

Public Works (1896) Department.

G.O., No. 3169

DATED 2/4/96

[Despatch Abstract.]

Silt from the Maduravayal Spring
lead in the Sandapet taluq - Chingapat
Dt. — P.W. Revenue Dept. Sanctioning
the removal of, Communicated to the
Chief Engineer for Irrigation

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

F 2,000-18-2-96.
21

Sanctioning the removal of silt
from the Maduravayal spring head in the
Saidapet Taluk, Chingleput District.

19.3.96 P- 266.9
26.2.96 - 209.9
2.5.93 - 399.9

N^o 316. I a. 2¹/₂ april/96.

Communicated to the
Chief Engineer for Irrigation
for information.

Feb

To The Chief Engineer for Irrigation

DISPATCHED
2-2-58
WOK

11821

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture) dated 17th March 1896, No. 1342 Mis. on the following:-

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture) dated 31st January 1896, No. 525 Mis requesting the Collector of Chingleput to report whether the removal of silt from the Maduravayal spring head in the Saidapet Taluk has been completely effected.

Endorsement of the Collector of Chingleput, dated 6th March 1896, Ref. No. 890 of 1895 submitting to the Secretary to the Commissioner of Revenue Settlement Land Records and Agriculture, ^{G & B} ~~letter~~ ^{letter} ~~Endorsement~~ from the Deputy Collector of Saidapet Division, dated 22nd February 1896, Ref. on No. 264 of 1895, stating that the removal of silt from the Maduravayal spring head is reported to have been completed.

Resolution.

Submitted to Government.

2. The Board requests that formal sanction may be accorded for the expenditure incurred in removing silt from the Maduravayal spring head in the Saidapet Taluk, Chingleput District. The estimate amounting to Rs. 170 was sanctioned and the work executed under the impression that it did not fall within the scope of G. O. No. 399 I, (P. W.) dated 2nd May 1893; but the Chief Engineer pointed out in his letter disposed of in Board's Proceedings No. 5397, dated 11th October 1895 that the G. O. makes no distinction between spring heads and spring channels connected with them.

Order.

Order thereon, No. 1163 Hds. dated 23rd March 1894.

Sanctioned.

(True Extract)

W. C. David
17
Secy. Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue (Revenue Settlement, &c)

To the Public Works Department (Irrigation) ✓