8762

Public Works (1896) Department.

G.O., No. 325 W

DATED 13th November 1896.

[Despatch Abstract.]

objectionable Stamp. Orders on State-
ment C – in Re accounts of Ex. Engineers
remaining unremedied to end of June-
1896, III Circle ——.

T.C. 13/11.

INDEXED. 14/11

P.W. 25.
[1,000—23-10-96.]

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	2697 W.		22.9.96.				
	2489 W.		27.8.96.				
	3176 W.		12.12.95.				

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

NOTES.

Reference to P. W. D. Code.

Chapter XIV, section ii, paras. 61 to 63 and 65.

1. The object of this Return is to place before Government an analysis of all shortcomings in matters of financial regularity in the operations of each month, with a view to their being remedied. It should be submitted to the local Government on the 1st day of the third month succeeding that to which the accounts relate, and should be drawn up separately for each fund and branch.

2. The statement will be posted from the Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items (Form No. 39) and Schedules of the Divisions concerned. The statement need not be delayed in order to embody the remarks of the Superintending Engineers if these do not reach the office in time. It is desirable, however, that the Superintending Engineer's opinion should be recorded, as far as may be practicable, and in order to ensure this being done, the Divisional Statement of Objectionable items should be checked immediately on receipt and sent to the Superintending Engineer for his remarks before the audit of the other accounts is proceeded with.

3. All Executive Officers who have failed to submit their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items with their Monthly Accounts, as well as those whose statements are *generally incomplete*, should be specially brought to the notice of Government under "General Remarks" at the end of the statement.

4. Items of irregular expenditure, which are left unincluded by Executive Engineers in their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items, should be distinctly noted as such, either in a separate supplementary statement or in the original statement in red ink.

5. Columns under all the heads should be filled up in each case, so as to bring out clearly where estimate or appropriation exists, and where it does not.

6. In the case of large projects such important features as the following should be stated with precision :—

A. Lump sum estimate.
B. Abstract detail estimate by sub-heads, and so on. { 1. Submitted to Chief Engineer. 2. Submitted to Supdg Engineer. 3. Not yet submitted.

7. A large work which is proceeded with on merely a lump sum sanction is still objectionable till an abstract and detail estimate by sub-heads is submitted and sanctioned for it by competent authority.

8. Special mention should be made of works being carried out in portions by authorities, beyond whose powers of sanction it would be to sanction the expenditure as a whole.

9. An important point to notice is the duration of a serious irregularity.

10. Voluminous masses of detail, when their practical significance could be given in a few lines, should, as a rule, be avoided. If, for instance, the state of matters as to sanction in one month does not materially differ from that of a preceding month, it will answer all practical purposes to say so, instead of sending another full report.

11. Too much stress can never be laid on the fact that this statement is not to take the place of Special reports, then and there, of works on which serious irregular expenditure is being largely incurred, either without estimate or appropriation.

12. The entries in the statements should be made by Circles and Divisions so as to enable the form for Divisional registers of objectionable expenditure to be done away with.

13. Serious delays in the submission of completion reports should also be reported in this statement.

14. In the column "Orders of Local Government" in the office copy of the statement, against those items which have been remedied, the number and date of the order or sanction removing the objection should be entered.

15. An extract from this statement of all the more serious irregularities should be submitted to the Accountant General, Public Works Department, and all those items which have to be specially brought to his notice should have marked against them in the column "Orders of Local Government." in the office copy, "Accountant General, Public Works Department," in red ink.

16. This statement, as also the extract referred to above, will be accompanied by an abstract of the objectionable items unremedied in Form No. 62B.

P. W. D. Form No. 62 A.

Madras PROVINCE.

III Circle

BRANCH— Buildings and Roads

FUND— Provincial

MONTH— June 1896

Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive Engineers remaining unremedied to end of the month.

Dept^t Ord 3762/96.

No.

Dated the 29. 9. 1896

Joint

Submitted to the Secretary to the Government of Madras Buildings and Roads branch in the Public Works Department.

No. 3251 W dy 13th November 1896.

✓

B

With orders

C. G. P.—No. 41 P W—22 8 95—3,000.

P. W. D. Form No. 62 A.
(Outer sheet.)

Madras

Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive

Item No.	Circle, Division and Description of work.	Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared.	Comparison with estimate.		
			Amount of estimate.	Expenditure up to date.	Excess over estimate.
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
	45 Civil Works. Provincial				
	Excess Over Estimate				
	Bellary Division				
1	Jalug Katcherry Hindupur Constructing	June 96	34,600	36,955-	2355
	Kurnool Division.				
2	Repairs to Kalkunta Jalug Katcherry	June 96	1220	1735	515
	Miscellaneous Irregularities				
3	Cuddapah Division				
	Dues to piece workers. outstanding from.				
	D. Natu Saib	February 95	June 95		
	Do	Do	Do		
	Yellingadu	Do	Do		
	Thammala boyadu and Yelliah	April 95	August 95		
	B. Venkatapathy & D. Subbadu	Do	Do		
	~~C. Venkatigadu & D.~~				
	K. Rami Reddy	June 1895	November 95		
	C. Venkatigadu & D. Subbadu	do	Do		
	Akbar Ali Saib	August 95	December 95		
	Kamiah Nayadu	September 95	January 96		
	B. Lyuruvadu	October 95	February 96		
	B. Pitchigadu & Baligadu	Do	Do		
	B. Venkatigadu	Do	Do		

P. W. D. Form No. 62A.
(Inner sheet.)

PROVINCE.

Engineers remaining unremedied to end of June 1896.

Comparison with appropriation.			Miscellaneous irregularities.	Expenditure during the month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by Local Government or Administration.
Amount of appropriation.	Expenditure of the year.	Excess over appropriation.				
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
						No. 3251 W 7 13" November 1896.
					(1)	1 Arrangements
				1408	"Revised estimate" will be submitted shortly" (Executive Engr's in Divl: Objections Statement for June 1896)" Sanction to revised estimate will be obtained early (Superintending Engineer in Divl. Objections Statement for June 96)	should be made for the very early submission of the revised estimate
					Sanction to revised estimate awaited.	2 The S.E will explain without
				17	(2) Divl. Objections Statement for June 96 with the remarks of the Superintending Engineer has not yet been received.	delay the circumstances under which outlay in excess of estimate has been incurred
					Sanction to pass the excess is awaited.	
					3	3 The S.E will
			-	20-6-5	Orders in Parl: Objections Statement for 5/96	make special
				13-9-0		arrangements for
				24-15-8	"Early settlement is awaited"	the immediate
				188-2-1		settlement of these
				95-0-8		items and explain
				90-7-8		the circumstances
				176-3-4	Early adjustment is still awaited	under which many
				52-6-3		of these items have
				18-15-0		been kept outstanding
				82-4-1		for a long time
				146-12-2		
				64-5-3		

P. W. D. Form No. 62A.
(Inner sheet.)

Madras

PROVINCE.

Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive Engineers remaining unremedied to end of June 1896.

Item No.	Circle, Division and Description of Work.	Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared.	Comparison with estimate.			Comparison with appropriation.			Miscellaneous irregularities.	Expenditure during the month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by Local Government or Administration.
			Amount of estimate.	Expenditure up to date.	Excess over estimate.	Amount of appropriation.	Expenditure of the year.	Excess over appropriation.				
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
	Cuddapah (Continued)											
	S. Guruswamy	October 95							32-4-0			
	Subbo Reddy and Hassim Sait	Do							152-12-11			
	D. Gurudas	November 95							4-6-4			
	I. C. Subbadu & B. Venkatapathy	Do							38-7-9			
	Akbar Ali Sait	Dec 95							3-4-5			
	Subba Reddy and S. Hassim Sait	Do							33-11-6			
	Abdul Gani Sait	Do							24-3-1			
	I. Venkata Ramanda & Guruvadu	Do							5-3-0			
	Yusuf Sait	Do							533-0-0			
	Syed Hussain Sait	January 96							49-12-3			
	Do	Do							122-6-5			
	S. Hossain Sait & S. Subbiah	February 96							45-3-8		Fresh items - Early	
	G. Ramiah Nayudu & G. Venkatigadu	Do							31-15-7		adjustment is awaited	
	B. Sattaramiah & P. Pitchiadu	Do							28-11-5			
	B. Balajuruvadu	Do							26-4-2			
	S. Subbiah & G. Venkatesu	Do							17-15-7			
	K. Kondadu	Do							23-12-6			
	Akbar Ali Sait	Do							371-12-1			
4	Dues from Puce Workers										4	4 This amount should be recovered at once and the delay in adjusting it since August 1895 should be explained.
	Syed Dana Sait	August 95							4-10-7		Orders of Prov. Chy. Items Sattaram for May 96 a Early recovery is awaited — Recovery Still awaited	

P. W. D. Form No. 62A.
(Inner sheet.)

P. W. D. Form No. 62A.
(Inner sheet.)

Madras

6

Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive

Item No.	Circle, Division and Description of Work.	Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared.	Comparison with estimate.		
			Amount of estimate.	Expenditure up to date.	Excess over estimate.
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
	Cuddapah Continued.				
5	Delay in recovering items under Paid but not audited				
	P. V. Rageswara Moodliar, Salary for March 1896	May 96.			
	Kurnool Division				
6	Dues to Contractors				
	Aliff Sheriff	June 94	November 94		
	G. Krishna Row	March 95	July 95		
	G. Jaffar Sait	June 95	November 95		
	M. Subbiah	July 95	November 95		
	T. Venkatagadu	Do	Do		
	Shaik Ismal Sait	Do	Do		
	M. Nyabath Ally	November 95	March 96		
	H. Moideen Khan	Do	Do		
	O. Gaudrigadoo	Do	Do		
	Basappa	Do	Do		
	Chalari Guruppa	December 95	April 96		
	Sidda Reddy and others	Do	Do		
	Vazeer Sait	Do	Do		
	D. Mullah	January 96	May 96		
	W. Venkalasawmy	August 95	May 96		
	Do	May 95	May 96		
	Wadda Guruvadu	February 96	June 96.		

PROVINCE.

9

Engineers remaining unremedied to end of June 1896.

Comparison with appropriation.			Miscellaneous irregularities.	Expenditure during the month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by Local Government or Administration.
Amount of appropriation.	Expenditure of the year.	Excess over appropriation.				
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
					5	5 Noted
			17-1-87.		The Executive Engineer states that the amount having been sanctioned in G.O. No. 2489 dated 27th August 96 will be claimed in the Salary Bill for September 96. Early adjustment is awaited.	
						6 & 7 Special arrangements should be made for the very early settlement of these items and the delay in adjusting them which has been very long in most of the cases should be explained
			.30-12-8			
			11-1-9		6	
			3-10-4			
			18-5-9		Orders in Prov. Obj. Memo Statement for May 96.	
			10-15-5		"Early Settlement is awaited"	
			16-0-2			
			5-3-11			
			5-14-9			
			26-7-0		Early adjustment is still awaited.	
			4-1-0			
			135-6-8			
			31-14-6			
			24-9-9			
			13-3-9			
			29-7-7			
			22-14-10			
			8-6-4		Fresh item	

P. W. D. Form No. 62A.
(Inner sheet.)

Madras

5

Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive

Item No.	Circle, Division and Description of Work.	Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared.	Comparison with Estimate.			Comparison with Appropriation.			Miscellaneous irregularities.	Expenditure during the month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by Local Government or Administration.
			Amount of estimate.	Expenditure up to date.	Excess over estimate.	Amount of appropriation.	Expenditure of the year.	Excess over appropriation.				
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
	Kurnool Division (Continued)											
	Delay in recovery of items Paid but not Audited											
7	Travelling Allowance.										7.	
	Ragavendra Row. Field Surveyor	June 95	November 95						13-0-0		Orders in Prov. Obj. Items	
	P. Krishniah	Do	Do						28-14-0		State for May 96.	
	R. Appiah	Do	Do						25-4-0		"Early adjustment is awaited	
	R. Venkata Ramier	Do	Do						30-10-0			
	R. Seshagiri Row	Do	Do						28-0-6		Early adjustment still awaited	
	S. Bhupunga Row	Do	Do						28-0-0			
	V. Thimmappa	Do	Do						21-0-0			
8.	Delay in payment of items Audited but not paid											8(a) & (b)
	Salaries										8(a)(b)	
	Samuel	January 96	April 96						4-7-3		Orders in Prov. Obj. Items State for May 96	These amounts should be paid at once and the causes of delay explained.
(a)	Ramakrishna Iyer	Do	Do						6-15-6		a Early adjustment is awaited	
	Chenna Seshiah	Do	Do						5-12-10		Early payment still awaited	
	Travelling Allowance											
(b)	Samuel	December 95	Do						1-5-0			
	Do	January 96	Do						1-7-0			

C. G. P.—No. 221 P W—1-11-91—6,000.

P. W. D. Form No. 62A.
(Inner sheet.)

PROVINCE.

7

Engineers remaining unremedied to end of June 1896.

P. W. D. Form No. 62A.
(Inner sheet.)

PROVINCE.

Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive Engineers remaining unremedied to end of 189 .

Item No.	Circle, Division and Description of Work.	Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared.	Comparison with Estimate.			Comparison with Appropriation.			Miscellaneous irregularities.	Expenditure during the month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by Local Government or Administration.
			Amount of estimate.	Expenditure up to date.	Excess over estimate.	Amount of appropriation.	Expenditure of the year.	Excess over appropriation.				
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
	Kurnool Division Continued											
9	Delay in Submission of Vouchers										9.(a)(b) Orders in Provl. Obj. Items Statt for May 96	9(a)(b) The submission of these vouchers should be expedited and the cause of delay explained
	April 1895										The Submission of these Vouchers to the Examiner's Office should be expedited	
(a)	Docket No. 5 & 13 V. No. 2 for Rs. 232-2-0	April 96									Early Submission of the Vouchers is Still awaited	
	Do 6 & 13 V. No. 6 for Rs 234-11-0	Do									.10 (a) Orders in Provl. Obj. Item Statt for May 96.	10(a) The circumstances under which the impress was raised without obtaining the prior sanction of Govt should be explained
	August 1895.										"Early Steps should be taken for the removal of objection to this item" Sanction of Government for the increased rate of impest allowed is Still awaited.	
(b)	Docket No 31 & 13 V. No 29 for Rs-4-0-6	June 96									(b) Orders in Provl. Obj. Items Statement for May 96. "Early Steps Should be taken for the removal of objection to this item."	10(b) The SE will explain the circumstances under which this watchman was entertained without the sanction of Govt
10	Want of Sanction of Government.										Sanction of Government is Still awaited	
(a)	For raising the impest of the Sub Divisional Clerk, G. Venkata Ramana Pantulu of Cumbum Sub Division from Rs 10 to Rs 15	May 96.										
(b)	For the entertainment of a watcher for the Police buildings at Nandial. at Rs 5 per mensem from 11th April 96 (Docket No 11 & 13 V. No 34 of May 1896) (Docket No 17 & 13 V. No 8 of June 1896)	Do										

P. W. D. Form No. 62A.
(Inner sheet.)

Madras

PROVINCE.

P. W. D. Form No. 62A.
(Inner sheet.)

Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive Engineers remaining unremedied to end of June 1896.

Item No.	Circle, Division and Description of Work.	Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared.	Comparison with estimate.			Comparison with appropriation.			Miscellaneous irregularities.	Expenditure during the month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by Local Government or Administration.
			Amount of estimate.	Expenditure up to date.	Excess over estimate.	Amount of appropriation.	Expenditure of the year.	Excess over appropriation.				
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
	Kurnool Division (Continued)											
(C)	For the local purchase of Articles of European Manufacture											
(i)	Ready mixed Charlton Silicate buff Colour paint 560 lbs at Rs 7 per 28 lbs = Rs 140.											
	Exchange Duty - - Rs 28											
	Despatching Charges (ii) 0-1-12											
	Purchased from Messrs W. E. Borham and Co and Charged to Constructing Deputy Collectors' Cutcherry at Markapur (Docket No 4. C&W No 34 A. of June 96)	June 96							169-12-0		(C.) Sanction of Government which should have been obtained prior to purchase is awaited.	10(C) The circumstances under which these articles were purchased without the prior sanction of Govt must be explained
(ii)	Charlton Silicate pale green, 1 Keg Rs 10											
	Do dark green, 1 Cut Rs 40											
	Exchange duty 20% Rs 10											
	Despatching Charges 0-12-0											
	Purchased from Messrs W. E. Borham & Co and Charged to Repairs to Floating plant in Kurnool Division for 1895-1896 (Docket No 52 C&W No 34 of June 96)	Do							60-12-0			

P. W. D. Form No. 62A.
(Inner sheet.)

Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive

Item No.	Circle, Division and Description of Work.	Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared.	Comparison with estimate. Amount of estimate.	Expenditure up to date.	Excess over estimate.
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
	Bellary Division				
11	Dues to petty Contractors				
	Ramanjulu Naydoo	June 94	November 94.		
	Bheem Singh	October 95	Feby 96		
	Do	Feby 96	June 96		
	Mr. Watson	Do	Do		
	Viravantha Pillai	Do	Do		
12	Delay in recovery of Overpayment made to Contractors.				
	G. Rama Singh	June 96	June 96		
	Amir Saib	do	do		
	A. Kassim Khan..	do	do.		
13	Want of Sanction of Government.				
	To the excess disbursement of Rs 20-0-10 to Contractor A. Kondiah Chetty for liquidation of debt. (Docket No 11 C&L No 25 of August 1895)	January 96			
	Completion Reports due to end of June 1896				

C. G. P.—No. 221 P W—1-11-94—5,000.

P. W. D. Form No. 62A.
(Outer sheet.)

PROVINCE.

Engineers remaining unremedied to end of _____ 189 .

Comparison with appropriation. Amount of appropriation.	Expenditure of the year.	Excess over appropriation.	Miscellaneous irregularities.	Expenditure during the month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by local Government or Administration.
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
					11	
					Orders in Prov: Obj: Item Statt for 5/96	
					"Early Settlement is awaited"	11 & 12 Arrangements should be made for the very
			0-2-0			adjustment of these
			63-0-0		Early adjustment still awaited	items and the delay
			104-0-0			in adjusting them
			229-0-0			should be explained
			39-0-0		Fresh items early	
					12	13. The S E will
			1-1-3			submit a special
			44-15-1		Early recovery is awaited.	report on the subject
			8-2-1			explaining fully the
					13.	necessity for this
					Orders in Prov: Obj: item Statt for May 96	excess payment
					"Early Steps should be taken for	
					the removal of objection to this	
					item re Sanction of Government	
			20.0.10		to pass the outlay is still awaited	General Remarks
						The S E will give
					his personal	attention to these
					statements and make special	
					arrangements for the rectification	
					of the irregularities which	
					are of long standing	
					D 13/1/96	
					D C Gordon	7/1/96
						The S E III Circle
						has to revise DN accts.

Examiner, P. W. Accounts.

Item No.