[G./15]

Govt. of {1896} Madras.

To

The Public Works Dept

Proceedings, dated 20th January 1896,
R No. 64

Subject.

Furnishing a copy of G.O. No. 8487 [illegible] d/ 30.10.95 re Lime-Washing in Presidency hospitals.

188c/96

1,000—20-2-93.

P. W. CURRENT No. 618

11.1.96 No 188C
4-11-95 - 2298 W
5.10.95 - 1134 D
7.6.95 - 1343 W Enclos 1

Recd: 22.1.96

No 352 W d/ 29 Jany 1896

B.

Communicated to the Exec. P.W. accts with reference to his office note No. 4554 A dated 21st Dec 1895, also to the Consg. architect with advertence to G.O. No 2798 W d/ 4 Novr 1895.

27/1/96

Closed 24/1 96

B

Exec. P.W.A.

C.A. to Govt.

INDEXED

[G/53]
3,000—15-8-95.

Financial Department.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following ~~paper~~ :—

Proceedings of the Public Department No. 869, dy 18th October 1895.

—

Order 30th October 1895 No. 848.

The Surgeon General will ascertain and include the amounts to be provided on this account in the revised and budget estimates of the Presidency hospitals for the current and ensuing years.

(True Extract)

(Sd) J. F. Price,
Chief Secretary.

To
The Public Works Department=

Pu. 2.1
618/56

[G./53]
1,000–28-11-95.

Financial *Department.*

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following ~~paper~~ :—

Endorsement of the Public Works Department, dated 11th January 1896 No 18~~7~~8 C on Office Note from the Examiner, P.W. Accounts dated 21st December 1895 No 4554 A.

Order R.No 64 dated 20th January 1896.

A copy of G.O.No 848 Financial dated 30th October 1895 will be furnished to the Public Works Department, from which it will be seen that the expenditure on lime-washing in Presidency hospitals is to be ~~recovered~~ recorded in the Civil Accounts from the beginning of the current official year.

(True Extract)

W. D. Phillips

Ag. Assistant Secretary,
for Chief Secretary.

To

The Public Works Department with copy of G.O.No 848/30-10-95.

[G./15]

Govt. of {1896} Madras.

To

The Public Works Dept

Proceedings, dated 20th January 1896,
R No. 64

Subject.

Furnishing a copy of G.O.
No. 848 Jud. d/30.10.95 re Lime-Washing
in Presidency hospitals.

1880/96

1,000—20-2-93.

P. W. CURRENT No. 618

11.1.96 No. 188 C
4.11.95 - 2298 W
5.10.95 - 1134 D
7.6.95 - 1343 W Enclos 1

Recd: 22.1.96

No 352 W d/ 29th Jany 1896

B

Communicated to the Exr. P.W. accts with reference to his office note No. 4554 A dated 21st Decr 1895, also to the Consg. Architect with reference to G.O. No. 2798 W dt 4th Novr 1895.

27/1/96

Closed 24/1 96

B

Exr. P.W.A.
C.A. to Govt.

5.7.95 - No 1644 W
8.6.95 - 1978 C
1.3.95 - 770 C
11.1.96 - 202 C
27.11.95 - 3698 C
7.9.94 - 3484 C
8.3.94 - 1051 C

No 353 W d/ 29th Jany 1896

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No. 353 W d/ 29th January 1896.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

Petition from Clerk A. Gnanamuttu Pillai. (No. dated 22nd Octr 95)
Endorsement thereon by the S.E. IV Circle (" No. 422 W d/ 4th Nov " ")
Office Note to the Examiner P. W. Accts " (" 3698 C d/ 27th Nov " ")
do from the do (" 4553 B d/ 21 Dec " ")
do to the do (" 202 C d/ 11 Jan 1896)
do from the do (" 45 A d/ 18th " ")

After full inquiry and consideration, the Government regrets that G.O. No. 1644 W dated 5th July 1895 cannot be modified.

27/1/96

DESPATCHED
29 JAN 96

S.E. IV Circle with 10 enclosures
Ex P. W. Accts.

CWRS
24/7/96

(40—4,000—2-11-95.)

P. W. CURRENT No. ~~10322~~

561/3

A

Encls: 13

Recs: 21.12.95

No. 202 C d/ 11th January 1896

To
C

The fact remains that a permanent vo low the clerk ecia n the 17th December 1889, and it was apparently through inadvertence that his confirmation was not recorded in the Supdg. Engineer's office and that a health certificate was not obtained. Please favour me with your opinion definitely whether it is beyond the power of this post, to recognise his appointment to the permanent establishment with effect from the above mentioned date, he being treated as a supernumerary for the period he was in excess of the strength of the IV Circle.

14/1/96

---

post in any other office. The Nilgiri Division was about to be abolished and it was probably in view of that, that the clerk's confirmation was rendered unnecessary, and that he took the temporary berth on the Periyar staff on better pay. In the cases you mention the men had substantive berths in other offices.

Your para I(4) Yes, had he been confirmed, but it was probably because the division was about to be abolished that he was not confirmed.

Your para I(5). These papers were not communicated to this office. The clerk was not confirmed in fact.

Your para 2. In my opinion he cannot in any way be treated as a permanent clerk.

C.

En. 12.

69/20.12.

P. W. CURRENT No.

OF T

Enclos 14
Recd: 20.1.96

PUBLIC WOR

I think C's view & the matter must be accepted

The petitioner is evidently [illegible]

23/1/96

EXAMINER P. W. ACCTS.
No. 84A
15 JAN 1896

E. No. 27.
500—30-7-95.

GOVT. OF MADRAS. } 1895. { P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS,

Madras, 21 December 1895.

OFFICE NOTE No. 4553 B

To

W.

Your office note No.3698 C.dated 27th.November 1895.

Your para I(I) He was appointed on probation in the permanent post.

Your para I(2). In a later letter No.237 of 19th.June 1895 Supg.Engineer says there was no authority for his No.263 of 28th.June 1890. It was because this office considered the clerk on probation,and not confirmed,that the advance was challenged,and,as before explained,the advance having been partly recovered the question of his status was not gone into further. It is to be noted that he could not have been confirmed without a health certificate and in para 4 of his petition he admits that no such certificate was obtained.

Your para I(3). Had the clerk gone in his original post as

EEP-WITH

ated

CURRENT No.

189 .

[illegible] of [illegible] allowance [illegible]

Division No. [illegible] dated [illegible]

I have the honour to [illegible] present [illegible]

am constrained [illegible] in [illegible]

brought his permanent clerk [illegible]

joint [illegible] was [illegible]

sion he should probably have [illegible] clerk [illegible] him, but we [illegible]

got a vacancy on the [illegible] at [illegible], and as [illegible]

as ascertained from the Executive Engineer [illegible] Division that

the clerk could retain a lien on his permanent post he was allowed

to remain here.

I have now no further need of his services owing to the reduction of establishment due to the completion of works at this [illegible] and I accordingly gave him a month's notice in the capacity as a temporary clerk in this Division, and informed the Supg. Engineer II Circle that I had done so and that the clerk ought [illegible] rejoin that circle. The Superintending Engineer declined to admit the man in his circle and suggested that he remain to be taken on in the VI Circle as supernumerary pending a vacancy.

I beg the favour of your orders in the matter.

Ows. 412

Memo by the Chief Engineer No. [illegible] dated [illegible]

The clerk in question [illegible] the VI Circle [illegible]

he should be employed as a supernumerary until he can be [illegible]

28 NOV 1895

Copy of letter from the Superintendent of Works, No. I Periyar Division No. 283 S.E. dated 28th February 1894.

I have the honor to inform you that when Sergeant Cornwell was transferred from the IV Circle to this Division in 1890, he brought his permanent clerk Gnanamuthu Pillai with him. When Serjeant Cornwell was shortly afterwards transferred from this division he should probably have taken the clerk with him, but as there was a vacancy on the clerical establishment at Periyar, and as it was ascertained from the Executive Engineer Nilgiris Division that the clerk would retain a lien on his permanent post he was allowed to remain here.

I have now no further need of his services owing to the reduction of establishment due to the completion of Works at Thekady and I accordingly gave the clerk a month's notice in his capacity as a temporary clerk in this division, and informed the Superintending Engineer IV Circle that I had done so and that the clerk would shortly rejoin that circle. The Superintending Engineer declines to admit the man to his Circle and suggests that he should be - taken on in the VI Circle as supernumerary pending a vacancy.

I beg the favour of your orders in the matter.

Memo by the Chief Engineer No. 1051 C. dated 8th March 1894.

The clerk in question should return to the IV Circle, in which he should be employ ed as a supernumerary until he can be absorbed.

Ows ~~2~~ 3
~~10329~~/95
561/56

(5) When the clerk's services were no longer required at Periyar, the Superintending Engineer IV Circle was directed in this office memo No. 1051 C.d/8th March 1894 to take him back as a supernumerary until he could be absorbed. The fact that the man held a lien on his permanent post was repeated in letter from the Superintendent of Works No. 283 C.E. d/28th February 1894, on which the above memo was passed. (Copy enclosed)

2. In view of the above circumstances, please let me know whether you see any audit objection to the petitioner being treated with the sanction of Government as a permanent clerk from 17th December 1889. If this be conceded, the grant of requests (11) & (111) mentioned in para 1 of your office note No. 1897 D.E d/28th May 1895, will follow as a matter of course.

25/11

W.

C.1581

22/11/95

with petition to be retd.

---

on which Supervisor Mr. Lazarus joined the circle, and your office appears to have accepted this as authority for admitting the advance made to the clerk.

(3) If the clerk had returned from Periyar to Ootacamund with Serjeant Cornwell there would have been no question as to his status. He was however retained at the former place temporarily to fill one of the sanctioned temporary posts in No. I Periyar Division, and from Mr. H. S. Taylor's letter No. 57 S.E. d/13th May 1890, it is clear that it was on the understanding that the clerk retained a lien on his permanent post. The fact that he drew higher pay in a temporary post at Periyar is no proof that he relinquished his permanent appointment. The arrangement has been a common one with regard to supplying the Periyar establishment, and cases in point are those of clerks Md. Abdul Aziz and K. Subrahmanya Aiyar of this office.

(4) If he had been at Ootacamund when the abolition of the Nilgiri division took place, and if he had then been in excess of strength, he would doubtless have been retained as a supernumerary until absorbed.

(5)

EXAMINER W. ACCOUNTS
28 NOV 1895

EXAMINER W. ACCOUNTS
15 JAN 1896

No. 36986

Gobernment of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Madras, 27 November 1895.

OFFICE NOTE.

From W.

To C.

Petition from clerk A. Gnanamuthu Pillai dated 22nd October 1895

Please reconsider this case with reference to the following ~~po-~~ ~~inter~~ points:—

(1) ~~The~~ In Chief Engineer's memo No. 1828 C. d/9th April 1889, the Suptg. Engineer IV Circle was authorised to appoint a permanent clerk under Serjeant Cornwell, and in the Suptg. Engineer's endorsement No. 85 M. d/16th April 1890, it is stated that Gnanamuthu Pillai was appointed to the permanent establishment with reference to the above.

(2) In the Suptg. Engineer's letter No. 263 Exr. d/28th June 1890, it is distinctly stated that Gnanamuthu Pillai was confirmed in his appointment on 17th December 1889, the date

on

(48—1,000—11-8-93.)

P. W. CURRENT No. ~~8722~~ 534

561/36

Recd: 8.11.95

En: 10

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER'S OFFICE P. W. D. COIMBATORE.
No. 8/4937
28 OCT 95

SUPG. ENGINEER'S OFFICE, P.W.D., FOURTH CIRCLE.
No. 867 C.
1-NOV. 95
Enclo: 1 to 10.

No. 422/W d/4-11-95.

Forwarded

There seems to be no valid grounds for appeal as the petitioner was not confirmed in his appointment, which was only made on probation, and as the late Nilgiri Division was abolished he could not have been confirmed.

Superintending Engineer
4th Circle.

Enclos: 10.

4) I would therefore recommend
that his petition be granted

Collr

30.10.95.

from the date of my transfer to Ootacamund, which would considerably relieve me of my present sufferings. Sanction is also respectfully solicited to the grant of my travelling allowance from Periyar to Ootacamund.

For which act of kindness and charity I shall ever feel thankful.

I beg to remain,
Most Respected Sir,
Your most obdedient Servant,

A. Gnanamuthu pillay

Clerk P. W. D.
Ootacamund

22nd Oct. 1895
Coimbatore

No. 4372

10 Inclosures

Forwarded for favourable consideration. It seems to me clear that

(1) there was every intention of confirming the clerk after his probation had lasted a certain time.

(2) That this intention was frustrated, without fault of.
(a) by his transfer to Periyar
(b) by the abolition of the Nilgiri Division.

(3) That the non-confirmation was therefore no fault of his own, & he should not be made to suffer for it.

NILGIRI SUB-DIVISION
No. 1427
16 OCT. 95
P.W.D
COIMBATORE DIVISION

EXAMINER
15 JAN 1896
P.W.

EXAMINER
28 NOV 1895
P.W. ACCOUNTS

Through the Executive Engineer, Coimbatore Division, and
the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle.

To

The Honourable Colonel J. Pennycuick, R. E., C. S. I.
Chief Engineer and Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department,
MADRAS.

MOST RESPECTED SIR,

Enc. A. p.p. 5 In acknowledging the receipt of G. O. No. 1644, W., dated 5th July 1895, communicated to me with the Executive Engineer's endorsement No. 3129, dated 2nd August 1895, and the Nilgiri Sub-Divisional Officer's endorsement, dated the 5th August 1895, I respectfully beg to solicit a kind re-consideration of my case on the following grounds:

2. The decision arrived at in my case appears to have been based on the report of the Examiner, contained in his Office note No. 1897 $^{D}/_{E}$, dated 28th May 1895 and of the Superintending Engineer IV Circle in his letter No. 237 W. dated 19th June 1895. I shall first proceed to discuss the justice of the Examiner's and Superintending Engineer's statements and then explain the grounds for my claim to be treated as permanent clerk with effect from 17th December 1889. Enc. A

Examiner's Office note para. 2.

3. I am sorry to observe that the Examiner's statement that my request No. iii depends on the decision with reference to No. i has misled Government. Men on the "Temporary Establishment" and even those on "Work Establishment" are granted travelling allowance when transferred from one station to another with the sanction of Government and I do not know what led the Examiner of P. W. Accounts to take a different view in my case.

Para. 3 of the Examiner's note.

Enc. No. I 4. The question at issue is whether I held the appointment against a permanent or temporary vacancy and the fact that I was appointed a clerk IV class 3rd grade *on probation* goes to prove that I was appointed against a *permanent* vacancy to be confirmed after the expiry of the period of probation, if I should give satisfaction. I cannot be said to have been on the Temporary Establishment as such establishment is not graded, nor can I be said to have held an acting or sub protem appointment as the words "Acting or sub protem" do not appear. The fact that no entry could be traced of my confirmation is one for which I cannot be held responsible and the omission may be due to the oversight of the Divisional, the Superintending Engineer's or the Examiner's Office. As regards the non-production of the health and vaccination certificates, I beg respectfully to state that the certificates in question could not be obtained by me without a letter to the District Surgeon from the head of my office, which apparently overlooked the matter throughout.

#6

(1896) Department.

case of

dawan

To

D

P.W.
5,000—

Government

Para. 4 of the Examiner's Office note.

5. As regards the entries in the service book, I beg to state that most of the entries are erroneous and that the unauthenticated interpolation, referred to by the Examiner, was made not by me, but by the Divisional, or Superintending Engineer's office, as will be seen from para. 3 of the Superintending Engineer's letter No. 400 M., dated 10th July 95, communicating the Government Order referred to above, copy of which, marked A is herewith. Enc. A. pp. 6.

Para. 5 of the Examiner's Office note.

6. The Examiner in para. 5 of his office note says that his office first objected to the grant of advance made by the Executive Engineer, Nilgiri Division, for travelling from Ootacamund to Periyar without the sanction of Government, that he was informed by the Superintending Engineer that this sanction was unnecessary as I was a permanent clerk, and that the advance having been recovered in the Travelling allowance bill of the Periyar Division by the time this correspondence ended, the question as to my status was not considered or disposed of. I beg respectfully to point out that the serious omission on the part of the Examiner's Office in passing my travelling allowance on this occasion resulted in the unfortunate decision which the Government was led to come to in my case. Not only did the Examiner's Office pass the advance made by the Executive Engineer, Nigiri Division, but also admitted the travelling allowance claimed by the Executive Engineer, Periyar Division, on my behalf without the sanction of Government as required by the rules. If the sanction of Government had been insisted upon by the Examiner, as he should have, the question as to my status would have been considered and finally settled and I would not be put to the serious loss explained in para. 18 below, nor would the Government be put to the necessity of considering my unfortunate case several times.

Para. 7 of the Eaxminer's Office note.

7. The Examiner states that the audit registers and service book show that I was appointed *an acting clerk* on "probation." This is not consistent with his own statement in para. 3 of his office note, where he says "the salary audit registers show that I was appointed clerk IV class, 3rd grade *on probation*," as the word "*acting*" does not appear in para. 3 of the Examiner's Office note. As regards the entries in the service book, I have already pointed out in para. 5. above that the entries were erroneous. With reference to the statement made by the Examiner that if I had been a permanent clerk, I should have, on transfer to Periyar, drawn the pay of my own grade, while as a matter of fact, I had drawn pay as a temporary clerk on Rs. 30 and 40 per mensem from 7th March 1890 to 19th March 1894, I beg to state that I arrived with Sergeant Cornwall at Periyar on the 7th March 1890 and that this officer having been immediately retransferred to Ootacamund, I was appointed as a temporary clerk on Rs. 30 and 40 per mensem in the Periyar Division with a lien on my permanent appointment in the Nilgiris Division, on the strength of the endorsement No. $^{85}/_{\text{M}}$, dated 16th April 1890, by the Superintending Engineer, IV circle (*vide* correspondence B submitted herewith). It is rather strange to find that the Examiner should consider that if I had been a permanent clerk, I should have on transfer to Periyar drawn the pay of my own grade. I respectfully beg to point out here that all the clerks under the Permanent Upper Subordinates employed in No. 1 Periyar Division have been and are still being paid for under "Temporary" and not "Permanent Establishment" and the same procedure was followed in my case.

Para. 8 of the Examiner's Office note.

8. With reference to the Examiner's remark that there was no permanent appointment on which I could be permitted to retain a lien, I beg to solicit reference to para. 15 below. As regards the Examiner's second remark, I am sorry to observe that the abolition of the Nilgiri Division should be considered as a circumstance unfavourable to me, while as a matter of fact, I beg to submit that it should be treated as a favourable one. The abolition of the Nilgiri Division should probably have resulted in the failure on the part of the Superintending Engineers' Office to keep a permanent appointment open for me in the circle.

Enc. No. II

9. Proceeding to the Superintending Engineer's report No. 237 W, dated 19th June 1895, I beg to state with reference to para. 1, that the order confirming me should have either been mislaid or that no steps were taken to pass a confirmation order, apparently through oversight, as I was away from the circle.

Enc. B.

Superintending Engineer's letter, Para. 2.

10. I beg to submit that the statement that the word "Probation" was through oversight omitted to be entered in Memo. No. 85 M. dated 16th April 1890, is rather unjustifiable. It is true that I was first appointed on probation, but it has to be considered how long this probation lasted and what steps were taken after the expiry of the probation. In the absence of any conclusive proof as to the period for which the probation continued, it is not fair to assume that the word "probation" was omitted through oversight in the memo. in question. Further this was not the only memo. in which I was stated to be a permanent clerk (*vide* correspondence C. submitted herewith) and oversight cannot be attributed to all. In the latter part of para. 2, the Superintending Engineer states, "It is true that he was appointed on probation as clerk IV class, 3rd grade on the permanent establishment." This statement is quite sufficient to prove that I held my appointment against a permanent vacancy and that my service should count from the date of appointment. As the Superintending Engineer does not state that I was a temporary, acting, or sub-protem clerk, no further evidence is necessary.

Enc. F. I. III + IV

Enc. No. III

Para 3 of Superintending Engineer's letter.

11. The statement here made is, I beg to submit, rather misleading or ambiguous. If the Superintending Engineer's memo. No. $^{263}/_{\text{Exr.}}$, dated 28th June 1890 asumes, as stated, that my probation ceased on the absorption of the then exising supernumerary clerk and there was no vacancy against which I could hold my appointment, the Superintending Engineer could not have, as he did, informed the Examiner in his letter No. 263 Exr. dated 28th June 1890 that I was a permanent clerk. If, however, the Superintending Engineer means that my probation ceased

on the absorption of the then existing clerk and that there was a permanent vacancy against which I held my appointment, it is clear that I was permanent.

Para. 4 of Superintending Engineer's letter.

12. The decision herein arrived at is based on the paras. above discussed and the conclusion points to probability and not to the actual state of things which, to my misfortune, the records do not show.

13. I regret I am compelled to criticise the remarks of my superior officers, which I could not help doing to bring forward my claims, as otherwise I could not expect a favourable decision in my case. I respectfully beg that I may be excused for the same.

14. Having expressed my humble opinions on the reports of the Examiner of P. W. Accounts and the Superintending Engineer IV circle, I now beg respectfully to explain the grounds for my claim to be treated as a permanent clerk from the 17th December 1889.

Enc. No. IV.

15. To the best of my recollection, when I was first entertained in the Nilgiri Division, there were only four Upper Subordinates in the Division including Sergeant Cornwall and the office establishment under the latter was within the sanctioned permanent scale for the Division, *vide* G. O. No. 2699 W dated 3rd November 1884. When applicants were invited for the appointment in 1889, I was told by the office of the Executive Engineer that non-Brahmins were wanted and the appointment fell to my lot, being a native christian. If there had been any supernumeraries at the time, there would have been no necessity to invite applications and the supernumerary clerk should have been first absorbed, as required by the rules. If there had been a supernumerary clerk doing duty elsewhere, he should have been given the appointment and I should have been appointed sub protem against it. I also beg to solicit reference to the Chief Engineer's memo. No. 1828 C., dated 9th April 1889 on the authority of which, I was given the appointment. It is therefore clear that I was appointed against a permanent vacancy. I was, however, given the appointment on probation with a condition that I would be soon confirmed if I should work well. On this understanding alone, which, I distinctly remember, I accepted to go to Wynaad and work there under Sergeant Cornwall. If I had been a temporary or acting clerk as supposed by the Examiner, I would not have run the risk of accompanying Sergeant Cornwall to Periyar, a feverish place, nor would my superiors have any right to compel me, as they did, to go to Periyar with my overseer much against my will (*vide* Sub-Divisional Officer's No. 1899, dated 1st March 1890 enclosure D). Immediately on the retransfer of Sergeant Cornwall to Ootacamund, I was prepared to accompany that officer back, but the Superintendent of works, the late Mr. Taylor, wanted me to remain at Periyar (vide enclosure No. E.) and after ascertaining from the Superintending Engineer, IV circle, that I held a permanent appointment in that circle, entertained me on the temporary establishment of the Periyar Division with a lein on my permanent appointment (vide enclosure F.). The fact

that I *was appointed clerk, IV class, 3rd grade, on probation,* instead of as *temporary, acting* or *sub-protem,* is a clear proof that I was a permanent clerk. I should have been confirmed after the stipulated period of probation, but I do not know whether any confirmation order was issued by the Superintending Engineer or the matter overlooked in his office, as has been throughout. I may here mention an instance of oversight in the Divisional or Superintending Engineer's office. I was, as matters now stand, appointed clerk IV class 3rd grade on the 6th April 1894 and my first increment fell due from the 1st May 1895, which appears to have been through oversight not passed to me till now, though nearly 5 months have expired after the due date. The fact that no order could be traced confirming me in the appointment is one for which I could not be held responsible, nor is it justifiable that I should be made to suffer for oversight in Divisional, Superintending Engineer's or Examiner's offices.

16. It is very probable that the abolition of the Nilgiri Division would to some extent, have led the Superintending Engineer's office to overlook the necessity of confirming me, and this I beg to submit is an unfortunate accident. I beg respectfully to state that all these difficulties would not have arisen, if the Nilgiri Division had not been abolished in April 1890, immediately after my transfer to Periyar. The Superintending Engineer's office took care to absorb all the clerks of the Nilgiri Division when it was abolished, but apparently lost sight of me, as I was not at the time in the circle. In conclusion I could only submit that the omission and oversight in my case on the part of the Superintending Engineer's office is due to the abolition of the Nilgiri Division and I therefore respectfully request that I may be treated as a permanent supernumerary clerk on the abolition of the Division.

17. If there was some other clerk who was through oversight appointed permanently against my appointment, I beg that his permanent appointment may be cancelled and that I may be made permanent from the date in question. If however it is considered inadvisabe to cancel any appointment inadvertently made, I beg that I may be treated as a permanent supernumerary clerk from that date, especially on account of the abolition of the Nilgiris Division.

18. With the hope of retaining my permanent appointment, I underwent much hardship and sufferings at Wynaad and Periyar, the most feverish places and I am now losing for no fault of mine Rs. 10 per mensem in the shape of increment by being deprived of my permanent appointment from 17th December 1889, and I leave it to your honor to consider what a *serious* and *heavy* loss, Rs. 10 will be, for a poor man drawing Rs. 20 per mensem, in a costly place like Ootacamund. My sufferings can be better conceived than expressed.

19. Under the circumstances above set forth, I request that your honor will be pleased to sanction my claim to be treated as a permanent clerk from the date of my appointment or 17th December 1889 and the grant of increments due

Transfer therefore to Ootacamund
the [illegible] to be granted

from the date of my transfer to Ootacamund, which would considerably reliev of my present sufferings. Sanction is also respectfully solicited to the grant travelling allowance from Periyar to Ootacamund.

For which act of kindness and charity I shall ever feel thankful.

I beg to remain,
Most Respected Sir,
Your most obdedient Servant,

A. Gnanamuthu Pillay
Clerk P. W. D.
Ootacamund

22nd Oct. 1895
Coimbatore

No. 4372

10 Inclosures

Forwarded for favourable consideration. It seems to me [illegible] that

(1) there was every intention of confirming the clerk after his probation had lasted a certain time.

(2) That this intention was frustrated, without fault of

(a) by his transfer to Periyar

(b) by the abolition of the Nilgiri Division.

(3) That the non-confirmation was therefore, no fault of his own, & he should not be made to suffer for it.

P.

#6

Additional works at the Connemara Library and buildings

No 354 W of 29 Jany 1896

1365 W

DESPATCHED
30 JAN 96

From
THE CONSULTING ARCHITECT
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To
THE Chief Engineer
P. W. D.

No. 3994

Dated 18th January 1896.
Despatched
Received

NO. OF ENCLOSURES.

Subject.

Reporting the approximate cost of works suggested by His Excellency the Governor to be carried out at the Connemara Library and Connected buildings

P.W. CURRENT No. 538

Acc: 20-1-96

28/1/96

No. 1264 W of 2-5-90
" 3239 W " 6-12-90
" 818 W " 28-3-94
" 932 W " 5-4-94
" 2588 W " 16-10-94
" 257 W " 2-2-95
" 1474 C " 6-4-95
" 47 D " 23-4-
" 1210 W " 20-5-

C.A. / 1. 500—13-12-94.

No. 3994.

Office of the Consulting Architect to Govt.
Chepauk, Madras,
18th January 1896.

From
Henry Irwin, Esq., C.I.E., Consulting Architect
to the Government of Madras,

To
The Chief Engineer
Public Works Department.

Sir,

Enclosures.

I have the honor to report that the works which His Excellency the Governor suggested to be carried out at the Connemara Library & Comm ected building on the occasion of his last vis it to the building will approximately cost Rs 1 3000/. I have directed the compound wall to be lowered by 2 feet in obedience to H is Excellency's wishes and have directed a detai led Estimate to be prepared for all the additi s.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
Henry Irwin
Consulting Architect
to Government

№ 354

PROCEEDINGS

Govt. N

GOVT.
OF MADR.

E. No. 27.
500—30-7-95.

1895.

{P. W.
{DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS,

Madras, /

To

W.

r office note No. 202 C

January 1896.

inion that ther
not sufficient g

r Gov
to consider the mans
be on the permanent establishment. Taking the fact that the Nilgiri Division
was about to be abolished the
think greater probability that the confirmation of the clerk was for that
very reason thought unnecessary so as
to avoid having to absorb a supernumerary than that the confirmation was
not recorded through inadvertence. Had
the Division remained in existence there
would have been grounds perhaps for
considering the want of confirmation
as inadvertence; as it was abolished
the reasonable inference is, I think, that
the confirmation was not justified.

C.

En. 1-ON
with enclosure

vernmen
nt shoul
appointme

DESPATCHED
30 JAN. 96

C. A. to Government.

(40—4,000—14-3-95.)