9263, 8789, 8330, 7230, 7802.

Public Works (189) Department.

G.O., No. 3333 W

DATED 20th November 1896.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Consulting Architect's office: Negativing for the present & with remarks, the proposal to appoint a cashier to the – on Rs. 60 per mensem and stating that the proposed revision of the pay of the peon establishment will be separately considered.

T.C. 20/11

INDEXED.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

B. Prov. Orders

P.W. DEPARTMENT.

No. 3333 W of 20th November 1896.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

From the C.A. to Govt. No. 2500 of 10.8.96
" " —do— — " 2775 of 28.8.96
" " Exr. P.W. Acct. " 2757 D/S of 16.9.96.
" " C.A. to Govt. No. 3368 of 30.9.96.
" " —do— — " 3971 of 3.11.96.

N.B. The Govt. in Council observes that with a prospect of reduction in expenditure on Public Works consequent on the new Provincial contract, it is especially desirable not to augment establishments. The proposal to appoint a Cashier to the Consg. Architect's office on Rs 60 per mensem must therefore be negatived for the present.

2. It is pointed out that a comparison of the works in the Consg. Archt's

P. W. 40.
50—2-9-96.

division with that in the
Godavari Eastern division
is not to the point, as
the work in each case
is very different.–

3. The proposed revision of the pay of the peon establt. will be separately considered.

Cy

18/11/96

B

C.A. to Govt.–

HG

Apptt. of a Cashier to
the Consg. Architect's
office –

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

READ the following :—

From the C. A. to Govt. No. 2500 dated 10. 8. 96.
—— do —— do – .. 2775 — .. 28. 8. 96.
—— Exr. P.W. accounts. 2757 D/8 – .. 16. 9. 96
—— C. A. to Govt. — .. 3368 — .. 30. 9. 96.

FOR ORDERS.

Is there any reason why the post of the Clerk who now does the Cashier's work should not be abolished instead of the lower paid Clerkship, as proposed.? In this way the difference between Rs. 40.50 and Rs. 18¾, would be saved.

A.G.H
26.10.96

These papers rel
to proposals by the
Architect to appoin
a Cashier for his o
on Rs 60 per mensem
& to revise the scale
pay for peons so a
secure a flow of
promotion

The appointment
of a Cashier is recom-
mended on the groun
that the payments i
the division averag
Rs 50,000 per mon
and the receipts R
3,000 – The duties

43—500-6-3-94.

now performed by a clerk of the ordinary establishment, on pay Rs 40-50, in addition to other duties, and no security has been taken from him. It is proposed to fix the cashier's pay at Rs 60, & to take security to the extent of Rs 500.

One clerkship on Rs 15-20 (financial value Rs $18\frac{3}{4}$) will be abolished, thus making the extra cost Rs $41\frac{1}{4}$ per mensem.

The C.A. points out that the former Presidency division, which had charge of Civil & Military buildings at Madras & Bangalore, was provided with a cashier on Rs 75 per mensem.

FOR ORDERS.

---

99 63

The comparison of the cost in C.A.'s division & in that of C. [illegible] is not to the point, as the cost in each case is very different: however there is no doubt the work in C.A.'s Dn. is heavy & I agree & recommend that the proposals be allowed.

11/11/86

[illegible] November [illegible]

It was [illegible] in this office that the necessity for making so many heavy payments in the C.A.'s office might be obviated by giving each subdivisional officer a drawing account, but the C.A. shows conclusively that such drawing accounts are neither necessary nor desirable.

The undersigned considers that the C.A.'s proposal is in the interests of the public service, and recommends that it be sanctioned.

The proposed revision of the pay of the peon establishment may stand over for the present. The under-

Legal has received somewhat similar proposals from the Supdg. Engr. VI Circle, & is about to collect opinions from other Supdg. Engrs. as to whether difficulty is experienced in obtaining men on the existing rates of pay. If a good case is made out for a general improvement in the position of P.W. peons, the proposals will be submitted to His Excy for orders in due course.

W
23/10/96

W

Cy

No. [illegible] dated 3rd November 1896

Public Works (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. 9963

8

I think the passage marked A. in this Note should be communicated to the Consulting Engineer. It should also be observed that with a prospect of reduction in expenditure on Public Works, consequent on the contemplated new contract, it is especially desirable not to augment establishments. I think the proposal should, for the present, be negatived.

A.S.H. 13.11.96

for
Orders.

Submitted with reference to His Excy's note of 26-10-96, on the paper flagged A.

A. The comparison of the work in the Cons. Architect's division & in that of the ~~Eastern~~ Delta Divn. Godavary, is not to the point, as the work in each case is very different. However there is no doubt that the work in the Cons. Architect's Dn. is heavy, & I would recommend that his proposals be approved.

W
11/11/96

W

Cy

S.A.W.

From
The Consulting Architect to the Government of Madras

No. 3971

Dated 3rd November 1896
Received 5-11-96.
Enclosures
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Explaining why the post of the clerk who does the cashiers work should not be abolished while appointing a separate cashier

H.E. 12/11 D 16/11

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Memo	3802C	P. W.	29-10-96 ✓
G.O.	3229W	"	17-12-94 ✓
"	313W	"	6-2-94 ✓
Copy "	628W	"	8-3-93 ✓
"	2668W	"	26-9-92 ✓
"	2317W	"	20-9-81 ✓
"	642W	"	11-3-81 ✓
"	1204W	"	20-5-80 ✓

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Departmer	Date.

No. 3971 dated 5th Novem

To

W.

Your No 3802C d/29. 10. 96

W. 6835 will be dealt with separately (vide W. 5330 para 2)

Excluding Mr J. M. Lewis, whose duties are those of a technical assistant (vide para 4 of this Office No. 224 d/28. 7. 96), the Clerks Estab of this Office stands as follows:

		Full val R
1	Clerk III cl 3 gr (50 - 2 - 60)	57½
1	" IV cl I gr (40 - 2 - 50)	47½
1	" IV cl II gr (30 - 2 - 40)	37½
2	" IV cl III gr (20 - 2 - 30)	55
1	" V cl I gr (15 - 1 - 20)	18¾
6	clerks costing	Rs 216¼

2. Considering that the Consulting Architect's charge combines in it, the charge of a heavy Executive division & that of a Supdg Engineer, it seems to me that the office is being worked with the minimum Estab.

3. In point of Expenditure &c, this division is perhaps the heaviest, with three manufacturing operations of no small magnitude. Comparing it, at the least,

with the Sanctioned scale of Clerks Estab for the Godavari Eastern divn, the result is as shown below:-

	God E D.	C A Dn
Clerk III cl 2 gr (60-4-80)	1@75 = 75	"
" III cl 3 gr (50-2-60)	"	1@57½ = 57½
" IV cl I gr (40-2-50)	2@47½ = 95	1@47½ = 47½
" IV cl II gr (30-2-40)	2@37½ = 75	1@37½ = 37½
" IV cl III gr (20-2-30)	3@27½ = 82½	2@27½ = 55
" V cl I gr (15-1-20)	"	1@18¾ = 18¾
	8 costing Rs 327½	6 costing Rs 216¼

or Rs 111¼ p m less than the cost of the God E Dn and if the proposed appointment of cashier is sanctioned, there will ~~be~~ still be a difference of Rs 70 p m showing conclusively that the proposals are by no means excessive.

4. Further, this division has the great disadvantage of standing by itself for purposes of promotion, while the other divl offices have a wider field. The block in promotion consequent on the above has already been brought to notice in para 3 of my predecessor's No 2500 d 10.8.96. Taking into consideration that there is

at

at present a clerk V cl I g (15-1-20) against the Sanctioned scale of a clerk IV cl 3 g (20-2-30) ~~for~~ for a subdivision, the immediate extra cost will be reduced from Rs ~~41~~ 41¼ to Rs 32½ p m.

5. On the above grounds, I do not consider it ~~so~~ advisable ~~to~~ to abolish the clerkship of the IV cl I Grade. The lowest pay of a clerk in a divl office throughout the Presy is Rs 20 to 30; the abolition of a clerkship V cl I gr (15-1-20) was proposed to place the divn as regards initial pay, on the same footing as other divl offices, more especially as living in Madras must be dearer than in the mofussil stations.

C. A.

30/10/96

No. 3802 (C)

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Madras, 29th October 1896.

OFFICE NOTE.

From

W

To

C.A.

Your letter No. 2500 dated 10th August 1896 and office note No. 3368 dated 30th Septr. 1896.

The Government wishes to know if there is any reason why the post of the clerk who now does the Cashier's work should not be abolished instead of the lower paid clerkship as proposed. In this way the extra cost would be only Rs (60 − 47½) 12½ per mensem instead of Rs (60 − 18¾) 41¼ per mensem.

Please explain.

(Sd.) [illegible]

W

D 29/10

30/10

(48—1,000—17-12-95.)

or Rs 15-20 (premium value Rs 18¾) will be abolished, thus making the extra cost Rs 41¼ per mensem -

The C.A. points out that the old Presy. division was provided with a regular cashier -

In reply to the question whether the necessity for a cashier would be obviated by granting drawing accounts to the 3 sub divisional officers, the C.A. shows conclusively that such drawing accounts are neither necessary nor desirable -

It remains to consider whether the appt. of a cashier can be recommended on the terms stated by the C.A.

J.E.P. 16/10/[illegible]

The proposed revision of the peon establt. might perhaps be taken up in connection with the proposals of the S.E. II Circle in the linked file (Current No 8766). The C.A.'s proposals are much the same as Mr Hughes recommends for a division office.

For orders.

Ch
13.10.26

3802C

Cur 8789

W

These papers relate to proposals from the Cons. Architect to appoint a cashier for his office on Rs 60 per ~~p~~ mensem, & to revise the scale of pay for peons so as to give a flow of promotion.

The appt. of Cashier is recommended on the ground that the payments in the division average Rs 50000 per month & the receipts Rs 3000 a month. The duties are now performed by a clerk of the ordinary establt. (pay Rs 40-50) in addition to other duties, & no security has been taken. It is proposed to fix the cashier's pay at Rs 60 & to take security to the extent of Rs 500. One clerkship

Public Works (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. 8789

Order

From The Consulting Architect to the Government of Madras

No. 3368

Dated 30 September 1896

Received 1.10.96.

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Informing that the Consulting Architect does not think it necessary or desirable to allow Sub Divisional offices of his Division, Drawing a[illegible] under the circumstances th

o L.S. 496.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	3447C	PW	29-9-96 ✓
	3288C	"	8-9-96 ✓
	3158C	"	24-8-96 ✓
	3239W	"	17-12-95 ✓
	313W	"	6-2-94 ✓
	628W	"	8-3-73 ✓
	2668W	"	26-9-92 ✓
	2317W	"	20-9-91 ✓
	642W	"	11-3-81 ✓
	1204W	"	20-5-89 ✓

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Public Works (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. 8330

Order

From

The Examiner
P. W. Accounts
Madras

No. 2757 D/E

Dated 16 September 1896.

Received 17.9.96

Enclosures 3 4 18-9-96

Spare copies -

SUBJECT.

Proposition statement of the Consulting Architect Divn. Returns duly checked with remarks —

R/

0.2.5.4 33
R 28/9

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	3298C	P.W.	8-9-96
	3158C	〃	24-8-96
	3239W	〃	17-12-94
	313W	〃	6-2-94
	2317W	〃	20-9-91
	642W	〃	11-3-91
	1204W	〃	20-5-90

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

400—20—96.

Office of the Consulting Architect to Govt.,
Chepauk, Madras,
10th August 1896.

No. 2500

10 SEP 1896

the

From

Lt: Colonel C. C. Ranson R.E.
Ag: Consulting Architect
to the Government of Madras,

To

The Chief Engineer
Public Works Department

Sir,

Enclosures.

Cir 6835 sent
to G.O. on 8.8.96
(will be dealt
with separately)

I have the honour to submit the follo
for your favourable consideration

2 In my letter No. 2247 dated 28th July 1896, I
submitted proposals for an increase of pay to Mr.
J M Lewis, manager of this office - These propos
are purely personal, relating to Mr. Lewis himse
to remain current when sanctioned, only durin
his incumbency and do not affect the sanctione
scale of office Establishment.

3 A few changes in the scale and pe
sonnel of the office Establishment appear to
to be called for almost immediately and in
submitting proposals regarding them, I ventu
to hope that they will be accepted by Govern
ment. My proposals involve

(a) The appointment of a cashier whos

be required to furnish security.

(i) A slight increase to the pay of peons, and

(j) A readjustment of the grades of clerks to admit of a general flow of promotion.

4 I have not yet been able to definitely state the direction in which the recommendation in regard to 3 (c) above, should be made, as this depends upon various considerations. I would therefore request permission to submit proposals in this behalf later on i.e. after I come to a definite understanding as to how the present block in prospects of men could best be removed.

5 That my recommendations on proposals 3 (a) & (b) have been most reasonable and by no means excessive, will be evident from a perusal of the grounds adduced in favour of each

Appointment of a Cashier

It must be admitted that in point of expenditure, this Division stands the foremost in the whole Presidency. While in other Divisions, the bulk of the payments are made by Sub-Divisional Officers, (payments by the Divisional Officers being restricted to Establishment & other classes of a limited nature), the payments in this Divisions are all made at this Office (except of course, small items which are paid by Sub Divisional Officers from imprests which have been reduced to a minimum) It is needless ~~therefore~~ therefore to say that these payments (average expenditure

expenditure about Rs 50,000 a month) are very heavy. The receipts in the shape of rents and other sources of revenue average Rs 3,000 a month. In the interests of Government, it appears therefore necessary that a cashier should be appointed. The duties of Cashier are now being conducted by a clerk in addition to his ordinary other work, & experience has now shewn that it will not be safe to let him perform these duties without security of some sort being obtained from him. He now belongs to a grade the maximum pay of which is Rs 50/ per month, but as he will have to furnish security of at least Rs 500/, I would fix his pay at the lowest at Rs 60/ per month.

I would point out that the appointment I now propose is not a new one. I only ask for a revival of that appointment (Cashier clerk III class 2d grade) which was sanctioned under comparatively less favourable conditions, while the late Presidency Division was in existence.

+
G.O. 2317 W of 20.9.81

The financial value of that appointment was Rs 75/ while my proposal is to appoint one on a fixed pay of Rs 60 per month. In asking for this appointment, I propose giving up the clerkship of V class 1st grade.

Slight increase to the pay of peons

The position of peons in this Division is unique. Out of 18 peons employed, only one draws Rs 7, the remaining 17 draw Rs 6 per month. I

am

am aware that, a proposal to do away with the six rupees salary was negatived on the ground that no difficulty has been experienced in finding peons for that pay. My present proposals are not to do away entirely with the 6 Rs ones, but to improve the position & prospects of the men by slightly increasing the average. I note below for the information of Government, the average pay of a peon in each of the P. W. Offices in the Presidency Town and my request is to increase the average rate from Rs $6\frac{1}{18}$ to Rs $6\frac{1}{2}$ per month. This will entail an additional cost of Rs 9 per month but taking into consideration that living in Madras is dearer than elsewhere and recently, it has become more so on account of increase in rent of houses, I do not consider my proposal by any means excessive. It is impossible to expect a peon to live on Rs 6 per month without even the hope of any increase

	average pay of peons
Office of Chief Engineer P. W. D.	7½
" ______ " for Irrigation ___	7 4/9
Tank Restoration Scheme Office ___	8
Office of Consulting Engineer for Rys: ___	7 1/10
" Examiner Ry. Accounts ___	7
" ______ " ______ P. W. Account ___	7 3/16
P. W. Stores ______	7
P. W. Workshops ______	7
Superintending Engineers Office & circle	6 1/

A

Present Establishment

1 at Rs 7 =	Rs 7
17 at .. 6 =	90 .. 102
	Rs 109 97

Proposed

1 at Rs 9	= Rs 9
2 at .. 8	= .. 16
3 at .. 7	= .. 21
12 at .. 6	= .. 72
18 costing	Rs 118
Difference	Rs 9.
	21

Briefly my proposals involve

(1) The appointment of cashier on	Rs 60
(2) The raising of the average pay of peons from Rs 6 to Rs 6½ A say	.. 9
	Rs 69
Deduct - Pay of clerk V class 1st grade	.. 18¾
Net increase	Rs 50¼ per month

and if they commend themselves to Government the usual proposition statement station will be submitted for sanction.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant

4/8/96
Lt: Colonel R.E.
Ag: Consulting Architect to Government

P0543
~~7802~~/96
8330

P. W. CURRENT No. ~~7230~~ E. 2

~~7802~~
8330/96

C. A. / 7 500—9-6-96.

EXAMINED
19 SEP 1896
P. W. ACCOUNTS

CONSULTING ARCHITECT TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
R. No. 2062
25 AUG. 96

Recd: ~~10.8.96~~ 28.8.96

From

The Consulting Architect
to the Government of Madras,

To

The Chief Engineer
P. W. D

No. 2500

Dated 10th
Despatched
Received
August 1896.

No. of Enclosures.

Subject.

Submitting proposals for entertainment of a cashier & slight increase to the pay of peons in the Consulting Architects Dn., for favorable consideration.

No. 2317 W, D 20—9—81
" 642 W. D 11—3—81
" 1264 W. D 20—5—80

No. 3158 Cd/24 August 1896

To C. A.

Please attach a proposition statement without which the application cannot be submitted to Govt.

17/8/96

No 2775 of 28th August 1896

To W

Herewith

26/8/96

Dpdr
C.A.
25/8/96

OL.S. 325 R 21/8

No 384 P. W.

P. W. D. Form No. 113.

500—12-7-96.

NOTES.

Reference to P. W. D Code.

Chapter I, Para. 30.

1. All proposals for changes in the scales of permanent es blishments must be accompanied by a statement in Pa I of this form when the changes relate to the engineer or per subordinate establishments and in other cases by a state ent in Part II of this form.

2. The column headed "present scale" should invariably show the existing *sanctioned* scale (not the strength of the establishment as it actually stands at the time), and should be verified by the Examiner before the statements are forwarded to the sanctioning authority.

MADRAS PRESIDENCY.

Part II.

Tabular Statement to accompany proposals for changes in establishments.

P. W. D. Form No. 113. MADRAS

Part II. Statement of proposition for

Order sanctioning present establishment. Govt. of P. W. D. No.	Date.	Office to which the proposition refers.	Present scale. No.	Designation.	Pay. Minimum. Rs.	Increment. Rs.	Maximum. Rs.	Average or fixed.* Rs.	Total cost.	NATURE OF
		Consulting Architect's Division		Consulting Architect's Office Clerks.						
628W	8.3.93		1	Clerk I Cl III Grade	200	10	250	237½	237½	
			1	" III cl III Gr	50	2	60	57½	57½	
313W	6.2.94		1	" IV cl I Gr	40	2	50	47½	47½	
			1	" IV cl II Gr	30	2	40	37½	37½	
3239W	17.12.94		2	" IV cl III Gr	20	2	30	27½	55	
			1	" V cl I Gr	15	1	20	18¾	18¾	
				Draftsman						
			1	Draftsman Spl. Grade	100	15	130	115	115	
			1	" Ist Class	50	4	70	65	65	
			1	" IInd Class	35	3	50	46¼	46¼	
			1	" III Class	20	2	30	27½	27½	
				Peons (Petty & Office Estt)						
			1	Peon	7				7	
			~~4~~ 3	Peons	6				~~24~~ 18	
				Carried over ~~TOTAL~~ ..	..	..	..	..	~~738½~~ 732½	

* In the case of appointments on progressive pay, the "average" should be

Certified that the entries under the head "present scale" have been duly verified by me.

1072½
14¾
57¾

Examiner, P. W. Accounts.

*Dated the*__________189 . *Madras.*

	Consulting Architect's Office Clerks.							
1	Clerk I Cl III Grade	200	10	250	237½	237½		
1	" III Cl III Gr	50	2	60	57½	57½		
1	" IV Cl I Gr	40	2	50	47½	47½		
1	" IV Cl II Gr	30	2	40	37½	37½		
2	" IV Cl III Gr	20	2	30	27½	55		
"	" V Cl I Gr	15	1	20	18¾	"	"	18¾
1	Cashier	-	-	-	-	60	60	
	Draftsman							
1	Draftsman Spl. Grade	100	15	130	115	115		
1	" I Class	50	4	70	65	65		
1	" II Class	35	3	50	46¼	46¼		
1	" III Class	20	2	30	27½	27½		
	C. Peons (Petty & Office Estt) inclusive of Sebedars &c.							
1	Peon	9				9	9	
2	Peons	8				16	16	
3	"	7				21	14	
14	"	6				84		24 30
						878¾	99	42¾ 48¾

Clerks Establishment
Present Scale Rs 453¾
Proposed Rs 495.
If the appointment of Clerk I Cl III Gr is excluded, the cost will be
Present Rs 216¼ Proposed Rs 257½

Net increase to the pay of peons as proposed is Rs 9.

						732 1/2 ~~738 1/2~~
A	Sub Divisions					
~~3~~ 2	Clerks IV class III Gr	20	2	30	27 1/2	55 ~~82 1/2~~
1	V " " I Gr	15	1	20	18 3/4	18 3/4
2	Draftsman III Class	20	2	30	27 1/2	55
12	Peons (Petty & Office Estt)	6				72
B	Overseers Estt					
3	Clerks V Class I Grade	15	1	20	18 3/4	56 1/4
3	Peons (Petty Estt)	6				18
	Total Establishment					1007 1/2 ~~1022 1/4~~

						878 3/4	99	48 3/4
	Sub Divisions							
3	Clerks IV class III Gr	20	2	30	27 1/2	82 1/2		
1	V I	15	1					18 3/4
2	Draftsman III Class	20	2	30	27 1/2	55		
12	Peons (Provided for under C A's Office)							
	Overseer's Estt							
3	Clerks V class I Grade	15	1	20	18 3/4	56 1/4		
3	Peons (Provided for under C A's Office)							
							126 1/2	61 1/2
	Total Establishment					1072 1/2	99	48 3/4

Net increase Rs ~~50 1/4~~ 65 per mensem

Note A Includes One clerk V class I Grade who is ineligible for promotion to IV class III Grade being an unpassed man

B. Provision made for existing Establishment. The Establishment will however fluctuate according to the grade & No of men actually in Employ.

C. The No of peons (Petty & Office Estt) admissible for the Division is 20. As a small increase to the pay of some of the peons has been proposed, the distribution of the No amongst proposed rates of pay has been shown in one lump sum under C A's Office.

" should be

14 1/4
57 3/4

Examiner, P. W. Accounts.

Dated the __________ 189 .

Madras.

W. D. Form No. 113.

MADRAS

r

of

e" should be

14 1/4
57 3/4

Examiner, P. W. Accounts.

Madras.

Dated the ____ 189 .

P. W. D. Form No. 113.

PRESIDENCY

Part II.

revision of establishment.

CHANGES. Proposed scale.							PROPOSITION						REMARKS.
		Pay.					Permanent.		Temporary.				
							Increase per month.	Decrease per month.	Increase per month.		Decrease per month.		
No.	Designation.	Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.	Average or fixed.*	Total cost.	Amount.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.	
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Mon.	Rs.	Mon.	Rs.	
	TOTAL ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..

*calculated according to the Pay and Acting allowance code.

26/8/96 Lt Col RE

Offg Acting Consg Architect to Govt ~~Executive Engineer~~, ____ Division.

Dated the 28th August 1896.

P.W.S. 2 4

~~2804~~/56
8330

E/4 w/g

Public Works (1896) Department.

E-1

CURRENT No. ~~7802~~ 8330/96

EXAMINER
No.
1[illegible] SEP 1896
P. W. ACCOUNTS

Order No. 3,288C d/ 8. September 1896.

To C

For favour of
Check as regards "present
Scale".

5/9/96

From

The Consg: Architect

No. 2775

Dated 28.8.96

Received 28.8.96

Enclosures 2

Spare copies -

SUBJECT.

Forwarding a proposition statement for the entertainment of a cashier & slight increase of pay to the peons in the Consg: Archts Division.

[P.W. 19
1,000—5-8-96.]

O.L.S. 372 R 7/9

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	
	3158C	P.W.	24.8.96	
	1204W	,,	20.5.80	
	642W	,,	11.3.81	
	2317W	,,	20.9.81	
	628W	,,	8.3.93	✓
	313W	,,	6.2.94	✓
	3239W	,,	17.12.94	✓

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

E. No. 27.
1,000—9-12-95.

KEEP-WITH CURRENT NO. GOVT. OF MADRAS. 1896. P. W. DEPT.

dated 189 .

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS,

Madras, 16 September 1896.

OFFICE NOTE No. 2757 D/2

To

W.

Your O.N.No.3288 C.dated 8th.September 1896.

Returned with the entries in column "Present Scale" of the proposition statement duly checked with the following remarks:-

Peons(Petty & Office Establishment)

(I) According to sanctioned scale the No. of peons on Rs.6 should be 3 and not 4 as entered-

Under office I @ Rs.6.......	Rs. 6
,, Petty $\frac{2}{3}$ @ Rs.6......	Rs.I2
	I8

(2) The present sanctioned Establishment for the 3 sub-divisions is as shown below:-

	Financial cost.
2 Clerks 4th.Class,3rd.Grade	Rs.55
I Clerk 5th. ,, Ist. ,,	Rs.I8¾
	73¾

but 3 clerks 4th.Class 3rd.Grade have been entered apparently as each Sub division is entitled to I clerk 4th. Class 3rd. Grade vide also note A by the Consulting Architect to Government at foot of the statement.

(3) The financial cost of establishment as per scale sanctioned in G.O.No.3I3 W. dated 6th. February I894 with reference to the above will be Rs.I007½ and not Rs. I022½ as entered in the proposition statement.

C.

Cd. 16/9

No. 3447C

CONSULTING ARCHITECT TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
R. No. 2566
SEP. 96

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Madras, 29" September 1896.

OFFICE NOTE.

From W

To C.A.

Please say whether you see any objection to the grant of a drawing account to each Sub divisional officer in your division, and whether if such drawing accounts be allowed, the necessity for a separate cashier for the division office will not disappear.

26/9/96

W

No. 3368 Dt: 30" September 1896

CA

To W

Your Office note No. 3447 C d/29-9-96.

I do not think it necessary or desirable to allow drawing accounts to Sub-D^l Officers in

(48—1,000—11-8-93.)

this Dn.

2. P.W. Code Vol II, Chap I para 2 requires disbursements to be made as far as possible, by the Executive Engineer, but where owing to the distance of the Sub-divisions and other like considerations, this rule cannot be strictly adhered to, Sub. Dl. Offices are allowed by Code, at the discretion of E. Es and S. Es, to make payments, to obviate the inconvenience and delay that would be occasioned in payment of bills for work done & measured.

3. None of the above circumstances apply to this Dn. S.D.Os are all located at the same station as CA and payments are being made most promptly and without inconvenience. There seems therefore no reason why the existing system which is in keeping with the Code and which is the most suitable one, even on grounds of convenience & economy, should be altered.

4. On the general question of allowing S.D.Os to make payments without pre audit, there is much to be said against the system. In my opinion drawing accounts should be allowed with certain limitations and restrictions only in special cases, where payments from the Central Office are likely to result in very great delay and inconvenience to contractors and piece-workers - Vide also paras 1621 A et seq of the Madras P.W. Code. In G.O. No. 2668W dy 26-9-92, the limitations & restrictions imposed by the Madras Code were removed on the ground that inasmuch as every final bill is required to be rigidly scrutinized, in the Dl. Office before payment, payments on account, any differences in which may be adjusted on audit of final bill, may be safely left to be made by S.D.Os. This argument can apply entirely only to divisions where works are executed on contracts but whereas in this Dn., the whole of the works is executed on the piece-work system and where the piece-worker is paid in full without any deduction, the system of allowing S.D.Os to make payments will inevitably result in over payments, which by reason of full payment having been made, will be difficult to recover, and will thus lead to vexatious correspondence & complication in accounts.

5. Besides the above, the system will necessitate the maintenance of additional records - such as work abstracts, piece-workers agreements &c. and will throw so much additional work on the Sub Dl. Office that it will be necessary to strengthen it. This for three Sub Dns. will certainly be more costly than the present proposal, which to my mind is the most suitable and economical one.

6. S.D.Os in this Dn. have already more than they can get thro', and any proposal in the direction indicated by you, will seriously interfere with their legitimate duties of Executive Supervision and under the special circumstances of this division, I do not consider

any change in the present
System advisable.

14/9/96

Lt Col RE

Ag Comg Archt to Govt