

Public Works Department
Government {1896} of Madras.
PENSION.

Recd.

1896.

Enclosures

Regd.

Spare copies

G.O., No. 1013. 26th October 1896.

OCTOBER.

Date of Birth.

Directing that in the case of an officer whose year of birth is known but not the exact date, the 1st July should be treated as the —.

10066
Public Works (1896) Department.

G.O., No. 3308

DATED 18th November 1896.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Date of Birth : Pros: Pension Dept
Directing that in the case of an officer whose year of birth is known, but not the exact date, the 1st July should be treated as the —, Comd. to Officers of the P.W.D.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

READ—again G.O., No. 849, Pension, dated 5th July 1894, paragraph 2.

READ—also letter from the Government of India, Finance and Commerce Department, No. 3836-P., dated 8th September 1896.

ABSTRACT.—Forwarded copy of a letter from the Government of India, Finance and Commerce Department, Government of Bengal, Financial Department, stating that the Government has no objection to the suggestion of the Accountant-General, Bengal, that in the case of an officer whose year of birth is known but not the exact date, the 1st of July should be treated as the date of birth.

ORDER—No. 1013, Pension, dated 26th October 1896.

In supersession of the order read above and to be in this Presidency Government is pleased to direct that, in the case of an officer whose year of birth is known but not the exact date, the 1st July should be treated as the date of birth.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) LIONEL DAVIDSON,
Ag. Under Secretary to Government.

To the Accountant-General.

„ the Public Department,
„ the Revenue Department,
„ the Public Works Department,
„ the Marine Department,
„ the Local and Municipal Department,

for communication to heads of departments under them.

No. 3308 W 27/18 November 1896

Communicated to officers of the P.W.
Department with reference to G.O. No. 1846 W
dated 24th July 1894

Exd. Md. Pahlivan.

500 Copies.

(Signed) *DC*
W

To
The Chief Engrs: for design:
„ Consulting Engrs: for Railways
„ Supply Engrs:
„ Consulting Architect for Port:
„ Examiner P.W. accounts
„ Examiner, Railway accounts

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Ch. 4. for J. ✓
C. 2. for B. ✓
Superior ✓
Ch. 4. for J. ✓
is known but not the exact date,
Don't know
Don't know.

No. 1013, PENSION, 26TH OCTOBER 1896.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

1840-10 READ—again G.O., No. 849, Pension, dated 5th July 1894, paragraph 2.

24-7-94. READ—also letter from the Government of India, Finance and Commerce Department, No. 3836-P., dated 8th September 1896.

ABSTRACT.—Forwarding copy of a letter from the Government of India, Finance and Commerce Department, to the Government of Bengal, Financial Department, stating that the Government of India have no objection to the suggestion of the Accountant-General, Bengal, that in the case of an officer whose year of birth is known but not the exact date, the 1st of July should be treated as the date of birth.

ORDER—No. 1013, Pension, dated 26th October 1896.

In supersession of the order read above and to bring the rule in this Presidency into accord with the orders of the Government of India, the Government is pleased to direct that, in the case of an officer whose year of birth is known but not the exact date, the 1st July should be treated as the date of birth.

(True Extract.)

Donel Davidson
Ag. Under Secretary to Government.

To the Accountant-General,
„ the Public Department,
„ the Revenue Department,
„ the Public Works Department, (with 100 3 20)
„ the Marine Department,
„ the Local and Municipal Department, } for communication to heads of departments under them.

Exd. Md. Pahlavan.

500 Copies.

Public Works (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. 9173.

Order / Encl.

No. 1545 D. & 9 October 1896

Transferred to the Pension Dept. From

Cont of India

No. 24156.

Dated 24 September
Received 5 October 1896
Enclosures 4-5
Spare copies 3

SUBJECT.

Adoption of the 1st July as the date of attaining 55 years of age in the case of officers whose year of birth only is known.

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

Public Works (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. 8949

Order

From

The Govt of India

No. 2415 G

Dated 24. 9. 96

Received 5. 10. 96

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Adaption of the 1st July
as the date of attaining
55 years of age in the case
of officers whose year
of birth only is known

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.
GENERAL.

Adoption of the 1st July as the date of attaining 55 years of age in the case of officers whose year of birth only is known.

Simla, the 24th September 1896.

The following is forwarded to the Local Governments, Administrations and
The Governments of Madras and Bombay, Public Works Department, Gen-
eral and Railway branches.
The Governments of Bengal, the North-Western Provinces and Oudh, and
the Punjab.
The Chief Commissioners of the Central Provinces, Burma, Assam, and
Coorg.
The Resident at Hyderabad.
The Agents to the Governor General for Rajputana, Central India, and
Baluchistan.
The Accountant General, Public Works Department.
The Director General of Railways.
The Consulting Engineers to the Government of India for Railways, Calcutta,
Lucknow, and Assam.
The Director General of Telegraphs,

By order,

Under Secretary to the Government of India.

No. 3836-P., Pensions and Gratuities, dated Simla, the 8th September 1896.

From—R. A. GAMBLE, Esq., Under Secretary to the Government of India,
Finance and Commerce Department,

To—The Secretary to the Government of Bengal, Financial Department.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 4398-F., dated 14th August 1896, forwarding an extract from a letter from the Accountant General, Bengal, in which he suggests that, in the case of an officer whose year of birth is known but not the exact date, the 1st July should be treated as the date of birth for the purpose of determining when he should be held to attain the age of 55 years.

2. In reply I am to say that the Government of India have no objection to the Accountant General's suggestion, and that a copy of the correspondence will be sent to other Local Governments in order that they may, if they think proper, adopt the practice suggested by the Accountant General.

Memorandum by R. A. GAMBLE, Esq., Under Secretary to the Government of India,
Finance and Commerce Department.

Copy, with copy of the letter to which it is a reply, and enclosure, forwarded to other Departments of the Government of India; to all Local Governments* and Administrations; to the Comptroller and Auditor General and all Accountants General and Comptrollers; and to the Heads of Departments subordinate to this Department, for information.

2
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.
FINANCE AND COMMERCE DEPARTMENT.

No. 4398-F., dated 14th August 1896.

From—H. H. RISLEY, Esq., C.I.E., Secretary to the Government of Bengal,
Financial Department,

To—The Secretary to the Government of India, Department of Finance and Commerce.

I am directed to submit, for the consideration and orders of the Government of India, the accompanying extracts, paragraphs 1 and 2, from a letter, No. P. R.-729, dated the 30th July 1896, from the Accountant General, Bengal, regarding the method in which the date of an uncovenanted officer's birth should be determined, in cases when the year of birth alone is known, and it is necessary to declare whether or not the officer has attained the age of 55. It will be observed that the practice in the Accountant General's office has hitherto been to treat the 1st of January to be the day of birth, but as the practice is apt to lead in some cases to premature superannuation of officers, the Accountant General has proposed that 1st July should be substituted for 1st January. The Lieutenant-Governor has no objection to the Accountant General's suggestion, but since it may, perhaps, be considered desirable to secure uniformity of practice in all provinces in this matter, I am to request that the Government of India may be moved to issue a general order to the above effect.

An extract, paragraphs 1 and 2, from a letter, No. P. R.-729, dated Calcutta, the 30th July 1896, from the Accountant General, Bengal, to the Secretary to the Government of Bengal, Financial Department.

With reference to the instruction contained in clause (b) of article 55 of the Civil Account Code to the effect that if the exact date of an officer's birth by Christian era is not known, the approximate date or year should be stated in the Annual Return (in the Civil Account Code, form No. 3) of the establishment to which the officer belongs, and that this date can only be altered except in the case of clerical error, under the orders of the Local Government, I have the honour to state that it is the practice of this office, when the year of birth only is entered in such cases in the return in question, to take the 1st of January of that year to be the date of the officer's birth for the purpose of preparing for submission to Government the annual statements prescribed in article 80 of the Civil Account Code, Volume II, of uncovenanted officers who will attain the age of 55 years during the next official year. But this practice leads in some cases to unnecessary expense on account of superannuation pensions, inasmuch as it enables officers to obtain such pensions before they have actually reached the age of 55, and as it is not authorised by any order of Government, I have the honour to suggest that an order of Government should be issued directing that in cases when the year of birth only of an officer is entered in the annual list of establishment prescribed in article 55 of the Civil Account Code, the 1st July of that year should be taken as the date of birth of that officer for the purpose of determining the date on which he should be held to attain the age of 55 years.

2. I have proposed the 1st July as being the mean date between 1st January and 31st December and therefore equally fair to Government and to the officer, and I may mention that I can state from personal knowledge that an order, similar to that suggested above, is in force in the Punjab and also in the Central Provinces, and I have reason to believe that a like practice obtains in other provinces also.

To The Joint Secy to the Govt of Madras
P.W. Department, Railway Branch

Note for the 2^d Asst. Finl Dept
India P.W. D. No. 24154.
d/10 Sep 96

This does not seem a
matter for disposal in
the P.W. Dept. Either
Financial or Pension
Matters, apparently, deal
with it. Kindly say
whether you think the
paper should be
transferred to the
Financial Dept.

R.B.
5 Oct 96

C.W.

It is for Pension if I am correct
right, the copy of the order commd
by the Secy of P. W. &
Commerce Dept has been
handed over to this Dept

Ans
5/10/96

Re

What is the current
law then paper disposed
of by No. 15245-207

9-10-96?

S.A.W.

Ch 9173-

J.P.

26/10/96-

W.C.

of statement
after it was
been received, I
believe that
we know what
it is. C.W.

S.A.W.

It is not come
as yet. W.C.

14/10/96

8949

RU

Plundered

the books to

the coast line

15450 29.000

on receipt of

200 records

S.A.W.

+ P. L. P. 17.000
26.10.96

ase of clerical error, under the orders of

8949

DR

I presume this will

be his book of

in connection with

the same person's copy?

CC

14/10/96

S.A.W.

The general branch copy was received
at 6.04.96 by P. L. P. 17.000

15450 29.000

yes

14/10

author's name
of the same person's
copy
14/10