

8242
Public Works (1896) Department.

G.O., No. 2864 W

DATED 5th October 1896.

[Despatch Abstract.]

A. Runga Ram, late accountant. Stating
that H.E. the Governor in Council is not
prepared to sanction the reemployment of —

//

CURRENT No. 8242

Public Works

B
From order. Order.
Letter to Auditor of 5th Oct. 1896.
Order
No. 2863 W.
His Excell
Coun

Order
No. 2863.W.
His Excellency the
Governor in Council is not
prepared to sanction a Ranga
Rao's re-employment.

From
The Examiner
P. W. Deasno
Madras.

No. 270187E.

Dated 12th Sept 1896.

Received 12.9.96

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

St. Rungia Row, Lake
Accountant. Enquir-
ing if Govt. has any
objection to employing
— temporarily.

FURTHER PAPERS

CURRENT No.

dated

189

GOVT.
OF MADRAS.

1896.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS,

Madras, 12th September 1896.

OFFICE NOTE No. 2701 B/E.

To

W.

A Runga Row, late Accountant ,
whose case has again and lately before
Government, has asked me to give him tem-
porary employment in my office, as he
is in very great want of some means of
subsistence.

2. I beg to enquire if Government has any objection to my employing him when an opportunity offers.

C

Rhe
12/4

J.W.

Herewith two files on
the Range Rev. case which
C.A.W. is despatching also
during of his return to the
recess conference between J.
& W.

The dispute on above is in
a letter to the Govt & W.
& the other a letter to C.
There can easily be dispute
in the office but I am
not sure the whether J.
and the other as to dispute
of the paper presented.

23/8/06

By the by your note on
Range Rev. case sent to
H.E. the second time.

23/8/06

8242

Enc 7739

A Range Rev.
Letter as all. H.E. D.
regarding that his
memorial appealing
against his dismissal
may be forwarded
to J. P. J.

The above was sent
to W. on 23.8.06.

3118-1906
10/11/07

W.

I agree with C.A.W. that
the Accountant's re-employ-
ment, even in a temporary
capacity, is precluded by
G.O. No. 552 dated 5th July
1896. Perhaps C. might be
informed that such is the
case.

(1)

I take this opportunity of
stating that Range Rao
is, apparently, protected,
under para 4 of the Secretary
to the Government of India's
letter of 15th June 1895, from
having his dismissal
revoked in the Gazette, and
think that this point might
(2) be recorded in his favour.

COMB

23.8.06

(2)

The draft should be
be written in the office

bw

23/8/06

(1)

(3)

Casals for an expression
of the views of provincials. a

20. thus seems necessary. ¹⁴
not an office with containing
the Secretary's personal opinion.
A draft order has been prepared
accordingly.

(2) The Govt. would not, I think,
consent to an order in this
sense being placed on record.

The Accountant has been dismissed
for a "heinous offence" - viz
breach of trust - and should
properly speaking be "forgotten"
out of the Service under the
terms of paragraph of the letter
quoted.

These are my views, subject
to revision by the Secretary.

L.S.

24 Sep 96

(5)
Whether this is a ~~strong~~ Govt. has
decided that Range Rao deserves
dismissal not removal & that the
Govt. has been wrong here. In
the same opinion is attached to Sumari
means that Range Rao cannot be
re-employed & that Govt. has again
come to its senses & has reversed its
decision for the time being. This
I do not think advisable.

(6)
W. This settles (1).
Orders are requested on
(2) ^{cross}
24.9.96

(11) Before drafting
an order for
Govt. He might
perhaps be
asked whether he
could, as a special
case, support the application
for temporary employment.

(2) He has not been
dismissed on
proof, but only on
suspicion, of having
been guilty of a
heinous offence. Therefore
I do not consider that
he should be forgotten
out of the department.
Besides I am not
sure that improper
dealings with a
contractor is a
heinous offence within
the wording of the
order, which defines
such as fraud or fabri-
cation of accounts
as being heinous
offences. Range
Rao is not even
suspected to have
been guilty of an
offence so serious
as either of these.

C.H.D.
25-9-96.

(7)
It is not necessary that
Range Rao should be
forgotten but the need
for his services is
not large and
I hope I have seen the
last of these letters

24/9/96

Received
Cross
24.9.96.

25/9/96