

Public Works (1896) Department.

G.O., No. 318 W

DATED 27-1-96

[Despatch Abstract.]

Travelling allowance : Pops. Financial
Deptt. declaring the nature of the —
admissible during casual leave Countd
to officers of the P. W. D.

FURTHER PAPERS.

Sept. of {1895} Madras
FINANCIAL.

Communicated to
officers of the Public Work
Dept.

Issued

Encl.

Recd.

G.O., No. 1049, 30th Dec. 1895.

C. H. D. B.
22/96

23/1/14h

DECEMBER.

The C. G. S. 2
C. G. S. 2
S. G. S. 2
The C. G. S. 1
S. G. S. 1
C. G. S. 2
C. G. S. 1

C.S.

Travelling Allowance.

Declaring the nature of the — admissible during casual leave.

Wm

1. th. order

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper :—

From P. R. ALLEN, Esq., Executive Engineer, Trichinopoly Division, to the
Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, dated 7th November 1895, No. 456-S.E.

In the late Madras Code, Appendix N, paragraph 9, it is laid down that period of casual leave will be treated as halts at head-quarters and actual expenses for such halts at head-quarters have also been admitted by the Examiner. But in the case of an officer spending his casual leave at a place other than his head-quarters the claims to actual expenses are disallowed. The principle involved in both the cases appears to me to be the same, as an officer cannot possibly dispense with the services of his camp equipage by reason of his absence for a period of four or five days from his head-quarters if he should be considered as having incurred such expenses when he spends his leave *at head-quarters*. Under these circumstances I request definite orders may be obtained on the subject.

No. 1241-W.

Copy forwarded to the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, with a request for opinion.

2. An officer on casual leave is, technically, treated as not absent from duty—*vide* Civil Service Regulations, section 366, Note I—but on the other hand casual leave is not an authorised holiday—Civil Service Regulations, section 1154 (a)—nor is the officer doing work or employing his camp equipage in the service of Government.

3. I think the rule on the point raised by Mr. Allen should be made clear, and also that it should be made impossible for an officer to draw travelling allowance of any kind during absence on casual leave.

(Signed) W. HUGHES,
Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.

11th November 1895.

No. 4018-A.

Forwarded for orders of Government.

2. Under a ruling of the Government of India communicated to me by the Accountant-General, Public Works Department, it is left to the controlling officer concerned to allow or disallow an officer travelling allowance during casual leave according to the special circumstances of each case.

3. It is, however, for Government to consider whether it is necessary to issue any subsidiary instructions, in regard to claims for travelling allowance while on casual leave, for the guidance of controlling officers—*vide* Civil Service Regulations, Article 1290 (b); and, if so, whether the following ruling in the Madras Code on the point may not be revived :—

“Periods of casual leave will be treated as halts at head-quarters provided that if the casual leave begins and ends at different places no travelling allowance will be passed for any direct journey from one place to the other, unless a period of actual duty intervenes between the expiry of casual leave and the commencement of the journey.”

2
No. 1049, FINANCIAL, 30TH DECEMBER 1895.

4. Reference is also requested to C.O., No. 3178 W., dated 18th November 1892.
15th November 1895.

(Signed) D. C. GORDON,
Examiner of Public Works Accounts.
To the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department.

No. 3622-C.

Transferred to the Financial Department. The Chief Engineer considers that under no circumstances whatever should travelling allowance of any kind be drawn by an officer while on casual leave.

22nd November 1895.

(Signed) J. PENNYCUICK, Col., R.E.,
Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

ORDER—No. 1049, Financial, dated 30th December 1895.

The Government considers that officers on casual leave should be permitted to draw fixed monthly travelling allowance or conveyance allowance, but that under no circumstances whatever should travelling allowance of any other kind be admitted during casual leave.

(True Extract.)

W. W. Phillips
Chief Secretary.

To the Public Works Department. ✓
" the Revenue Department.
" all Heads of Departments under the Chief Secretary.

Gobt. of {1895} Madras.

FINANCIAL.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., No. 1049, 30th Dec. 1895.

Ms 318 W 727-1-26

DECEMBER.

Travelling Allowance.

Declaring the nature of the — admissible during
casual leave.

No. 1049, FINANCIAL, 30TH DECEMBER 1895.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper :—

From P. R. ALLEN, Esq., Executive Engineer, Trichinopoly Division, to the
Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, dated 7th November 1895, No. 456-S.E.

In the late Madras Code, Appendix N, paragraph 9, it is laid down that period of casual leave will be treated as halts at head-quarters and actual expenses for such halts at head-quarters have also been admitted by the Examiner. But in the case of an officer spending his casual leave at a place other than his head-quarters the claims to actual expenses are disallowed. The principle involved in both the cases appears to me to be the same, as an officer cannot possibly dispense with the services of his camp equipage by reason of his absence for a period of four or five days from his head-quarters if he should be considered as having incurred such expenses when he spends his leave *at head-quarters*. Under these circumstances I request definite orders may be obtained on the subject.

No. 1241-W.

Copy forwarded to the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, with a request for opinion.

2. An officer on casual leave is, technically, treated as not absent from duty—*vide* Civil Service Regulations, section 366, Note I—but on the other hand casual leave is not an authorised holiday—Civil Service Regulations, section 1154 (a)—nor is the officer doing work or employing his camp equipage in the service of Government.

3. I think the rule on the point raised by Mr. Allen should be made clear, and also that it should be made impossible for an officer to draw travelling allowance of any kind during absence on casual leave.

(Signed) W. HUGHES,
Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.

11th November 1895.

No. 4018-A.

Forwarded for orders of Government.

2. Under a ruling of the Government of India communicated to me by the Accountant-General, Public Works Department, it is left to the controlling officer concerned to allow or disallow an officer travelling allowance during casual leave according to the special circumstances of each case.

3. It is, however, for Government to consider whether it is necessary to issue any subsidiary instructions, in regard to claims for travelling allowance while on casual leave, for the guidance of controlling officers—*vide* Civil Service Regulations, Article 1290 (b); and, if so, whether the following ruling in the Madras Code on the point may not be revived :—

“Periods of casual leave will be treated as halts at head-quarters provided that if the casual leave begins and ends at different places no travelling allowance will be passed for any direct journey from one place to the other, unless a period of actual duty intervenes between the expiry of casual leave and the commencement of the journey.”