

8517

Public Works (1896) Department.

G.O., No. 3612 W

DATED 16 December

[Despatch Abstract.]

Deputy Tahsildar's Office at Avaniyadda  
Revised estimate of Rs. 25,700 for Con-  
struction of a — in Kiotue St., Sanctioned  
with remarks. //

100  
5

Government { 1896 } of Madras.  
PUBLIC WORKS.

*Spare copies*

DECEMBER.

Revised estimate of Rs. 25,700 for constructing a —, in Kistna district, sanctioned with remarks.

## GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

## PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.  
Civil Works.

READ—the following papers:—

From the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, to the Collector of Kistna, dated 7th September 1896, No. 1527-K.E.

I have the honour to forward herewith a revised estimate and a comparative statement for Rs. 25,700 for constructing "Deputy Tahsildar's office with out-houses at Avanigadda" and to request that you will be so good as to countersign and forward them to Government for early sanction.

2. The report which accompanies the estimate explains the necessity for the revision.

Submitted to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, with reference to G.O., No. 4074, Revenue, dated 10th November 1893, for sanction. The latrine is a very solid and very costly structure. The Sanitary Board could probably suggest a cheaper and more convenient design.

MASULIPATAM,  
15th September 1896.

(Signed) F. A. COLERIDGE,  
for Collector.

From Major W. L. C. BADDELEY, R.E., Superintending Engineer, II Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Bezvada, 2nd December 1896, No. 2445-K.E.

With reference to your memo. No. 4316 C., dated 21st November 1896, I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of the comparative statement received in June 1895 from the Executive Engineer, Kistna Eastern division, in connection with the Deputy Tahsildar's office at Avanigadda, from which it will be seen that the excesses over the estimate for which sanction was accorded by me amounted to Rs. 349 only, which is within my power of sanction, *vide* paragraph 61 E., chapter III, volume I.

2. The substitution of rough stone in mortar for brick in mortar was authorized as good bricks could not be made at Avanigadda at a reasonable rate. From the above comparative statement it will be seen that the alteration in material did not cause any excess over the original amount allowed for brick in mortar.

3. As the work was subsequently found likely to exceed the original estimate, a revised estimate was prepared and submitted for sanction through the Collector. Explanation as to the cause of excess has been given by the Executive Engineer in the column of remarks of the comparative statement which accompanied the revised estimate.

4. The delay in my office is due to the very large number of plans and estimates that pass through it, each of which has to wait its turn for disposal.

## Note by the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department.

In G.O., No. 3110 W., dated 2nd December 1893, an estimate amounting to Rs. 19,200 for constructing a Deputy Tahsildar's office at Avanigadda, in the Kistna district, was sanctioned.

2. The Collector now submits with his No. 5940/Ver. of 1896, dated 15th September 1896, a revised estimate amounting to Rs. 25,700 for the work, the excess of Rs. 6,500 being made up of the following items:—

|                                                                                                                                                                  | RS.   |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| (1) Deviations in material ... ..                                                                                                                                | 4,345 |
| The deviations consisted in the substitution of stone for brick and of Mangalore tiles for country tiles as good bricks and country tiles could not be obtained. |       |
| (2) New provisions due to alterations in design sanctioned in recent Government orders ... ..                                                                    | 1,363 |
| (3) Increase of rates ... ..                                                                                                                                     | 190   |
| (4) Value of work done in 1894 before it was stopped for want of funds ... ..                                                                                    | 350   |
| (5) Excess on petty supervision and contingencies ... ..                                                                                                         | 252   |

3. In this office memo. No. 4316 C., dated 21st November 1896, the Superintending Engineer was called on to explain why a revised estimate was not submitted when the deviations, which were largely beyond his powers of sanction [as in the cases of items (1) and (2)], were ordered.

4. The Superintending Engineer explains that he only sanctioned deviations amounting to Rs. 349 which is within his powers, and that as the work was subsequently found likely to exceed the original estimate, a revised estimate was prepared and submitted through the Collector for sanction.

5. There seems nothing now to be done but to sanction the revised estimate, and to call attention to the fact that the original estimate was carelessly prepared. More attention should be paid in future to the preparation of careful data and to the choice of materials to be used in construction.

(Signed) D. McNEIL CAMPBELL, Colonel, R.E.,  
12th Dec. 1896. Chief Engineer.

ORDER—No. 3612 W., dated 16th December 1896.

The revised estimate amounting to Rs. 25,700 for constructing a Deputy Tahsildar's office at Avanigadda, in the Kistna district, is sanctioned in supersession of the estimate of Rs. 19,200 sanctioned in G.O., No. 3110 W., dated 2nd December 1893.

2. The outlay on the work to end of 1895-96 was Rs. 10,906 and the current year's grant is Rs. 9,233. The Superintending Engineer will apply for any further allotment that may be necessary.

3. The attention of the Superintending Engineer is drawn to paragraph 5 of the note by the Chief Engineer recorded above.

4. The sanction accorded in paragraph 1 has been registered as Provincial No. 133 W. of 1896-97 and classified as Civil Buildings, Original Works.

(True Extract.)

Under Secretary to Government.

To the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, with sixteen enclosures.

" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

" Revenue Department.

Ed. P. Seetharam.

By Tahsildar's Office at  
 Avanigadda, Kistna D.C. P. W. DEPARTMENT.  
 No 3612 Dated 16.12.96.  
 PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

*M. S. Subramanian*

Read the following

Endorsement from the Collector of Kistna (H.E. P. 5940 of 26  
 of 15. ix. 96)  
 Letter from the S.E. Tahsildar. (H.E. P. 2445 K.E. of 2. xii. 96)  
 Note by the Chief Engineer P.W.D. of 12-12-96

The revised estimate  
 amounting to Rs. 25,700  
 for constructing a Deputy  
 Tahsildar's Office at Avanigadda in the Kistna D.C.  
 is sanctioned in Super-  
 session of estimate of Rs.  
 19,200 sanctioned in G.O.  
 No 3110. W. of 2. Dec. 1893.

2. The outlay on the work  
 to end of 1895-96 was Rs.  
 10,906 and the current  
 year's grant is Rs. 9,233.  
 The Supts. Engineer will  
 apply for any further  
 allotment that may  
 be necessary.

3. The attention of the  
 Supts. Engineer is drawn  
 to para 5 of the Note by  
 the Chief Engineer recorded

1. Sanctioned
2. Most of these courses of work  
 ended and should have been finished.

A.S.H. 14.12.96

Issue  
 15/12/96  
 W

& Note The S.E. has been  
 asked to apply for an  
 additional grant if  
 necessary, as it will be  
 advantageous to have  
 the work completed as  
 early as possible.

above.

4. The sanction accorded  
in para 1 has been registered  
as Provincial N<sup>o</sup> 133 W of  
1896-97 and classified as  
Civil Building, Official  
Works.

CR

15.01  
12.12.86

12/12/86

T. K.  
B. K.

2  
The S.E. to Civil  
Examiner P. A. A. A.  
Revenue Dept.

3612W  
From our estimate for 1896-97  
Fabrication Office Amigada  
Kortia District.

5. The original estimate was  
for Rs 19,200. The revised  
estimate for Rs 25,700. or  
an excess of Rs. 6,500.

The S.E. was asked in his  
Office No. 4316 C. dated 1.11.86  
why he did not submit a  
revised estimate when he  
ordered the deviations - He  
now replies in his No. 2645 R.S.  
dated 2.12.86 that he only  
sanctioned deviations amounting  
to Rs 349 which was within  
his powers of sanction. After  
sanctioning this, it was found  
that the work was likely to  
exceed & that then a revised  
estimate was prepared &  
submitted for sanction through  
the Collector.

The excesses are due to  
1<sup>st</sup> deviations in material No 4345

The deviations consisted in  
the substitution of stone for  
brick as good bricks could  
not be obtained & Mangalore  
tiles for country tiles as  
good country tiles could not  
be obtained.

2<sup>nd</sup> New provisions due to alterations

in design sanctioned in 1894

Govt orders Rs. 1363.

3<sup>rd</sup> Increase of Rate - Rs. 120

4. Value of work done in

1894 before it was stopped

for want of funds Rs. 350

5. Excess on petty supervision

& contingencies Rs. 252.

There seems nothing to be done

but to sanction the revised

estimate & to call attention

to the fact that the original

estimate was carelessly

prepared. More attention

should be given in future

to preparation of careful data

for the materials to be

used in construction.

1100

10. 11. 26.

B

83-17

OW

The accompanying  
Budget note shows how  
the work stands in  
respect to grant &  
expenditure -

There is nothing  
to explain why the  
S. E. did not submit  
a revised estimate when  
he ordered the  
deviations. It is  
possible that he  
ordered the S. E. to  
submit one at the  
time, & that the  
delay was in the  
divisional office,  
but there is nothing  
to show this.

In ordering  
deviations beyond  
his powers the S. E.  
contravened Code  
Rules 43 (except  
clause) & 61 (c) of  
Chapter III, & Rules  
32 & 33 of Chapter III.

13/11/06 C

4 not at once submitted  
a revised estimate

(5) Excess on petty  
and contingencies.

P.W.D.

Note by the Chief Engineer P.W.D. of 5<sup>th</sup> P.

In G.O. No 3110.W. of

2<sup>nd</sup> December 1893, an estimate amounting to Rs. 19,200 for Constructing a Dy: Tahsildars Office at Avanigadda in the Kistana District was sanctioned.

2. The Collector now submits with his No 5940 of 15<sup>th</sup> September 1896 a revised estimate for the work, the amounting to Rs. 25,700, the excess of Rs. 6,500 being made up of the following items :-

(1) deviations in material Rs. 4,345.

The deviations consisted in the substitution of stone for brick and of mangalore tiles for country tiles as good bricks and country tiles could not be obtained.

(2) New provisions due to alterations in design sanctioned in recent Government orders Rs. 1,365.

(3) Increase of Rates. Rs. 190

(4) Value of work done in 1894 before it was stopped for want of funds. Rs. 350

(5) Excess on petty supervision and contingencies. Rs. 250

3. In his office memo No 4316.C. d/21. Nov. 1896, the Suptg: Engineer was called on to explain why a revised estimate was not submitted when the deviations which were largely beyond his powers of sanction (as in the cases of items (1) & (2)) were ordered.

4. The Suptg: <sup>Engineer</sup> explains that he only sanctioned deviations amounting to Rs. 349 which is within his powers, ~~of~~ <sup>of</sup> ~~sanctions~~ and that as the work was subsequently found likely to exceed the original estimate a revised estimate was prepared and submitted through the Collector for sanction.

5. There seems nothing now to be done but to sanction the revised estimate, and to call attention to the fact that the original estimate was carelessly prepared. More attention should be paid in future to the preparation of careful data and to the <sup>choice of</sup> materials to be used in construction.

12/12/96  
E.H.

1173  
12.12.96

Public Works (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. 11075

3

Order

From Major W.L.C. Baddley  
Suptg. Engr. II Circle

No. 2445 KE

Dated 2<sup>nd</sup> December 1896

Received 7

Enclosures 1

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Excess over the estimate for Dy: Tahsildar's Office, at Amigaoda, Kithi & Explains this —

On amount Rs. 10.50

Bezwarda

Dated 2 December 1896.

*From,*

Major W. L. C. Baddeley Esquire, R.E.  
Superintending Engineer,

## II Circle.

To.

The Chief Engineer,  
P. W. Department  
Madras.

Each 1 Copy  
of Com:  
Statement

Sir,

Sir

With reference to your Memo No. 4316 C d, 21.11.96 I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of the Comparative statement received in June '95 from the Engrs. Engineers, Kistna Eastern Dist., in connection with the Dy. Tahsildar's Office at Anavigadda, from which it will be seen that the excesses over the estimate for which sanction was accorded by me amounted to Rs 349 only, which is within my power of sanction, vide para 61 & Ch. III, Vol. I.

2. The substitution of rough stone in mortar for brick in mortar was authorized as good bricks could not be made at Sravigadda at a reasonable rate. From the above comparative statement

Statement it will be seen  
that the alteration in  
material sh'd not cause  
any excess over the original  
amount allowed for brick  
in Mortar.

3. As the work was subsequently found likely to exceed the original estimate, a revised estimate was prepared and submitted for sanction through the Collector. Explanation as to the cause of excess has been given by the Ex. Engineer in the Column of remarks of the Comparative Statement which accompanied the revised estimate.

The delay in my office  
due to the very large  
number of plans and  
estimates that pass  
through it each of which  
has to wait its turn  
for disposal

Shawattohawonthe  
Sir  
Your most obt. Servt.  
Wm Boddiey  
Major &c  
Surgeon Genl. II Corps.

Public Works (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. 8517

## Order

From,  
The Superintending Engineer,  
To  
II Circle.

To,

The

Dated

Received

Enclosures.

NO.

SUBJECT.

*From*

The Collector of  
Kistna.

No. 5940

*Dated* 15-9-76

Received 22. 9. 96

Enclosures 15 (4<sup>x</sup> plans)

*Spare copies -*

SUBJECT.

Deputy Tahsildar's office  
at Avasigadda:

Submitting plans and  
estimates for constructing -

Item 18. Class A books - serial 9233.

# BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|------|-----|-------------|-------|

# FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|------|-----|-------------|-------|

Copy of letter from the Superintending Engineer II circle to the Collector Kistna dated 7<sup>th</sup> September 1896 No 1527 H.E.

2 R

I have the honor to forward herewith a revised estimate and a comparative statement for Rs 25,700 for constructing a Deputy Tahsil Dar's Office with out-house at Avanigadda and to request that you will be so good as to countersign and forward them to Government for early sanction.

2. The report which accompanies the estimate explains the necessity for the revision.

Encs: 12

Submitted to the Chief Secretary to Government Public Works Department with reference to G.O. No. 3110 W.D. 2/2.93 4074 Revenue dated 10<sup>th</sup> November 1893 for sanction. The latrine is a very solid and very costly structure. The Sanitary Board could probably suggest a cheaper and more convenient design.

Kistna Collectorate  
Masulipatam  
15<sup>th</sup> September 96

Jaffar

For Collector

KL

28/1519

BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|------|-----|-------------|-------|

FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|------|-----|-------------|-------|

Copy of letter from the Superintending Engineer II circle to the Collector Kistna dated 7<sup>th</sup> September 1896 No 1527 H.E.

I have the honor to forward herewith a revised estimate and a comparative statement for Rs 25,700 for constructing a Deputy Tahsil Dar's Office with out-house at Avanigadda and to request that you will be so good as to countersign and forward them to Government for early sanction.

2. The report which accompanies the estimate explains the necessity for the revision.

Encls: 12

Submitted to the Chief Secretary to Government Public Works Department with reference to G.O. No. 3110 W & 21293407 Revenue dated 10<sup>th</sup> November 1893 for sanction. The latrine is a very solid and very costly structure. The Sanitary Board could probably suggest a cheaper and more convenient design.

Kistna Collectorate  
Masulipatam  
15<sup>th</sup> September 96

*[Signature]*

For Collector

KL

21/9/96

Copy of letter from the Government  
dated 21st September 1926 no 12 of R.C.  
regarding the estimate to the Government

From

The Collector, District of  
The Chief Secretary  
to Government  
Public Works  
Department.

Date 21st September 26

R.C. 12

R.C. No 5960  
of 26

Subject

Submitting Plans & estimates  
for the construction of Deputy  
Sahildars office Aranyagadda

Chief Secretary  
to Government  
Public Works  
Department  
21st September 1926