6175, 5985, 7188, 8086.

Public Works (189) Department.

G.O., No. 2873 W

DATED 5th Oct. 1896

[Despatch Abstract.]

Rent: Orders directing that the revised rate of – at Rs. 60 per mensem fixed for the Sub-Collector's Residence at Tellicherry, be recovered from the date on which notice of the revision was served on the Sub Collector.

[P. W. 25. 1,000—3-8-96.] 7L 5/10

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

H E

B

Rent of the Sub Collr's residence, Tellicherry

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No 2873 W dy 5th October 1896.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

Endorsement from the Collector of Malabar No $\frac{1222}{P.96}$ dy 6th June 1896

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue No. 2761 Mis dy 17th June 1896

Endorsement in the Revenue Dept No. 2526 dated 26th June 1896

— do — do — No. 2624 dated 2nd July 1896

From the Supdg. Engineer IV circle No 386 W dated 5th Augt 1896

— do — Examiner P.W. Accts No. 2626 D/A dated 5th Septr 1896.

J.A.
21.9.96

No notice having been served on the Sub Collector Tellicherry that the rent of his official residence had been increased to Rs 92 per mensem by G.O. No 1559 W dated 23rd June 1894, the demand for arrears of difference of rent must be withdrawn.

2. In G.O. No. 1525 W dated 14th May 1896, a revised

ra

40—300—5-3-96.

rate of rent at Rs 60 per mensem was fixed for the building and this rate will be recovered with effect from the date on which notice of the revision was served on the Sub Collector.

3. The fact that no action was taken on G.O. No. 1559 W dated 23rd June 1894 by the Executive Engineer, West Coast division points to great mismanagement in his office, and it is unsatisfactory that no notice was taken of the irregularity in the Examiner's office during the long period between the issue of the audit notes on the accounts for November 1894 and October 1895.

11/5/96

S. E. IV circle
Exr. P.W. Accts
Revenue Dept.
with copy of G.O. No. 1525 W of 14-5-96.

7/110

Cn

~~His Excellency the Governor~~ P. W. Department
C No 8086

6

Tone and substance of letter from Mr. Pinhey -

Note for His Excellency the Governor.

In submitting the accompanying draft order, attention is invited to the tone and substance of Mr. Pinhey's letter of the 1st June× 1896 page 11. It is, it is submitted, beyond the province of a District Judge to animadvert on the work of the D. P. W., or any other Department, in the terms there employed. Remarks of this kind are not calculated to foster the good feeling that should exist between the different Departments of Government.

2. The Executive Engineer's office was guilty of an oversight in omitting to give Mr. Pinhey timely notice of the enhancement of rent, and for this it deserves censure from the head

× Flag I

I think the tone of Mr. Pinhey's remarks is not suitable to an official communication; but at the same time it must be remembered that in neglecting to give Mr. Pinhey notice of the enhanced rent, the P.W.D. acted in an aggravating manner. It is to be observed too that Mr. Pinhey's remarks were not addressed to the P.W.D., but to the Collector. I believe there is a good deal of friction and irritation over this matter of fixing and collecting rents. Can a better system be devised? - The rent should be fixed

on

head of the Department; but in other respects it acted in accordance with the rules of the Department and the orders of Government.

It is submitted that Mr. Pinhey's remarks should be noticed with disapproval by Government in the Judicial Department.

16/9/96

W

on a sound basis, and should be a matter upon which there can be neither doubt nor question.

AHH
21.9.96

Note for His Excellency

As regards the question of a change in the system of assessing rent for public buildings occupied as residences, attention is invited to the correspondence ending with letter to the Govt. of India No: 2432 W dated 3rd October 1895 × from which it will be seen that the matter has been fully considered. The orders of the Govt. of India are now awaited.

12/9/96

W

× Flag C

AHH
24.9.96

The draft order to issue.

25/9/96

W

Public Works (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. 8086

Order

From
The Examiner
P. W. Accounts
Madras.

No. 2626 D/A

Dated 5 September 1896.
Received 8. 9. 96
Enclosures 12
Spare copies —

SUBJECT.

Sub Collector's bungalow at Tellicherry. Stating that the Ex. Engr. may be instructed to recover the reduced rate of rent for — from date of order.

O.L.S. 402 R 28/9

Curr. 1410/R96

Ref 2456/R96

Executive Engineer's office
West Coast Division
No. Calicut 29th April 96

True copy submitted with the rent list (in original to be kindly returned) for orders.

From,
G P Carless Esquire
Executive Engineer
West Coast Division

Tahsildar

Camp Perinjulam
Kottayam Taluk }
12th May 96 }

To
The Treasury officer
Malabar

Ukra Kh

Sir,

At the instance of the Examiner Public Works Accounts Madras I have the honor to forward 3 rent lists for the recovery of rent for the Sub Collector's Bungalow at the revised rate of Rs 92 per annum as fixed in G.O. no 1559 W. dated 3rd June 1894 and request you will be good enough to recover the same at an early date.

(Sd) G P Carless

of Kottayam for ...
amount shown ...
should be ...

True copy ~~submitted with~~ Collr's Proceedings the rent list (in original to be kindly returned) for orders.

12 MAY 96

From,

The Tahsildar of Kottayam
Tellicherry
Tahsildar

Kottayam Taluk
13th May 96

To,

The Sub Collector Mlr
Malabar
Tellicherry

Dated 13th May 96
Read:

Encl 1

Submitting for orders copy of the order from the Treasury Deputy Collector for the recovery of the rent of the Sub collector's Bungalow as per rent list enclosed.

HC

Kindly see if the G.O. is on record [illegible] quoted [illegible] [illegible] also [illegible] [illegible] on what authority deduction is made [illegible] I believe the order [illegible] except in the case of [illegible] second that officer cannot even so [illegible] a sum in one instalment as an officer is always entitled to half his allowance & not more than half can be deducted by any authority whatever

[illegible] 14.5.96

Resp'd Sir,

The G.O. is not on our record. The recovery appears to have been directed by the P.W. Examiner through the Dt. Treasury Officer. The recovery cannot exceed one third of the officer's salary (vide Rule 16 Chapter [illegible] of the Civil Account Code). Probably rule 1962 of the P.W. Code authorises the Examiner to recover. (Papers submitted) [illegible]

See G.O. [illegible] under rule 1963 [illegible] allowed before [illegible] officer [illegible] making [illegible] for [illegible] submitted

8/5/96

1896
REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Enclosure No. 1
Current No. 4556

True copy submitted with the rent list (in original to be kindly returned) for orders

Kottayam Taluk
13th May 96

1896
REVENUE D[illegible]
Enclosure No. 2
Current No. 4556

P. W. D. Form No. 44B.

List of Officers (Civil) who occupied public buildings in the station of Tellicherry showing the rent due by them to end of March 1896, and the recoveries made during the following month.

Register No. of building.	Name of building.	Name and rank of the individual occupying the building.	Period for which rent is due.	Monthly rate of rent.	Rent due.			Recovered in 189 .	Balance still due.	Treasury officer's explanation of the causes of non-recovery of the amounts outstanding for more than one month.
					Balance at end of last month.	Assessment of the month.	Total.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
				Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	
	Sub Collector's Bungalow Tellicherry	A. T. Pinhey Esqr: Ag: Sub Collector Difference between Rs 92. to be recovered and Rs 50 recovered	1st January 1895 to 31st March 1896.	42 - -	- - -	630 - -	630			
		Total				630 - -	630 - -			

N. B. (1).—The buildings should be arranged in the order given in the List of Public buildings rented and available for rent—Form No. 32Y.
(2).—Columns 1 to 8 should be filled in by the Executive Engineer, and columns 9 to 11 by the Treasury officer.

Completed and returned to Executive Engineer.

Dated the ________ 189 .

________, Treasury Officer.

Dated the 29 April 1896.

E. P. Carless, Executive Engineer.

P. W. D. Form No. 44B.

Malabar Sub Collector's office
Tellicherry, 14th May 1896

Ref 4410
1896

26 AUG 1896

~~From~~

A. F. Pinhey Esquire,
ag Sub Collector of Malabar

To,

The Executive Engineer,
West Coast Division
Calicut.

Fair copy again

Sir,

I have the honor to ~~forward herewith~~ inform you that I have this day received from the Tal of Kottayam ~~enclosed * papers received this day by me~~ a recovery order for Rs630 for arrears of rent due by me ~~from the Tahsildar of Kottayam with a~~ for my bungalow & to request that you will be so good as to furnish me with a copy of the G.O. No 1559 W. dated 2nd June 1894 quoted as authority for the recovery.

* to be returned

2. I shall be obliged if you will also inform me at the same time

(a) why I was not informed of the fact that the rent of my bungalow was increased from Rs50 to Rs 92, 15 months ago instead of now and who is responsible for the oversight.

(b) why, when the error was detected, I was not applied to for a refund of the amount.

3. It is quite possible that you may be right

P. W. D. Form No. 44B

26 AUG 1896

NOTES.

Reference to P. W. D. Code.

Chapter XI, section iv, paras. 19 to 30.

1. The Executive Engineer will fill up this form at the close of each month (in duplicate), and forward it to the Treasury officer concerned for recovery of the rent due by officers in Civil employ. Rents due by Departmental officers and private parties should not be entered in this statement, but be recovered by the Executive Engineer direct.

2. The Treasury officer will, on recovery of the amounts due, fill in columns 9 to 11, and return one copy of the statement, duly signed, to the Executive Engineer.

3. The Examiner will accept all credits for recoveries of rent appearing in a Central Adjusting Account or in a Civil Exchange account, at once upon receipt of the account, introducing such as can be so disposed of conveniently into Divisional Accounts under Audit, the remainder being temporarily included in his auxiliary books and advised to the Executive Engineer for adjustment through Transfers-Divisional,

Division— West Coast

of Officers (Civil) who occupied public buildings *in the station of* Tellicherry *showing the rent due by them to end of* March 1896, *and the recoveries made during the following month.*

C G. P.—No. 186 P W—28-11-94—2,500

[illegible] at Rs 72 instead of Rs 50 [illegible]
fixed by your Dept that [illegible]
[illegible] propose to bring this matter to the
notice of Government to prevent such [illegible]
~~instances occurring in your Department~~
future as they are calculated to
cause many serious [illegible] to [illegible]

Ref 1410/R96

Executive Engineer,
Calicut

Requesting to be furnished with
some particulars reg. recovery
of Rs 630, the arrear of bungalow
rent.

R.S. 34/6675/96
8886

1896
REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Enclosure No. 3
Current No. 4562

EXAMINED
No.
26 AUG 1896

23rd June 1894, No. 1559 W., Public Works.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Account.

Read—the following paper:—

From W. V. BAKER, Esq., Officiating Executive Engineer, West Coast Division, to the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, dated Calicut, 16th April 1894, No. 187 S.E.

With reference to your memo. Nos. 51 W. C. and 82 W. C., dated 7th and 22nd February 1894, respectively, I have the honour to forward herewith a revised statement giving data for the calculation of rents of buildings and to state that the cost of sites of items 2, 3 and 5 has been eliminated as therein required.

2. As to the cost of sites of items 26 and 27, copy of the reply received from the Collector is herein enclosed for information and the matter is now under correspondence with the Sub-Divisional officer, Cannanore, regarding the boundaries of inspection sheds, &c.

3. The two enclosures to your letter above are herewith returned.

ENCLOSURES.

STATEMENT giving data for calculation of rents of buildings in the West Coast Division.

Item number.	Name of building.	Official designation and salary of occupant.	Whether permanent or temporary.	Date of completion or purchase.	Capital cost.				Four per cent. on capital cost.	Actual average cost of repairs, *vide* G.O., No. 1367 W., dated 22nd May 1887.			Estimate cost of repairs when actual average is not taken.	Normal rate of rent on basis of foregoing data.		Rent paid prior to 7th September 1888.	Rent to be charged.		Remarks.
					Cost of construction or purchase.	Establishment and tools and plant at 10 per cent. on account in 6.	Cost of site.	Total.		Cost of repairs.	Ten per cent. establishment and tools and plant.	Total.		Annual 10 + 13 or 10 + 14.	Monthly $\frac{1}{12}$ of 15.		Yearly.	Monthly.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
	Provincial Buildings.				RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	
1	Head Assistant Collector's residence, Coondapoor.	Head Assistant Collector, Rs. 760.	P.	1886–87 1892 93	17,310 200	.. 20	2,500	20,030	801	438	44	482	..	1,283	107	60 0 0	840	70 0 0	Government of India No. 116 B., dated 17th March 1894; G.O., No. 903 W., dated 3rd April 1894.
2	Special Assistant Collector's bungalow, Malapuram.	Special Assistant Collector, Rs. 795.	P.	.. 1892 ..	1,594 5,247	.. 524	..	7,365	295	..	..	..	202	497	41	20 0 0	504	42 0 0	See G.O. No. 2934 W. of 22nd Oct. 1892. Cost of site omitted as it is included in the cost of purchase.
3	Museum buildings, Mangalore.	Mangalore Municipality.	P.	..	8,280	..	..	8,280	331	..	..	..	248	579	48	8 5 4	100	8 5 4	Government of India No. 314 B., dated 18th Aug. 1892, and G.O., No. 2389 W., dated 26th Aug. 1892. Cost of site included in the figure in column 6.
4	Sub-Collector's residence, Tellicherry.	Sub-Collector.	P.	1883 . 1892–93	8,614 292	.. 29	..	8,935	357	674	67	741	..	1,098	92	52 0 0	1,104	92 0 0	Cost of site omitted as it is included in the cost of purchase.
5	Residence of the Assistant Superintendent of Police, Malapuram.	Assistant Superintendent of Police, Rs. 400.	P.	1889–90	15,.91	1,529	32	16,852	674	91	9	100	..	774	65	..	480	40 0 0	Ten per cent. of salary.
6	Police Inspector's house, Manantoddy.	Police Inspector, Rs. 120.	P.	1878 .. 1891–92 1892–93	4,143 1,225 240	.. 123 24	13	5,768	231	149	15	164	..	395	33	7 0 0	144	12 0 0	Ten per cent. of salary.
7	European Head Constable's quarters, Manantoddy.	Vacant ..	P.	.. 1892–93	2,000 707	.. 71	5 ..	2,783	111	72	7	79	..	190	16	1 3 2	5 per cent. of salary.		G.O No. 2209 W. of 14th Aug. 1891.
8	Police Inspector's house, Vayittri.	Police Inspector, Rs. 120.	P.	1869 .. 1890–91	3,485 421	.. 42	.. 12	3,960	158	165	16	181	..	339	28	5 0 0	144	12 0 0	Ten per cent. of salary.
9	European Head Constable's quarters, Vayittri.	European Head Constable, Rs. 60.	P.	1869 .. 1890–91	2,040 380	.. 38	.. 8	2,466	99	157	15	172	..	271	23	1 3 2	36	3 0 0	Five per cent. of salary—G.O., No. 2209 W., dated 14th Aug. 1891.
10	Police Hospital, Cochin.	Sub-Overseer, Cochin.	P.	Not known.	4,720	..	280	5,000	200	..	..	..	150	350	29	2 8 0	24	2 0 0	G.O., No. 2173 W., dated 5th Aug. 1892.
11	Sub-Registrar's quarters, Vayittri.	Sub-Registrar, Rs 45.	P.	1885 .. 1890–91 1891–92 1889–90 1888–89	2,492 385 490 250 292	.. 38 49 25 29	6	4,056	162	61	6	67	..	229	19	5 0 0	60	5 0 0	Government of India letter No. 538 B., dated 28th Dec. 1886; G.O., No. 19 W., dated 7th Jan. 1887.
12	Sub-Magistrate's quarters, Vayittri.	Sub-Magistrate, Rs. 150.	P.	1871 ..	5,152	..	16	5,168	207	..	..	..	155	362	30	..	120	10	Government of India No. 16 B., dated 12th January 1887; G.O., No. 353 W., dated 8th February 1887.
13	Sub-Overseer's quarters, Luckadi.	Used as rest-house.	P.	.. 1890–91	1,950 808	.. 81	10 ..	2,849	113	..	..	..	85	198	17	..	198	17	
14	Store shed, Malapuram ..	Do. ..	P.	1886 ..	270	..	..	270	11	52	5	57	..	68	6	..	72	6	
15	Inspection shed, Tamracheri ..	Do. ..	P.	1886 .. 1891–92 1892–93	700 558	.. 55	..	1,313	53	120	12	132	..	185	15	..	180	15	Used as rest-houses.
16	Do. Pudupadi ..	Do. ..	P.	1886 .. 1892–93	214 695	.. 69	..	978	39	50	5	55	..	94	8	..	96	8	
17	Do. Karandur ..	Do. ..	P.	1886 ..	700	..	..	700	28	120	12	132	..	160	13	..	156	13	
18	Do. do. ..	Do. ..	P.	1886 .. 1891–92 1892–93	700 558	.. 55	..	1,313	53	120	12	132	..	185	15	..	180	15	
19	Do. Tamracheri ..	Do. ..	P.	1886 ..	700	..	..	700	28	120	12	132	..	160	13	..	156	13	
20	Do. Vennakad ..	Do. ..	P.	1886 ..	700	..	..	700	28	120	12	132	..	160	13	..	156	13	
21	Vakils' Chambers at the Law Court, Calicut (consisting of 36 rooms).	Vakils ..	P.	..	9,087	..	5,452	14,539	581	832	83	915	..	1,496	125	2 per room.	24 per room.	2 per room.	Government of India No. 314 B., dated 18th August 1892, and G.O., No. 2389 W., dated 26th August 1892.
22	Old Telegraph office, Vayittri.	Rest-house ..	P.	1883 ..	4,470	..	30	4,500	180	247	24	271	..	451	38	..	456	38	Used as rest-house.

From the Collector of Malabar, to the Executive Engineer, West Coast Division, dated Calicut, 7th March 1894.

With reference to your letter, dated 12th December 1893, No. 4165 M., I have the honour to note below the approximate cost of the sites of the buildings named by you:—

	Present approximate cost. Rs.	A.	P.
(1) Late Police Hospital, Cochin	280	5	0
(2) Overseer's quarters at Luckadi	9	12	0
(3) Public Works Department store shed and Supervisor's office, Malapuram	8	0	11
(4) Late Telegraph office, Vayittri, used as rest-house	30	4	0

2. With regard to the inspection sheds in the Calicut taluk, the Deputy Collector reports that as the areas covered by the sheds have not been given, he has not been able to obtain the necessary information, but that the average value of the lands may be estimated at Rs. 60 an acre.

No. 83 Ex.

Copy forwarded to the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, with reference to his letter No. 1322 D., dated 12th October 1893.

(Signed) J. HANNAN,
Suptg. Engineer, IV Circle.

20th April 1894.

No. 2368 D/A.

Forwarded with the following remarks:—

Items 14 *to* 20.—The cost of site has not been given in column 8 for these buildings used as rest-houses. The rents may, however, be approved as it is understood that the value of site would be so small that it would not materially affect the rent to be charged.

Item 21.—The particulars of capital cost of the Vakils' chambers, as originally given in Executive Engineer's statement received with Superintending Engineer's No. 242-Exr., dated 7th September 1890, were as follows:—

	Rs.
Column 6	15,125
Column 8	8,000

No explanation is furnished by Executive Engineer in support of the figures now quoted.

(Signed) A. GRANT,
Offg. Examr., Public Works Accounts.

13th June 1894.

Order—dated 23rd June 1894, No. 1559 W., Public Works.

The statement is approved subject to the submission by the Superintending Engineer of explanation with respect to the discrepancy pointed out in the last paragraph of the Examiner's endorsement.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. PENNYCUICK, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle.
,, Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. C. A. Munisawmy.
Spare Copies

ENGINEER'S OFFICE, P.W.D.
No.
4 JUL. 94

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of {1894} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER'S OFFICE,
No. 1/296 W
11/7/94
P. W. D.
WEST COAST DIVISION.

Dated June, 23rd.

Recd. *Encl.*

Please file in the G.O. file
14-7-94

G.O., 23rd June 1894, No. 1559 W.

JUNE.

PWS 2 / 6475 / 96
8886

Rents of Buildings

Revised statement of data for the calculation of — of the West Coast Division, approved, subject to the submission by the Superintending Engineer of explanation with respect to the discrepancy pointed out by the Examiner.

1896
REVENUE
Enclosure No. 5
Current No. 4566

G. 2 1534
R96

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER'S OFFICE,
WEST COAST DIVISION.
CALICUT, 22nd May 1896.

No. 740 m

EXAMINER
No.
26 AUG 1896

FROM,

G. P. Carless Esq.
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
WEST COAST DIVISION.

TO,

The Ag: Sub Collector
of Malabar
Tellicherry.

ENCLOSURES.
1 G. O.
*. to be kindly returned

SIR,

In forwarding a copy of G.O. 1559 W, dated 2nd June 1894*, as requested in your letter No 1410/R.96, dated 14th instant, I have the honor to state that through an oversight in this office, the increased rate of rent sanctioned for your bungalow was not given effect to until the mistake was recently brought to notice by the Examiner, P.W. Accounts, Madras, who requested that steps should be taken to recover the difference from the date of the G.O. in question. No reference was made to you for payment of the arrears due as under P.W.D. Code II.II 54, rent from Civil officers occupying public buildings has to be recovered through the Treasury officers concerned.

I

I have the honor to be
Sir.
Your most obdt: Servant

G.P. Riley
Executive Engineer.

Send G.O. to Mr. Riley for perusal & return
26-5-96

6175/96

1896
REVENUE DEPT.
Enclosure No. 4
Current No. 4566

Ref: on C. No. $\frac{1222}{P.\ 96}$.

26 AUG 1896

Malabar Collector's Office
Calicut, 23rd June 1896.

From
J. Hewetson Esquire
Ag: Collector of Malabar.

To
The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department
Ootacamund

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith the enclosures to Mr. Riley's letter referred to in para 2 of Board's Proceedings No. 2761 mis dated 17' June 1896.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your Most obedt. Servant
J. Hewetson
Ag. Collector

Nu
23.6

Rev
39/6

41

Cus. 4366/96
R. 2761 d) 17/6/96
C. No. 4408 of 96.

~~Rev 1896 Dept.~~

~~Current Number 14566~~

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
25 JUN 96
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

From.

The Collector of

Malabar

To.

The Secy to Govt.
Rev Deptt
~~Ootacamund~~

Recd 25.6.96
Dated 23.6.96
Dak.

CHIEF SECRETARY
25. JUN. 1896
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

No.
Encl. 5

Subject.

~~Forwarding enclosure~~ to Mr Pinkey's letter complaining against the D.P.W. for charging additional rent for the Sub-Collectors Bungalow at Tellicherry

Re 5517
8088/96

bp
4/7

Attach to 13P.
AH 25.6.96

Endt. Mis. No. 2624
Transferred to the Public Works Department in continuation of Endorsement No. 2526 d. 29th June '96

2800 C/96

AH Trundell
Ag. Secy to Govt.

CR.
2 July 96.

Ootacamund,
4th July 1896

Rev 29/6

P.T.O.

O/222

E.7

P. W. CURRENT No. 6175

Government of Madras.

EXAMINED
28 AUG 1896

1896

DISPOSAL. 8086/96
Enclos 5t

Acc: 9.7.96

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

No. L O No/114 dated

~~CURRENT No.~~

From

The Revenue Depth

ENCLOS.

No. 2624

Dated 4.7.96

~~Received~~

SUBJECT.

Sub Collector's Bungalow at Tellicherry: Transferring letter from the Collector of Malabar forwarding enclosures to Mr Pinhey's letter complaining against the P.W.D for charging additional rent for the ____.

Await reply from
S.E. IV Circle to
No 2800 c d/ 13-7-96

15.7.96

District Court, Bellary
1st June 1896

To
The Collector of Malabar

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith enclosed the marginally noted correspondence relating to the Sub Collector's Bungalow at Tellicherry and request you will be so good as to forward this letter to Government with such observations as you may think fit to make.

(1) Executive Engineers' letter No 592 M. dated 29th April 96 to the Treasury Officer, Malabar with one rent list.
(2) My letter to the Executive Engineer No 1410 dated 14th May 96
(3) Executive Engineer's ~~letter~~ No: 140 M. dated 22nd May 96 ~~with~~ G.O. No 155 ~~P.W~~ dated 23rd June 1894 + not communicated -

2. I took charge at Tellicherry on the 3rd January 1895 and left for Bellary on 23rd May 1896. During the whole of my stay I paid rent for my bungalow at the rate that has hitherto prevailed of Rs 50 a month, and incredible as it may seem, until I received the local Tahsildar's endorsement dated the 13th May (only 16 days back) not one word had I ever heard of my rent being increased from Rs 50 to Rs 92.

3. As you will see, on receiving that endorsement, I at once addressed the Executive

Executive Engineer on whose Recovery order the Treasury Deputy Collector appears to have acted, and it was only 2 days ago that I received the Executive Engineer's reply with copy of the G.O. quoted as authority for the recovery.

4. The procedure of the P.W.D. appears to me to be open to 2 very serious objections:— first raising the rent with retrospective and second the means adopted for making the recovery.

5. As to the justice of the former objection there cannot, I suppose, be two opinions— Imagine for a moment any private land-lord acting in this way. I feel sure the fact has only to be brought to the notice of Government to ensure its condemnation. For 17 months I have been induced to believe my income was practically Rs 42 a month more than I am now told it was, and now, metaphorically, a pistol is held to my head and I am ordered to stand and deliver 2/3 of my current month's pay without an opportunity of objection or discussion.

6. It appears to be true the rent of my

bungalow

bungalow was raised so long ago as June 1894. Surely the fact should have been communicated at once and surely the enhanced rent should be collected only from the date of communication of the order. If, through the fault of some one else, the fact was not communicated and Government has sustained a loss of rent, surely the person who should make good that loss is the person at fault and not myself. The Executive Engineer explains the oversight was in his office.

7. There is no doubt whatever that had I known the rent was Rs 92 instead of Rs 50, I should have met the increased charge by reduction of expenditure but now I am asked to disburse the full accumulated amount out of saving, or if I have not saved by a single deduction from my current month's pay.

8. During 2 months or more I was in charge at Calicut, I paid rent for the Sub Collector's house however without demur. Had I known the rent was in reality twice the amount I imagined I should certainly have given notice to the D.P.W.

that

SUPG. ENGINEER'S OFFICE, P.W.D.
3 AUG. 96

that the bungalow was vacated and so saved Rs 184.

9. As to the rent now fixed, Rs 92, I have no doubt my successor will object to the amount as excessive considering the accommodation supplied. There is for instance no godown accommodation for servants and no proper stable: in lieu of the latter a temporary shed is erected each year and I now call to mind (to show that I had no hint of my rent having been raised) that Mr. O'Connell the late Executive Engineer when asked by me for a proper stable suggested that the want should be postponed for a year or else the rent would probably be raised for the future seeing that a good deal of money had been recently expended in repairs. When he made this statement, he could not certainly have been aware that the rent had already been raised nearly 100 p.c.

10. The discourteous method of recovering the arrears is my second cause of complaint. It is not only discourteous, it

contravenes

contravenes the rule of the P.W. Code bearing on the subject. Rule 1963 contemplates 3 months' grace before making recovery, to allow an appeal to Government and further states that not more than 1/4 of an officer's salary should be recovered in one month. If the Treasury Deputy Collector is responsible for the breach of the rule, I trust he will be reprimanded: but it seems to me that D.P.W. are certainly to blame, in spite of what the Executive Engineer says, for not communicating with me and allowing three months' time to appeal.

[illegible] of III of
Vol II of the
New Code

The D.P.W.
are to blame
A.4.H

11. I have dealt at length with this grievance because I am aware that I am not alone in objecting to the manners and customs of the D.P.W. in this connection. I have heard others complain and think it is time that a proper representation shoul be made in the right quarter by a responsib official. It is a matter of notoriety that the Public Works Department is not an economical one; people naturally object to having their rent greatly enhanced to a interest on improvements that could prob have been effected for half the money by other means; but when it comes to collectin such enhanced rent with retrospective effe

for

for 17 months and in the manner chosen in the present instance it appears to me time to remonstrate.—

12. To summarize, my prayer is that (1) the rent of the Sub Collector's bungalow should be enhanced only from the 13th May '96 the date of communication of the order (2) the Recovery order for Rs 630 be cancelled (3) due notice be taken of the person at fault to prevent a recurrence of such things in future and if recovery must be made that it be made from such person and not from me (4) Should the last 3 prayers not be favorably answered, I would ask that the provisions of rule 1963 P.W. Code at least be adhered to and the recovery only made in accordance therewith and due notice taken of the breach of the rule in this instance.

I have &c.
Sd/. A. F. Pinhey
Ag: District Judge

Ref: on C No. 1222/P. 96

True copy forwarded to the Board of Revenue with a request that the letter may be submitted to Government.

Rule 1963 quoted in para 10 is quoted from the old Code: the reference in the new Code is Vol. II Chapter III, section 106. the rule appears to apply to recoveries of over-payments from Engineer offices. Section 16(3) of the Civil Account Code says that recoveries are not ordinarily to exceed one third of salary.—

The Treasury Deputy Collector seems to be in no way to blame: he simply carried out orders and under section 16(2) of the Civil Account Code could do nothing else.

J Hewetson
Ag: Collector

HVC

Me/9.6.

1 & 1076

BOARD'S GEN. REG. No 2993 OF 1896
LAND REVENUE.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER'S OFFICE
10 JUL. 96
P.W.D.
WEST COAST DIVISION

From,

The Collector of Malabar.

BOARD OF REVENUE
10 JUN. 96
MADRAS.

ENGINEER'S OFFICE
3 AUG. 96
FOURTH CIRCLE

To,

The Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue
Madras.

Dated 6th June 1896.

Subject.

Requesting orders in the matter of the complaint made by Mr. Pinhey on account of the rent charged upon the Sub Collector's Bungalow at Tellicherry—

REVENUE
Enclosure No. /
Current No. 4458

SUPG. ENGINEER'S OFFICE P.W.D.
No. 2/738W
14 JUL. 96
FOURTH CIRCLE.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER'S OFFICE
No. 3/303W
20 JUL. 96
P. W. D.
WEST COAST DIVISION.

SUPG. ENGINEER'S OFFICE P.W.D.
No. 570 WC
3 - AUG. 96
Enclo: 3
FOURTH CIRCLE.

To be returned
to Revenue Board
with reply.

600
1-5-96.

MADRAS

26 AUG 1896

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue).

No.2761 Mis., dated 17th June 1896.

Read endorsement of the Collector of Malabar, Ref. on C.No. 1222/P.96., dated 6th June 1896.

Resolution

Submitted for the orders of Government. The Board is not in possession of any information on the subject.

2. The enclosures referred to in Mr. Pinhey's letter have not been received in this office. The Collector, Malabar will be requested to forward them direct to Government.

(True Extract)

Ag. Secretary

To

The Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, with letter to be returned.
" Collector of Malabar.

P.W. CURRENT No. 5985 E.1

~~Rev~~ 1896. ~~Dept~~

Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

MADRAS.

SUPG. ENGINEER'S OFFICE No. 1/738 W 14 JUL. FOURTH CIRCLE

To

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER'S OFFICE No. 2/303 W P.W.D. WEST COAST DIVISION

The Secy to Government,
Rev. Deptt

22 JUN 96

SUPG. ENGINEER'S OFFICE P.W.D. No. 570 W2 3 AUG. 96 2 FOURTH CIRCLE.

27/6

1525W dt 14.5.86
1559W dt 23.6.94
2403W dt 8-9-91
648W dt 6.3.91

Recd 22.6.96 E.1.

Pro. No. 2761 Mis.

dated 17th June 1896.

Subject.

Submitting for the orders of Government letter from the Collector of Malabar forwarding Mr. Pinhey's letter complaining against the D.P.W. for charging additional rent for the Sub-Collector's Bungalow at Tellicherry and requesting the Collector of Malabar to forward the enclosures direct to Government.

PLS.9
808/6/96

P.T.O.

Rev 27/6

Endt mis No 2526

Transferred to the Public Works Department.

H. Arundell
ag Secy to Govt

C.R.
26 June 96.

27/6/96
Encls 1

Ootacamund,
29 June 1896

Recd: 3.7.96

24/6 Of 220 A 1-7-96

C/7/7 R 11/7

No. 2800 Cdl/13th July/96 P. W. D.

C/

28 AUG 1896

Memorandum

Endorsement in the Rev: Dept: No. 2526 Mis., dated 29th June 1896, on Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue) No. 2761 Mis, dated 17th June 1896.

C. No. 5985

Submitting for the orders of Govt: letter from the Collector of Malabar forwarding Mr. Pinhey's letter complaining against the D.P.W. for charging additional rent for the Sub-Collector's Bungalow at Tellicherry and requesting the Collector of Malabar to forward the enclosures direct to Government.	Referred to the Superintending Engineer, IV circle for favour of explanation. 2. Under G.O. No. 648W, dated 6th March 1891, any increase of rent involved by the application of the existing rules, should have effect only from the date on which notice of the same is served on the occupant, and it is not understood why arrears of enhanced rent for nearly 17 months ~~was~~ were demanded from Mr. Pinhey. 3. In G.O. No. 1525W, dated

dated 14th May 1896, the normal rent of the Sub. Collector's quarters at Tellicherry was revised and fixed at Rs 60 per mensem, and apparently the demand for difference of rent was made while the correspondence regarding this revision was going on.

8/7/96

No. 1525 W d/ 14-5-96
1559 W d/ 23-6-94.
2403 W d/ 8-9-91
2075 Cd/ 27-4-96.
1438 Cd/ 14-3-96
1046 Cd/ 24-2-96

No 2800 Cd/ 13th July 1896

Suptg. Engineer, IV Circle, with original papers to be returned.

14/7

Cs

OL3C.10 / 80.86.96

No. 421 SE

Executive Engineer's Office,
WEST COAST DIVISION.

CALICUT, 31st Jy 1896.

FROM
G. P. Carless Esq.
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
WEST COAST DIVISION,

TO
THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
FOURTH CIRCLE,
COIMBATORE.

ENCLOSURE. 4

Sir,

In returning your endorsement No. $\frac{376}{\text{W.C.}}$, dated 15th Instant, with its enclosures, I have the honor to explain as follows:-

The rent of Sub Collector's bungalow, Tellicherry was ~~raised from Rs 80 to~~ 92 in G. O. No 1559 W. dated 23rd June 1894. I do not know why the increased rate of rent was not claimed on receipt of the G.O. as I was not then in charge of the Division nor does the present Accountant, who joined the Division only in October 1895. The former rate of rent, however, continued to be claimed and recovered and the irregularity was overlooked by the Examiner until in the

P. W. CURR ~~388~~

P. W. D.

WEST COAST DIVISION.

SUPG. ENGINEER'S OFFICE
No. 570 W.C.
3 AUG. 96
FOURTH CIRCLE

FROM

THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
WEST COAST DIVISION,

TO

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
FOURTH CIRCLE,
COIMBATORE.

Dated 30th July 1896.
Despatched 31st

No. 421 E

ENCLOSURE. 4

SUBJECT.

Sub Collector's Bungalow at Tellicherry:

Reporting the cause why increased rate of rent was not recovered for the—

Encls 2
Recd 8-8-96

No $\frac{386}{W}$, dated 5 August 1896.

Submitted to the Chief Engineer PWD with reference to his Memorandum No 2800C, dated 13 July 1896.

2. The Enclosures which accompanied the memo: under reference are herewith returned.

J. Hemming
Supg. Engineer, 4 Circle

Enclosures 2.

On the back

26 AUG 1896
P. W. [illegible]

Government of Madras.

1896.

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT No. 7188

From

ENCLOS

No.

Dated

Received

SUBJECT.

No. 1525 W, d 14-5-96
- 1559 W, d 23-6-94
- 2403 W, d 8-9-91
- 648 W, d 6-3-91

OW 812
8086/96

—2,000—28-2-95.

DISPOSAL.

No. dated

No. 3167 C d/25 August 1896

To C

For favour of remarks with reference to the Executive Engineer's statement that the failure to recover the enhanced rate of rent was overlooked by your office until the accounts of October 1895 were audited, and that arrears with effect from 23rd June 1894 were demanded under your instructions, — such instructions being opposed to G.O. No. 648 W dated 6th March 1891 — See the remarks in this office endorsement No. 2800 C of 13th July 1896, enclosed.

17/8/96

[illegible]

E. No. 27.
1,000—9-12-95.

WITH

CURRENT No.

dated 189 .

GOVT. OF MADRAS. } 1896. { P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS,

Madras, 5 September 1896.

OFFICE NOTE No. 2626 D/A

To

W.

Your office note No.3167 C.of 25th. August 1896.

In the audit note for November 1894 the Executive Engineer was asked to quote authority for recovering rent at Rs.50 per mensem,and again in the audit note for October 1895 the Executive Engineer was asked to explain why rent at Rs.92 was not recovered as fixed in G.O.No.1559 W.of 23rd. June 1894. In my letter No.561 D/A of 28th. February 1896 the Supg.Engineer was requested to instruct the Executive Engineer to recover the difference of rent on the assumption that the necessary notice was served by the Executive Engineer on the occupant on receipt of G.O.quoted above.

2. As it would now appear from the correspondence that the required notice was not served at the time, and as the original rate of rent viz Rs.92 was reduced to Rs.60 vide G.O.No.1525 W of 14th.May 1896 the Executive Engineer

may be instructed to recover the reduced rate of rent from the date of the order.

OCS

C.

4/5/49