3642, 5264 & 7834.
4589 7412

Public Works (1896) Department.

G.O., No. 3178 W

DATED 6th November 1896

[Despatch Abstract.]

Establishment: Sanctioning proposals for the increase of pay to two shroffs on the Buckingham Canal Wharf — and considering that a sufficient case has not been made out for an increase of pay to the Wharf Supdt. at the Madras Basin.

T.C. 6/11.

[P.W. 15. / 2,000—23-10-96.]

9/11

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Proposed revision of pay
of Canal Establt

P.W.D.

Read Cases 3642
4589
5264
7412
8834

Order No 3178 W dd 6th Novr 1896

A.S.H.
26.10.96

The following proposals are sanctioned:-

(1) The transfer of the Shroff on Rs 10 per mensem at the Trevelyan wharf, to the Madras Basin on Rs 14 per mensem.

(2) The Shroff on Rs 8 per mensem at the Elephant Gate Bridge wharf, to attend to that wharf as well as the Trevelyan wharf on Rs 12 per mensem.

2. The Govt. does not consider that a sufficient case has been made out for an increase of pay to the wharf Supt at the Madras Basin.

22/10/96

Dd 6/11/96

S.E. II Circle
Exr PWD
Cl. S. for Madras

Kr 5/11

Cls

H.E. 23/10 R 27/10.

BACK PAPERS.

Buckingham Canal, wharf Establishments - Proposals.

Present			Proposed		Increase
Madras.	Trevelyan	Elephant Gate	Madras.	Trevelyan & Elephant Gate	
(a) 1 Supdt Rs 50.	(b) 1 Shroff (Rs 10)	(c) 1 Shroff (Rs 8)	(a) 1 Supdt (Rs 70) (b) 1 Shroff (Rs 14)	(c) 1 Shroff (Rs 12)	(a) —— Rs 20. (b) —— " 4 (c) —— " 4 Total Rs 28

8 Oct 96.

[P. W. 40. / 50—2-9-96.]

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

From the Superintending Engineer, II circle, No 503 B.C., dated 30th March 1896.

Endorsement thereon by the ~~Superintending~~ Chief Engineer for Irrigation No 36 E.W., dated 10th April 1896.

From the Superintending Engineer, II circle, No 84 B.C. dated 16th May 1896.

Office Note from the Examiner, P.W. Accounts, No 1627 D/E, dated 9th June 1896

From the Superintending Engineer, II circle, No 233 B.C., dated 13th August 1896.

From the Superintending Engineer, II circle, No 286 B.C., dated 30th September 1896.

Order thereon, No 3178 W, dated 6th November 1896

The following proposals are sanctioned:—

(1) The transfer of the Shroff on Rs 10 per mensem at the Trevelyan Wharf to the Madras Basin on Rs 14 per mensem.

(2) The shroff on Rs 8 per mensem at the Elephant Gate Bridge Wharf, to attend to that wharf as well as the Trevelyan wharf on Rs 12 per mensem

2. The Government does not consider that a sufficient case has been made out for an increase of pay to the wharf Superintendent at the Madras Basin.

(True Extract)

(Sd) D. McNeil Campbell,
Col: R.E.

Secretary.

(True Copy)

R.W. Lon.
Head Clerk.

Buckingham Canal Wharf Establishment Proposals

Present			Proposed		Increase
Madras	Trevelyan	Elephant Gate	Madras	Trevelyan & Elephant Gate	
(a) 1 Superintendent Rs 50	(b) 1 Shroff (Rs 10)	(c) 1 Shroff (Rs 8)	(a) 1 Supdt (Rs 70) (b) 1 Shroff (Rs 14)	(c) 1 Shroff (Rs 12)	(a) —— Rs 20 (b) —— " 4 (c) —— " 4 Total Rs 28

Public Works (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. 8834

~~His Excellency the Governor~~

For **Orders.** I cannot see that a sufficient case is made out for increasing the Wharf Supdt's pay.

ASH 11.10.96

Submitted with reference to His Excellency's note dated 26-8-96. (Flag A) The increase of traffic since 1888-89 must have added largely to the Supt's duties. The fees taken at the Wharf have risen from Rs 13,409 in that year to Rs 20,737 in 1895-96.

7/10/96

W

R.S.

(10)

SRW 11/10

16/10

From Major W. L. C. Baddeley, Sup.g Engineer, II circle

No. 286 BC

Dated 30 September 1896

Received 2.10.96

Enclosures –

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Proposed increase of pay to Madras Wharf Supdt. – Furnishing further information in connection with the –

O.L.S.L. 66

G.C. 9/10 R 61.

~~W~~ C/10

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	180L	20.1.94	✓
	2116 C	30.4.96.	✓
	2402C	2.6.96	✓
	455D	17.6.96	✓
	1178D	28.8.96	✓

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Letter from the Wharf Supdt. Madras Basin to the South Sub Dn Office, d/ 7th March 1896

I beg to bring to your notice that the traffic at the Madras wharf is yearly increasing heavily, and the present establishment of one Superintendent and one Clerk (created when the system of wharfage was introduced in the year 1884), does not now stand against the heavy traffic of this day.

2. The tabular statement attached here below gives an account of the gradual increase of the wharfage fees realised for the past eight years, since I have taken over charge of the Madras wharf with a break of eight months from 18th Jany. 1890 to 1st September 1890.

Official Years.	Total wharfage fees on the Buckingham Canal	Total wharfage fees on the Madras wharf.
1888-89	18,876	13,409
1889-90	20,451	14,321
1890-91	24,061	17,684
1891-92	26,255	17,041
1892-93	28,389	19,960
1893-94	28,030	19,190
1894-95	31,793	22,379
1895 April to 1st March 1896		20,737

Shroff Maliappa Modali now doing duty at Trevelyan basin to be attached to the Madras Wharf – Salary to be increased from Rs. 10 to 14.

—

No. 3069

Copy forwarded to Supdg. Engineer II Circle with a recommendation that the proposals of the Sub Dn. Officer be approved.

2. The increase of traffic at the Madras Wharf, and the heavy increase in general business, gives much more work than formerly to the staff employed thereat. I have for some time past meditated recommending an increase of pay to the Wharf Supdt., who is an excellent man of business, has received no increase of pay for many years past, and merits some special recognition of the good work he has done.

A. Russell
Ex. Engineer
B. Canal

Madras
17 March 1896

OWS-1
3640/96

E.O.
17/3

---

544 B.C.
SECOND CIRCLE

From
The Ex. Engineer
B. Canal

To
The Supdg. Engr.
II Circle

CHIEF ENGINEER
FOR IRRIGATION.
2-APR.96
No. 67 B.C.

No. 3069

Dated 17th March 1896.
Recd 18th

Madras Wharf Estabt.

Recommending for approval the Sub Dn Officer's proposal re increase of pay to the — the Wharf

With an Endorsement on the reverse No. 503 B.C. / 30.3.96

No. 503 B.C. / 30.3.96

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation for favour of orders –

The S.E. supports the recommendations made by the Ex. Engr.

Major R.E.
Supdg. Engineer
II Circle

29-3-96.

No 36 Ew dated 10th April/96.

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer General Roads and Buildings Branch with the request that sanction may be obtained to the increase of pay proposed.

J. Wilson
For Chief Engineer for Irrigation

P. W. CURRENT No. 3642

Encls: 1
Recd: 13.4.96

No. 2116 C of 30th April 1896

The attention of the Supt: Engineer is invited to para 43, Chapter 1, Volume I of the P.W. Code, under which a proposition statement should have been submitted for these changes. The question of granting the increase of pay recommended will be considered on receipt of this statement which is now awaited.

27/4/96

DESPATCHED
30 APR. 96

CWN 27.4.96.

To The S. E. II Circle.

O.L.S. 25 R 30/4 7/5

P. W. CURRENT No. 3642

Encls: 1

Recd: 13.4.96

No. 2116 C of 30th April 1896

The attention of the Suptg: Engineer is invited to para 43, chapter 1, Volume I of the P.W. Code, under which a proposition statement should have been submitted for these changes. The question of granting the increase of pay recommended will be considered on receipt of this statement which is now awaited.

27/4/96

DESPATCHED
30 APR. 96

CWM 27.4.96.

To
The S. E. II Circle.

O.L.S. 25 R 30/4 7/5

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer, General Roads and Buildings Branch with the request that sanction may be obtained to the increase of pay.

---

4 JUN 1896

Superintending Engineer's Office.

No. 84 BC

II CIRCLE,
Dated 16 May 1896.

From,
Major W. L. C. Baddeley ~~Esquire~~, R.E.
Superintending Engineer,
II Circle.

To,
The Chief Engineer,
P. W. Department
Madras.

Encls: 1

Sir,

With reference to your Memo No. 2116 C d/ 30th April 1896 I have the honour to forward herewith a proposition statement for the increase of pay to the Wharf Superintendent re Buckingham Canal Dn.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obt. Servt.,
W. L. C. Baddeley
Major R.E.
Suptg. Engr., 2nd Circle

D. 15/5/96

P. W. CURRENT No. ~~4589~~ 5.1

526/96

Enclst

Recd: 18-5-96
Rd 22-5-96

From

The Supg Engineer
Second Circle

From,
The Superintending Engineer,
II Circle.

To
the Chief Engineer
P. W. Department

To,
The

Dated 16 May 1896

Dated
Received } 18

Enclo 1

Enclosures.

No. 84 BC

NO.

SUBJECT.

Wharf Establishment
in B C Dn —
Forwards for sanction
a proposition statement
for the increase of pay to —

2116 C dy 30. 4. 96,
180 W dy 20. 6. 94.

No. 2402 Cd/2nd June
1896
To C
For favour of
the requisite certificate
as regards "Present
scale".

DW.

4/6

6.5.96.

E. No. 27.
1,000—9-12-95.

KEEP-WITH CURRENT No. GOVT. OF MADRAS. } 1896. { P. W. DEPT.

dated 189 .

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS,

Madras, 9 June 1896.

OFFICE NOTE No. 1627 D/E

To

W.

Entries in column "Present Scale" checked.

2. In the column present scale the Supg. Engineer has shown only the Establishment sanctioned for the Madras Basin and not the whole Toll Establishment sanctioned in G.O.No.180 W.dated 20th.January 1894. This has now been entered by this office.

3. The financial value of the appointment of a clerk V.class Ist.Grade should be Rs.18¾ and not Rs.17½ as entered in the Proposition statement vide C.S.R.164. Necessary correction has been made.

C.

9/6

.. W. CURRENT No. 5264

Encls 2
Recd: 10.6.96
Rd 11-6-96

2402c 4/2.6.96

PWD

Memorandum.

From the Suptg. Engineer II Circle, No. 84 B. C., d/16th May 1896.

No. 458 D d/17 June 1896

The Chief Engineer has the honour to observe that the alleged -- increase of work would prima facie be a ground for an increase of -- establishment, but none is recommeded. It is, instead, proposed that the pay of certain of the men should be raised. To support a proposal of this kind it must be shown that the men in question are ~~desirous~~ deserving of the promotion and the rate of pay proposed.

2. The Superintending Engineer is requested to be so good as to make a specific report on these points.

16/6/96

E. W.

The Suptg. Engineer II Circle. 17/6/96

2402 C.Q 2-6-96
2116 C.Q 30-4-96
180 W.Q 20-1-94

No 458 D-Q 17 June 1896
Ist Reminder Sent 30-7-96

Public Works (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. 7412

Order

From

The Supdg. Engr.
II circle

No. 233 B.C.

Dated 13-8-96.
Received 15-8-96
Enclosures -
Spare copies -

SUBJECT.

Wharf Establishment B.C. Dn. - Forwarding special report on proposal to increase the salaries of certain members of the—

[P. W. 29 / 1,000—5-8-96.]

OL.J. 338

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	458 D	P.W.D.	17.6.96
	2116 C	-do-	30-4-96
	2402 C	-do-	2-6-96
188 W	—	-do-	20-1-94

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Letter from the Executive Engr. Buckingham Canal Division, to the Superintending Engineer, 2nd Circle, No. 1142, dated 6th August, 1896.

With reference to your No. 1371 B.6 dated 28th June, 1896, calling for a Special Report to show that the Wharf Superintendent, Madras Basin, and the Shroffs at Elephant Gate and the Trevelyan wharves are deserving of the promotions and the rates of pay proposed, I have the honour to reply as follows:—

Wharf Superintendent, Madras Basin.

Special report was made in this office No. 3069. of 17th March 1896.

Mallayappa Modr. – Shroff Trevelyan wharf

Joined the Canal February 1885, at Elephant Gate wharf on Rs 8/- per mensem. Promoted to Trevelyan wharf April 1887 on Rs 10/- per mensem. Total Service 11½ years. Quite experienced and capable to discharge such duties as relate to canal traffic. Work has increased of late owing to incre of traffic. Has always given satisfaction. Rate of pay proposed Rs 14/.

Ijanapathy ~~Ed~~ Shroff Elephant Gate wharf:- Joined the wharf in April 1887 on Rs 8/ per mensem. Still in same appointment and on same pay. Total service 9¼ years. Has sufficient experience in his particular line of work. Is capable, and has given satisfaction. Work of late is heavy owing to increase in traffic. Rate of pay proposed Rs 12/.

No. $\frac{233\ BC}{13 \cdot 8 \cdot 96}$

Submitted to the Chief Engineer. P.W. department with reference to his No. 458 D. dated 17-6-96.

2. Special report regarding the wharf Superintendent, Madras Basin, received from the Executive Engineer was forwarded with this office No. 503 BC dated 30th March, 1896.

226 Cd 30.4.96
with 458 D 7/96

W Baddeley Major R.E.
Superintending Engr.
Circle.

W.

7

From

The Supdg Engineer,
2nd Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer.
P.W.D.

No. 233 B.C. D/ 13 August/1896

—

Wharf Establishment
in B Cdn. — Giving spe-
-cific report as to the
increase of salaries of
certain —.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

From the Supdg: Engineer, II circle, No. 503 B.C. d/ 30th March 1896
Endorsement thereon by the Chief Engineer for Irrign. No. 368 d/ 10th April 1896
From the Supdg: Engineer II Circle No. 84 B.C. d/ 16th May 1896.
" the Examiner P.W. Accounts No. 1627 D/R d/ 9th June 1896

The revised scale of pay proposed for the Toll Establishment at the Madras wharf, as detailed in the statement submitted by the Supdg: Engineer, II circle, is sanctioned.

2. Attention is drawn to the Examiner's office note recorded above.

3. This sanction has been registered as Provincial No. 6 of 1896-97, and classified as Establishment, Permanent.

16/6/96

Cu

(40—4,000—2-11-95.)

Revised scale of pay
proposed for the Toll
Establishment at the
Madras Wharf-

Await S.E.'s reply to
reference made –
16 June 96

Keep with file
of the
file in Circ.
Petition on
return

Increase of pay to
Canal Establishment

4782
28.8.96

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

READ the following :—

From the Superintending Engineer II Circle, No. 503 B. C., d/30th March 96

Endorsement by the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, No. 36 E. W. d/10th April 1896.

From the Suptg. Engineer II Circle, No. 84 B. C., d/16th May 1896.

From the Examiner, P. W. Accounts, No. 1627 D/E, d/9th June 1896.

From the Superintending Engineer II Circle, No. 233 B. C., d/13th August 1896.

FOR ORDERS.

It is proposed to raise the pay of the Wharf Superintendent at the Madras Basin from Rs. 50 to Rs. 70 and to give each of the two Shroffs a slight increase; the man at the Trevelyan Basin from Rs. 10 to Rs. 14, and the man at the Elephant Gate Basin from Rs. 8 to Rs. 12.

2. The Executive Engineer considers these increases deserved on the grounds of length of service, increase of work, and proved efficiency.

3. The proposals are supported by the Superintending Engineer and the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, and are recommended for sanction.

4. The rates of pay are moderate for the work expected of these men.

21/8/96

W.

1. The reason ~~the~~ ~~going~~ given for raising this Supt's pay is that the work of his post has so increased that it is impossible for him to exercise that control which is necessary, unless the Clerical work is lessened. There is no proposal here to lessen the clerical work; – only a proposal to increase the Supt's pay. I do not see how this will meet the difficulty. Attention was called to this point in Col. Campbell's note of 16.6.96. But, I can find no answer to it.

2. How long has this Supt. held his present office?

A.G.H. 24.8.96

CAW 25/8
Refs proof

D

P. W. D.

Memo.

(1) From S.E. II Circle No. 503 B.C. d/29/3/96.

(2) —— do —— 84 B.C. d/16/5/96.

(3) From the S.E. II Circle No. 233 B.C. d/Aug 13, 1896.

Rc 11782. d/28th August 1896

The undersigned is directed to make the following further reference in connection with the proposed increase of pay to certain members of the Buckingham Canal Estt.

2. It is stated in one of the papers[×] sent up by the Supdg Engineer that it is impossible for the Madras Wharf Superintendent to exercise the necessary control, "unless the clerical work is lessened," and as a remedy for this it is proposed to increase the Superintendents' pay from Rs. 50 to Rs. 75. It is not understood how this will lessen the clerical work, Explanation on this point is requested, and it should be stated whether any increase of Establishment is required; none has been proposed.

× Subdivisional Officers Endt. No. 3041 dated 12 March 1896.

3. It should also be

reported how long the Wharf Superintendent has been holding his present post on the rate of pay he now draws.

21/9/96

S E II Circle

Dt/ 10/28 8/96

~~I Reminder sent 5-10-96~~

Letter from Captain J. Lalor officiating Executive Engr., Buckingham Canal Dn. to the Superintending Engineer, 2nd Circle. No. 1462. dated 18th September 1896.

With reference to your endorsement No. 261 BC. dated 2nd Instant, on memo No. 1178 W. d/ 28-8-96. from the Chief Engineer, P.W. Deptt., I have the honor to explain that Mr. Russell recommended an increase of pay to the Wharf Supdt. Madras Basin for increased responsibilities owing to expansion of traffic. It is proposed to strengthen the establishment at the Madras Basin by the transfer thereto of the Shroff at Trevelyan wharf, this wharf being attended to by the Shroff at the Elephant gate Bridge in addition to his present duties.

Vide Sub Dn Officer's Endt No 3041D/12-3-96.

The wharf Superintendent has been drawing his present rate of pay Rs 50/- since Septr 1890.

No $\frac{286\ BC}{30 \cdot 9 \cdot 96}$

Submitted to the Chief Engineer. P. W. Department, with reference to his No $\frac{1178\ D}{28\text{-}8\text{-}96}$

L. L. Meekham
Executive Engr.,
for Superintending Engr.,
II Circle.

JM

W

C No: 7412

11780
28-8-96

H.E.'s letter of 24-8-96

The arguments and statistics brought forward are sufficient, apparently, to support a claim not only for an increase of pay to the Wharf Supdt. but also for clerical assistance. The number of boats wharfed at Madras is not given, but the wharfage fees have increased from Rs 13,409 in 1888-89 to Rs 20,737 in 1895-96; and this must mean a great increase of work.

The papers do not show how long the Supdt. has held his present office, but the Ex. Engr says in his Endt No. 3069 of 17. Mar 96 that the Wharf Supdt. is an excellent man of business, and has received no increase of pay for *many* years past. He must therefore be an old servant of Govt.

There is no alternative but to refer again to S.S. saying that I am [illegible] to do so.

S.C. says it is impossible to [illegible] [illegible] certain [illegible] claims such as legitimate & he proposes therefore to reduce the pay of the staff & it is not apparent how the claims can ever be legitimate if that any application on the point is impossible & it should be matter of no concern to [illegible] whether it is approved.

S.C. will further advise how long[x] each of the men has [illegible] [illegible] in which they have been holding their present post.

20/8/96

x already reported in the case of the Shroffs. E.E.'s letter No 1142 d/ 6 Aug 96.

R.S.

8834

W.

Proposed to send this final disposal to His Exy., as H.E. has not definitely approved the increases of pay to the Sheroffs.

Cy
22.10.28

JLS
22/10/28

No 384 P. W.

NOTES.

Reference to P. W. D Code.

Chapter I, Para. 30.

1. All proposals for changes in the scales of permanent establishments must be accompanied by a statement in Part I of this form when the changes relate to the engineer or upper subordinate establishments and in other cases by a statement in Part II of this form.

2. The column headed "present scale" should invariably show the existing *sanctioned* scale (not the strength of the establishment as it actually stands at the time), and should be verified by the Examiner before the statements are forwarded to the sanctioning authority.

CHIEF ENGINEER'S OFFICE
No. 1/771
14 MAY. 96
P. W. D.
SECOND CIRCLE.

P. W. D. Form No. 113.

500—12-7-96.

MADRAS PRESIDENCY.

Part II

Tabular Statement to accompany proposals for changes in establishments.

Ocs. 42
~~4589~~/96
5264

EXAMINER
4 JUN 1896

P. W. D. Form No. 113.   P. W. D. Form No. 113,

MADRAS PRESIDENCY.

Part II.   Part II.

Statement of proposition for revision of establishment.

ORDER SANCTIONING PRESENT ESTABLISHMENT. Govt. of P. W. D. No.	Date.	Office to which the proposition refers.	No.	Designation.	Pay. Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.	Average or fixed.*	Total cost.
					Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
180 W	30.1.94	Buckingham Canal Do.	1	Wharf Supdt. Madras Basin	50	"	50	"	50
			2 ~~4~~	Clerk & Clk 1 Grade	15	1	20	18¾ 17½	37½ ~~17½~~
			1	Shroff, Trevelyan Basin	10	"	10		10
			1	Shroff, Elephant Gate Bridge	8	"	8	"	8
Do	Do		2	Toll Keepers on Rs 15 each		..	..	-	30
			4	Wharf clerks on Rs 17½	-	..	-	-	70
			1	Clerk 4th Cl. 3rd grade	20	2	30	-	27½
			1	Assistant Toll Keeper	10	1	15	-	13¾
			1	Lascar on Rs 7	-	-	..	-	7
			1	Do on Rs 6	-	-	-	-	6
				TOTAL ..	..	..	..	..	259¾

* In the case of appointments on progressive pay, the "average" should be calculated according to the rules in the Pay and Acting allowance code.

Certified that the entries under the head "present scale" have been duly verified by me.

Examiner, P. W. Accounts.

Dated the ______________ 189   *Madras.*

No.	Proposed scale. Designation.	Pay. Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.	Average or fixed.*	Total cost.	Proposition Permanent. Increase per month. Amount.	Decrease per month. Amount.	Temporary. Increase per month. Period.	Amount.	Decrease per month. Period.	Amount.	Remarks.
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Mon.	Rs.	Mon.	Rs.	
1	Wharf Supt. at Madras Basin	70 ~~75~~	"	70 ~~75~~	"	70 ~~75~~	20 ~~25~~	·					Proposed with reference to this Office No. 3069 d/ 17th March 1896.
2 ~~1~~	Clerk & Clk 1 grade	15	1	20	18¾ 17½	17½	..	..					
1	Shroff	14	"	14	"	14	4	"					
1	Shroff, Elephant gate and Trevelyan basin	12	"	12	..	12	4	"					
2	Toll Keepers	15	.	15		30							
4	Wharf clerks	17½		17½		70							
1	Clerk 4th Cl. 3rd grade	20	2	30	27½	27½							
1	Assistant Toll Keeper	10	1	15	15	13¾							
1	Lascar	7	-	7		7							
1	Do	6		6		6							
	TOTAL ..	..	..	..	..	8[illegible]¾	28 ~~33~~	-	..	..	..	..	

W. Baddeley Major R.E.
Supg: Engineer II Circle

J. Calot Capt.
Offg. Executive Engineer,
B. Canal Division.

Dated the May 1896.

11.v.96