

7883.

Public Works (1896) Department.

G.O., No. 26976

DATED 22^d Sept^r.
1896

[Despatch Abstract.]

Objectionable Items: Order on Statement of
— in the account of Ex Engineer remaining
unremedied to end of year 1896, 11 (with

P. W. 25.
1,000-5-8-26.

к

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

NOTES.

Reference to P. W. D. Code.

Chapter XIV, section ii, paras. 61 to 63 and 65.

1 The object of this Return is to place before Government an analysis of all shortcomings in matters of financial regularity in the operations of each month, with a view to their being remedied. It should be submitted to the local Government on the 1st day of the third month succeeding that to which the accounts relate, and should be drawn up separately for each fund and branch.

2. The statement will be posted from the Divisional Statements of Objectable Items (Form No. 39) and Schedules of the Divisions concerned. The statement need not be delayed in order to embody the remarks of the Superintending Engineers if these do not reach the office in time. It is desirable, however, that the Superintending Engineer's opinion should be recorded, as far as may be practicable, and in order to ensure this being done, the Divisional Statement of Objectable items should be checked immediately on receipt and sent to the Superintending Engineer for his remarks before the audit of the other accounts is proceeded with.

3. All Executive Officers who have failed to submit their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items with their Monthly Accounts, as well as those whose statements are *generally incomplete*, should be specially brought to the notice of Government under "General Remarks" at the end of the statement.

4. Items of irregular expenditure, which are left unincorporated by Executive Engineers in their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items, should be distinctly noted as such, either in a separate supplementary statement or in the original statement in red ink.

5. Columns under all the heads should be filled up in each case, so as to bring out clearly where estimate or appropriation exists, and where it does not.

6. In the case of large projects such important features as the following should be stated with precision :—

A. Lump sum estimate.	{	1. Submitted to Chief Engineer.
B. Abstract detail estimate by sub-heads, and so on.		2. Submitted to Supdg Engineer.
		3. Not yet submitted.

7. A large work which is proceeded with on merely a lump sum sanction is still objectionable till an abstract and detail estimate by sub-heads is submitted and sanctioned for it by competent authority.

8. Special mention should be made of works being carried out in portions by authorities, beyond whose powers of sanction it would be to sanction the expenditure as a whole.

9. An important point to notice is the duration of a serious irregularity.

10. Voluminous masses of detail, when their practical significance could be given in a few lines, should, as a rule, be avoided. If, for instance, the state of matters as to sanction in one month does not materially differ from that of a preceding month, it will answer all practical purposes to say so, instead of sending another full report.

11. Too much stress can never be laid on the fact that this statement is not to take the place of Special reports, then and there, of works on which serious irregular expenditure is being largely incurred, either without estimate or appropriation.

12. The entries in the statements should be made by Circles and Divisions so as to enable the form for Divisional registers of objectionable expenditure to be done away with.

13. Serious delays in the submission of completion reports should also be reported in this statement.

14. In the column "Orders of Local Government" in the office copy of the statement, against those items which have been remedied, the number and date of the order or sanction removing the objection should be entered.

15. An extract from this statement of all the more serious irregularities should be submitted to the Accountant General, Public Works Department, and all those items which have to be specially brought to his notice should have marked against them in the column "Orders of Local Government," in the office copy, "Accountant General, Public Works Department," in red ink.

16. This statement, as also the extract referred to above, will be accompanied by an abstract of the objectionable items unremedied in Form No. 62B.

~~P. W. D. Form No. 62 A.~~

Current Number 7883

Madras PROVINCE.

III^d Circle

BRANCH—Buildings & Roads

FUND—*Provincial*

MONTH— May 1896 In dupli

Dec: 2. 9. 96

**Statement of Objectionable items in the
accounts of Executive Engineers
remaining unremedied to end
of the month.**

No.

Dated the 1st September 1896.

Joint
Submitted to the Secretary to the Government
of Madras, Buildings & Roads
Branch
in the Public Works Department.

No. 2697 W. of 22^d Sept. 1896

12.12.96 N^o 3126W
20.8.96 .. 2418W
27.8.96 .. 2486W

oL.S. 409 R19/9

Madras

Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive

Item No.	Circle, Division and Description of work.	Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.		
			Amount of estimate.	Expenditure up to date.	Excess over estimate.
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
	<u>Miscellaneous Irregularities</u> <u>Buddapah Division</u>				
1	<u>Due to Piece Workers</u> <u>outstanding</u>				
	D. Nalae Saik	July 95	June 95		
	to	to	to		
	Yollugadu	to	to		
	Thammala boyader & yellich	April 95	August 95		
	B. Venkatapathy	to	to		
	S. Hussain Saik	to	to		
	K. Rami Reddy	June 95	June 95		
	C. Chooloo Reddy	to	to		
	C. Venkati gader & D. Subhadu	to	to		
	A. Deen Khan	August 95	Dec 95		
	Akhar Ali Saik	to	to		
	Karniah Nayudu	Sept 95	Jan 96		
	B. Gurunader	Oct 95	Feb 96		
	B. Pitchigader & Baligader	to	to		
	J. Pitchigader	to	to		
	B. Venkatigader	to	to		
	S. Gurumoothy	to	to		
	Sorahorreddy & Kassim Saik	to	to		
	Chella Gurunader	to	to		
	K. Subba Reddy & Kassim Saik	Nov 95	March 96		
	D. Gurunader	to	to		
	J. C. Subhadu & B. Venkatapathy	to	to		
	Akhar Ali Saik	Dec 95	April 96		
	Subba reddy & S. Kassim Saik	to	to		
	Gopaulo Aiumy Nader	to	to		
	Mledul Gani Saik	to	to		

PROVINCE.

Engineers remaining unremedied to end of May 1896.

COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION.			Miscellaneous irregularities.	Expenditure during the month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by Local Government or Administration.
Amount of appropriation.	Expenditure of the year.	Excess over appropriation.				
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
					No. 2697 W. of 22 Sept. 1896	
			20-6-5		(1) Orders for April 1896.	Early Settlement is awaited -
			13-9-0		Frank O. J. St. Andrew	is awaited
			24-4-8		" Early Settlement "	is awaited
			138-3-1			
			95-0-8			
			308-4-10			
			90-7-8		Early adjustment	
			155-7-8		is still awaited.	
			176-3-4			
			41-1-0			
			52-6-3			
			18-15-0			
			82-4-1			
			146-12-2			
			90-1-9			
			64-5-3			
			32-4-0			
			152-12-11			
			14-0-0			
			136-3-7			
			4-6-4			
			38-7-9			
			3-4-5			
			33-11-6			
			136-0-9			
			24-3-1			

Madras

Item No.	Circle, Division and Description of Work.	Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.		
			Amount of estimate.	Expenditure up to date.	Excess over estimate.
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
7.	Venkata Ramudu & Gurunadu Oble Kadir saik gusuf saik Ankados & Venkata Reddy Gurunadu & Venkata Ramadu Akhar Ali saik Syed Hussain saik on	Dec 95 to in Jan 96 in in in in in	April 96 2-8 208-0-222 on 222-2 May 96 in 299-4 on 204-4 on 2-4-95 on 2-4-95		
2	Dues from Police Station Syed Daria saik on				
3	Delay in recovering dues under Paid but not audited. P.V. Rajiswara Mohi Salay for March 96 on				

PROVINCE.

COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION.			Miscellaneous irregularities.	Expenditure during the month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by Local Government or Administration.
Amount of appropriation.	Expenditure of the year.	Excess over appropriation.				
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
			5-3-0			
			332-10-8			
			533-0-0			
			23-10-10		Fresh items	
			164-7-5		Adjustment awaited.	
			14-0-10			
			49-12-3			
			22-6-5			
					(2) Orders in Parl. O.D. Early recovery still for April 1896. "Early recovery" is awaited. Recovery still awaited.	2/ Early recovery is awaited
			8-10-7			
					(3) "This amount represents the pay claimed by the storekeeper for the period occupied in taking over charge, and disallowed by the Examiner. The matter has been referred to the Supg Engineer. His orders are awaited"	3/ Sanctioned Rs. 248 27/4 August
			14-13-7			

Madras

PROVINCE.

Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive

Item No.	Circle, Division and Description of Work.	Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.		
			Amount of estimate.	Expenditure up to date.	Excess over estimate.
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
	Kurnool Division				
4	Dues to Contractors				
	Miff Sheriff	June 94			
	G. Krishna Rao	March 95			
	y. Jaffer Sahib	June 95			
	Jaffer Sahib	July 95			
	cel. Subleish	on			
	T. Venkatigadev	on			
	Shack Kamal Sahib	on			
	Kondigadev	August 95			
	M. Vagathall	May 95			
	H. elohideen Khan	on			
	O. Gandigadev	on			
	O. asappa	on			
	Bhatari Gurappa	Aug 95			
	Sidda Reddy & others	on			
	Vagur Sahib	on			
	D. Mullaiah	Jan 96			
	N. Venkateswamy	May 96			
	on	May 95			

Engineers remaining unremedied to end of May 1894

COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION.			Miscellaneous irregularities.	Expenditure during the month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by Local Government or Administration.
Amount of appropriation.	Expenditure of the year.	Excess over appropriation.				
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
					Expenditure Engineer in Binh Dinh State for year 1896.	
					"This matter has been referred to the Chief Engineer for obtaining the sanction of Government." (Supr Engineer). Early adjustment is awaited.	
					30-12-8	4
					11-1-9	Early Settlement
					3-10-4	C. J. State for April 96
					2-8-1	Early settlement is awaited
					18-5-9	is awaited
					10-15-5	Early adjustment
					16-0-2	is still awaited.
					4-4-3	
					5-3-11	
					5-14-9	
					26-7-0	
					4-1-0	
					135-6-8	
					31-14-6	
					24-9-9	
					13-3-9	Fresh issues
					29-7-7	Early adjustment
					22-14-10	awaited.

Madras

Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive

Item No.	Circle, Division and Description of Work.	Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.		
			Amount of estimate.	Expenditure up to date.	Excess over estimate.
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
5	Delay in recovery of items Paid but not audited				
	Travelling allowance				
	Ragunatha Rao Field Engineer June 95	Nov 95			
	P. Krishnak	Nov 95			
	N. Appiah	Nov 95			
	N. Venkata Ramiah	Nov 95			
	R. Peshagani Rao	Nov 95			
	P. Bhujangaswami	Nov 95			
	N. Kimmappa	Nov 95			
		Nov 95			
6	Delay in payment of items Audited but not paid				
	Salaries				
	(a) Samuel	Jan 96			
	Ramakrishna Rao	Jan 96			
	Chinni Seshiah	Jan 96			
	Travelling allowance				
	(b) Samuel	Dec 95			
		Jan 96			
7	Delay in submission of Vouchers				
	April 1895				
	Sl. No. 5 C.B. No. 2 for 232-2-0	April 96			
	to C.B. No. 6 R 234-11-0	Nov 95			

PROVINCE.

Engineers remaining unremedied to end of *May* 189*6*

COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION.			Miscellaneous irregularities.	Expenditure during the month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by Local Government or Administration.
Amount of appropriation.	Expenditure of the year.	Excess over appropriation.				
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
					(5) Orders in final A.I. Staff for April 1896	5
			13-0-0		"Early adjustment is awaited"	Early adjustment is awaited
			28-14-0			
			25-4-0		Early adjustment still awaited.	
			30-10-0			
			28-0-6			
			28-0-0			
			21-0-0			
					6(a) + (b)	6(a) + (b)
					6(a) + (b). Orders in final A.I. Staff for April 96	Do
					"Early adjustment is awaited"	
			4-7-3		Early payment still awaited.	
			6-15-6			
			5-12-10			
			1-5-9			
			1-7-0			
					The Submission of these vouchers to the Examiner's office should be expedited.	
					(7) Orders in final A.I. Staff for April 96	
					"The submission of the vouchers to the Examiner's office should be expedited."	

Cladras

[illegible]

PROVINCE.

COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION.			Miscellaneous irregularities.	Expenditure during the month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by Local Government or Administration.
Amount of appropriation.	Expenditure of the year.	Excess over appropriation.				
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
					Early submission of the vouchers is awaited. 8(a) "This imprest was temporarily given, as Gurmeh Singh. He has since been reinstated, no sanction is now necessary." Executive Engineer in Dml C.D. Staff for May 1896 "Noted" Supy Engineer. Sanction of Government for the increased rate of imprest allowed, should have been obtained and is now insisted, as the clerk still holds the imprest, vide Cash balance statement for July 96. (b) "Sanction will be applied for" (E.E. in Dml C.D. Staff for May 96)	8(a) Early Steps should be taken for removal of objection in this item 8(b)

Madras

Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive

Item No.	Circle, Division and Description of Work.	Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.		
			Amount of estimate.	Expenditure up to date.	Excess over estimate.
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
9	Bellary Division Dues to Petty Contractors				
	Ramanujulu Nayudu June 96	Nov 96			
	Bheem Singh Oct 96	Feb 96			
10	Delays in recovery of overpayment made to Contractors				
	Amir Sahib May 96	May 96			
	Want of sanction of Government				
11	To the excess disbursement of Rs. 20,000 to Contractors A. R. Nandiah Chetty for liquidation of debt (S.C. No 11 C.R. No 23 of 1905)				

PROVINCE.

Engineers remaining unremedied to end of May 1896.

COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION.			Miscellaneous irregularities.	Expenditure during the month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by Local Government or Administration.
Amount of appropriation.	Expenditure of the year.	Excess over appropriation.				
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
					"Valed" Supg Engineer Sanction of Government is awaited.	
					(9) Orders in final O.S. for April 96.	Early Settlement
					"Early settlement is awaited"	is awaited
					Early adjustment still awaited.	
					(10) "The Sub. Div. Officer has been addressed" (S. & in Divl O.S. May 96) "Valed" (S. &)	Early recovery is awaited
					Early recovery is still awaited	
					(11) Orders in final O.S. shall for April 96	Early steps should be taken for the removal of objection to this item
					"Early steps shall be taken for the removal of objection to this item"	
					Sanction of Government to pass the bill is still awaited.	

Maders

Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive

Item No.	Circle, Division and Description of Work.	Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.		
			Amount of estimate.	Expenditure up to date.	Excess over estimate.
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
12	<i>Completion Reports due end of May 1896</i> <i>Provincial</i> <i>Karnool Division</i>	2			

PROVINCE.

Engineers remaining unremedied to end of *May* 1896.

COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION.			Miscellaneous irregularities.	Expenditure during the month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by local Government or Administration.
Amount of appropriation.	Expenditure of the year.	Excess over appropriation.				
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
					<i>(12) Early Submission Completion is awaited.</i> <i>Completion reports should be expedited</i>	

17/3/96

Cy

To

The S.E. Mr. ...

then the Exam. & W. ...

then the Exam. & W. ...

J. C. G. ...

N 3176 W 12-12-95

2418 W 20-8-96

2489 W 27-8-96

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten notes, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side. Some words like "Lupinus" and "Lupinus" are visible.]