8311

Govt. of (1896) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated 22/1/

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 22/1/ -1896, No. 257 W.

Accounts Clerks.

—

ders declaring that – on
ivisional establishments
re not eligible for pro-
otion on the Clerical
tablishments and fixing
ir initial rate of pay at Rs. 40
ising by the usual annual
crements to Rs. 50.

—

200/14

22/1

No. 257 W., 22nd January 1896.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

Read—the following papers:—

Petition from M. Ramiah, dated 19th June 1895.
Office-note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated 5th July 1895, No. 2383 D.
From the Superintending Engineer, I Circle, dated 29th July 1895, No. 312 V.Z.
Office-note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated 2nd Oct. 1895, No. 3414 D.E.
" " " " " 19th " " " 3673 "
" " " " " 28th " " " 3778 "

Order—No. 257 W., dated 22nd January 1896.

In paragraph 2 of the Resolution of the Government of India, No. 129 A.E., dated 1st June 1893, it was decided that, in future, a certain number of posts on the clerical establishment of each Province should be reserved for men who have passed the entrance test for the Subordinate Accounts branch. His Excellency the Governor in Council, accordingly, in G.O. No. 1802 W., dated 10th July 1893, directed the Superintending Engineers of I, II, IV, V and VI Circles each to reserve the first vacancy that occurred of a clerkship on a salary of Rs. 30-2-40 per mensem for men so qualified, and to continue to reserve one such appointment provided duly qualified men offered.

2. In connection with these arrangements the question has arisen as to whether men holding the reserved posts are entitled to promotion on the regular clerical establishment in addition to having a preferential claim to appointment on the Accounts establishment.

3. It is argued that, as their chances of reception on the Accounts establishment are, from unforeseen and unavoidable causes, remote, it would be a hardship to them to be debarred from all promotion in the interim; while, on the other hand, their advancement on the clerical establishment would undoubtedly add to the stagnation of promotion which their original introduction on an initial salary of Rs. 30 must necessarily cause amongst the small low-paid staff of a divisional office.

4. The Examiner considers that these Accounts clerks should have been provided as additional to the clerical establishment of the department; but this does not seem to have been the intention of the Government of India, and His Excellency the Governor in Council is not, as at present advised, disposed to sanction the additional charge on Provincial funds. Moreover, this arrangement would not meet the difficulty as regards regular promotion. The men would still be on fixed rates of pay

pending transfer to the Accounts establishment. The best plan would probably be to declare that the men are ineligible for promotion on the clerical establishment; but, as compensation, they may enter on a higher initial rate of pay, on which they must be content to serve till provided for on the Accounts establishment. The rate of pay will therefore be fixed at Rs. 40 rising by the usual annual increment to Rs. 50. The Superintending Engineers of the Circles concerned will accordingly reserve one such appointment on divisional establishments for these Accounts clerks on the conditions already prescribed.

(True Extract.)

Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To Superintending Engineers.
,, the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
,, the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
,, the Consulting Engineer for Railways.
,, the Examiner, Railway Accounts.

Exd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of {1896} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated January, 22nd.

Recd. Encl.

G.O. No. 257 W., 22nd Jan. 1896.

JANUARY.

Accounts Clerks

Orders declaring that — on divisional establishments are not eligible for promotion on the clerical establishment and fixing their initial rate of pay at Rs. 40 rising by the usual annual increments to Rs. 50.

---

4 JUL 1895
6 AUG 1895 W. ACCOUNTS
15 OCT 1895

Vizagapatam,
Fort, 19th June/95.

To

Colonel J Pennycuick RE
Chief Engineer and Secretary to Govt PWD,
Madras.

May it please your honour.

With due respect and profound humility, I beg to address the following few lines to your honour, and in so doing, to state that I have taken the liberty from the well spoken goodness of your disposition though I never had the honour of serving under you, and hope that the same may be favourably countenanced.

I am a pensioned accountant of your Department, and am the oldest surviving member of the DPW of the Vizagapatam District.

I was entertained in 1852 by Lieut F H Rundall RE, 1st Assistant Civil Engineer in charge of the old Subdivision; which comprised the present Divisions of Ganjam and Vizagapatam; & which, upon the recommendation of Sir Walter Elliot, the then Commissioner of the Northern Circars, was made a full division; and called the 9th Division, the strengths of the establishment being equally raised.

After continuing service for a period of 20 years, I had the misfortune of being thrown out of employment in Colonel Fischer's time on the 1st December 1872 consequent upon the abolition of the Superintending Engineer's Office in which I was then accountant; and consequently, I was granted $\frac{1}{3}$ pension of my salary, namely, Rupees 16-10-8 per mensem.

13 years after I was thrown out of employment, the Honourable Colonel J H M Shaw Stewart RE was appointed Chief Engineer and Secretary to Government PWD, Madras. As he was my old Superior whom I served under as

District Storekeeper during the entire period he had charge of the Vizagapatam District, I paid him my respects and showed him my two sons, who were matriculates, when he came here accompanying the Honourable Mr Sullivan on a tour of inspection.

After he returned to Madras, I addressed a petition to him dated the 12th December 1885, representing all my grievances to him, and begging him to take into his department my eldest boy, named Mankena Atchiah, who was a matriculate and passed candidate for the entrance examination to Accounts Branch P.W.D. (Certificate No 156 dated the 7th September 1885).

With a view to do a favour to me, he was pleased to transmit the above petition officially for the favourable disposal of Mr D C Gordon, the then Examiner of P.W. Accounts; who favoured me with a reply thereunto, No 421th dated the 6th January 1886, saying that "there was no vacancy to be open to my son, that his name was registered, and that his claim would be taken into consideration on the occurrence of a vacancy".

Though several vacancies subsequently occurred in the Account Department owing to the transfer of qualified men to Burmah and other causes, I could not reap the benefit of the kind steps taken by my old Superior about my boy, as both the officers left this Presidency shortly afterwards. It is nearly 10 years now since I have received the above reply from the Examiner's office.

In order to get access for admission into the Accounts Branch, my son resigning his post in the Collectorate,

entered the D P W as Subdivisional clerk of Vizianagaram, and sacrificed his health to the malaria of the Potinghy ghat on which he had frequently to travel.

From the 13th August 1891, he has been holding a clerkship of 30 to 40 Rupees grade in the Divisional office and doing duty as assistant to the accountant; and after the issue of the G.O. No 1802W dated the 10th July 1893, the designation was altered to that of accounts clerk, his name being enlisted as one of the 5 accounts clerks sanctioned in the foregoing order.

He was often recommended to the Examiner by his Superiors through the Superintending Engineer that he had thoroughly acquainted himself with the duties of divisional accountant, and that he was deserving promotion to the accounts branch, but his claim is not yet brought light though the other four accounts clerks enlisted when he was have been taken on the account department.

A mistake

To one of the petitions submitted by my son to the Examiner through the Superintending Engineer, the Examiner replied, saying that "as the prospects of these accounts clerks getting into the Subordinate accounts branch are few and far between, it will be unfair that they should be kept back without promotion in the clerical line".

The above reply was communicated to the Divisional Officer with the Superintending Engineer's Endorsement, in which he declined promotion to my son in the clerical establishment unless he joins it permanently.

My son has lost two chances of promotion in the clerical line, he being reluctant to lose his claim in the accounts branch.

The Superintending Engineer and the Examiner are equal officers, having exclusive authority over their respective departments to fill up vacancies and to give promotions in them at their option.

My son has, as has been explained above, been hanging between the two departments without the least chance of rising up in either.

Government have in many instances shown special indulgence to the sons of their old servants who have served Government with credit and obtained pension, and especially when they have qualified themselves for their service.

Under the above circumstances, I have ventured to lay before your benign notice the poor and helpless case of my son, and earnestly beseech that your honour may be pleased to give your kind consideration to the facts herewith connected, herein mentioned, and take such favourable steps as you may think proper to better his prospects; which in my far advanced age is the greatest boon which I can ask at the merciful hands of my departmental officers; which act of favour will confer the greatest obligation upon me, your old servant.

P.W.S.2
~~5933/95~~
~~7542~~
8024/95

I beg to remain,
Honoured Sir,
Your most obedient and humble servant
Mankena Ramiah
Pensioned Accountant
of
the late Supg. Engineer's office,
1st Division.

P. W. CURRENT No. ~~4875~~
~~5163~~

Government of Madras.
1895.

EXAMINER
6 AUG 1895
P. W. ACCOUNTS.

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

~~CURRENT No.~~

EXAMINER
15 OCT 1895
P. W. ACCOUNTS

DISPOSAL.

No. dated

Recd: ~~26.6.95~~ 6.7.95

4 | 4/7

From
M. Ramiah.
Pensioned Accountant

ENCLOS

No. -

Dated 19. 6. 95

Received 25. 6. 95

SUBJECT.

Requesting that his son may be provided with an appointment in the accounts branch of the Public works Department.

No 368 D d/ 9-7-86
2867 D d/ 22-12-85
1802 W d/ 10-7-93

No: 2182 C d/ 2d July 1895

To C

For favour of remarks

S.
20/6 W

CABL
28/6

No. 2383 D/E d/ 5 July 1895.

To W.

The applicant is wrong in saying that the other four accounts clerks in Divisional offices have been admitted into the Accounts department while his son alone has not been admitted into it. It has not been possible to bring in any of them, or any of the ones in my own office who are similarly placed; and the prospect of doing so has been rendered more remote than ever by the retransfer to this Presidency of 3 Accountants from foreign service. These men have to be absorbed before promotions or new appointments can be made.

2. It is obviously wrong that accounts clerks in Divisional offices shd not receive promotion in the clerical staff to which they really belong under appointment to the Accounts establishment.

H.

v. L. S. 6.7.5

R 19/7

C. 5/72

5702

5933/95
7/5 [illegible]
8024/95

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
No. [illegible]
17 JUL. 95
FIRST CIRCLE.

No. 2182 C d/ 2-7-95
3680 d/ 9-7-86
2867 D d/ 22-12-85
1802 W d/ 10-7-93

Recd: 6.7.95

No. 2269 C. dated 12 July 1895.

Referred to the Superintending Engineer, I Circle, for favour of remarks as to the Petitioner's statement that his son has been refused promotion in the clerical line.

Colonel R.E.
Chief Engineer, P.W.D.

Papers
17/7/95 herewith
15/7

O.L.S. 207

No. 317 V.Z.

FROM

A H Garett Esqr.
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
FIRST CIRCLE,

EXAMINER
6 AUG 1895
ACCOUNTS

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Enclosures, 2.

EXAMINER
15 OCT 1895
ACCOUNTS

DOWLAISHWERAM, 29.7. 1895.

Despd 31/7.

SIR,

Referring to your No: $\frac{2269\,C}{12.\ July\ 95}$ on petition herewith returned from M. Ramayya, I have the honour to make the following remarks.

2. From the Examiner's note printed with G.O. no: $\frac{2502}{7.9.92}$ it is evident that Accounts clerks were to look to the Examiner alone for promotion.

3 Consequently when M. Atchayya Patradu applied in Octr. 93 for a vacant post in the Clerical branch of the Supg Engineer's Office the case was referred to the Examiner who replied that M. Atchayya Patradu might be appointed to fill the existing vacancy but that "another

"another passed clerk should be appointed in his place for training as Divisional Accountant".

4. On this reply being communicated to M. Atchayya Patrudu he decided not to accept the appointment in the Supg Engineer's office as it involved giving up his prospects in the Accounts Branch.

5. The Examiner subsequently informed me that the prospects of Accounts Clerks ever being made Accountants was extremely remote and that they should not be refused promotion in the Clerical Branch.

6. In this case the attempt to recruit the Subordinate Accounts Branch seems to me a failure.

7. If the Accounts Clerks know that they have no chance of becoming Divisional Accountants, they will naturally if permitted always accept promotion in the Clerical Branch.

8

8. These clerks therefore as a rule will seldom have had sufficient training in Accounts to qualify them to act as Divisional Accountants and I do not see that any good can result from the introduction of the present system.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obedt Servt.

E.F.
29/7

Supg Engineer 1st Circle

FROM A. H. Garrett Esqr

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

FIRST CIRCLE.

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

DATED 29th } July 1895.
DESPATCHED 30th }
RECEIVED

NO. 312 V.Z.

ENCLOSURES 2

SUBJECT.

Accounts clerks — Returns the petition from M. Ramayya received with No. 2269 C of 12-7-95; with remarks as to the prospects of —

P. W. CURRENT No. ~~5933~~ ~~7542~~ E4 8024/95
S. E. I. 6 300—4-4-95

Encls 2

Recd: ~~2-8-95~~ 5.10.95

No. 2441 C. dated 3rd August 95.

To C 6

For any further remarks you may wish to offer.

7.8

~~+ with C. No. 7537 Sent to 5 aws 5/10~~

No. 3414 D/2 d/ 2-10-95 — W

To W.

Before I had time to dispose of this case I received intimation of my early transfer from this Presidency & I therefore do not feel justified in committing my successor to any opinion on the point raised in para 6 of the S. E.'s letter, as his views on the subject may be radically opposed to mine.

2. I can only repeat that, in simple equity, the clerks selected under G.O. No. ~~dt~~ 2502 W d/ 7.9.92 + 1802 W d/ 10.7.93 for promotion to the Subordinate accounts establishment ought not to be deprived of advancement on the clerical establishment.

1/10

C.

15 OCT 1895
P. W. ACCOUNTS

P. W. CURRENT No. 7542
6/10
Recd. 5-10-95
807 4/95
En: 2

Government of Madras.

1895.

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT No.

From The Examiner,
P. W. Accounts

No. 3414 D/E
Enclos 2
Dated 2-10-95
Received 5-10-95

SUBJECT.

M. Ramiah, Pensioned Accountant:- Offering remarks on petition from ——— praying that his son may be appointed in the Accounts branch of the P. W. Deptt.

DISPOSAL.

No. 2502 W of 7-9-92
" 1802 W of 10-7-93

No. dated

No. 2962 C of 14th October 1895

To C

Returned for favour of Mr. Gordon's opinion.

CWHB
DL
9/10 95

Issue with any papers connected [illegible]

FE
15/10

D

of No. 420

R 17/10

29—2,000—28-2-95.

Current No.

dated 189 .

E. No. 27.
500—30-7-95.

GOVT. OF MADRAS. } 1895. { P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS,

Madras, 19 October 1895.

Office Note No. 3673 D/E

To

W.

Your office note No. 2962 C. dated 14th. October 1895.

In G. O. No. 1802 W. of 10th. July 1893 Government sanctioned the appointments of 5 "accounts clerks", but no provision was made in the scale of Establishment for such appointments. The practical effect therefore was to reduce the "clerical establishment" by five "clerks" and replace them by five "Accounts clerks".

2. What should have been done was to increase the scale of clerical establishment by 5 appointments for "accounts clerks", as distinct from "clerks" on the existing scale.

3. That an increase to the scale was intended is obvious from the fact that if qualified men were not available locally they could be obtained fr other provinces, whereas if the scale were not increased the obtaining of men from other provinces would have

caused the discharge of a man to make place for the one obtained.

4. If Government now sanction a increase to the scale of 5 men as "ac counts clerks" there will be no diffi culty in working out the arrangement. The accounts clerks will elect for the accounts establishment and will have no claim on the "clerks" establishment.

5. The pay 30 to 40 is not enough in my opinion. We are already giving 50 to men who have the qualifications prescribed for "accounts clerks".

6. I would also point out that by giving the appointments entirely to circles, the qualified men in Examiner's office can only obtain an accounts clerkship if no qualified man is available in the circles. I think two of the appointments might be placed at the disposal of the Examiner who has clerks with accounts training and ability and fit for the object to be gained.

C.

Gn.

18/10

P. W. CURRENT No. 8

7542

8074/95

Recd: 19.10.95

En: 4

O.A. 420

17/10

No. 3072C

Gobernment of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Madras, 23rd October 1895.

OFFICE NOTE.

From

W

To

C

Your office note No. 3673 D/E. dated 19th October 1895.

I do not consider it desirable to recommend, nor would Government consent to, increasing the clerical staff as suggested by you; but I see no objection to the proposal in para 6. If two appointments are placed at your disposal, a corresponding reduction in the number of Circle appointments must be made. Please state

(48—1,000—11-8-93.)

which of the Circles should be relieved from the obligation of reserving vacancies. Those which have not yet made appointments might be selected.

2. As regards the other question of the promotion of "Accounts clerks" in the clerical establishment it might be laid down that the men are eligible for such promotion, but that as long as a man is regarded as an "Accounts clerk" another man should not be appointed in his place, notwithstanding that he has been removed from the 30—2—40 grade. It might also be laid down that should a man refuse a nomination to the Accounts Establishment he should be at once removed from the list of candidates. He should then be regarded as an ordinary clerk, and an "Accounts clerk" would be appointed in his place, on the occurrence of the first vacancy in the Rs 30-2-40 grade of the circle, or your office, as the case may be.

3 Please let me have your opinion on these suggestions in view to orders of Government being passed.

/Sd/ J. P.
W.

DESPATCH
24 OCT. 95

No. 1802 W d/ 10-7-93
2502 W d/ 7-9-92
2962 C d/ 14-10-95
2269 C d/ 12-7-95
2182 C d/ 2-7-95

No. 3072 C d/ 23rd October 1895

39/10

Establishment re

---

25 OCT 1895

No. 3072 C

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Madras, 23rd October 1895.

OFFICE NOTE.

From W

To C

Your office note No. 3673 D/E dated 19 October 1895.

I donot consider it desirable to recommend, nor would Government consent to, increasing the clerical staff as suggested by you; but I see no objection to the proposal in para 6. If two appointments are placed at your disposal, a corresponding reduction in the number of Circle appointments must be made. Please state which of the Circles should be relieved from the —

(48—1,000—11-8-93.)

obligation of reserving vacancies. Two chiefs have not yet made appointments might be selected.

2. As regards the other question of the promotion of "Accounts clerks" on the clerical establishment it might be laid down that the men are eligible for such promotion, but that so long as a man is regarded as an "accounts clerk" another man should not be appointed in his place; notwithstanding that he has been removed from the 30–2–40 grade. It might also be laid down that should a man refuse a nomination to the Accounts Establishment he should be at once removed from the list of candidates. He should then be regarded as an ordinary clerk, and an "accounts clerk" would be appointed in his place, on the occurrence of the first vacancy in the Rs. 30-2-40 grade of the circle, or your office, as the case may be.

3. Please let me have your opinion on these suggestions in view to orders of Government being passed.

J
W
21.10

Pukar
24/10

Let me see proposal before issue
25/10

C Herewith, with enclosure
case 23/10

R.L.

Establishment no

P. W. CURRENT No.

Recd: 29.10.95

Enc 1

P.W. Ext 8311/95

Public Works (1896) Department.

G.O., No. 256 W

DATED 22-1-96

[Despatch Abstract.]

Dy. Tahsildar's Office at Saturpet: Estimate of Rs 2,810 for extending the — in the Nellore Dt, Sanctioned ~~with~~ remarks.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of {1896} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated January, 22nd.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O. No. 256 W., 22nd Jan. 1896.

JANUARY.

Deputy Tahsildar's Office at Sulurpet

Estimate of Rs. 2,810 for extending the —, in the Nellore district, sanctioned with remarks.

No. 256 W., 22ND JANUARY 1896.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

READ—the following papers :—

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), Mis. No. 5581, dated 7th November 1895.

From A. S. RUSSELL, Esq., Superintending Engineer, II Circle, to the Inspector-General of Registration, dated Bezwada, 13th September 1895, No. 379-N.L.

In continuation of this office letter No. 319-N.L., dated 5th August 1895, I have the honour to forward herewith an estimate, amounting to Rs. 2,740, for the extension of the Deputy Tahsildar's cutcherry at Sulurpet, Nellore district, to accommodate a Sub-Registrar's office, for your countersignature and transmission to Government for early sanction.

2. The plan already sent to you with this office No. 319-N.L., dated 5th August, quoted above, also shows the proposed extension to the Sulurpet cutcherry for which work estimate is now sent.

T. No. 4041.

Submitted for the sanction of Government in continuation of endorsement, dated 22nd August 1895, G. No. 2696.

2. The preparation of plan and estimates for the extension of the Sulurpet Deputy Tahsildar's office so as to accommodate the Sub-Registry office at the station was sanctioned in G.O., R. No. 560, Judicial, dated 10th May 1895.

(Signed) S. SRINIVASA RAGHAVAIYANGAR,
Inspector-General of Registration.

TIRUVADANAI,
23rd Sept. 1895.

Read—endorsement of the Acting Assistant Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated 11th October 1895, No. 1046 R., on that of the Acting Under Secretary to Government, Public, &c., Departments, dated 8th October 1895, No. 2394.

Reference on the above, dated 19th October 1895.

Referred to the Collector of Nellore for remarks.

(Signed) L. M. WYNCH,
Secretary.

Read—the following letter from the Collector of Nellore, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated 30th October 1895, No. 2808-Ver.

I have the honour to reply to Board's Reference on Government Register No. 2157 of 1895, dated 19th October 1895, that I have no remarks to offer on the improvements proposed. The enclosures are returned.

Resolution—Mis. No. 5581, dated 7th November 1895.

Submitted to Government with reference to its endorsement, dated 11th October 1895, No. 1646-R. The Board has no objection to the improvements proposed.

(True Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) L. M. WYNCH,
Secretary.

No. 4903, Revenue.

Approved and transferred to the Public Works Department.

Fort St. George,
5th December 1895.

(Signed) E. GIBSON,
Secretary to Government.

Telegram from the Executive Engineer, Nellore, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated 13th January 1896.

Your telegram, dated eleventh. Soil about two feet. Sand and loam. Sub-soil loam at Sulurpet cutcherry.

Note by the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department.

The surface and sub-soil being reported to be sand and loam, respectively, the Chief Engineer considers that the sections of the foundations should be altered to two feet of masonry and two feet of concrete, the former to project six inches on each side of the masonry above and the latter one foot as per sketch shown in report.

2. The tie beams are proposed to be laid over voids, but as this is objectionable, relieving arches should be provided.

3. The amount of the estimate has been altered from Rs. 2,740 to Rs. 2,810.

(Signed) D. McNEIL CAMPBELL, Lt.-Col., R.E.,
Chief Engineer, P.W.D.

Order—No. 256 W., dated 22nd January 1896.

The estimate amounting to Rs. 2,810, as altered by the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, for extending the Deputy Tahsildar's office at Sulurpet, in the Nellore district, is sanctioned.

2. Attention is drawn to the Chief Engineer's note recorded above.

3. The Superintending Engineer, II Circle, will report the amount that can be spent on the work during the current official year.

4. The sanction accorded in paragraph 1 has been registered as Provincial No. 102 W. of 1895-96 and classified as Civil Buildings—Works.

(True Extract.)

Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, with four enclosures.
,, Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
,, Revenue Department.
,, Judicial Department.

Exd. C. M. Brisson.
Spare Copies

X/

Public Works Department.

No 256 W of 22nd January 1896.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

B/R
Civil works

Read the following

Print

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, Land Revenue, No. 5581 Mis. dated 7th November 1895.

Endorsement thereon in the Revenue Department, No. 4903, d/5th Decr. 1895.

Telegram from the Executive Engineer, Nellore Dn., dated 13th Jany. 1896.

Note by the Chief Engineer, P.W. Department, dated 16 January 1896.

7P.

W
18 Jan

The estimate amounting to Rs. 2810, as altered by the Chief Engineer P.W.D., for extending the Deputy Tahsildar's office at Sulurpet in the Nellore District, is sanctioned.

2. Attention is drawn to the Chief Engineer's note recorded above.

3. The Suptg. Engineer, II Circle, will report the amount that can be spent on the work during the current official year.

4. The sanction accorded in para 1 has been registered as Provincial No 102 W. of 1895-96 and classified as Civil Buildings, Original Works.

The Suptg. Engineer II Circle,
with 4 enclosures.
,, Examiner, P.W. Accounts.
,, Revenue Department.
- Judicial Dept.

16/1/96

15/1/96

(40—4,000—2-11-95.)

HL

Extending the Dy. Tahsildar's office at Sulurpet, Nellore Dt.

T/Ko
B/11/6

N.E. 16/1 R 21/1.

No 25-6 W of 22^d January 1896

6 P

P.W.D.

Note by the Chief Engineer

P.W. Dept:-

The surface and sub-soil being reported to be sand and loam respectively, the Chief Engineer considers that the sections of the foundations should be altered to 2 feet of masonry and 2 feet of concrete, the former to project 6 inches on each side of the masonry above, and the latter one foot as per sketch shown in report.

2. The tie beams are proposed to be laid over voids but as this is objectionable relieving arches should be provided.

3. The amount of the estimate has been altered from Rs. 2,740 to Rs. 2,810.

E.W. 16/1/96

CH MS
15/1/96

Cn

No. 256 W. of 22nd Jany 1886

Public Works Department.

Current No. 9787

Letter No. 4903

Dated 5th December 1885

From the Revenue Department

NOTE BY TECHNICAL SECTION.

Name of Work Extending the Deputy Tahsil:dars office at Salurpet, in the Nellore District.

Amount of Estimate, Rs. 2,740.

PARTICULARS OF ESTIMATE.

Main Building extension	Rs.	2697
Subsidiary Buildings	,,	..
Contingencies 270	,,	43
Petty Supervision	,,	nil
Land compensation	,,	nil
Total Rupees ...		2,740.

PARTICULARS OF CONSTRUCTION.

Write (referred) to the E.E. for information on this point.

Surface soil } not stated.
Sub soil }

Foundations are to be laid to a depth of 4 ft. The lower one foot to be laid with concrete & the upper 3 feet built with brick in chunam.

Basement is to be raised 1' 6" ~~high~~ & built of brick in chunam.

No dimensions to shew the height as 12 feet

Walls are to be raised 12 feet & built of brick in chunam with flat arches over doors ~~& windows~~.

Plastering ~~or Pointing~~ The walls are to be plastered both interior & exterior with 2 coats of Chunam.

Flooring is to be of Concrete 6 inches thick & plastered with Portland cement.

Roofing is to be of flat & pan tiles laid over teak reepers, rafters &c. & supported on teakwood trusses.

Doors and Windows are to be of teakwood of the descriptions noted in the estimate & painted 2 coats.

Roof timbers are to be of teakwood & painted with 2 coats of zinc white paint.

Scantlings are correct.

Rates are generally fair, vide remarks.

The plinth area of the ~~main building~~ extension is 1168 square feet, and the cost per square foot will be Rs. 2.5.6.

The ~~plans and~~ estimate~~s~~ ha~~ve~~s been countersigned, by the Inspector General of Registration and approved in the Revenue Department ~~Department~~.

REMARKS.

The estimate under review has been prepared with reference to G.O. No. 1157 R dated 14th May 1895 & provides for extending the Deputy Tahsildar's office at Sulurpet in the Nellore Dist., in order to provide accommodation for the Sub Registrar & his establishment.

The accommodation proposed is a retiring room for the Sub Magistrate 16'0" x 6'6", Sub Registrar's office 20'x16' & a record room 12'0" x 16'0" with side verandahs 6'6" wide, as per plan accompanying the estimate for extension to the Kota Deputy Tahsildar's office (vide Current No. 9218).

The tie beams in this office, as those at Kota are proposed to be laid over voids

Ex

voids this is objectionable & relieving arches may be provided over these voids.

The following rates are in excess of the Divisional Schedule & if schedule rates be adopted, the saving will be Rs 150, but data lists have been submitted in support of the high rates.

Concrete —	Rs 11.4..	against Rs	11...
Brick in chunam —	19.4..	-do-	18...
Flat archwork —	20.4..	-do-	20...
Tiled roofing —	18....	-do-	15...
Plastering 2 coats -	3.4..	-do-	2.8..

The foundations are proposed to be laid partly of concrete & partly of brickwork; if concrete be substituted to ground level the amount of the estimate will be reduced by Rs 20 i.e. width of concrete 2 feet wider than the masonry above.

This is to be done.

Aw
9.1.96

Estimate may be sanctioned after receiving satisfactory information as to nature & depth of soil & subsoil.

ABM
10/1 96

Aw/

Submitted.

The plan for Kota office is the same for Sulurpet.

Aw.
10-Jany 96.

T

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue).

Dated 7th November 1895.

No. 6581 Rev:—

Read the following papers—

1 P.

| P.

3 P.

READ Endorsement of the Acting Assistant Secretary to Government, Revenue Department dated 11th October 1895 No. 1666 R on that of the Acting Under Secretary to Government, Public W. ~~Revenue~~ Department, dated 8 October 1895 No. 2394, and the following reference thereon dated 19th October 1895.

Referred to the Collector of Nellore for remarks.

Read also the following letter from the Collector of Nellore dated 30 October 1895 No. 2808/Rev to the Secretary to the Commissioner of Land Revenue, Madras.

I

I have the honor to reply to Board's Reference on Government (~~General~~ Register No 2157 of 1895 dated 19th Octr 1895 that I have no remarks to offer on the improvements proposed. The enclosures are returned.

Resolution

Submitted to Government with reference to its Endorsement dated 11th October 1895 No. 1646 R. The Board has no objection to the improvements proposed.

(True copy & Extract)

[illegible]
Secretary

To
The Secretary to Govt.
Rev Department
with 4 encls: in original ret'd

293

Ord

I re orders whether the estimate may now be sanctioned.

Ch

Ex - foundation to be revised thus :- 14-1-96



CH [illegible] 14/1/96

CURRENT NO. 4583

2 P

No: 379 N.L.

Superintending Engineer's Office,
Bezwada, II Circle
Dated 13 September 1895

From
A. S. Russel Esquire
Superintending Engineer,
II Circle.

To
The Inspector - General
Of Registration, Madras

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No. 319 N.L. dated 5th August 1895, I have the honor to forward herewith an Estimate, amounting to Rs 2740, for the extension of the Deputy Tahsildar's Cutcherry at Sulurpet, Nellore District, to accommodate a Subregistrar's office, for your countersignature and transmission to Government for early sanction.

Enclosures 3
1 Detailed Abstract estimate
1 Report
1 Data

2. The plan already sent to you with this office No: 319 N.L., dated 5th August, quoted above, also shows the proposed extension to the Sulurpet Cutcherry for which work, estimate is now sent.

Sent off to the PC[illegible] D with G.O. 4618 d. 16-11-95

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant
(Signed) C. A. Smith
For Superintending Engineer
II Circle

T. No: 4041 d/ 23 September 1895
Tiruvadanai

Submitted for the sanction of Government in continuation of endorsement dated 22 August 1895 G. No: 2696.

2. The preparation of plan and estimates for the extension of the Sulurpet Deputy Tahsildar's office so as to accommodate the Subregistry office at the station was sanctioned in G. O dated 10 May 1895, R No. 560 judicial.

on G. O 1677 Rev / 26-4-95

1157 W 14.5.95 with Cir 9218 sent to Jn 28.11.95 Reg Extension of Dy Tahsildar's office at Kota.

Srinivasa Raghavaiyangar
Inspr: Genl of Regn

To
The Chief Secretary
to Government
Ootacamund

PWS 1 / 5287/15

1895
REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Enclosure No. 4
Current No. 8283

at Kota are proposed to be laid in
voids

$\frac{O}{18}$–10,000—25-3-95.

(1895.)

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

~~Current Number. 8283.~~

From

The Board of Revenue
(L.R.)

Dated 7th Novr/95 –
Received 12th Novr/95 –
Enclosures 4.

No. 5581 Misc

SUBJECT.

Subg. to Govt. letter from the Collector of Nellore stating that he has no remarks to offer on the improvements proposed to the Dy Tahr's Office at Sulurpet to accommodate the Sub Registrar.

Endt.

with

P. W. CURRENT No. 9787

ABSTRACT.

Encls 5

Recd: 9.12.95

4 P

Endt. No. 4903.

Approved and transferred to the Public Works Department.

L. Gibson.
Secy to Govt.

Fort St. George
5th Decr 1895

Recd 20-12-95

T/ [illegible]

C.A.B.S. 13/12

To
The Public W. Dept. – with Encs.
– Board of Revenue (L.R.)

Pr. 1/6.12

[N.B.] Circulated in the Rev. Dept to the Hon. Mr. [illegible]

---

5 P

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS.

Monthly Number. 0851

Code Class D.S.					
To (Office) Madras				From (Office) Nellore	
Words. 22	Day. 13	Hour. 10	Minute. 3	Official Instructions.	Dfd
To (Person) Works				From (Person) Executive Engineer	

Your telegram dated eleventh + Soil about two feet sand and loam + subsoil loam + at Sulurpet Cutchery

64 Word Form.

F. C. 2.

MADRAS T

Recd. from L.N. At Hrs. Mts. by	Sent to L.N. At Hrs. Mts. by	Telegraph Master.

C. G. P.—No. 358 T—22 12 94—24,000.00

P. W. CURRENT No. 293

Recd. 14.1.96

No. 203 c a/11-1-96 ✓

203c
11/1/96

Registrar
Sadr

Hon. Mr. Crow

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No 257 W d/ 22d January 1896

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

Petition from M. Ramiah (H.E. d/ 19 June 1895)
Office note from the E. P.W.A. (" 2383 D d/ 5th July ")
Letter from the Supdg Engr I Circle (" 312 V2. d/ 29 July ")
Office note from the E. P.W.A (" 3414 D/E d/ 2d Octr ")
– Do ——— do ——— (" 3673 D/E d/ 19th – ")
– Do ——— do ——— (" 3778 D/E d/ 28th ")

W
18 Jan

In para 2 of the Resolution of the Government of India No 129 A.E. dated 1st June 1893 it was decided that in future a certain number of posts on the clerical establishment of each Province should be reserved for men who have passed the entrance test for the Subordinate Accounts branch. His Excellency the Governor in Council accordingly in G.O. No 1802 W dated 10th July 1893, directed the Supdg

(40—1,000—21-4-94.)

Engineers of I, II, IV, V & VI Circles each to reserve the first vacancy that occurred of a clerkship on a salary of Rs. 30-2-40 per mensem for men so qualified, and to continue to reserve one such appointment provided duly qualified men offered.

2. In connection with these arrangements the question has arisen as to whether men holding the reserved posts are entitled to promotion on the regular Clerical Establishment in addition to having a preferential claim to appointment on the Accounts Establishment.

3. It is argued that as their chances of reception on the Accounts Establishment are, from unforeseen and unavoidable causes, remote, it would be a hardship to them to be debarred from all promotion in the interim; while on the other hand their advancement on the Clerical Establishment would undoubtedly add to the stagnation of promotion which their original introduction on an initial salary of Rs. 30 must necessarily cause amongst the small, low paid, staff of a divisional office.

4. The Examiner considers that these Accounts clerks should have been provided as additional to the clerical establishment of the Dept.; but this does not seem to have been the intention of the Government of India, and His Excellency the Governor in Council is not, as at present advised, disposed to sanction the additional charge on Provincial Funds. Moreover, this arrangement would not meet the difficulty as regards

regular promotion. The men would still be on fixed rates of pay pending transfer to the Accounts Establishment. The best plan would probably be to declare that the men are ineligible for promotion on the Clerical Establishment; but, as compensation, they may enter on a higher initial rate of pay, on which they must be content to serve till provided for on the Accounts Establishment. The rate of pay will therefore be fixed at Rs. 40 rising by the usual annual increment to Rs. 50. The Supdg Engineers of the Circles concerned will accordingly reserve one such appointment on divisional Establishments for these Accounts Clerks on the conditions already prescribed.

16/1/96

CH 886
16/1/96

R.S.
H.E. 16/1. R 21/1.

No 8/

Accounts Clerks; their position on the Clerical Establishment.

DESPATCHED
3- FEB. 96

Supdg Engineers.
Exr P. W. Accts
Chief Engr for Irrign.
Consg Engr for Rys.
Examiner Ry Accounts.

S.a.W.
Cy

... divisions there is a Rs 40 grade Clerk. And if a certain number of these appointments is reserved for they are ~~[illegible]~~ to Clerks they will be as well off as they can expect, prior to becoming regular accountants.

R.S.
29 Oct 95.

If these men are [illegible] difficulty [illegible] provided for in the Clerical Establishment [illegible] will have to [illegible] Rs 30–2 [illegible] pay [illegible] which they will be [illegible]

If they are provided for in the Clerical Establishment their Accounts clerks will cease [illegible]

The only remedy seems to be to make the pay Rs 50 instead of Rs 30–2 [illegible] then they will enter [illegible] further promotion till they can be transferred to the Accounts Branch

CH 18[illegible]
2/11/95

---

be struck off the list of candidates at once, and another man appointed, on the occurrence of a vacancy in the Circle in the Rs. 30-2-40 grade.

In his office note No 3673 D/- 19 Oct 95. Mr D. C. Gordon considers that an addition of 5 men should have been made to the Clerical Establishment but this does not seem to have been the intention of Govt. nor does it seem necessary.

R.S.
31 Oct 95.

I do not think it desirable to recommend increasing the clerical staff as suggested by C. but I see no objection to [illegible]

21/1

C. No. 8824
367

For orders.

In this Office letter No. 2388 D/E d/ 5 July 93 — Mr. Sherlock-Hubbard pointed out that these "Accounts Clerks" have very little chance of getting on the regular Establishment of Accountants, and considered that they should be promoted on the Clerical Establishment. If this is done, the terms of G.O. 1802 W d/ 10 July 93 will require slight modification. It will no longer be practicable to reserve an appointment on the Rs 30-2-40 grade for "Accounts Clerks", unless indeed the number of these men in each Circle is to be increased. It might be ordered that the Accounts Clerk is eligible for promotion on the Clerical Establishment, but until he is transferred to the Accounts Establishment no second appointment in a Circle can be made.

[illegible] 16/9 [illegible]

P.S.

H.E. 16/1. R21/1.

C. No. 8311.

J. 257 W

For orders.

C. points out practical difficulties in carrying out the arrangements as sanctioned in G.O. 1802 W d/ 10 July 1893. Making the accounts Clerks extra to the Establishment, as suggested by C., will not get over the difficulty brought to notice as to the promotion of these men while waiting for appointments on the Accounts Establishment. If they are to have no claim to promotion on the Clerical Establishment, it does not matter whether they are extra or not. The grievance is that these men have very little hope of appointments to the Accounts branch, while they are also debarred from promotion on the Clerical Establishment. To remedy this, either the arrangement suggested in para 2 of office letter No. 3072 C d/ 23 Oct 95 may be adopted, or the men might be appointed to a higher grade with the distinct understanding that they must remain there until they can be absorbed in the Accounts Branch. S.