

Public Works (189 6) Department.

G.O., No. 3249

DATED 4/4/96

[Despatch Abstract.]

Suthamalli Channel Tinnevely Dt.
Order on a. Estam pekkai in connection
with improvements to the

BACK PAPERS.

[illegible]

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

P. W. D. 6th CIRCLE.

FROM

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
6TH CIRCULE

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER,

No. 2647d

Dated 11 / 12 } March 1896
Received }

Enclosures. 2

Southamalli Channel:—
Reporting on the
petition from the Ryots
of villages praying re-
pairs & improvement
to ———

H. E. the Governor
 Order thereon.
 No 324. I d/d April/96.

The petitioners are informed
that it is not found feasible
to comply with their request.
2. The great delay in reporting on their
petition shd. be explained.

To The Chief, Insp for Div-
The 32nd Vic^{al} through 99VI
The petitioners have on
para on
Chab

Russell 211

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

No. 2647N

6th CIRCLE.

Trichinopoly. 11 March 1896.

FROM

W. Hughes Esquire
THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

6th CIRCLE.

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER,

for Irrigation

SIR,

ENCLOSURES. 2

1. Petition bearing

Endorsement

No. 4031C
12-12-94

1. Copy of letter

No. 3745
2-12-95

x Taken by

Office for

R.N. 317. 10/9/96

With reference to Government
Endorsement No. 4031C dated 12th Dec-
-ember 194, herewith returned, forwarding
for report petition from the ryots of
certain villages in Tinnevely Taluk
praying for repairs and improvements
to Suthamalli channel, I have the
honor to forward a copy of Communi-
-cation from the Executive Engineer,
Tinnevely Dw, No. 3745 dated 2nd
December 1895 on the subject. It will
be seen that the requests of the peti-
-tioners cannot be carried out without
affecting existing rights. Their diffi-
-culties are due to high level of
their tanks and deficient supply

From

A. Stuart Esquire
Temporary Engineer,
Tinnevely

To

The Executive Engineer
Tinnevely Dw.

No. 411.

D. 9 October 1895.

Director: 1.

Sir,

With reference to your No. 948
of 2 April 95, I have the honor to
state that the tanks supplied by
the Tinnevely Channel are on high
level and the channel itself is on
a low level. It would be impossible
to improve the channel in any way
to suit the requirements of the ryots.

2. As admitted by them the
repairs to the ancient has been fully
carried out.

3. The petitioners' requests contained
in para V. (2) & (3) would, if carried
out, result in much litigation &

Since I am of opinion that the existing arrangements should not be interfered with.

4. The present Head Sluice is capable of discharging sufficient water and I do not see any necessity for enlarging it.

5. The only repairs necessary will be clearance of rock where they crop up above the normal bed level and thus obstruct the free flow of water.

6. The Supervisor tells me that the Superintending Engineer during his last inspection here was also of the same opinion.

7. The petition under reference is herewith returned.

I have &c
Mud. A. Stuart;
Temporary Engineer
Tinnevely

No. 3745 of 2 December 1895.

(True copy)

Forwarded to the Superintending

Engineer, W. Leitch, with reference to his No. 725 T.M. dated 27 Decem. 1894, herewith returned.

Mud. J. B. Lavelle
Executive Engineer

True copy

W. Hughes.
11.3.96
Supy Engineer
W. Leitch

11/3