition shall be held applicable to loans to Europeans or Eurasians equally with natives and considers that the fact that the officer lending the money is acting as a trustee under a marriage settlement, without profit or advantage to himself, in no way affects the prohibition.

3. The question having been raised as to whether the owner of house property in a hill station or other town is included in the terms "proprietor of land" or "land holder", the Government of India, in view of the doubt as to how the Courts might interpret the law (contained in section 2 of Bengal Regulation XXXVIII of 1793 which was reproduced in section 2 of Madras Regulation XIX of 1802) if the case of an Indian Civil Servant lending money on the security of a house came before them, is of opinion that it would not be safe for an Indian Civilian to lend money on such security. This view must, in accordance with the Resolution of 16th January 1890, be held to apply equally to such loans by officers of the Provincial and subordinate Executive and Judicial services.

(True Extract.)

To all Members of the Indian Civil Service (Madras.)
" Departments of the Secretariat.
" Heads of Departments under the Chief Secretary.

Gazette.

Exd. A. Raitt.

Government (1896) of Madras.

PUBLIC.

Recd. } 1896. *Enclosures*
Regd. *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 1251, 25th November 1896.

NOVEMBER.

Rules relating to the conduct of Government Servants.

Notifying that the ruling and prohibition contained in the Government of India Resolution of 16th January 1890, No. 2-R.—87-103, in regard to money being lent by Covenanted and Statutory Civil Servants and officers of the Provincial and subordinate Executive and Judicial services, shall be held applicable to loans to Europeans or Eurasians equally with natives, irrespective of the fact that the lender merely acts as a trustee under a marriage settlement or that money was lent on the security of a house in a hill station or other town.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper :—

From the Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department (Public), dated Simla, the 28th October 1896, No. 1708.

Order—No. 1251, Public, dated 25th November 1896.

By its Resolution, No. 2-R—87-103, dated 16th January 1890, the Government of India extended to all uncovenanted officers in the subordinate Executive and Judicial services the ruling, under which Covenanted Civil Servants and members of the Statutory Civil Service are prohibited from lending money, directly or indirectly, to any proprietor or farmer of land, or dependent taluqdar or under-farmer, or raiyat, or their sureties; and prohibited such officers from lending money at interest, whether directly or through relatives or other agents, to landholders, with or without security, within the province in which they are employed.

2. The Government of India has now directed that the foregoing ruling and prohibition shall be held applicable to loans to Europeans or Eurasians equally with natives and considers that the fact that the officer lending the money is acting as a trustee under a marriage settlement, without profit or advantage to himself, in no way affects the prohibition.

3. The question having been raised as to whether the owner of house property in a hill station or other town is included in the terms " proprietor of land " or " land holder ", the Government of India, in view of the doubt as to how the Courts might interpret the law (contained in section 2 of Bengal Regulation XXXVIII of 1793 which was reproduced in section 2 of Madras Regulation XIX of 1802) if the case of an Indian Civil Servant lending money on the security of a house came before them, is of opinion that it would not be safe for an Indian Civilian to lend money on such security. This view must, in accordance with the Resolution of 16th January 1890, be held to apply equally to such loans by officers of the Provincial and subordinate Executive and Judicial services.

(True Extract.)

A. T. ARUNDEL,
Ag. Chief Secretary.

To all Members of the Indian Civil Service (Madras.)
" Departments of the Secretariat.
" Heads of Departments under the Chief Secretary.

Gazette.

Exd. A. Raitt.

Government (1896) of Madras.

PUBLIC.

Recd. } 1896. *Enclosures*
Regd. *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 1251, 25th November 1896.

NOVEMBER.

Rules relating to the conduct of Government Servants.

Notifying that the ruling and prohibition contained in the Government of India Resolution of 16th January 1890, No. 2-R.—87-103, in regard to money being lent by Covenanted and Statutory Civil Servants and officers of the Provincial and subordinate Executive and Judicial services, shall be held applicable to loans to Europeans or Eurasians equally with natives, irrespective of the fact that the lender merely acts as a trustee under a marriage settlement or that money was lent on the security of a house in a hill station or other town.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper :—

From the Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department (Public), dated Simla, the 28th October 1896, No. 1708.

Order—No. 1251, Public, dated 25th November 1896.

By its Resolution, No. 2–R—87–103, dated 16th January 1890, the Government of India extended to all uncovenanted officers in the subordinate Executive and Judicial services the ruling, under which Covenanted Civil Servants and members of the Statutory Civil Service are prohibited from lending money, directly or indirectly, to any proprietor or farmer of land, or dependent taluqdar or under-farmer, or raiyat, or their sureties; and prohibited such officers from lending money at interest, whether directly or through relatives or other agents, to landholders, with or without security, within the province in which they are employed.

2. The Government of India has now directed that the foregoing ruling and prohibition shall be held applicable to loans to Europeans or Eurasians equally with natives and considers that the fact that the officer lending the money is acting as a trustee under a marriage settlement, without profit or advantage to himself, in no way affects the prohibition.

3. The question having been raised as to whether the owner of house property in a hill station or other town is included in the terms "proprietor of land" or "land holder", the Government of India, in view of the doubt as to how the Courts might interpret the law (contained in section 2 of Bengal Regulation XXXVIII of 1793 which was reproduced in section 2 of Madras Regulation XIX of 1802) if the case of an Indian Civil Servant lending money on the security of a house came before them, is of opinion that it would not be safe for an Indian Civilian to lend money on such security. This view must, in accordance with the Resolution of 16th January 1890, be held to apply equally to such loans by officers of the Provincial and subordinate Executive and Judicial services.

(True Extract.)

A. T. ARUNDEL,
Ag. Chief Secretary.

To all Members of the Indian Civil Service (Madras.)
,, Departments of the Secretariat.
,, Heads of Departments under the Chief Secretary.
Gazette.

Exd. A. Raitt.

Government (1896) of Madras.

PUBLIC.

Recd.
1896.
Regd.

Enclosures
Spare copies

G.O., No. 1251, 25th November 1896.

NOVEMBER.

Rules relating to the conduct of Government Servants.

Notifying that the ruling and prohibition contained in the Government of India Resolution of 16th January 1890, No. 2–R.—87–103, in regard to money being lent by Covenanted and Statutory Civil Servants and officers of the Provincial and subordinate Executive and Judicial services, shall be held applicable to loans to Europeans or Eurasians equally with natives, irrespective of the fact that the lender merely acts as a trustee under a marriage settlement or that money was lent on the security of a house in a hill station or other town.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper :—

From the Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department (Public), dated Simla, the 28th October 1896, No. 1708.

Order—No. 1251, Public, dated 25th November 1896.

By its Resolution, No. 2-R—87-103, dated 16th January 1890, the Government of India extended to all uncovenanted officers in the subordinate Executive and Judicial services the ruling, under which Covenanted Civil Servants and members of the Statutory Civil Service are prohibited from lending money, directly or indirectly, to any proprietor or farmer of land, or dependent taluqdar or under-farmer, or raiyat, or their sureties; and prohibited such officers from lending money at interest, whether directly or through relatives or other agents, to landholders, with or without security, within the province in which they are employed.

2. The Government of India has now directed that the foregoing ruling and prohibition shall be held applicable to loans to Europeans or Eurasians equally with natives and considers that the fact that the officer lending the money is acting as a trustee under a marriage settlement, without profit or advantage to himself, in no way affects the prohibition.

3. The question having been raised as to whether the owner of house property in a hill station or other town is included in the terms "proprietor of land" or "land holder", the Government of India, in view of the doubt as to how the Courts might interpret the law (contained in section 2 of Bengal Regulation XXXVIII of 1793 which was reproduced in section 2 of Madras Regulation XIX of 1802) if the case of an Indian Civil Servant lending money on the security of a house came before them, is of opinion that it would not be safe for an Indian Civilian to lend money on such security. This view must, in accordance with the Resolution of 16th January 1890, be held to apply equally to such loans by officers of the Provincial and subordinate Executive and Judicial services.

(True Extract.)

A. T. ARUNDEL,
Ag. Chief Secretary.

To all Members of the Indian Civil Service (Madras.)
" Departments of the Secretariat.
" Heads of Departments under the Chief Secretary.
Gazette.

Exd. A. Raitt.

Government (1896) of Madras.

PUBLIC.

Recd.	1896.	*Enclosures*
Regd.		*Spare copies*

G.O., No. 1251, 25th November 1896.

NOVEMBER.

Rules relating to the conduct of Government Servants.

Notifying that the ruling and prohibition contained in the Government of India Resolution of 16th January 1890, No. 2-R.—87-103, in regard to money being lent by Covenanted and Statutory Civil Servants and officers of the Provincial and subordinate Executive and Judicial services, shall be held applicable to loans to Europeans or Eurasians equally with natives, irrespective of the fact that the lender merely acts as a trustee under a marriage settlement or that money was lent on the security of a house in a hill station or other town.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper :—

From the Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department (Public), dated Simla, the 28th October 1896, No. 1708.

Order—No. 1251, Public, dated 25th November 1896.

By its Resolution, No. 2–R—87–103, dated 16th January 1890, the Government of India extended to all uncovenanted officers in the subordinate Executive and Judicial services the ruling, under which Covenanted Civil Servants and members of the Statutory Civil Service are prohibited from lending money, directly or indirectly, to any proprietor or farmer of land, or dependent taluqdar or under-farmer, or raiyat, or their sureties; and prohibited such officers from lending money at interest, whether directly or through relatives or other agents, to landholders, with or without security, within the province in which they are employed.

2. The Government of India has now directed that the foregoing ruling and prohibition shall be held applicable to loans to Europeans or Eurasians equally with natives and considers that the fact that the officer lending the money is acting as a trustee under a marriage settlement, without profit or advantage to himself, in no way affects the prohibition.

3. The question having been raised as to whether the owner of house property in a hill station or other town is included in the terms "proprietor of land" or "land holder", the Government of India, in view of the doubt as to how the Courts might interpret the law (contained in section 2 of Bengal Regulation XXXVIII of 1793 which was reproduced in section 2 of Madras Regulation XIX of 1802) if the case of an Indian Civil Servant lending money on the security of a house came before them, is of opinion that it would not be safe for an Indian Civilian to lend money on such security. This view must, in accordance with the Resolution of 16th January 1890, be held to apply equally to such loans by officers of the Provincial and subordinate Executive and Judicial services.

(True Extract.)

A. T. ARUNDEL,
Ag. Chief Secretary.

To all Members of the Indian Civil Service (Madras.)
„ Departments of the Secretariat.
„ Heads of Departments under the Chief Secretary.

Gazette.

Exd. A. Raitt.

Government (1896) of Madras.

PUBLIC.

Recd.	1896.	*Enclosures*
Regd.		*Spare copies*

G.O., No. 1251, 25th November 1896.

NOVEMBER.

Rules relating to the conduct of Government Servants.

Notifying that the ruling and prohibition contained in the Government of India Resolution of 16th January 1890, No. 2–R.—87–103, in regard to money being lent by Covenanted and Statutory Civil Servants and officers of the Provincial and subordinate Executive and Judicial services, shall be held applicable to loans to Europeans or Eurasians equally with natives, irrespective of the fact that the lender merely acts as a trustee under a marriage settlement or that money was lent on the security of a house in a hill station or other town.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper :—

From the Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department (Public), dated Simla, the 28th October 1896, No. 1708.

Order—No. 1251, Public, dated 25th November 1896.

By its Resolution, No. 2–R—87–103, dated 16th January 1890, the Government of India extended to all uncovenanted officers in the subordinate Executive and Judicial services the ruling, under which Covenanted Civil Servants and members of the Statutory Civil Service are prohibited from lending money, directly or indirectly, to any proprietor or farmer of land, or dependent taluqdar or under-farmer, or raiyat, or their sureties; and prohibited such officers from lending money at interest, whether directly or through relatives or other agents, to landholders, with or without security, within the province in which they are employed.

2. The Government of India has now directed that the foregoing ruling and prohibition shall be held applicable to loans to Europeans or Eurasians equally with natives and considers that the fact that the officer lending the money is acting as a trustee under a marriage settlement, without profit or advantage to himself, in no way affects the prohibition.

3. The question having been raised as to whether the owner of house property in a hill station or other town is included in the terms "proprietor of land" or "land holder", the Government of India, in view of the doubt as to how the Courts might interpret the law (contained in section 2 of Bengal Regulation XXXVIII of 1793 which was reproduced in section 2 of Madras Regulation XIX of 1802) if the case of an Indian Civil Servant lending money on the security of a house came before them, is of opinion that it would not be safe for an Indian Civilian to lend money on such security. This view must, in accordance with the Resolution of 16th January 1890, be held to apply equally to such loans by officers of the Provincial and subordinate Executive and Judicial services.

(True Extract.)

A. T. ARUNDEL,
Ag. Chief Secretary.

To all Members of the Indian Civil Service (Madras.)
„ Departments of the Secretariat.
„ Heads of Departments under the Chief Secretary.

Gazette.

Exd. A. Raitt.

Government (1896) of Madras.

PUBLIC.

Recd.	1896.	*Enclosures*
Regd.		*Spare copies*

G.O., No. 1251, 25th November 1896.

NOVEMBER.

Rules relating to the conduct of Government Servants.

Notifying that the ruling and prohibition contained in the Government of India Resolution of 16th January 1890, No. 2–R.—87–103, in regard to money being lent by Covenanted and Statutory Civil Servants and officers of the Provincial and subordinate Executive and Judicial services, shall be held applicable to loans to Europeans or Eurasians equally with natives, irrespective of the fact that the lender merely acts as a trustee under a marriage settlement or that money was lent on the security of a house in a hill station or other town.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper :—

From the Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department (Public), dated Simla, the 28th October 1896, No. 1708.

Order—No. 1251, Public, dated 25th November 1896.

By its Resolution, No. 2–R—87–103, dated 16th January 1890, the Government of India extended to all uncovenanted officers in the subordinate Executive and Judicial services the ruling, under which Covenanted Civil Servants and members of the Statutory Civil Service are prohibited from lending money, directly or indirectly, to any proprietor or farmer of land, or dependent taluqdar or under-farmer, or raiyat, or their sureties; and prohibited such officers from lending money at interest, whether directly or through relatives or other agents, to landholders, with or without security, within the province in which they are employed.

2. The Government of India has now directed that the foregoing ruling and prohibition shall be held applicable to loans to Europeans or Eurasians equally with natives and considers that the fact that the officer lending the money is acting as a trustee under a marriage settlement, without profit or advantage to himself, in no way affects the prohibition.

3. The question having been raised as to whether the owner of house property in a hill station or other town is included in the terms "proprietor of land" or "land holder", the Government of India, in view of the doubt as to how the Courts might interpret the law (contained in section 2 of Bengal Regulation XXXVIII of 1793 which was reproduced in section 2 of Madras Regulation XIX of 1802) if the case of an Indian Civil Servant lending money on the security of a house came before them, is of opinion that it would not be safe for an Indian Civilian to lend money on such security. This view must, in accordance with the Resolution of 16th January 1890, be held to apply equally to such loans by officers of the Provincial and subordinate Executive and Judicial services.

(True Extract.)

A. T. ARUNDEL,
Ag. Chief Secretary.

To all Members of the Indian Civil Service (Madras.)
,, Departments of the Secretariat.
,, Heads of Departments under the Chief Secretary.

Gazette.

Exd. A. Raitt.

Government (1896) of Madras.

PUBLIC.

Recd. } 1896. *Enclosures*
Regd. } *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 1251, 25th November 1896.

NOVEMBER.

Rules relating to the conduct of Government Servants.

Notifying that the ruling and prohibition contained in the Government of India Resolution of 16th January 1890, No. 2–R.—87–103, in regard to money being lent by Covenanted and Statutory Civil Servants and officers of the Provincial and subordinate Executive and Judicial services, shall be held applicable to loans to Europeans or Eurasians equally with natives, irrespective of the fact that the lender merely acts as a trustee under a marriage settlement or that money was lent on the security of a house in a hill station or other town.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper :—

From the Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department (Public), dated Simla, the 28th October 1896, No. 1708.

Order—No. 1251, Public, dated 25th November 1896.

By its Resolution, No. 2–R—87–103, dated 16th January 1890, the Government of India extended to all uncovenanted officers in the subordinate Executive and Judicial services the ruling, under which Covenanted Civil Servants and members of the Statutory Civil Service are prohibited from lending money, directly or indirectly, to any proprietor or farmer of land, or dependent taluqdar or under-farmer, or raiyat, or their sureties; and prohibited such officers from lending money at interest, whether directly or through relatives or other agents, to landholders, with or without security, within the province in which they are employed.

2. The Government of India has now directed that the foregoing ruling and prohibition shall be held applicable to loans to Europeans or Eurasians equally with natives and considers that the fact that the officer lending the money is acting as a trustee under a marriage settlement, without profit or advantage to himself, in no way affects the prohibition.

3. The question having been raised as to whether the owner of house property in a hill station or other town is included in the terms " proprietor of land " or " land holder ", the Government of India, in view of the doubt as to how the Courts might interpret the law (contained in section 2 of Bengal Regulation XXXVIII of 1793 which was reproduced in section 2 of Madras Regulation XIX of 1802) if the case of an Indian Civil Servant lending money on the security of a house came before them, is of opinion that it would not be safe for an Indian Civilian to lend money on such security. This view must, in accordance with the Resolution of 16th January 1890, be held to apply equally to such loans by officers of the Provincial and subordinate Executive and Judicial services.

(True Extract.)

A. T. ARUNDEL,
Ag. Chief Secretary.

To all Members of the Indian Civil Service (Madras.)
,, Departments of the Secretariat.
,, Heads of Departments under the Chief Secretary.
Gazette.

Exd. A. Raitt.

~~Proceedings~~

Government {1896} of Madras.

PUBLIC.

Recd. } 1896. *Enclosures*
Regd. } *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 1251, 25th November 1896.

NOVEMBER.

Rules relating to the conduct of Government Servants.

Notifying that the ruling and prohibition contained in the Government of India Resolution of 16th January 1890, No. 2–R.—87–103, in regard to money being lent by Covenanted and Statutory Civil Servants and officers of the Provincial and subordinate Executive and Judicial services, shall be held applicable to loans to Europeans or Eurasians equally with natives, irrespective of the fact that the lender merely acts as a trustee under a marriage settlement or that money was lent on the security of a house in a hill station or other town.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper:—

From the Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department (Public), dated Simla, the 28th October 1896, No. 1708.

Order—No. 1251, Public, dated 25th November 1896.

By its Resolution, No. 2-R—87-103, dated 16th January 1890, the Government of India extended to all uncovenanted officers in the subordinate Executive and Judicial services the ruling, under which Covenanted Civil Servants and members of the Statutory Civil Service are prohibited from lending money, directly or indirectly, to any proprietor or farmer of land, or dependent taluqdar or under-farmer, or raiyat, or their sureties; and prohibited such officers from lending money at interest, whether directly or through relatives or other agents, to landholders, with or without security, within the province in which they are employed.

2. The Government of India has now directed that the foregoing ruling and prohibition shall be held applicable to loans to Europeans or Eurasians equally with natives and considers that the fact that the officer lending the money is acting as a trustee under a marriage settlement, without profit or advantage to himself, in no way affects the prohibition.

3. The question having been raised as to whether the owner of house property in a hill station or other town is included in the terms "proprietor of land" or "land holder", the Government of India, in view of the doubt as to how the Courts might interpret the law (contained in section 2 of Bengal Regulation XXXVIII of 1793 which was reproduced in section 2 of Madras Regulation XIX of 1802) if the case of an Indian Civil Servant lending money on the security of a house came before them, is of opinion that it would not be safe for an Indian Civilian to lend money on such security. This view must, in accordance with the Resolution of 16th January 1890, be held to apply equally to such loans by officers of the Provincial and subordinate Executive and Judicial services.

(True Extract.)

A. T. ARUNDEL,
Ag. Chief Secretary.

To all Members of the Indian Civil Service (Madras.)
" Departments of the Secretariat.
" Heads of Departments under the Chief Secretary.
Gazette.

Exd. A. Raitt.