and I am to request that the sanction of the Government of India to the extension may be accorded.

I have &c.

W.

DESTROYED
27 MAY 93

Extension of the sanction to Mr Simpson's furnished allowance.

6.9.95 nº 2757 C
9.1.96 - 84 C
14.11.95 - 2906-74
30.11.95 - 3096 C
A

RJ Carey 16.56

Nº 324 W dy 27 January 1896

C.K.

INDEXED

W dy

H.S. 18/1R.23/1.

No 324 Wfg 27 Jany 96

Public Works Department.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of India,
P.W.Department.

Sir,

Flag b

Adverting to your letter No. 10 G. dated 3rd January 1896 communicating the sanction of the Government of India to the grant of a personal allowance of Rs.50 per mensem to Mr.C.S.Simpson, 2nd Assistant in the Public Works Department Secretariat, on the condition that it is absorbed on the rearrangement of salaries consequent on the retirement, in October next, of a clerk on Rs.250, I am directed to state that the clerk in question now states that he ~~may not possibly be able to~~ cannot complete his arrangements for retirement on the date previously named (October 1896), and he requests an extension of three months, or up to the 8th January 1897, on or before which date he will voluntarily retire on the pension to which he is entitled by length of service. The effect of this will be to extend the sanction to Mr. Simpson's personal allowance for a corresponding period /

and

Cl
20 Jan

Govt. of {1895} Madras.

FINANCIAL.

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., No. 1049, 30th Dec. 1895.

DECEMBER.

Travelling Allowance.

Declaring the nature of the — admissible during casual leave.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper :—

From P. R. ALLEN, Esq., Executive Engineer, Trichinopoly Division, to the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, dated 7th November 1895, No. 456-S.E.

In the late Madras Code, Appendix N, paragraph 9, it is laid down that period of casual leave will be treated as halts at head-quarters and actual expenses for such halts at head-quarters have also been admitted by the Examiner. But in the case of an officer spending his casual leave at a place other than his head-quarters the claims to actual expenses are disallowed. The principle involved in both the cases appears to me to be the same, as an officer cannot possibly dispense with the services of his camp equipage by reason of his absence for a period of four or five days from his head-quarters if he should be considered as having incurred such expenses when he spends his leave *at head-quarters*. Under these circumstances I request definite orders may be obtained on the subject.

No. 1241-W.

Copy forwarded to the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, with a request for opinion.

2. An officer on casual leave is, technically, treated as not absent from duty—*vide* Civil Service Regulations, section 366, Note I—but on the other hand casual leave is not an authorised holiday—Civil Service Regulations, section 1154 (*a*)—nor is the officer doing work or employing his camp equipage in the service of Government.

3. I think the rule on the point raised by Mr. Allen should be made clear, and also that it should be made impossible for an officer to draw travelling allowance of any kind during absence on casual leave.

(Signed) W. HUGHES,
Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.

11*th November* 1895.

No. 4018-A.

Forwarded for orders of Government.

2. Under a ruling of the Government of India communicated to me by the Accountant-General, Public Works Department, it is left to the controlling officer concerned to allow or disallow an officer travelling allowance during casual leave according to the special circumstances of each case.

3. It is, however, for Government to consider whether it is necessary to issue any subsidiary instructions, in regard to claims for travelling allowance while on casual leave, for the guidance of controlling officers—*vide* Civil Service Regulations, Article 1290 (*b*); and, if so, whether the following ruling in the Madras Code on the point may not be revived :—

"Periods of casual leave will be treated as halts at head-quarters provided that if the casual leave begins and ends at different places no travelling allowance will be passed for any direct journey from one place to the other, unless a period of actual duty intervenes between the expiry of casual leave and the commencement of the journey."

4. Reference is also requested to G.O., No. 3188 W., dated 18th November 1892.

(Signed) D. C. GORDON,
Examiner of Public Works Accounts.

15*th November* 1895.

To the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department.

No. 3622-C.

Transferred to the Financial Department. The Chief Engineer considers that under no circumstances whatever should travelling allowance of any kind be drawn by an officer while on casual leave.

(Signed) J. PENNYCUICK, Col., R.E.,
Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

22*nd November* 1895.

Order—No. 1049, Financial, dated 30th December 1895.

The Government considers that officers on casual leave should be permitted to draw fixed monthly travelling allowance or conveyance allowance, but that under no circumstances whatever should travelling allowance of any other kind be admitted during casual leave.

(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To the Public Works Department.
„ the Revenue Department.
„ all Heads of Departments under the Chief Secretary.

Exd. A. Raitt

G. 5?

P. W. D. 6th CIRCLE.

FROM

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

6TH CIRCLE.

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER.

P. W. D.

No. 61 TC

Dated 12 / Received 15 } January 1896.

Enclosures.

Local fund works:—

Informs that the estimate of Rs 9200 for constructing a Girder bridge of 45 ft span across the Kattimari in the 16 mile of Road No. XI (Srishimoppa — Karur) was increased to Rs 9300 owing to certain alteration in the design —

402

P. W. D. 6th CIRCLE 7 1 96
212 95 - 3097
29 4 89 - 1137

Recd: 16.1.96

No 319 W dy 27 Jany 1896

Communicated to the Local and municipal Department with reference to remark No 3 in G.O. no 2255 d/22 Nov 1895, the order contained in which will hold good.

Copies 90/1 96

20/1/96

DESPATCHED 28 JAN 96

To the Local & municipal Deptt
& S.E. III Circle
& Exr. Paracch

OFF[illegible] OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

6TH CIRCLE,

No. 61 TC

Trichinopoly, 12' January 1896.

D 15/-

FROM

W. Hughes Esqre.

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

6th CIRCLE.

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER,

P.W.D

SIR,

ENCLOSURES.

Constructing a Girder bridge of 45 ft. span across the Kattuvare in the 16th mile of Road No. XI (Trichinopoly - Karur).

In reference to para 2 of G. O. No. 71 W dated 9th January 1896, I have the honor to inform you that the Estimate of Rs 9200 for the marginally noted work, received from the President District Board Trichinopoly with his No. 103 D.B of 1895 dated 17th May 1895, was increased to Rs 9300 owing to certain alteration in the Design, the difference being Rs 100-

I have the honor to be
Sir
your Most Obdt. Servt.

W. Hughes.
Supdg Engineer 6th Circle

[G. / 53]
1,000—28-11-95.

Judicial Department.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following papers:-

From ~~T~~ the Registrar of the High Court of Judicature Appellate Side, No.2924 dated 18th October 1895, requesting that sanction may be accorded and orders issued to the Public Works Department for the preparation of plans and estimates for the construction of a new District Munsif's Court house at Shiyali.

From the Registrar of the High Court of Judicature , Appellate Side, No.3534 dated 7th December 1895, forwarding a rough plan of the building now occupied by the District Munsif's Court at Shiyali.

Order No.73 dated 11th January 1896.

The proposed construction of a new Court house for the District Munsiff of Shiyali is approved and the necessary orders for the preparation of plans and estimates will be issued in the Public Works Department. The necessity for the work does not, however, appear to be urgent.

(True extract)

W Francis

Ag Under Secretary,
for Chief Secretary.

To

The Public Works Department. ✓
" Hon'ble the Chief Justice.

184

Copy of ~~the~~ letter from the District Judge of Tanjore to the Registrar of the ~~Hihg~~ High Court of Judicature,Appellate Side,No.1185,dated 5th October 1895.

I have the honor to request that the ~~Hihg~~-High ~~will be~~ Court will be pleased to address the Government on the subject of building a new court house for the District Munsiff's,Shiyali,at no distant date.

2 The High Court is probably aware that the present Court house at Shiyali is the old condemned Taluk Cutcherry in the middle of the town ,the Tahsildar having for some years had a good new Cutcherry outside the Town. The present District Munsiff's Court has been condemned on inspection by the following officers:-

In 1893 by the District Judge-"Entirely unsuited."

In 1894 by the District Medical Officer"very ill suited for a Court".

In 1894 by the Assistant Engineer-"Very old,crude construction,altogether unfit for a Court house;not ~~work~~ worth repair".

In 1895 by myself-I agree with the above officers."

It is at present a matter of some little doubt what would be the best site for a new Court house . Various sites have been suggested. The opinion of the Public Works Department will be necessary. If the Government will ~~sanc~~ sanction a new building,the plan and estimate will include site and cost thereof and the site can then be definitely fixed.