

8269, 9281
Public Works (1896) Department.

G.O., No. 3121 W

DATED 2nd November 1896

[Despatch Abstract.]

Authorising
Commission: The Examiner with remarks
to admit the charge incurred on account of
— for auctioneers at an auction sale in the
consulting architect's Dⁿ.

INDEXED

0 L. 5 4 20
H.E. 22/10. R 28/9

FURTHER PAPERS.

Enclosures.

No. 29/13

Office of the Consulting Architect to Govt.,
Chengannur, Madras.
3 September 1896.

From

Abel C. C. Ransom AB

Ag. Consulting Architect

to the Government of Madras,

To

The Chief Engineer

Public Works Department.

Sir,

The Examiner of accounts has placed under objection, the Commission paid at 8 percent to Edward Macartoon Auctioneer on the sale proceeds (Rs 695) of the old buildings on the site of the work "Constructing quarters for the Hospital Assistants attached to the maternity and ophthalmic hospitals". His objection is based on the rule in I & R Code Vol II Chapter II para 80 under which commission not exceeding 5 percent may be allowed to the auctioneer on all sales in public auction of public stores.

2 It was pointed out to the Inamine that the Code pura quoted by him referred to commission on sales of public stores and that the 8 percent charged in the present

EXAMINED
9 SEP 1896
W. 108804

Public Works (1896) Department.

8269
CURRENT No. 7993

Order No 3283 C d 8 Sept 1896

To C For favour of The Consulting Architect
Remarks. to the Government
of Madras

[Signature]
for

No. 2913

Dated 3 September 1896
Received 14.9.96
Enclosures
Spare copies

Cu
75 9/19

To W.
No 27038/A dated 12th Sept 1896
The higher rate of commission must now be sanctioned if that rate was agreed to with the auctioneers. It is not definitely stated what the 8 per cent rate was to cover for which the 5 per cent rate was considered inadequate.

SUBJECT.
Requesting to obtain the sanction of Govt. for paying 8 per cent commission to Messrs Edwards & Co. as auctioneers of the sale proceeds of the old building materials

[Signature]
C.
H. 11/5

present case apparently included for advertisements. He still considers that sanction of Government is necessary to the rate of commission allowed. I have therefore the honour to request you will obtain the necessary sanction to remove the objection.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant
C. Lawrence
8/9/96

Ag Consulting Architect to Govt.

8269

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No. 3444C a/28 Sept 1896

Public Works Department

Memorandum.

From the Consulting Architect to Govt. No: 2913 of 3^d September 1896

Adverting to the letter read
above, the undersigned has the
honour to request that the hon^{ble}.
Architect will be so good as to
explain whether it was agreed to
pay a commission of 8 per cent
before the sale was conducted, and
why the 5 per cent allowed by the
Code was considered insufficient
in this case.

23/8/96
E.W.

cross
24-9-96

To The hon^{ble}. Architect to Court.

29/9/94

May 307

Public Works (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. 9281

Order

From

*The Consulting Architect
to the Government
of Madras*

No. 3660

Dated 14th October 1896

Received 16.10.96

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

*Stating that no agree-
ment was entered into
with the Auctioneers in
respect to the rate of
Commission that was
to be allowed.*

No. 3660

Office of the Consulting Architect to Govt.,
Chepauk, Madras.
14th October 1896.

From

L. C. L. B. Rawson Al.

*Ag. Consulting Architect
to the Government of Madras,*

To

The Chief Engineer

Public Works Department.

Sir,

3283e 8-9-96

Enclosures.

With reference to your memorandum
no agreement was entered into with Edward
Macarthur Auctioneers, in respect to the rate
of Commission that was to be allowed.

2 The auctioneers issued ^{two} auction sale
advertisement at their own cost and deducted
as commission at 8 percent of the sale proceeds.

3 Sub Divisional Officers have since been
informed that not more than 5 percent is
allowable as commission on all sales and
that this rule should be made known
to the auctioneers. Any special cases in
which it may be necessary to allow a
higher rate will be submitted for orders
of Government.

I

I have the honour to be

Sir

Your most Obedient Servant

William Smith

12th July 1846

Ag Consulting Architect to Govt.

I think I should
explain if it is
it by 8 he can then
the sum in construction &
of the 5 he can allow
of the cost in construction
thereafter in the case

21/8/96

W

Draft known submitted
accordingly.

May
22/9/96

Cus 9281

W

In order.

The draft order
on Cus 8269 might
perhaps not work.
As no agreement was
made with the
auctioneer beforehand
as regards commission,
the rate demanded
by him must
nearly be paid.

Cus

19-10-96