Rx 7094 & 7070

# Public Works (1896) Department.

G.O., No. 2480 W

DATED 27 august 1896.

[Despatch Abstract.]

**Office**: Estimate of Rs. 11,350 for constructing a stationary submagistrate's — at Arundelpett, Bezwada, sanctioned v
with remarks

INDEXED. 2916

[ P. W. 26. 500—13-2-96. ]

2226

## BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|

## FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|

---

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

# Government {1896} of Madras.
# PUBLIC WORKS.

*Recd.* } 1896. *Enclosures*
*Regd.* } *Spare copies*

## G.O., No. 2480 W., 27th August 1896.

## AUGUST.

**Office**

Estimate of Rs. 11,350 for constructing a Stationary Sub-Magistrate's — at Arundelpett, Bezwada, sanctioned with remarks.

No. 2480 W., 27th August 1896.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

## PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

**Buildings and Roads.**
**Civil Works.**

Read—the following papers :—

G.O., Mis. No. 2972, Revenue, dated 27th July 1896.

*Read*—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), Mis. No. 3112, dated 7th July 1896 :—

Read—the following papers :—

From the Collector of Kistna, dated 8th May 1896, Ref. on C. No. 2105/Ver.

G.O., Mis. No. 2034, Revenue, dated 27th May 1896.

Abstract.—Stating that the Government considers that the Deputy Tahsildar's office at Bezwada should be constructed on the site already approved.

Resolution—Mis. No. 3112, dated 7th July 1896.

The plan and estimate, amounting to Rs. 12,000, for the construction of a Stationary Sub-Magistrate's office at Bezwada on the site selected in Arundelpett between the Ryves' and the Ellore canals, are submitted for the sanction of Government with reference to G.O., No. 2034, Revenue, dated 27th May 1896. The alternative estimates prepared with reference to paragraph 3 of G.O., No. 1957 W., dated 8th August 1895, have now become unnecessary.

2. The estimate includes a provision of Rs. 650 for constructing a public latrine. The Collector is requested to state whether an open latrine may not be substituted at a less cost.

3. The question whether ryots' sheds are necessary in connection with cutcherries constructed according to the revised standard plans, is now under the consideration of the Board. When the question is finally settled, a supplemental estimate will be called for if necessary.

4. In paragraph 8 of his letter the Collector suggests that the surface drainage of the site selected for the Stationary Sub-Magistrate should be made to discharge into the Ellore canal, and that the Municipality should be called upon to carry out a scheme of surface drainage for the whole of Arundelpett. These suggestions will, the Board presumes, receive consideration in the Local and Municipal Department.

*Order*—Mis. No. 2972, Revenue, dated 27th July 1896.

The plan and estimate for the construction of a Stationary Sub-Magistrate's office at Bezwada on the site selected by the Collector in Arundelpett, are approved and transferred to the Public Works Department.

2. The suggestions referred to in paragraph 4 of the Board's Proceedings will be considered in the Local and Municipal Department.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. T. R. SCOVELL,
*for* Acting Secretary.

From the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, dated 8th August 1896, No. 1153 K.N.

*Note by the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department.*

The provision of Rs. 650 in the estimate for a covered latrine will be omitted, pending receipt of a reply from the Collector of Kistna as to whether an open latrine may not be substituted at a less cost; when a supplemental estimate will be called for.

2. The estimate as thus reduced, amounting to Rs. 11,350, is recommended for sanction with the following remarks:—

It is noted that the following rates are in excess of the divisional schedule, and that no data lists, showing the necessity for the increase, have been submitted:—

| | RS. | A. | P. | | RS. | A. | P. | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Concrete ... ... ... ... | 10 | 12 | 0 | against | 9 | 12 | 0 | in schedule. |
| Filling basement ... ... ... | 13 | 14 | 2 | „ | 3 | 7 | 6 | „ |
| Pointing with surki ... ... | 2 | 5 | 0 | „ | 1 | 5 | 6 | „ |

19th August 1896.

(Signed) D. McNEIL CAMPBELL, Col., R.E.,
Chief Engineer.

Order—No. 2480 W., dated 27th August 1896.

The estimate, as altered by the Chief Engineer, amounting to Rs. 11,350, for constructing a Stationary Sub-Magistrate's office at Arundelpett, Bezwada, is sanctioned.

2. The Superintending Engineer, II Circle, will report the amount that can be spent during the current official year.

3. Attention is drawn to the note by the Chief Engineer recorded above.

4. This sanction has been registered as Provincial No. 61 W. of 1896-97, and classified as Civil Buildings—Original Works.

(True Extract.)

Under Secretary to Government.

To the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, with enclosures.
„ Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
„ Revenue Department.

Exd. K. T Narayana Aiyangar.

---

A

~~H.E. the Governor.~~

No. 2480 W, dated, 27th August 1896.
Estimate for a Stationary Sub-magistrate's office at Arundelpett, Bezwada

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

## PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

G. O. 2972 Mis. Revenue, dated 27th July 1896.

Letter from the Superintending Engineer II Circle, No. 1153 K. N. dated 8th August 96.

2 P

Note by the Chief Engineer, P. W. D.

The provision of Rs. 650 in the estimate for a covered latrine will be omitted pending receipt of a --- reply from the Collector of Kistna as to whether an open latrine may not be substituted at a less cost; when a supplemental estimate will be called for.

2. The estimate, as thus reduced, amounting to Rs. 11,350 is recommended for sanction with the following --- remarks:-

It is noted that the following rates are in excess of the divisional schedule, and that no data lists, showing the necessity for the --- increase, have been submitted:-

Concrete Rs. 10.12.0 against Rs. 9.12.0 in schedule.
Filling basement. Rs. 13.14.2 against Rs. 3.7.6. in schedule.
Pointing with surki. Rs. 2.5.0. against Rs. 1.5.6 in schedule.

(40—4,000—2-11-95.)

18/8/96

EW

Order

Order No.

The estimate, as altered by the Chief Engineer, amounting to Rs. 11,350 for constructing a Stationary Sub Magistrate's Office at Arundelpett, Bezwada, is sanctioned.

2. The Superintending Engineer, II Circle, will report the amount that can be spent during the current official year.

3. Attention is drawn to the note by the Chief Engineer recorded above.

4. This sanction has been registered as Provincial No. 61 W of 1896-97 and classified as Civil Buildings, Original Works.

A.G.H. 22.8.96

19/8/96

T

B C

Closed 21.8.96.

T / will you kindly let me know what plan & estimate should be retd. to the S.E. R 21/8

The Suptg. Engineer II Circle, with enclosures.
,, Examiner, P.W. Accounts.
,, Revenue Department.

9/9/96

HC Return the whole 3 designs to S.E.

Ahw 27-8-96

---

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

A. Of 7070/7094 HE 22/8/96.

# PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

Proceedings Revenue Dept. (H.E. No. 2972 d/27 July 1896)
Letter from the S.E. II Circle (" " 1153 Kh d/ 8th Aug. ")

V480W

Note by the Chief Engineer P.W. Dept:—

The provision of Rs. 650 in the estimate for ~~an open~~ a covered latrine will be omitted pending receipt of a reply from the Collector of Kistna as to whether an open latrine may not be substituted at a less cost; when a supplemental estimate will be called for.

2. The estimate, as thus reduced, amounting to Rs. 11,350 is recommended for sanction with the following remarks:—

40—300—6-3-96.

remarks:—

3. It is noted that the following rates are in excess of the divisional schedule, and that no data lists, shewing the necessity for the increase, have been submitted:—

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Concrete | Rs 10:12:0 | against | Rs 9:12:0 | in schedule. |
| Filling basement .. | 13-14-2 | " | 3.7.6 | " |
| Pointing with Surki .. | 2-5-0 | " | 1.5-6 | " |

Adherence to the schedule rates will cause a saving of Rs 343 on the estimate.

4. It is, however, observed that provision has been made in the estimate for draining the site at a cost of Rs 400 according to details, attached to the alternative estimate of Rs 18600, which provide for discharging the drainage into Ryves' Canal. The Collector, however, suggests that the drainage should be diverted into the Ellore Canal. This is a matter which should be settled in consultation on the spot. Should the Collector's proposal be adopted, and the deviation entail extra expense, the Rs 343, which may be saved on the rates, above noticed, will come in useful for the purpose.

EW

Order :— comms 18.8.96.

The estimate, as altered by the Chief Engineer, amounting to Rs 11350 for constructing a Stationary Sub-Magistrate's office at Arundelpett, Bezwada, is sanctioned.

2. The Supdg Engineer, II Circle, will report the

amount that can be spent during the current official year.

3. Attention is drawn to the note by the Chief Engineer recorded above.

4. This sanction has been registered as Provincial No.      W of 1896-97 and classified as Civil Buildings, Original Works.

CAHS
18. 8. 96

DAG

Ex/

Estimate for a Stationary Sub Magistrate's office at Arundelpett Bezwada

---

S. E. II Circle
with enclosures.

Ex P. W. Accts.

Revenue Dept.

Recopy please

less Rs 650 for latrine, this may go up for sanction with a note by the Chief Engineer as follows:—

It is noted that the following rates are in excess of the divisional schedule, and that no data lists, shewing the necessity for the increase, have been submitted.

Concrete Rs 10-12-0 against Rs 9.12 in schedule
Filling basement " 13-14-2 " " 3.7-6 " "
Pointing with surki 2-8-0 " " 1-5-6

Adherence to the schedule

No 2480W, dated 27th August 1896.

## Public Works Department.

Current No. 7090
Letter No. 2972
Dated 27th July 1896
} also Current No. 7094 linked
From the Revenue Department

TECHNICAL NOTE.

Name of Work Constructing a Stationary Sub-Magistrate's Office at Arundelpett, Bezwada.

Amount of Estimate, Rs. 12,000,

PARTICULARS OF ESTIMATE.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Main Building | Office | Rs. 8820 |
| Well | | " 380 |
| Subsidiary Buildings | latrine | " 650 |
| Record racks & ladder | | " 450 |
| Wire fencing | | " 650 |
| Contingencies | 5% (Rs 418) | " .. |
| Petty Supervision | 2½% (Rs 210) | " .. |
| Land compensation | (2590 sq yds at 0-4-0) | " 650 |
| Rough stone drains | | " 400 |
| Total Rupees ... | | 12,000, |

PARTICULARS OF CONSTRUCTION.

Surface soil } Reported to be black cotton.
Sub soil }

Foundations are proposed to be laid to a depth of 6 feet, the lower 2½ feet is to be of Concrete & the upper 3½ feet built of rubble in mortar.

Basement is to be raised 3½ feet & built of rubble in mortar.

Walls are to be raised as per heights noted on the type design & estimate & built of random rubble in chunam.

Plastering or Pointing (the interior of the building is to be plastered with 2 coats of chunam & the exterior pointed with surki mortar.

Flooring is to be of Bezwada cut stone laid over 6" of concrete, except for the record room which is to be of 3/4" asphalte laid over 4" concrete.

Roofing is to be tiled with Mangalore tiles throughout on teak reepers, rafters &c & ceiled with 1/2" pine planks.

Doors and Windows are to be of teakwood of the descriptions noted in the estimate & painted with 3 coats of oak paint.

Roof timbers are to be of teakwood & painted with 3 coats of paint.

Scantlings are correct.

Rates a few of the rates are high, vide remarks below.

The plinth area of the main building is 3373 square feet, and the cost per square foot will be Rs. 2 - 9 - 10.

The site plan~~s~~ and estimates have been countersigued by the Collector of the District and approved in the Revenue Dept. with the remark ~~Department~~. that the suggestion referred to in para 4 of the Boards Proceedings will be considered in the ~~Local~~ & Municipal Dept.

~~REMARKS.~~

Remarks.

The plan & estimate under review, amounting to Rs 12,000, have been framed with reference to G.O. No. 1957 W dated 8th August 1895, & provide for constructing a Stationary Sub. Magistrate's Office at Arundelpett, Bezwada in the Kistna District as per type design circulated with G.O. No. 1998 W dated 6th Augst. 1895 with tiled roofing with the following deviations

(a) alteration of foundations to suit nature of soil.

(b) raising of the basement from 2 to 3 1/2 feet owing to the site selected being between Rypes & Ellore canals.

(c) Increasing the thickness of partition walls from 1'1 1/2" to 1'3" to suit rubble masonry.

(c) omitting recesses below sills of windows & building them flush with the other walls.

(d) Provision of stone lintels in lieu of archwork over doors & windows

The latrine is proposed to be built at a cost of Rs 650 as per design circulated with G.O. No. 1409 W dated 28th May 1889 but in Boards Proceedings dated 7th July 96. No. 3112 Mis. the Collector has been requested to state whether an open latrine may not be substituted for a covered one at a less cost, this item may therefore be omitted from the estimate & a supplementary estimate called for after the receipt of the Collectors reply.

Provision has been made in the estimate for draining the site at a cost of Rs 400 vide details attached to the alternative estimate of Rs 18,600, with rough stone drains for a length of 1230 feet, so as to discharge the drainage into Rypes Canal but the Collector of Kistna suggests that the surface drainage should be diverted into the Ellore canal, this deviation may probably increase or reduce the sub head of Rs 400.

Rates will cause a saving of Rs 343 on the estimate.

It is, however, observed that provision has been made in the estimate for draining the site at a cost of Rs 400 according to details, attached to the alternative estimate of Rs 18600, which provide for discharging the drainage into Rypes' Canal. The Collector, however, suggests that the drainage should be diverted into the Ellore Canal. This is a matter which should be settled in consultation on the spot. Should the Collector's proposal be adopted, and the deviation entail extra expense, the Rs 343, which may be saved on the rates, above noticed will come in useful for the purpose CWP 96

The provision of Rs 450 for re:
:cord racks has been based on the type design circulated with G.O. No. 802 W dated 19th March 1891.

The following rates are in excess of the divisional schedule & no data lists have been submitted for them.

Concrete ——— Rs 10.12.. against Rs 9.12..
Filling basement 13.14.2 -do- 3.7.6
Pointing with surki 2.5.. -do- 1-5-6

No details have been submitted for fencing around the compound for which a lump sum of Rs 650 is entered & for sinking a well at a cost of Rs 380.

This, I think, may be left to the S.E.
18.8.96.

The two alternative esti:
:ates one with sub jail wards & the other without, amounting to Rs 18,600 & 12,300 respectively have now become unnecessary.

13.8.96.

Dr

For orders

14/8/96

---

C. No. 7070 No. 2480 W, dt 27th August 1896 **P. W. Secretariat.**

**Note by Budget Section.**

| | |
|---|---|
| Work | Constructing a Stationary Sub-Magistrate's office at Arundelpett, Bezwada |
| Fund | Provincial |
| Service Head | Civil Buildings |
| Departmental Head | Original Works |
| Amount of Estimate ... ... Rs. | 12,000 |
| Expenditure in previous year ... " | " |
| Allotment in current year ... " | " |
| Balance required to complete ... " | 12,000 |
| Total Rs... | 12,000 |

**Note of available Funds.**

The work appears as item 9 in Part I, Class B Works, of the final issue of the Provincial Budget for 1896-97 and a sum of Rs 10,650 was applied for it.

The balance at the credit of the Provincial Reserve is Rs 46,149. A sum of Rs 30,000 being reserved for works at the Govt Houses Guindy and Madras, and for the drain near the Fort, the balance viz Rs 16,149 can be allotted for other works.

CWR
14.8.96.

Note by Budget Section.

Revenue Department.

18

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS~~S~~ GOVERNMENT.

-----÷------

Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, Land Revenue, No. 3112 Mis., dated 7" July 1896:-----

Read the following:----

1. Letter from the ~~Collectors~~ Collector of Kistna Ref. on C. No. 2105/Ver., of 96, dated 8" May 1896.

1781 W 17.6/96

2. Proceedings of the Revenue Department, No. 2034 Mis., dated 27" May 1896, stating that the Government considers that the Deputy Tahsildar's Office at Bezwada should be constructed on the site already approved.

Resolution:----

The plan and estimate amounting to Rs. 12,000, for the construction of a Stationary Submagistrate's Office at Bezwada on the site selected in Arundelpett between the Pyves and the Ellore canals, are submitted for the sanction of Government with reference to Government Order No. 2034 Rev., dated 27" May 1896. The alternative estimates prepared with reference to para 3 of Government Order No. 1957 W., dated 8" August 1895, have now become unnecessary.

2. The estimate includes a provision of Rs. 650 for constructing a public latrine. The Collector is requested to state whether an open latrine may not be substituted at a less cost.

3. The question whether ryots' shed~~s~~ are necessary in connection with cutcherries constructed according to the revised standard plans, is now under the consideration of the Board. When the question is finally settled, a supplemental estimate will be called for if necessary.

#1

4. In para 8 of his letter the Collector suggests that the surface drainage of the site selected for the Stationary Submagistrate should be made to discharge into the Ellore Canal and that the Municipality should be called upon to carry out a scheme of surface drainage for the whole of Arundelpett. These

suggestions will, the Board presumes, receive consideration in the Local and Municipal Department.

Order thereon, No. 2972 Mis. d/ 27" July 1896.

The plan and estimate for the construction of a Stationary Submagistrate's office at Bezwada on the site selected by the Collector in Arundelpett, are approved and transferred to the Public Works Department.

2. The suggestions referred to in para 4 of the Board's ~~px~~ Proceedings will be considered in the Local and Municipal Department.

(True Extract)

For Ag. Secretary to Govt.

To the Public Works Department with all Enclosures. ✓

" Local and Municipal Department, with copy of para 8 of Collector's letter + B.P

" Board of Revenue, Land Revenue.

M.N.

$\frac{F}{21}$ 2,000—19-6-96.

P. W. CURRENT No. 7070

Govt. of {1896} Madras.

**REVENUE.**

Encls 26 (5 plans)

Recd: 5. 8. 96

To

The Public Works Dept.

Dated }
Recd. }

Encl.

~~R.~~ Mis. G.O., 27th Apl 1896, No. 2972.

SUBJECT.

Approving and transferring to the Public Works Department plans and ~~estimates~~ estimates for a Stationary Submagistrate's Office at Bezwada.

I For early scrutiny vide Cur 7094.

Cy
7.8.96

P.S. 327 h 2/8/8

---

No. 1153 K.W.

Superintending Engineer's Office,
Bezwada

II CIRCLE,

Dated 8th August 1896.

From,

Major W. L. C. Baddeley ~~Esquire,~~ R.E.
Superintending Engineer,
II Circle.

To,

The Chief Engineer
P. W. Department
Madras.

Sir

With reference to G.O. No. 1781 W d/17th June 96 I have the honour to inform you that the alternative estimates and plans for the Construction of the Dy. Tahsildar's Office at Bezwada were forwarded to the Collector of Kistna on the 11th April 96 for Countersignature and transmission to Government and to request that you will be so good as to obtain early Sanction to the estimates and Appropriation of funds to enable me to put the work in hand this year.

2. The return of the plans & estimates when Convenient is also requested.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obt. Servant,
8/8 96 Exe Engr
for Supdg Engr II Circle

W. CURRENT No. 709

From

The Supdg Engr:
II Circle.

To

The Chief Engr
P.W.D.

No. 1153 K.N
4·8·96

From,

The Superintending Engineer,
II Circle.

To,

The

Dated }18
Received

Enclosures.

NO.

SUBJECT.

Dy: Tahsildar's Office at Bezwada. Informs that alternative estimates for — were sent to the Collector already and requests to obtain early sanction the est: & the provision of fund &c.

No. 1781 W, D/ 17-6-96
" 1957 W, D/ 8-8-95
" 3279 W, D/ 16-12-93
" 254 W, D/ 29-1-92

B.S. 327 A 26/8

---

F 21 2,000—18-2-96.

W. CURRENT No. 50

# Govt. of {1896} Madras.

## REVENUE.

To

The Public Works Dept.

Dated }
Recd. }

Encl.

Encls 4 (1 plan)

Recd. 3.6.96

B No 1781 W, d/ 17th June 1896.

Communicated to the Supdg: Engr: II Circle, for information and guidance, with reference to G.O. No: 254 W d/ 29th Jany: 1892.

6/6/96

~~Mis.~~ ~~R.~~ G.O., 27th May 1896, No. 2034.

SUBJECT.

Considering that the Deputy Tahsildar's Office at Bezwada may be constructed on the site already approved.

3279 W d/ 16-12-93.
1957 W d/ 8-8-95
254 W 29-1-92.

T B

DESPATCHED
18 JUN. 96

INDEXED.
24/9

S.E. II Circle
with plan

A.S. 158 28/6

Revenue Department.

# PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read again G. O. Mis. No. 3484 Revenue, dated 27" August 1895, communicating to the Board of Revenue for report with reference to para 2 of the Order, Proceedings of the Public Works Department , No. 1957 W., dated 8" August 1895.

☒ Copy attached.

Read also Proceedings ☒ of the Board of Rev (Land Revenue), dated 24" April 1896, Mis. No. 1909.

## ORDER thereon, Mis. No. 2034 d/ 27" May 1896.

In the circumstances reported by the Collector the Government considers that the Deputy Tahsildar's Office at Bezwada should be constructed on the site approved in G. O. No: 454 Revenue, dated 23" January 1892.

254 W 29.1/92

(True Extract)

for Ag. Secretary to Govt.

To the Board of Revenue, Land Revenue.
" Public Works Department - with Encls. ✓

P.E./116

Q.E.1/5016/96

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

(Land Revenue)

Dated 24" Apr. 1896, Mis. No. 909.

---

Read Government Order, Revenue Department, dd 27" August 1895, No. 3484, and following reference thereon d/ 14" September 1895:---

Referred to the Collector of Kistna for report.

Read also letter from J. N. Atkinson Esquire, Collector of Kistna, dated 26" February 1896, Dis. No. 441/Eng. to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue.

Resolution:---

Submitted to Government with refereence to G. O. No. 3484 Mis., dated 27" August 1895.

The Board observes that the question of site has already been decided in G. O. 454 dated 23" Jany 1892 (Revenue Department). Under these circumstances no remarks are offered.

(True Copy and Extract)

/Signed/ R. C. C. Carr,
Ag. Secretary.

(True Copy)

for Ag. Secretary to Govt.

---

of giving ~~a~~ an active impetus to the building of private residences. I have no doubt that exactly the same result would follow in Bezwada.

11. The Head assistant Collector says "There is reason to doubt whether any demand for new buildings on spacious sites exists among those to whom Sanitary considerations do not appeal", and I could wish for no better argument on my side The very fact that Sanitary considerations do not appeal to 99 in 100 of the people is the best of all reasons why Government should supply some consideration that will appeal to them, to win them from the slums and rookeries of old Bezwada.

12. So far as my experience goes, new public Offices, including Tahsildar's and Sub-Magistrate's Cutcheries are invariably built outside the inhabited area of the town or village. Kumbakonam, Erode, Madanapalle, Vayalpad, Kadiri, Rayachoti and all the many new Offices in this District, occur to me as instances in point. Bezwada more than any of these places, has suffered from overcrowding; and if the principle be good in those cases, it is surely of prime importance in this.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

~~For~~ Collector.

5016/96

6

3
3172

Comp.

## PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

(Land Revenue)

Dated 24" Apr. 1896, Mis. No./909.

---

Read Government Order, Revenue Department, d/ 27" August 1895, No. 3484, and following reference thereon d/ 14" September 1895:---

Referred to the Collector of Kistna for report.

Read also letter from J. N. Atkinson Esquire, Collector of Kistna, dated 26" February 1896, Dis. No. 441/Eng. to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue.

Resolution:---

Submitted to Government with refereence to G. O. No. 3484 Mis., dated 27" August 1895.

The Board observes that the question of site has already been decided in G. O. 454 ~~REVENUE~~, dated 23" Jany 1892 (Revenue Department). Under these circumstances no remarks are offered.

(True Copy and Extract)

/Signed/ R. C. C. Carr,
Ag. Secretary.

(True Copy)

for Ag. Secretary to Govt.

---

no need for a new Sub Jail. The present one in the Taluk Cutchery will satisfy all present wants.

6. I think the Head Assistant Collector exaggerates the Superior "public Convenience" of the site in the old town. The Arundelpetta site will be equally near to the Railway Station; and much nearer to the Offices of the Superintending Engineer and Executive Engineer, Eastern Division, the Head assistant Collector himself; the Local Fund District Board Engineer; and the Salt and Abkari Inspector. The only public Offices that lie near the site in the old town are, the Municipal Office, the Taluk Cutchery and the District Munsiff's Court. The last named is, I believe, in a bad state of repair; the District Judge some time ago, asked me to take it over as a Sub Magistrate's Office. I think the best thing to be done, would be to move it also to Arundelpetta. The public whose convenience the Head assistant Collector may probably have in mind, are the pleaders. But already they have to divide their attentions between the Head Assistant Collector's Office in Buckinghampeta and the old town about 1 mile apart from one another. It would be much more convenient for them if the Sub Magistrates Court & District Munsiff's Court were both in Arundelpetta, less than half a mile from the Head assistant Collector's Office.

7. As to the comparative merits of the Soil I need not say anything. The Chief Engineer has said that the objections to the Arundelpetta Soil are not "very strong": and the Head Assistant Collector's last argument in para 3 is obviously very weak. The simple way to preserve the Site from being built on by "miscellaneous occupants" is for the Municipal Council to refuse to allow building. It was with the deliberate intention of keeping this Site vacant that the Council cleared out various rookeries in 1890–92. The Head Assistant Collector, the Collector and Government may be trusted to see that this policy is not departed from.

i.e. the site near the Taluk Cutchery in the old Town.
ASA
22.5.96

8. The one all powerful argument in favour of building the Sub Magistrates Office in Arundelpetta is the necessity that exists, a necessity that has been recognized by every Collector for 20 years, by the Public, by the Municipal Council, by the Sanitary Commissioner and by Government itself, to do everything possible to withdraw the surplus population of the old town into the new Suburbs.

9. The apologists for the old town Site say that there is no demand for house sites in the new suburbs. They believe that Bezwada's day is over and that its prosperity and population are on the wane. Granted that the great boom of 1890–93 when the town was crowded with Railway and Bridge Engineers, Contractors, and Coolies, has passed: is it possible to suppose that alone of all towns in the District, Bezwada will now show a continuous falling off in population? The old town was insufficient for its inhabitants in 1881, when they numbered less than 10000; how can it suffice for them now whe they may probably amount to 15000; and how will it suffice for them 20 years hence whe unless checked, they may number 25000? It is beside the mark to say that no houses have bee built in Arundelpetta or between the Railway and the Ellore canal. The price of land in the former is still at a speculative rate and no one will buy till assured of a reasonable chance of making money thereby. Each of the two localities is destitute of drainage; and even so, there have been several applications for building site in the latter though building operations still hang fire.

? No
ASA

10. The safe conclusions can be drawn from the stoppage of building operations in the new Suburbs. If the public be left to themselves, they will go on crowding into the old town for ever. What is wanted is that every official encouragement should be given to emigration to the new Suburbs. There is a new Suburb, also called Arundelpetta in Guntoor, inaugurated and laid out in 1890, I believe. In the first enthusiasm a few small houses were built and then for five years all building operations ceased. But in the current year 1895–96 the new Taluq Cutchery situated in this new pett has been commenced, with the immediate result

of giving ~~a~~ an active impetus to the building of private residences. I have no doubt that exactly the same result would follow in Bezwada.

11. The Head Assistant Collector says "There is reason to doubt whether any demand for new buildings on spacious sites exists among those to whom sanitary considerations do not appeal", and I could wish for no better argument on my side. The very fact that sanitary considerations do not appeal to 99 in 100 of the people is the best of all reasons why Government should supply some consideration that will appeal to them, to win them from the stews and rookeries of old Bezwada.

12. So far as my experience goes, new public offices, including Tahsildar's and Sub-Magistrate's Cutcheries are invariably built outside the inhabited area of the town or village. Kumbakonam, Erode, Madanapalle, Vayalpad, Kadiri, Rayachoti and all the many new offices in this District, occur to me as instances in point. Bezwada more than any of these places, has suffered from overcrowding; and if the principle be good in those cases, it is surely of prime importance in this.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
**Your most obedient Servant,**

For Collector.

P.S. 2
50 16/56

6

3
3172

D.C. Comp.

---

and Municipal Department will sanction the construction of the Hospital on this site.

3. As to the Sub Magistrate's Office, the Head Assistant Collector, prefers a site in the old town, near the present Taluk Cutchery: while I unhesitatingly give my vote for the site originally selected by Mr Arundel in what is now known as Arundelpetta. It is wearisome work having to go over all the old arguments again; and I will be as brief as I can.

4. I will first notice what the Head Assistant Collector says in favour of the first site. Its nearness to the Taluk Cutchery is of very small moment. It is a pity the Taluk Cutchery was built in its present position; no one then thought that Bezwada would so soon become a centre of railway communication. Yet even then it had been abundantly recognised not only officially but by the public that Bezwada old town was overcrowded, and it was an answer to a request in an address presented to him in 1880 by the public of Bezwada that the Duke of Buckingham sanctioned the laying out of the new suburb now called Buckinghampetta. But if the site of the Taluk Cutchery was badly selected, there is no need for us now to make matters worse, to crowd public offices together and lend official encouragement to the natural tendency of the people to jostle into the old town.

Where the Taluk Cutcherry is.
H.t

"5. Whether the Sub-Magistrate's Office be in the old town or in Arundelpetta, there is

No.———of 189

OFFICE
OF THE
**COLLECTOR & MAGISTRATE,**
MASULIPATAM,
KISTNA DISTRICT,

26th February 1896.

FROM,

J. N. Atkinson Esquire,
Collector, Kistna District,

TO,

THE SECRETARY TO THE COMMISSIONERS OF
LAND REVENUE,
MADRAS.

SIR,

I have the honor to Submit the report called for in Board's Reference on Government Register no. 1833 of 1895 dated 14th September 1895, and in so doing to forward Copy of my Head Assistant Collector's letter Dis no 323/Rev of 1896, dated 8th February 1896: and a plan of the whole town of Bezwada.

2. In regard to the Hospital, the Head Assistant Collector is wrong in his Surmise that no opinion has been obtained from "Competent medical authority" as to the proposed site. All the various District medical and Sanitary Officers, who have Served in the District since the site was selected in 1890 or 1891, have known of the site and approved it. The present Sanitary Commissioner was specially consulted last year and approved of it in all respects, except that it is within 200 yards or thereabouts of two small castor-oil mills. This objection is not fatal. I have not the slightest reason to doubt that Government in the Local

Encl to Govt No 1933/96

6
2
3172

Rev. 3
5016/96

to build. No demand for new sites and buildings appears to exist at present. It is quite uncertain whether the proximity of a Cutchery would create one.

6. I come next to the one argument in favour of the site between the canals, its cheapness. On a rough estimate, and without prejudice to any future award, which I may be called on to pass, the value of the Old Town site may be taken at 12 a per square yard and of that between the canals below 4 a. It is for the D.P.W. to consider whether the extra expenditure inevitable in the case of the latter site, on account of foundations, and Sub jail, will to any extent equalise the cost: if it does so, the matter will, presumably, be determined on considerations of public convenience. These considerations in my opinion preponderate in favour of the old Town site.

---

For Collector.

Compd.
Mk

JGR
11/4

Sub Jail need be built; that it is in the midst of the town near to other Government buildings, and accordingly, of far greater public convenience than the other: that it consists in soil more suitable for building, and that on aesthetic grounds it should be secured for the erection of a Government Office of some pretensions, not left for grant piece meal to miscellaneous occupants.

4. The land between the two canals, in which the alternative site is situated, is at present, with the exception of that occupied by an oil mill, not built on; the land lying to the West between the Ellore canal and the Railway, is vacant, except 2 acres occupied by a bone factory and that to the East for some distance only sparsely occupied by small and important houses.

; un

5. It may be suggested that this isolation is not likely to continue, after the Cutchery is once built. It is, of course, possible that one building will attract others and thus tend to relieve the congestion from which the old town is now suffering. This, however, at best a possibility, and there is reason to doubt whether any demand for new buildings on spacious sites exists among those to whom sanitary considerations do not appeal. The Municipal Council recently reduced its rates, and offered for sale the land mentioned above, as situated to the west of the Ellore canal and to the East of the Railway. This land is very similar to that between the canals; very fair bidders, however, came forward; none of those successful have so far begun

few

Copy of letter Dis no $\frac{323}{Rev}$ of 96, dated 8th February
1896 from the Head Assistant Collector, Kistna, to the
Collector, Kistna.

---

With reference to your $\frac{1359}{Eng}$ of 95 dated 30th
January 1896, I have the honor to report as follows:

1. The question is as to the sites to be selected for the
Sub Magistrate's Cutchery, and Municipal Hospital

2. Till now only one site, that lying at the South
end of the triangle between the 2 canals, has
been considered for the Hospital. So far as
is known, however, no opinion regarding its
Suitability has as yet been obtained from
Competent Medical Authority. The Sanitary
Commissioner may be consulted.

The site was originally selected some
years back. It is not known whether the
objections to it on the ground of the vicinity
of the Rail road with its noise, or its position
in the midst of the town, and consequent unsuita-
bility for the treatment of infection cases
were considered, or on what grounds they were
disregarded. No other site, however, suggests itself.

3. With reference to the Sub Magistrate's Cutchery,
2 sites have been proposed; one near the present
Taluk Cutchery in the midst of the town, the
other, on the triangle between the canals. The
arguments for the first site are; that it is
near the Taluk Cutchery, Consequently no new

---

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

# Govt. of {1895} Madras.

## PUBLIC WORKS.

*Dated August, 8th.*

*Recd.* *Encl.*

---

**G.O., 8th Aug. 1895, No. 1957 W.**

---

**AUGUST.**

---

## Spoil Banks

Declining to incur expenditure in the removal of — between the head of the Ellore and Ryves' canals at Bezwada, referring to the departments concerned the question of the site for certain proposed buildings and calling for estimates for the construction of the Deputy Tahsildar's cutcherry at the station.

Copy of letter Dis no 323/Rev of 96, dated 8th February 1896 from the Head Assistant Collector Kistna, to the Collector, Kistna.

With reference to your 1359/Eng of 95 dated 30th January 1896, I have the honor to report as follows:

1. The question is as to the sites to be selected for the Sub Magistrate's Cutchery, and Municipal Hospital

2. Till now only one site, that lying at the South end of the triangle between the 2 canals, has been considered for the Hospital. So far as is known, however, no opinion regarding its suitability has as yet been obtained from competent Medical authority. The Sanitary Commissioner may be consulted.

The site was originally selected some years back. It is not known whether the objections to it on the ground of the vicinity of the Rail road with its noise, or its position in the midst of the town, and consequent unsuitability for the treatment of infection cases were considered, or on what grounds they were disregarded. No other site, however, suggests itself.

3. With reference to the Sub Magistrate's Cutchery, 2 sites have been proposed, one near the present Taluk Cutchery in the midst of the town, the other, on the triangle between the canals. The arguments for the first site are; that it is near the Taluk Cutchery, consequently no new

---

8th August 1895, No. 1957 W., Public Works.

## GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

## PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

### Buildings and Roads.
### Civil Works.

Read—again the following paper :—

G.O., dated 10th June 1895, No. 1385 W., Public Works.

*Note by the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department.*

In accordance with the instructions contained in G.O., No. 1385 W. of 10th June 1895, I have inspected the spoil banks which it is proposed to remove, and have discussed the question with the Superintending Engineer, the Collector and the Chairman of the Municipality of Bezwada.

2. The result of this discussion has been to make it clear that the re-distribution contemplated in paragraph 2 of the G.O. quoted cannot be carried out; the area between the spoil banks has been laid out in regular plots and roads within the area have been constructed by the Municipality. Some of the land purchased in the first instance from the Municipality by the Court of Wards has been resold to private purchasers, and it would be practically impossible to cancel these sales and re-allot the area.

3. It is therefore certain that the direct return which could be obtained for the outlay incurred in removing the spoil banks would be limited to the value of the ground on which they now stand, and this value, from the position and shape of the land, would be but small; in point of fact, the only possible purchasers would be the holders of the plots now fronting on the spoil banks; in the majority of cases the Court of Wards.

4. From the information furnished to me at Bezwada, it appears that the demand for land in the neighbourhood is at present by no means so large as was expected, and taking all the facts into consideration, I do not think that more than a very insignificant fraction of the cost of clearance would be recovered by the sale of the land.

5. The Municipality are not willing to bear any portion of the cost of this clearance, which must, if carried out at all, be carried out from Provincial Funds for the benefit of the town on sanitary or æsthetic grounds.

6. I am unable to see that such grounds exist at all, or that if they exist, they are sufficiently strong to justify an expenditure of more than Rs. 50,000. The obstruction which the banks offer to the perflation of air is not a very serious evil; the less so as there already exists gaps in them at various places. Moreover, it appears that the earth of which they are formed is in considerable demand for the manufacture of bricks and tiles; a very appreciable portion of them has already been removed for this purpose, and the consumption would become much more rapid if building operations to any large extent were undertaken in the immediate neighbourhood.

7. In point of fact, it may be said that if houses in any number are built on the area between the banks, the latter will gradually disappear; if not, their existence is of no consequence.

8. On full consideration of all the circumstances, I am decidedly of opinion that there is no sufficient reason for incurring expenditure in the removal of these banks, and that for the present at all events, the subject may be allowed to drop; in this opinion the Collector concurs.

9. In connection with this subject the question of the location of the new Deputy Tahsildar's cutcherry requires to be considered; a site for this building and for a new Sub-Judge's Court has been reserved in the area between the banks, and the Collector is of opinion that the extension of the town in this direction will depend largely upon whether these buildings be built upon this site or upon another which has been suggested, close to the existing Tahsildar's cutcherry. The choice of the site therefore becomes a matter of some importance.

10. Major Baddeley is opposed to the adoption of the Arundelpet site on account of the nature of the soil, but after inspection of the ground and examination of the levels, I do not consider that the engineering objections are very strong; the site looks bad at present, because it is undrained and after rain becomes waterlogged; but there would be no difficulty in properly draining it, and then the objections to the site would be reduced to the single one of cost; a building here would cost rather more than one on the other site on account of the cost of drainage, and because it would probably require rather deeper foundations and a higher basement than one on the other site.

11. A further addition to the cost might be caused by the necessity for the construction of a subsidiary jail which would not be required if the Deputy Tahsildar's cutcherry were built near that of the Tahsildar, but might be (the Collector is not certain on this point) if it were built at Arundelpet.

12. I do not think, however, that this question of cost should be allowed to weigh against considerations of administrative convenience, and the site may therefore be chosen with regard to these considerations alone.

13. On this question there appears to be some difference of opinion, the Collector being very strongly in favour of the Arundelpet site, while the Chairman of the Municipality is in favour of that near the Taluk cutcherry; the matter is not one for this department, or on which I am prepared to express an opinion.

14. The construction of two other public buildings has been proposed, namely a Municipal hospital, a site for which has been reserved somewhat higher up than that for the Deputy Tahsildar's cutcherry, and a church which it is proposed to construct at the nose formed by the junction of the two banks. The latter of these certainly, and the former probably, would require the removal of a portion of the bank in the immediate neighbourhood, but in each case such removal may be dealt with separately as part of the cost of construction of the building. The Sanitary Commissioner should, I think, be consulted as to the proposed site for the hospital.

15. The whole of Arundelpet is, at present, entirely unfit for the construction of dwelling houses on account of the absence of surface drainage, and the Municipality must be prepared for some expenditure, which need not be very heavy in this direction, an expenditure to which it need not object as it has received good prices for the land.

(Signed) J. PENNYCUICK, Colonel, R.E.,
Chief Engineer, P.W.D.

---

Order—dated 8th August 1895, No. 1957 W., Public Works.

Under the circumstances stated by the Chief Engineer, the Government is not prepared to incur expenditure in the removal of the spoil banks in question. Should it be necessary to remove any portion of them in connection with the construction of public buildings, the cost of such removal will be included in the estimates for the buildings.

2. The question of the site for the Deputy Tahsildar's cutcherry, Sub-Magistrate's Court and Municipal hospital will be considered in the departments concerned.

3. In the meantime the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, will submit as soon as possible alternative estimates for the construction of the first-named building on the two sites proposed, noting clearly the additional expenditure which will be required if the construction of a subsidiary jail is necessary.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. PENNYCUICK, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, II Circle.
" Revenue Department.
" Local and Municipal Department.
" Judicial Department.

Exd. A. Streeramooloo.
Spare Copies

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

**Govt. of {1895} Madras.**
**PUBLIC WORKS.**

*Dated August, 8th.*

*Recd.* *Encl.*

**G.O., 8th Aug. 1895, No. 1957 W.**

**AUGUST.**

### Spoil Banks

Declining to incur expenditure in the removal of — between the head of the Ellore and Ryves' canals at Bezwada, referring to the departments concerned the question of the site for certain proposed buildings and calling for estimates for the construction of the Deputy Tahsildar's cutcherry at the station.

---

C No. 9998

**Govt. of {1893} Madras.**
**PUBLIC WORKS.**

*Dated*

*Recd.* *Encl.*

G.O. 16 Decr 1893, No. 3279 W

Office

stimate of the
15050 for constructing
aw — for the
Deputy Tahsildar
and Stationary
ub Magistrate
at Bezwada in
istna District
anctioned.

J. C. 18.x11

INDEXED.

18/12

11/6

16TH DECEMBER 1893, No. 3279 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

# PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

## Buildings and Roads.
## Civil Works.

READ—the following paper:—

G.O., dated 20th November 1893, Mis. No. 4279, Revenue.

*Read*—Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), dated 30th October 1893, No. 6110.

Read—letter from the Acting Collector of Kistna, dated 29th September 1893, No. 3527-Ver.

Resolution—

The site plan and estimates for constructing a new office for the Deputy Tahsildar and Stationary Sub-Magistrate of Bezwada at an aggregate cost of Rs. 15,650 are submitted for the sanction of Government with reference to G.O., dated 23rd January 1892, No. 454.

*Order*—dated 20th November 1893, Mis. No. 4279, Revenue.

The site plan and estimates amounting to Rs. 15,650 are approved and will be transferred to the Public Works Department for sanction.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) E. GIBSON,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

ORDER—dated 16th December 1893, No. 3279 W., Public Works.

The estimate, amounting to Rs. 15,650, for constructing an office for the Deputy Tahsildar and Stationary Sub-Magistrate at Bezwada in the Kistna district, is sanctioned for execution when funds are available.

2. This sanction has been registered as Provincial No. 125 W. of 1893-94 and classified as Civil Buildings, Original Works.

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, II Circle,
with plans and estimate.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
" Revenue Department.
Editors' Table.

Exd. C. A. Munisawmy.
Spare Copies

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

# Govt. of {1893} Madras.
## PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated December, 16th.

Recd. Encl.

**G.O., 16th Dec. 1893, No. 3279 W.**

**DECEMBER.**

**Office**

Estimate of Rs. 15,650 for constructing an — for the Deputy Tahsildar and Stationary Sub-Magistrate at Bezwada in Kistna district, sanctioned.

---

F/17 4,000—7-9-93.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

## PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

1 Pro

Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, Land Revenue, dated 30th October 1893, No. 6110, on letter from the Acting Collector of Kistna, dated 29th September, No. 3527/Vor of 1893:—

Hd **Resolution:** dated 30 Octr 1893. No 6110 Rev. The site plan and estimates for constructing a new office for the Deputy Tahsildar and Stationary Sub-Magistrate of Bezwada at an aggregate cost of Rs 15,650 are submitted for the sanction of Government with reference to G.O. dated 23rd January 1892, No. 454.

Hd **Order thereon, 20th November 1893, No. 4279.**

The site plan and estimates amounting to Rs 15,650 are approved and will be transferred to the Public Works Department for sanction.

(True Extract)

Ag. Secretary to Government.

To

The P.W.D. with 13 enclosures ✓
" Commissioners of Land Revenue.

P. W. CURRENT No. 9998

Govt. of {1893} Madras.

REVENUE.

To

the Public Works Department.

No. 3122 w d/ 20-11-91 ✓
" 254 W " 29-1-92 ✓

Dated
Recd. 24-11-93

Encl. 13 (7 plans)

---

Mis. G.O., 20th Novr. 1893, No. 4279.

---

SUBJECT.

Approving the Site plan and estimates for Constructing a Dy. Tahr's Office at Bezwada, and transferring the same to the P.W.D. for Sanction.

---

Public Works Department.

Current No. 9998 32792

Letter No. 4279

Dated 20th November 1893

From the Revenue Dept.

9/12/93

NOTE BY TECHNICAL SECTION.

Name of work Constructing an office with outhouses for the Dy. Tahsildar at Bezwada.

B
9/12

Amount of estimate Rs 15650

This estimate has been framed with reference to G.O. No. 254 W dated 29th January 1892 & provides for constructing an office with outhouses for the Dy. Tahsildars at Bezwada in the Kistna District.

The estimate for the main building has been based on the Type design approved in G.O. No. 3122 W dated 20th November 1891 (filed copy) except that the partition walls have been increased in width from 1'1½" to 1'3" to suit stone masonry & for the outhouses as per designs circulated with G.O. No. 1409 W dated 28th May 1889.

The amount of the estimate is composed of the following items.

| | |
|---|---|
| Main building | Rs 12980 |
| Latrine | " 650 |
| C. over | 13,630 |

| | |
|---|---|
| B. forward | Rs 13,630 |
| Cook house | 530 |
| Record racks & ladder | 530 |
| Gate & pillars | 100 |
| Masonry well 6 ft. diar. | 480 |
| Hedge, clearing site & forming road | 130 |
| Shed for materials | 50 |
| Scaffolding | 70 |
| Compensation for land | 130 |
| Total Rupees | 15,650 |

The site selected for the construction of the building is stated to be private land for which compensation to the extent of Rs 130 has been provided.

Particulars of Construction:

The surface & sub soil is stated to be loamy. The foundations are proposed to be sunk 5 feet deep, the lower 2 feet to be laid with concrete & the upper 3 feet built of rubble in mortar. The basement is to be raised 2 feet high and the superstructure walls, as per heights noted in the type design and built of coursed rubble in mortar with archwork of brick in chunam over doors & windows. The flooring is to be of 2½" of Portland cement concrete laid over 3½" of ordinary concrete & plastered over with ½" of Portland cement. The roof is to be of flat & pan tiles laid over teak reapers, rafters &c. The interior faces of walls are to be plastered with 2 coats of chunam & the exterior pointed with surki mortar. Doors & windows are to be of the descriptions noted in the estimate of teakwood & painted with 3 coats of Carsons oak paint.

The scantlings are correct.

The rates as far as can be checked are fair, except the following, which are slightly in excess.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Concrete in mortar | 10.12.. | 9.12.. |
| Coursed rubble | 11.8.. | 11.... |
| Archwork | 24.14.. | 24.6.. |
| Plastering with cement | 7.... | 6.1 |
| Pointing with surki | 2.5.. | 1.5.. |

If schedule rates be adopted the saving will be Rs 235 but in the data lists submitted it is stated that the above rates now pointed out are the same as that allowed in the estimates for the Hd. asst. Collectors office & residence.

The plinth area of the building is 5495 sq. ft. & the cost Rs 2.6.2 per sq. ft.

The site plan & estimates have been countersigned by the Collector & approved in the Revenue Dept.

Ano.
6.XII.93

---

No. 3279. W. d/16th December 1893 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

R & B
Civil works

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ [illegible] owing

Pro. in the Rev. Dept. No: 4279 d/ 20. 11. 93.

28

The estimate, amounting to Rs. 15,650, for constructing an office for the Deputy Tahsildar and Stationary Submagistrate at Bezwada in the Kistna District, is sanctioned for execution when funds are available.

W
15 Dec

2. This sanction has been registered as Provincial No. 125 W of 1893-94 and classified as Civil Buildings, Original Works.

Note
It is proposed to place this G. O. on the Editor's Table.
W

DESPATCHED
8-JAN 94

To
The S. E. II Circle
with plans & estimates (13)
" Exar. P.W. Accts.
" Rev. Dept.
" Editor's Table

D
8/1/94
R.S.

12.12.93

13.12

(40—2,000—11-10-93.

H.E.

Estimate for a Dy. Tahsildar's Office at Bezwada

Ans T/R

---

For Chm

What is this building required?

In one place it is said to be for the Stationary Sub Magistrate. In another for the Dy. Tahsildar, & in a third for both combined —

Send the standard Plan — when was it decided the Stationary Sub Magistrate would be the same as Dy. Tahsildar

OW

8.12.93

9995

D.W.

The building is intended for the Deputy Tahsildar & Stationary sub-magistrate of Bezwada, who appears to be a single official.

A sub magistrate who is also ~~combines those functions~~ ~~as~~ a Dy. Tahsildar, would be provided with a second class Taluk office.

Standard plan submitted.

Cu
9.12.23

H.E. 14/12 R 16/12